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Summary

The increasing development and urbanization of the society has led to an increase per-capita production of municipal solid waste (MSW) materials. These MSW materials are of organic and inorganic nature that can be of rapidly, moderately and slowly biodegradable or inert characteristics. With regard to these waste streams a wide variety of treatments exist: reuse and recycling, composting, anaerobic digestion, incineration, and land disposal are the most common ones, pyrolysis and gasification are in use to a lesser extent or on a smaller scale. Regardless of the method chosen for treatment all these methods produce residues, which will be eventually disposed at open dumps or sanitary landfills. Sanitary landfills are engineered facilities that make use of barriers to isolate the waste from the biosphere in order to protect human health and the environment. However, these barriers will fail in the long-term allowing the intrusion of moisture into the waste mass, which will trigger restrained physical, chemical and biological processes causing pollution in the form of leachate and landfill gas.

In order to minimise the negative impacts of landfilling of waste, researchers conducted experiments, which resulted today in the so-called bioreactor landfill approach. The bioreactor landfill is a system that is operationally influenced to promote synergy between the inherent microbial consortia, and is controlled to accelerate the sequential phases of waste stabilisation through the addition of liquids and/or air. These past investigations have allowed the determination of optimal ranges for the key process parameters and the implementation of alternative operational conditions, the so-called enhancement techniques. Two main perspectives can be identified: 1) the American perspective, which attempts to apply enhancement techniques in order to maximise landfill gas production; 2) the European perspective that focus on the achievement of the Final Storage Quality (FSQ) status of residues within a generation timeframe (30 years). The term FSQ suggests that the potential of a waste material to produce pollution is reduced to nearly zero in the long-term perspective, similar to the characteristics for inert waste laid in the Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) of the European Landfill Directive. Until now, the main technical problem faced by landfill operators is homogenous liquid addition and distribution (key enhancement technique) within the waste mass. The main
objective of this thesis was to achieve a FSQ status of waste, through laboratory and pilot-scale experiments, that complies with the WAC of the Landfill Directive for Inert waste, which had been considered as the worst-case scenario due to the stringent criteria established. Therefore, this thesis focused on the interaction and modification of the factors controlling the waste stabilisation process in order to have a better understanding of the physical, chemical and biological processes occurring in a bioreactor landfill.

Bench (1 L), laboratory (50 L) and pilot-scale (800 L) simulators were used to apply different combinations of enhancement techniques (shredding, buffer addition, septage addition, and forced air intrusion) in order to achieve FSQ of residues. In addition, coarse materials (as layers or homogenous mixtures) were used in order to improve the hydraulic conditions of the simulators. The results of these experiments revealed that it was possible to achieve biological stabilisation within 1 year, but not FSQ status. Achievement of FSQ status depends strongly on the initial solid waste composition. Nevertheless, the residues were close to comply with the WAC of the Landfill Directive for inert waste. Buffer and septage addition proved to have a positive impact on the waste stabilisation process, reducing the biogas production lag-phase. Additionally, the risks associated with septage disposal were practically eliminated as no faecal coliforms were detected after 1 year of operation. Also the use of coarse materials had a positive impact on the waste stabilisation process, especially as homogenous mixtures and layers to a lesser extent since they were prone to clogging.

Nitrogen compounds, especially ammonium, have been identified as a main parameter that will jeopardise the achievement of FSQ status, hence the safe closure of landfill sites. Therefore, evolution and fate of nitrogen compounds were also investigated in this thesis. The experiments showed that about 40% of the total ammonium was released by physical processes within 24 hours; the other 60% was produced by biological degradation of proteins contained in the MSW. Anammox bacteria were found for the first time in bioreactor landfills and it was suspected to have an important contribution to the total removal of nitrogen from the system, beside other nitrogen removal processes. Nevertheless, it was not clear how or where the
intermediate products (i.e. nitrite) necessary for Anammox metabolism were produced.

The Landfill Degradation and Transport (LDAT) model was used to simulate the evolution of carbon and nitrogen compounds. The LDAT model was not suitable to represent accurately the processes occurring in the simulators mainly because the model operated at a fixed (20°C) process temperature and the waste chemistry equations need to be improved. Other models found in literature could be more appropriate to describe these processes; however, it was noticed that these models lack a complete ionic balance which has great influence on the pH of the system. The experimental research emphasised the importance of increasing pH values to neutral pH values, which “triggered” most of the processes in the simulators. This thesis highlighted the need to focus future modelling efforts on the integration of this complete ionic balance and its influence on the development of neutral (even alkaline) pH levels.

In conclusion, this research reduced our current gaps-in-knowledge and offered feasible technical alternatives to control and steer the processes occurring in a bioreactor landfill aiming to achieve FSQ status of residues.
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Chapter

Introduction

The journey has begun...
For every action towards graduation,
there will be an equal and opposite distraction
...therefore challenge and conquer yourself,
only then you will prevail

Newton’s 3rd law of graduation and
Roberto Valencia

1. Background

The increasing human population, urbanisation and wealth fare is leading to an increased per capita production of solid waste materials. During the last 10 years municipal solid waste generation has increased about 20%, of which a majority consists of household and commercial waste and it is expected to increase up to 40% by the year 2020 as shown in Figure 1 (OECD, 2007). The constituents of household and commercial waste materials are organic and inorganic in nature, and may be of rapidly, moderately, slowly biodegradable characteristics or inert (Table 1) (Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). With regard to these waste streams a wide variety of treatments exist: reuse and recycling, composting, anaerobic digestion, incineration, and land disposal are the most common ones (Williams, 1998) (Figure 2), pyrolysis and gasification are in use to a lesser extent or on a smaller scale (Nolan, 2002). Regardless of the method chosen for treatment all these methods produce residues, which will be eventually disposed at open dumps or sanitary landfills (Westlake, 1995; Williams, 1998). Approximately 1 billion tonnes of municipal solid waste were collected worldwide in 2006 of which only 53% was finally disposed in landfills (UN, 2007).
Table 1. Municipal solid waste composition for different income levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Waste component</th>
<th>Countries income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Organic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food waste</td>
<td>6-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper and cardboard</td>
<td>20-45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>2-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>2-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rubber and leather</td>
<td>0-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood and yard waste</td>
<td>10-20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miscellaneous organics</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inorganic</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>4-12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin cans</td>
<td>2-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aluminium</td>
<td>0-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other metals</td>
<td>1-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dirt, ash, etc.</td>
<td>0-10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Sanitary landfills are engineered disposal facilities, which make use of physical barriers designed to isolate solid waste from the biosphere in order to minimise public health and environmental impacts (Allen, 2001; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). These barriers (landfill bottom liners and caps) prevent the entry of water, into the waste bulk, which is essential for the degradation process of waste (Westlake, 1995; Allen, 2001). Consequently, the waste is contained and it remains practically intact for long periods of time. Nevertheless, water will always be present within the landfill due to the inherent water content of waste (Westlake, 1995; Allen, 2001). This water, together with previously infiltrated water (e.g. rainfall percolating during the filling process of the landfill), percolates and interacts with the waste and air trapped within the solid matrix, providing favourable conditions for microorganisms development. By their metabolic activities, microorganisms degrade waste until their nutrient sources are depleted and the residues are no longer capable of supporting microbial growth. This process is known as the biological degradation process of organic matter (Buswell and Mueller, 1952; Parkin and Owen, 1986; Zehnder 1988; Palmisano and Barlaz, 1996). This multi-sequential phase process produces gases and releases contaminant substances into the water that percolates through the landfill, resulting in a liquid called leachate. The duration of
these phases may vary depending on several factors (e.g. climatic and environmental conditions, waste characteristics, operational factors, etc.) and may last from decades to centuries (Wall and Zeiss, 1995; Townsend et al, 1996; Johannessen, 1999; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). Leachate and landfill gases contain substances that are harmful to human health and the environment (El-Fadel et al, 2002). The leachate escaping from landfills can contaminate soils, aquifers, and surface waters; landfill gas contains greenhouse gases that contribute to the global warming effect when they are released into the atmosphere.

*Data for Australia and New Zealand is an expert estimate.
Source: OECD, 2004a

**Figure 1.** Trends in municipal solid waste (MSW) generation.
Most developed countries have enacted strict laws and regulations that established the obligatory capture and treatment of leachate and landfill gases in order to reduce such harmful effects. The European Union, through the Commission for Waste Management, has established several Directives such as Council Directive 75/442/EEC on waste and Council Directive
99/31/EC on the landfilling of waste. These Directives set operational and technical requirements aiming to protect human health and the environment from the negative impacts of landfilling of waste (EUC, 1996; OJEC, 1999, 2003). In the European waste management hierarchy, the landfilling of waste is the least preferred treatment option due to the space requirements involved, the need of aftercare in perpetuity, the loss of material resources, and the pollution caused by the leachate and biogas from landfill sites (EUC, 1996). Despite all these facts, landfills are necessary components of the waste management system because landfills are the most flexible, cheap and reliable method of waste containment/treatment. However, the physical barriers of landfills will eventually fail in the long-term leading to a penetration of moisture (i.e. rainfall) and will trigger all restrained physical, chemical and biological processes and consequently contaminants would be released, threatening human health and the environment (Westlake, 1997; Koerner and Soong, 2000; Allen, 2001; Benson et al, 2007). Since it is expected that this event occurs after the legally required monitoring period has finished (>50 years after landfill closure), an economic and environmental burden will be transferred to the next generations, contradicting the precepts of sustainability (WCED, 1987; Westlake, 1997; Burton and Watson-Craik, 1998; Williams, 1998; Barlaz et al, 2002). Several researchers have foreseen such problems and attempted to develop new approaches to overcome these landfill concerns. As a result of their investigations better containment systems, improved leachate and landfill gas capture and treatment systems were developed (Katsumi et al, 2000; Koerner and Soong, 2000; Simon and Müller, 2004). Nevertheless, future pollution problems associated with entombed waste have so far not been solved. Research, conducted over the last three decades has attempted, the introduction of a new design of landfill, to reduce the stabilisation time to a more reasonable time scale (30 years), to decrease the costs of leachate treatment, to increase landfill gas generation and to reduce landfill lifetime through landfill-space reclamation and to achieve a final product that no longer is a threat to the surrounding environment (Baccini, 1988; Brunner, 1988; Döberl et al, 2001). Based on these new approaches the so-called bioreactor landfill concept was conceived (Figure 3). The bioreactor landfill is a system that is operationally influenced to promote synergy between the inherent microbial consortia, and it is controlled to accelerate the sequential phases of waste stabilisation through the addition of liquids and/or air


**Figure 3.** Schematic view of a modern anaerobic bioreactor landfill.

2. **Factors controlling waste stabilisation**

   Research conducted during the last three decades has made possible to identify the key process parameters that influence the waste stabilisation process in bioreactor landfills as shown in Table 2. Moisture content and pH of the system appear to be the most important parameters. In practice, constant recirculation tends to cool-down the system to temperatures in the range of 20 to 30°C. Nutrients are generally adequate in most landfills except in nutrient-deficient pockets due to waste heterogeneity (Yuen, 1999). Moderate to severe inhibition can be caused by macronutrients, heavy metals and organic compounds in the leachate if present above certain concentrations (Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; Kjeldsen et al, 2002).
Table 2. Key parameters for waste degradation in bioreactor landfills.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Influencing factors</th>
<th>Optimal ranges/comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moisture</td>
<td>Generally above field capacity(^1): 55 - 75% by wet weight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>6.5 - 7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>32°C - 38°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Density</td>
<td>800 - 1000 kg m(^3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nutrients</td>
<td>Generally adequate except local nutrient-deficient pockets due to heterogeneity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitors</td>
<td>Cation concentration producing moderate/severe inhibition (mg L(^{-1})): Sodium 3500-5500, Potassium 2500-4500, Calcium 2500-4500, Magnesium 1500-3000, Ammonium (total) 1500-3000, Heavy metals: no significant influence, Organic compounds: inhibitory effect only in significant amount</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Field capacity is the amount of liquids that a solid can retain free of drainage against the gravity forces


3. **Enhancement techniques for enhanced waste stabilisation**

These key process parameters are greatly influenced by the operational conditions implemented to enhance the biological process of waste, the so-called enhancement techniques. Liquid addition and recirculation is the most used enhancement technique to increase moisture content, to redistribute microorganisms and nutrients within the waste matrix and to dilute locally high concentrations of inhibitory substances. Other enhancement techniques used, to a lesser extent, are waste shredding, selection/control, addition of buffers and sludge. Despite the fact that, originally the main objective of waste shredding was to increase waste density and gain valuable landfill volume (Ham et al, 1978; El-Fadel et al, 2002), shredding proved to be beneficial for the waste stabilisation process since increased homogeneity and the waste surface area also helped to remove moisture barriers caused by impermeable materials (i.e. plastic bags). Nevertheless, shredding of waste is not sufficient to achieve complete
waste stabilisation if it is not combined with other enhancement techniques (i.e. liquid recirculation). Additionally, shredding of waste is an intensive and expensive activity. Control and selection of waste refers to wastes of different compositions “constructed” to increase availability of moisture and substrate and to decrease the presence of potential inhibitors (Yuen et al, 2001). Nilsson et al (1995) demonstrated that control/selection of inert waste led to better performance of the reactor and improved geotechnical stability, which is necessary to avoid slope failure of the waste mass. Buffer addition aiming to maintain neutral pH of the system is practiced because, due to unbalanced landfill ecosystems, low pH values can inhibit the methanogenic activity. Laboratory experiments have demonstrated that addition of buffers has a positive effect on the degradation process (Kinman et al, 1987; Bramryd, 1995). The addition of biosolids such as raw sewage sludge or treated wastewater treatment plant (WWTP) sludge can provide additional moisture to the waste mass, microorganisms and nutrients and can act as buffer to maintain a neutral pH. Extensive research has been carried out with addition of sludge, mainly WWTP sludge, with positive impacts on the waste stabilization process and enhanced biogas production (Pohland, 1980; Reinhart and Townsend, 1988, Campbell, 1991; Bae et al, 1998; Chan et al, 1999, Knox et al, 2000; Çinar et al, 2004; Silva et al, 2004; Hartmann and Ahring, 2005; Bolzonella et al, 2006). Nevertheless, little is known about the effects of different types of sludge/materials such as septic tank sludge or slaughterhouses waste (rumen content) on the waste stabilisation process. However, its practical application depends strongly on the waste regulations for different site locations.

In order to achieve the Millennium Development Goals (MDG) on sanitation approximately 1.6 billion people should gain access to improved sanitation options by the year 2015 (WHO/UNICEF, 2006). This amount of people will generate an impressive amount of septage that need to be handled, treated and disposed; for instance, only United States of America generates annually approximately 21·10^6 m^3 of septage (USEPA, 1999). Current septage management options like composting, anaerobic digestion

---

2 Improved sanitation options refer to public sewer connection, septic system connection, pour-flush latrine, simple pit latrine and ventilated improved pit latrine.

3 Septage is the solids (sludge), scum and greases pumped from a septic tank, cesspool or other primary treatment source.
and chemical treatment will be overloaded. Most of these options are, apparently, not financially feasible in developing countries because most septage is still discharged indiscriminately into lanes, drainage ditches, sewer networks, onto open urban spaces, and into fresh and marine water bodies, causing serious environmental and health impacts (Bradley, 1981; Chen, 1988). Since septage is already separated from its liquid counterpart it should remain in the solid treatment pathway (i.e. landfill disposal). Despite the fact that few experiments on septage co-disposal generated inconclusive results or even suggested negative impacts on the waste degradation process (Leckie et al, 1979; Leuschner, 1989) none of them were carried out with leachate recirculation as enhancement option.

All these enhancement techniques have a positive effect on the waste stabilisation process. However, all of them require to be combined with liquid recirculation in order to be effective and efficient. Liquid, usually leachate, recirculation is carried out following several operational strategies like recirculation without amendments, different recirculation rates, different leachate age, temperature control and treatment of leachate prior to recirculation.

3.1 Strategies for liquid recirculation

Liquid recirculation in landfills enhances hydrolysis of organic matter, but limitations in the buffer capacity of the system may cause pH values to drop, as a result of the accumulation of volatile fatty acids. Low pH may inhibit the methanogenic bacteria ceasing the biogas production (Kinman et al, 1987; Veeken et al, 2000; Yuen, 2001). Experiments have shown that recirculation at higher rates enhances the waste stabilisation process. However, on the long-term there is the risk of accumulation of microorganisms and nutrients at specific areas (i.e. at the bottom) of the landfill body, causing an unbalance, leading to a microbiological collapse of the entire system (Leckie et al, 1979; Pohland, 1980; Klink et al, 1982; Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996; Mehta et al, 2002). Recirculation of leachate of different ages has a positive effect on the waste degradation process. Addition of mature leachate to fresh waste triggers methanogenic conditions in a relatively short period (Oonk and Woelders, 1999; Vroon et al, 1999). Well decomposed waste and the established bacterial population were able
to cope with higher concentrations of hydrolytic products that otherwise would delay the start up of methane production (Pohland and Kim, 1999; O’Keefe and Chynoweth, 2000). However, constant recirculation of old leachate may cause a build-up of inorganic salts and nitrogen compounds to inhibitory levels in the long term (Burton and Watson-Craik, 1998; Caine et al, 1999; Price et al, 2003; Berge et al, 2005). Leachate temperature control (heating of leachate) is not widely practiced because of the high costs associated with this activity (De Rome and Gronow, 1995). Furthermore, heat exchange between liquids and solids was limited to a certain radius of influence from the injection point. Field data has shown marked differences in temperature profiles of waste versus depth as a consequence of the cooling effect of recirculation (De Rome and Gronow, 1995; Yazdani et al, 2006). Treatment of the leachate to reduce concentrations of potential inhibitors, such as ammonium, either aerobically or anaerobically, prior to recirculation has shown to have a positive influence on the waste stabilisation process (Burton and Watson-Craik, 1998; Onay and Pohland, 1998; O’Keefe and Chynoweth, 2000; Yuen et al, 2001; Jokela et al, 2003; He et al, 2007).

Nevertheless, the effectiveness of leachate recirculation may depend on the efficacy of the method chosen for leachate infiltration, the hydraulic properties of waste and the existence of preferential flow pathways in the waste matrix. As previously mentioned moisture content has been suggested as the most important factor for biodegradation in landfills (Klink et al, 1982; Gurijala and Suflita, 1993). However, the main problem that has been identified refers to the homogenous distribution of the recirculated liquid into the waste matrix (Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996; McCreanor and Reinhart, 1999; Miller and Clesceri, 2003; Morris et al, 2003). The different methods of leachate recirculation, tested at field scale, have presented a series of operational problems. Water surface irrigation and ponds experienced high evaporative losses and odour related problems. Buried drip systems have led to microbiological clogging and pipelines fracture due to differential settlement. Horizontal trenches and vertical wells showed also clogging and low influence area (McCreanor and Reinhart, 1999; Yuen, 1999). However, recent developments have shown that a combination of trenches and vertical wells, the use of hydraulic blankets and different modi operandi (alternated operational times) helped to extend the influence area of
the recirculation systems, hence increasing the waste stabilisation rates (Townsend et al, 1995; Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996; Miller and Emge, 1997; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; McCreanor and Reinhart, 1999; Pouech et al, 1999; Warith et al, 2001; Haydar and Khire, 2005; Yazdani et al 2006; Benson et al, 2007; Khire and Mukherjee, 2007)

Waste hydraulic properties and preferential flow pathways have been extensively studied by several researchers (Williams, 1998; McCreanor and Reinhart, 1999; Yuen et al, 2001; Miller and Clesceri, 2003; Yazdani et al, 2006). These authors suggested that waste hydraulic conductivity, ideally in the range of $10^{-3}$ to $10^{-6}$ cm sec$^{-1}$, changes with time as the waste particles are reduced and available pores collapsed, and therefore the efficacy of recirculation is reduced substantially. The other factor affecting homogenous liquid distribution is the “channelling” phenomenon, in which the liquid tends to follow preferential pathways leaving some dry areas within the waste bulk, thus reducing the overall waste stabilisation rate (McCreanor and Reinhart, 1999; Rosqvist and Destouni, 2000; Rosqvist et al, 2005). Modification of the waste hydraulic properties for instance by mixing with coarse materials can help to enhance the homogenous liquid distribution; however at large scale, this approach seems neither technically nor economically feasible. An alternative approach is the use of intermediate layers of coarse materials that help to break the channelling effect and to redistribute homogenously the liquids within the waste matrix. Utilising coarse materials can provide structural capacity to the waste matrix in order to overcome geotechnical stability problems mentioned by Bramryd (1995) and Nilsson et al (1995).

A reliable method of liquid infiltration that ensures constant and homogenous liquid distribution is the key for the successful application of enhancement techniques in order to achieve a stable residue, the so-called final storage quality (FSQ) of waste.

4. The final storage quality (FSQ) of waste residues

Despite the fact that there is no general consensus on a clear definition and there are also no methods of measurement, the term FSQ suggests that the potential of a waste material to produce pollution is reduced to nearly
zero in the long-term perspective (Baccini, 1988; Brunner, 1988). In that context, waste residues in (bioreactor) landfills have achieved FSQ status when the residue properties resemble those of the surrounding environment (i.e. rock-like material) or when it is solid, non-reactive, present in an oxidised form and poorly soluble in water (Pfiffner, 1988; Ulrich et al, 1988). This suggests that these landfills will not require maintenance and monitoring in the long-term as it is usually necessary for regular sanitary landfills (Sabbas et al, 1999; Döberl et al, 2001). Neither American nor European legislation, including the Landfill Directive, explicitly define the criteria to declare FSQ status or the necessary timeframes to reach it. Despite all these legal limitations, several researchers have attempted to define FSQ characteristics of residues that lead to safe closure of landfill sites (Table 3) (Lo, 1996; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; Shearer, 2001; Barlaz et al, 2002; Boda, 2002; François et al. 2006), but little information is available regarding the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of waste residues from bioreactor landfills.

**Table 3. Proposed waste stability characteristics for secure landfill closure.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Biological methane potential (BMP)</td>
<td>0.05-0.15 m³ CH₄ ton⁻¹ waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volatile solids content</td>
<td>18-19% of dry waste</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD/COD ratio</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻/Cl⁻ ratio</td>
<td>&lt; 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose/lignin ratio</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical appearance</td>
<td>Dark sludge-like</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After: Lo, 1996; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; Shearer, 2001; Barlaz et al, 2002; Boda, 2002; François et al. 2006

Nitrogen compounds, especially ammonium, have been identified as a main parameter that will jeopardise the achievement of FSQ status, hence the safely closure of landfill sites (Barlaz et al, 2002). Ammonium, an end product of protein (MSW) degradation (Burton and Watson-Clark, 1998; Jokela and Rintala, 2003; Jokela et al, 2005; Berge et al, 2005), tends to accumulate in landfill leachates since there are no effective removal mechanisms under anaerobic conditions. Huber et al (2004) suggested that approximately only 4% of nitrogen leaves the landfill site via the leachate pathway, while 96% of nitrogen remains in the waste matrix. All nitrogen...
removal research has focused on the liquid (leachate) fraction of the landfill, leaving unattended the nitrogen solid fraction. There is no literature available about the fate and nature of nitrogen remaining in the solid fraction and the possible technological options to remove it. Therefore, it is of outmost importance to know more about the nitrogen generation, transformations and removal mechanisms in order to attain the FSQ status of waste residues. Leachate nitrogen removal methods ranged from classical ex-situ nitrification and denitrification, chemical precipitation, in-situ nitrification and denitrification to nitrogen recovery as nutrient at energy forests (Jokela et al, 2002; Li and Zhao, 2003; Brander et al, 2004; Kurniawan et al, 2006; He et al, 2007). Either in-situ or partially in-situ approaches are likely to produce NOₓ and N₂O (Price et al, 2003). The quantities produced are insignificant (<0.1% by volume), compared to the amount of CO₂ and CH₄, the main components of landfill gas which are also greenhouse gases (Palmisano and Barlaz, 1996, Evans, 2001; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). However, their global warming potential, which is about 200 times greater than that of CO₂, made them significant pollutants for their contribution to the climate change. It has been estimated that landfill sites contribute to approximately 3-5% of anthropogenic greenhouse gases emissions of the world (Figure 4) (Kumar et al, 2004; Bogner et al, 2007, UN, 2007).

**Figure 4.** Worldwide map of greenhouse gas emissions from solid waste.
5. Motivation and scope of the thesis

Currently Dutch landfill operators are eager to demonstrate to the environmental agencies responsible of secure landfill closure that landfills can be safely closed and probably reused for recreational or commercial purposes without the need to continue in perpetuity monitoring and maintenance of the sites. With this aim, the Dutch foundation for sustainable landfilling (Stichting Duurzaam Storten), established by all major Dutch waste operators, has carried out, since 1999, a series of laboratory and field-scale experiments aiming to design and operate a (bioreactor) landfill in which the pollution produced will be below environmental standards after a barrier failure event in the mid or long term (Figure 5). Significant results have been achieved during the last 7 years, but important problems have not been solved, such as the homogenous liquid distribution and the achievement of a residue with such characteristics that resemble those mentioned in the Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) of the Landfill Directive for inert waste (OJEC, 2003). The reason to compare the residues with inert waste standards is because inert waste landfills face less strict closure regulations and therefore long-term monitoring schemes can be avoided, which in turn will result in savings of several hundreds millions of euros for the Dutch waste operators.

![Waste Degradation Pattern](image)

Figure 5. Final Storage Quality projection in an efficient bioreactor landfill.
Effective and reliable methods of liquid infiltration that ensures homogenous liquid distribution apparently are the basis for the success of the enhancement techniques, which supposedly will lead to the achievement of FSQ of waste materials placed in bioreactor landfills.

6. **Objectives of the thesis**

The main objective of this thesis was to achieve a FSQ status of waste, through laboratory and pilot-scale experiments, that complies with the WAC of the Landfill Directive for Inert waste, which had been considered as the worst-case scenario due to the stringent criteria established. Therefore, this thesis focused on the interaction and modification of the factors controlling the waste stabilisation process in order to have a better understanding of the physical, chemical and biological processes occurring in a bioreactor landfill.

The specific objectives of this thesis were:

- To investigate the effect of different combinations of enhancement techniques (waste shredding, pH control, bio-solid addition with leachate recirculation) on the waste stabilisation process.
- To evaluate the effect of intermediate layers of coarse material and homogenous mixtures on the improvement of homogenous moisture distribution in the solid waste matrix.
- To assess the evolution, fate and *in-situ* removal of nitrogen compounds from the solid waste matrix.

7. **Outline of the thesis**

This thesis comprises seven chapters. Chapter 1 provides the current state-of-knowledge of the key process parameters, enhancement techniques and leachate recirculation methods employed to achieve the FSQ status of waste residues in bioreactor landfills. Chapter 2 presents the performance of pilot-scale simulators aiming to achieve FSQ of waste residues; it focuses on the carbon and nitrogen transformations and evaluates the factors influencing the achievement of FSQ status in the simulators. The effects of septic tank sludge addition on the stabilisation process of municipal solid waste are discussed in Chapter 3. Factors influencing the removal of coliforms are also discussed. Chapter 4 reports on the changes of hydraulic conditions and their
impact on the waste stabilisation process. The evolution and fate of nitrogen in bioreactor landfills are shown in Chapter 5. This chapter focuses on the release and possible removal mechanisms from the solid phase, especially on the development of Anammox bacteria in the simulators. Chapter 6 presents the results of an existing mathematical model that describes the carbon and nitrogen transformations occurring in the simulators. Finally, chapter 7 presents the conclusions and the practical implications of this research and an outlook for future research.
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Achieving “Final Storage Quality” of MSW in pilot-scale bioreactor landfills

Abstract

Entombed waste in current sanitary landfills will generate biogas and leachate when physical barriers fail in the future allowing the intrusion of moisture into the waste mass contradicting the precepts of the sustainability concept. Bioreactor landfills are suggested as a sustainable option to achieve Final Storage Quality (FSQ) status of waste residues; however, it is not clear what characteristics the residues should have in order to stop operation and aftercare monitoring schemes. An experiment was conducted to determine the feasibility to achieve FSQ status (WAC of the European Landfill Directive) of residues in a pilot scale bioreactor landfill. The results of the leaching test were very encouraging due to their proximity to achieve the proposed stringent FSQ criterion after two years of operation. Furthermore, residues have the same characteristics of alternative waste stabilisation parameters (low BMP, BOD/COD ratio, VS content, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}/\text{Cl}^-$ ratio) established by others researchers. Mass balances showed that the bioreactor
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landfill simulator was capable to practically achieve biological stabilisation after 2 years of operation, while releasing approximately 45% of the total available (organic and inorganic) carbon and nitrogen into the liquid and gas phases.

1. **Introduction**

Municipal solid waste (MSW) disposed in landfills can be entombed for long-periods of time until physical barriers fail, allowing the intrusion of moisture. This would in turn trigger restrained physical-chemical and biological processes, causing pollution in the form of leachate and biogas (Allen, 2001; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). The chances of this event to happen increase with time especially after the landfill site has been closed and legally abandoned. This sharply contradicts with the basic understanding of sustainability, of not transferring economic and ecological burdens to future generations. Bioreactor landfills have been suggested to be a more sustainable alternative to conventional landfilling of MSW. Researchers have outlined the benefits of bioreactor landfills such as increased biogas production and recovery, shorter stabilisation periods, increased volume recovery and lower leachate strength (Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; Warith 2002). However, also constraints are mentioned, such as geo-technical stability, lack of process control, difficulties at field-scale operation and monitoring (Lee and Lee, 1994; Sheehan and McNelly, 2003). The fact that the bioreactor landfill approach is gaining a lot of attention, could suggest that the way normal sanitary landfills are currently operated will change in the near future. However, despite recent attempts by Barlaz et al. (2002) and François et al. (2006) to set numerical criteria that help operator and regulatory agencies to define waste stability, little information is available regarding the physical, chemical and biological characteristics of waste residues from bioreactor landfills. These characteristics should comply with the term Final Storage Quality (FSQ) of waste residues. However, FSQ of waste residues has not been well defined and there is no general consensus (Hjelmar and van der Sloop, 2003; Döberl et al., 2005). The earliest definition states that waste residues should have the same characteristics as those materials in their surrounding environment without the potential to produce pollution in the short, mid and long-term (Baccini, 1989). FSQ criteria should resemble the characteristics of inert waste as defined in the waste acceptance criteria (WAC) of Annex II of the Landfill Directive.
(CEC, 2003), because inert landfills face shorter or less stringent monitoring schemes according to the existing legislation. The objective of this study is to investigate the feasibility to achieve FSQ of waste residues using bioreactor landfills and the consequences on short and long-term emissions.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Bioreactor Landfill simulators setup and operation

Originally, two bioreactor landfill simulators were run, made from a 3 cm thick HDPE sewage pipe of 70 cm in diameter and 200 cm in height, hermetically sealed with HDPE lids and rubber rings attached at both ends. Schematic view and photographs of the simulators can be seen in Annex 1. The reactors were equipped at the top with a unidirectional dry gas meter (Meterfabriek Schlumberger) to record the volume of biogas produced and a water inlet for recirculation purposes. At the bottom, a water outlet was installed to allow the produced leachate flow into a reservoir for further recirculation using a high-speed pump (Lutz, model MA-II 3-12, \( Q_{\text{max}} = 150 \text{ l min}^{-1} \)). Three online thermocouple probes (Campbell Scientific, model 105T) were placed at 40, 100 and 160 cm from the top of each reactor, respectively. The internal temperature of the reactors was maintained around 30±4°C by means of an electrical blanket covered by fibre-wool with aluminium foil and wrapped in plastic. The reactors were filled with 500 kg (wet weight) of shredded (4 cm maximum size) MSW (moisture content 20% on wet weight basis). It is shredded to increase waste homogeneity, the surface area for biological degradation, the limitation of impermeable layers (i.e. plastics) and the improvement of water distribution (Yuen, 1999). The MSW was collected and characterized at the transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands as shown in Table 1. The waste used in this experiment had a lower organic content and higher inorganic fractions (i.e. glass, plastics and inerts) compared to other similar studies (Şan and Onay, 2001; Sponza and Ağdağ, 2004; He et al., 2005). However, it was similar to the waste used by Vroon et al., 1999. The waste was placed in layers of approximately 30 cm and compacted using a sledgehammer to an apparent density of 1040 kg m\(^{-3}\).
Table 1. Composition of the municipal solid waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage (wet weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic undefined</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper &amp; cardboard</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous metals / non-ferrous metals</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather/rubber</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&gt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&lt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ESSENT Milieu 2004 at transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands (Figures provided by H. Woelders)

Additionally, both reactors were seeded with approximately 200 litres of mature leachate in order to stimulate methanogenic and leaching conditions as suggested by Vroon et al. (1999) and Oonk and Woelders (1999). The characteristic of the seeding leachate are shown in Table 2. A fraction of the leachate produced was extracted and recycled back into each reactor, at different rates 3 times per week (±30 L week⁻¹ for Reactor 1 and ±15 L week⁻¹ for Reactor 2) aiming to maintain a continuous leachate flow within the reactor and at least a moisture content of 45% (field capacity) on wet weight basis. The leachate recycled was not amended (i.e. pH neutralisation, ammonium removal, etc.) at any stage of the experiment.

Table 2. Characteristics of the seeding mature leachate.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Concentration*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC</td>
<td>14.3 (mS cm⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOD</td>
<td>650</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COD</td>
<td>4240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ammonium</td>
<td>610</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrate</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>5480</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bicarbonate</td>
<td>7200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Units are in mg/L unless specified otherwise, pH is unit less.

Source: ESSENT Milieu 2004 at transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands (Figures provided by H. Woelders)
2.2 Analytical procedures

Moisture and volatile solids content of the MSW were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). Additionally, a leaching test (NEN-EN 12457-4) was conducted in triplicate to determine the leaching potential of Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD); Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD); Total Organic Carbon (TOC); Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (TKN); Ammonium (NH₄⁺) selected ions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻) and heavy metals (Pb, Ni, Zn, Cu, Cd) of the solid waste according to European Standards (CEN, 2002). The elemental composition (CHNS) of the MSW was determined by flash combustion in a partial oxygen atmosphere using helium as carrier, at 1020 °C with a Thermo Quest EA 1110 Interscience elemental analyser. Leachate samples were analysed for pH, temperature, conductivity and oxygen with portable meters WTW pH 340, LF 340 and Oxi 345, respectively. COD, BOD, TKN, NH₄⁺, volatile fatty acids (VFA) (acetic, propionic, isobutyric, butyric and valeric) content of the leachate samples were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). TOC content of the leachate was determined using an OI Corporation TOC Analyser M-700. VFA were measured with a Chrompack CP9001 gas chromatograph, equipped with a FID and fitted with a Chrompack column (CP-FFAP-CB 25 m x 0.53 mm, 1 μm) using helium as carrier gas, coupled with a Shimadzu C-R5A Chromatopac integrator. Heavy metals content of the leachate were analysed with a Perkin Elmer Atomic Absorption Spectrometer 3110 flame detector. Ions in the leachate were analysed using an Ion Chromatography system DIONEX ICS-1000 attached with an automated sample injector DIONEX ASI-100. All liquid samples were filtered with glass fibre filters GF 52, Schleicher & Schuell. Biogas composition (CH₄, CO₂ and O₂) was monitored using a Geotechnical Instruments GA25 portable gas extraction analyser. Gas samples were extracted by a gas sampling bulb (Chrompack), transferred to evacuated blood collection tubes (TERUMO, VenoJect, non-silicone coated) and analysed for N₂O by gas chromatography. Hydrazine was measured using detector tubes (MSA, range 0.1-5 ppm) and a thumb-pump sampler (100cc sample volume stroke⁻¹).
3 Results

3.1 Reactor behaviour

Despite the fact that the initial setup included two bioreactor landfill simulators, only results of reactor 1 are reported here. Reactor 2 was stopped and emptied at day 500 when it was impossible to extract sufficient leachate for recirculation from the bottom of the reactor due to clogging, which caused an overflow of leachate at the upper part of the reactor. However, as reported before in Valencia et al. (2005) most of the physical-chemical and biological parameters of both reactors followed similar trends for the first 300 days of operation. Although no leaching tests were carried out to the residues of reactor 2 to evaluate their FSQ status, the carbon mass balance (88% carbon recovery) was in close agreement with the biogas measured during the 500 days of operation.

3.1.1 Physical-chemical parameters

The temperature of the reactor was stable at about 30(±4)°C. Immediately after filling the reactor the pH was 5.5 (Fig. 1a) and after one day the pH increased to 6.3 due to the addition of methanogenic leachate of pH 7. Afterwards the pH decreased progressively from 6.2 to 5.5 during the first 200 days. After day 200, pH increased steadily up to 7.5 at day 430 and remained constant until the end of the experiment at day 730. Conductivity increased from 20mS cm\(^{-1}\) until values close to 35mS cm\(^{-1}\) (Fig. 1a) due to the dissolution of salts into the leachate. The conductivity reached its lowest level (12mS cm\(^{-1}\)) at day 700 and seemed to increase towards the end of the experiment (day 730). The dissolved oxygen was depleted during the first two weeks of operation reaching values that fluctuated between 0 and 0.2mgO\(_2\) L\(^{-1}\) (Data not shown).
3.1.2 Biological parameters

Production of biogas started slowly during the first 200 days of operation, where approximately 3.6m$^3$ of biogas were produced, mainly composed of CO$_2$ (Fig. 1b). However from day 200, the biogas production started to increase dramatically until day 500, in which period the biogas
composition changed to 60%CH₄-40%CO₂ by volume, a common biogas composition from biological reactors treating MSW. Although, the rate of biogas production decreased considerably from day 500, the biogas composition (70%CH₄-30%CO₂ by volume) at this stage exceeded the typical biogas reported at diverse experiments with bioreactor landfill simulators, nevertheless biogas composition depends strongly on the pH of the system (Garcia-Heras, 2003). The VFA concentration (Fig. 1b) increased in the first 200 days due to accumulation of hydrolytic products and started to decrease afterwards due to conversion into biogas. The biogas yield (0.46m³ of CH₄ kg⁻¹ of VS) observed in this experiment was similar or higher than those reported in the literature despite the lower organic content of the waste (Barlaz et al., 1989; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; Şan and Onay, 2001; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002; Sponza and Ağdağ, 2004; He et al., 2005; Davisson et al., 2007). The BOD/COD ratio, used to follow the biodegradability of the leachate (Fig. 2a) increased during the first 250 days up to values around 0.78. The ratio decreased gradually during the following 400 days to 0.20. An even sharper decrease was observed in the last 50 days of operation to values close to 0.10.

Ammonia (Fig. 2b) increased sharply from around 1g L⁻¹ to 1.7g L⁻¹ in the first 20 days of operation and remained relatively constant for approximately 400 days. Although operation of the reactor continued as previously (but without analyses of samples), ammonia levels decreased drastically within the period between day 400 and 430 to values in the range of 0.85g L⁻¹ and remained constant the next 300 days. Finally, ammonia levels dropped in the last stage of the experiment to values around 0.65g L⁻¹. No NO₃⁻, NO₂⁻ or NH₃ were detected on incidental measurements before day 350. However, NO₃⁻ was detected in minimal quantities (2-5mg NO₃⁻ L⁻¹) from day 350 up to an average of 30mg NO₃⁻ L⁻¹ at the end of the experiment (Data not shown). Since the total Kjeldahl nitrogen (TKN) was composed of 80-85% ammonia, the TKN trend was, therefore, to a large extent identical to the ammonia trend (Fig. 2b). Organic nitrogen, calculated by simple arithmetic subtraction, was low and showed a decreased trend towards the end of the experiment (Fig. 2b).
Figure 2. a) BOD/COD ratio and b) nitrogen in the leachate from the Bioreactor Landfill simulator.

3.2 Degree of waste stabilisation

The initial and final characteristics of the waste in the simulator are shown in Table 3. Volatile solids (VS) content determined at the beginning and end of the experiment were approximately 38% and 24.4% on a dry weight basis. Accordingly, a reduction of the basic elements (C, N, H, S) content of the residues, 33%, 31%, 45% and 73%, respectively was observed at the end of the experiment.
Results of the leaching tests (Table 4) performed at the end of the experiment showed that the proposed criterion for FSQ was not achieved; nevertheless, the residues complied with the non-hazardous (low organic content) waste criteria of the annex II of the Landfill Directive (Francois et al., 2006), with the possibility to have a less stringent aftercare period. Other authors (Lo, 1996; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998) have determined specific parameters to define waste stability such as a low BMP (<0.045m³ CH₄ kg⁻¹ VS), BOD/COD ratio (<0.10), VS content, a dark sludge-like appearance and low (<0.1) SO₄²⁻/Cl⁻ ratio. Similarly, heavy metals content were several folds lower than those stated in the regulation for exceptional quality compost of USEPA (Das et al., 2002). Apparently, shredding homogenised the MSW, allowing better distribution of the recycled leachate and therefore a homogenous MSW degradation throughout the simulator. This was confirmed by the minor variation on the leaching test results and elemental analyses, and the high biogas yield. In addition, the residue changed from a solid aggregate to a dark sludge-like material.

4 Discussion

4.1 Reactor behaviour.

Initially, biogas production was relatively low during the first 200 days presumably due a constant dissolution and accumulation of VFA, which kept pH values low (Fig. 1a), suggesting that acidogenic bacteria were governing the system (Veeken et al., 2000; Dinamarca et al., 2003). From day 200 a more acclimatised methanogenic bacteria population started to dominate the reactor converting VFA faster into biogas. This was in agreement with the depletion of VFA and increase of pH of the leachate during the same period. Removal of acidity from the reactor and the concomitant presence of dissolved salts (carbonates and phosphates) as expressed in the conductivity (Fig. 1a) increased the buffering capacity of the reactor. The highly variable period for conductivity values could be attributed to a constant precipitation and dissolution of carbonate minerals (CaCO₃) as pH values remained below 6; additionally conductivity values at the end of the experiment decreased probably due to physical and chemical processes (i.e. degassing and precipitation) occurring within the reactor.
Biogas production decreased considerably towards the end of the experiment, which was in agreement with the VFA depletion and low biodegradability ratio values (Figs 1b and 2a) observed during that period. Nevertheless, the ratio will never reach zero due to some slowly biodegradable organic matter present in the reactor, presumably lignin, humic and fulvic acids as suggested by Kjeldsen et al. (2002). The BOD/COD values found at the beginning and at the end of the experiment corresponded to those found in leachates from unstable landfills (0-5 yr) and mature stable landfills (15-20 yr), respectively (Lo, 1996; Morris et al., 2003). The low VFA concentrations and BOD/COD ratio were an indication that most of the available organic matter has been converted into biogas and that biological stabilisation has been achieved.

The initial ammonia increase could be explained in fact by direct leaching of ammonia from the MSW, and the gradual ammonia increase in a later stage to protein degradation (Berge et al., 2005). Under strict anaerobic conditions no ammonia decrease is expected due to absence of ammonia removal mechanisms. However, after 400 days ammonia started to decrease, which could be explained by conversion of ammonia into nitrite/nitrate and ultimately into N₂ by the nitrification and denitrification processes within the reactor caused by re-aeration of leachate during the recirculation process. These processes could have taken place throughout the entire experimental phase. Nevertheless, during the first 400 days, it was more likely that the supply rate of ammonia was higher than the transformation rate, while in this stage also anaerobic conditions prevailed. Conceivably, harsh environmental conditions (low pH, high VFA and conductivity) in place could have inhibited higher performance rates of the aforementioned processes. However as conditions improved, from day 400 onwards, NH₄⁺ levels dropped and NO₃⁻, and NH₃ were detected, providing support to the assumption of nitrification within the reactor.
### Table 3. Initial and final characteristics of the MSW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Wet weight (kg)</th>
<th>Leachate volume (l)</th>
<th>Waste volume (m³)</th>
<th>Wet density (ton/m³)</th>
<th>Dry weight (kg)</th>
<th>VS weight (kg)</th>
<th>C*</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>H*</th>
<th>S*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial waste</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.040</td>
<td>284.5</td>
<td>108.11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final waste</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>204.5</td>
<td>49.89</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As percentage of the dry weight

### Table 4. Leaching results from residues of the bioreactor landfill simulator

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>This experiment*</th>
<th>Criteria for inert waste*b</th>
<th>Criteria for non-hazardous waste*b</th>
<th>Qualify for inert waste</th>
<th>Qualify for non-hazardous waste</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>3716 ± 986</td>
<td>30,000</td>
<td>5%*</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>908 ± 268</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>15338±2252</td>
<td>4,000</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>830 ± 95</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>15,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate***</td>
<td>3499 ± 1594</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>20,000</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>BDL* *</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2.48 ± 0.13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.67 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>1.50 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>11.78 ± 0.47</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures are given in mg/kg dry substrate, unless stated otherwise.** BD L= below detection limits.*** Waste operator reported presence of demolition debris (gypsum) mixed with the waste used during this experiment.
4.2 Carbon and nitrogen mass balances

The stoichiometric complete conversion of biodegradable solids to CH$_4$, CO$_2$, NH$_3$, H$_2$S was calculated based on the formula proposed by Buswell and Mueller (1952) and the composition of the initial waste (Table 3); however, the oxygen content was derived from the average content found in the literature (Barlaz et al., 1989; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002):

$$C_{1.995}H_{3.58}O_{1.256}N_{0.115}S_{0.047} + 0.581H_2O \Rightarrow 1.08CH_4 + 0.92CO_2 + 0.115NH_3 + 0.047H_2S \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}$$

The measured carbon at the end of the experiment was responsible for 84% recovery of the initial waste (Fig. 3a): 49% residual carbon, 21% CH$_4$, 13% CO$_2$ and 1% in the leachate. However based on Equation 1, the measured amount of CH$_4$ (14.4 kg) should lead to (12.27 kg) of CO$_2$, correcting the CO$_2$ fraction to 16% (Fig. 3a). In addition, the carbon balance was adjusted because of malfunctioning of the gas meter which was replaced by a precision dry gas meter and readings were extrapolated from previous and subsequent readings, these readings were considered as carbon losses and accounted for 1.36 kg of carbon (2%). These corrections increased the carbon recovery up to 89%, similarly to those balances proposed by Barlaz et al. (1989).

The unaccounted carbon fraction (11%) might have deposited as carbonates (calcite, siderite, etc.) in the drainage layer (Rittmann et al., 2003; VanGulck and Rowe, 2004), since carbonate concentrations in solid, liquid or gas phases are dependant on the chemical equilibrium governed by the pH of the system (Garcia-Heras, 2003). Additionally, carbon could be lost as CH$_4$ and CO$_2$ escaping from the liquid phase during sampling and recycling of the leachate manually into the reactor. The carbon balance (Fig. 3a) revealed that most of the biodegradable carbon (±50%) was converted into biogas, whereas residues and leachate still contained some recalcitrant organic matter.

The measured nitrogen at the end of the experiment accounted for 53% recovery: 49% residual nitrogen and 4% in the leachate (Fig. 3b). According to Equation 1, the reactor was initially loaded with 4.58 kg of
nitrogen and 2.23 kg were accounted as residual nitrogen; therefore, 2.35 kg of nitrogen should have been transformed into ammonia gas or molecular nitrogen. Although no nitrogen gases determinations were carried out during this experiment, a corrected balance tried to account for some of these gases produced in the reactor, mainly as N₂ and NH₃. NH₃ in the gas phase (Fig. 3b) was calculated based on NH₃ volatilization rates related to pH values and accounted for 0.18 kg of N, which is 2.2% of the total biogas produced. Similarly the N₂ content (0.87 kg N) in the biogas was corrected using average concentrations of N₂ in landfill gas (2.5%) reported in literature (Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002), accounting for 19% of the total nitrogen budget. Based on these assumptions the nitrogen recovery of the system was increased up to 77%. The N₂ source could be in situ nitrification of NH₄⁺ and successive denitrification of NO₃⁻ to N₂ as reviewed by Berge et al. (2005). An alternative explanation could be the presence of Anammox bacteria in the system suggested by hydrazine, an intermediate product of Anammox metabolism (Van Niftrik et al., 2004), measured (incidentally: n=6) at the end of the experiment. The oxidised nitrogen forms (NO₂⁻, NO₃⁻) could be produced by the introduction of oxygen during manual recirculation of leachate; this is in agreement with the reduction of NH₄⁺ observed at the end of the experiment (Fig. 2b). Microbial uptake of nitrogen could contribute to NH₄⁺ decrease during the exponential growth phase (Fig. 1b), but the nitrogen contained in the biomass was included in total nitrogen determinations from initial and final samples. Additionally, unaccounted nitrogen may be found in the drainage layer, precipitated as struvite (Kabdasli et al., 2000), or could be lost as NOₓ or N₂O gases, due to partial denitrification, as detected on incidental measurements (N₂O<10ppm) made on gas samples. The nitrogen balance (Fig. 3b) showed that approximately 47% of nitrogen can be transferred either into the liquid or gas phase contrary to the nitrogen balance proposed by Huber et al. (2004) for normal sanitary landfills where 4% leaves the system via the leachate and 96% remains in the system as residual nitrogen.
Figure 3. a) Carbon and b) nitrogen measured and corrected mass balances.
4.3 Final Storage Quality (FSQ)

The results of the leaching test were very encouraging due to their proximity to achieve the proposed stringent criterion of FSQ after only two years of operation. Furthermore, residues complied with alternative waste stabilisation parameters established by other researches (Lo, 1996; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998).

The FSQ criterion was not completely achieved because the original salts and heavy metals content of the waste were above the maximum allowed in the WAC of the Landfill Directive (CEC, 2003). Furthermore, that there are no highly efficient removal mechanisms for heavy metals and salts in an anaerobic semi-close system. However, the availability of heavy metals and salts strongly depends on the MSW composition and the environmental conditions of the reactor. Since it is quite difficult to completely select the MSW to treat, the key to achieve FSQ must rely on the way the bioreactor landfill is operated. Nevertheless, operating a bioreactor landfill under strictly anaerobic conditions and without leachate treatment prior recirculation might not be the best approach to achieve chemically stable residues, despite the fact that biological stabilisation was achieved. In order to achieve an inert residual material, alternative *modi operandi* (i.e. aerobic, hybrid or flushing) that might change the form (complexes, precipitated, etc) in which heavy metals and salts are present in the residues and their availability to be leached must be implemented. The achievement of the FSQ status of waste residues meant that short- and long-term emissions will be below the legal permissible threshold (environmental standards). In addition, long lasting and costly monitoring aftercare programmes can be stopped allowing these sites to be both legally and safely abandoned or reclaimed for (residential and industrial) infrastructure development. The results of this experiment were similar to those reported in literature cited here, despite the fact that the MSW had lower organic content and that no amendments (i.e. pH neutralisation) were implemented to the recycled leachate. Additionally, results presented here provide a more complete picture of the biological, physical and chemical processes occurring in the bioreactor landfill simulator. Apparently, this is the first attempt to compare experimental results with the strict numeric criteria of the WAC from the Landfill Directive (CEC, 2003) for inert waste.
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Chapter 3

Alternative treatment for septic tank sludge: Co-disposal with MSW in bioreactor landfill simulators

*You cannot acquire experience by making experiments.*
*You cannot create experience.*
*You must undergo it.*

*Albert Camus (1913-1960)*

**Abstract**

Co-disposal of septic tank sludge had a positive effect on the municipal solid waste (MSW) stabilisation process in bioreactor landfill simulators. Co-disposal experiments were carried out using the bioreactor landfill approach aiming to solve the environmental problems caused by indiscriminate and inadequate disposal of MSW and especially of septic tank sludge. The simulator receiving septic tank sludge exhibited a 200 days shorter lag-phase as compared to the 350 days required by the control simulator to start the exponential biogas production. Additionally, the simulator with septic sludge apparently retained more moisture (>60% w/w), which enhanced the overall conversion of organic matter hence increasing the biogas production (0.60 m$^3$ biogas kg$^{-1}$ VS converted) and removal efficiency of 60% VS from the simulator. Alkaline pH values (pH>8.5) did not inhibit the biogas production; moreover it contributed to reduce partially the negative effects.

of NH$_4^+$ (>2 g L$^{-1}$) due to NH$_3$ volatilisation thus reducing the nitrogen content of the residues. Associated risks and hazards with septage disposal were practically eliminated as total coliform and faecal coliform content were reduced by 99% and 100%, respectively at the end of the experiment. These results indicate that co-disposal has two direct benefits, including the safe and environmentally sound disposal of septic tank sludge and an improvement of the overall performance of the bioreactor landfill by increasing moisture retention and supplying a more acclimatised bacterial population.

1. **Introduction**

Urban and rural areas without sewage coverage rely heavily on on-site sanitation systems such as latrines and septic tanks for temporary treatment and disposal of human excreta (Chaggu et al., 2002). The objective of the septic tank is to provide initial treatment to household wastewater by intercepting and separating solid faecal matter from the liquid part. However, these systems, which require to be ideally emptied every 2-3 years, are not handled properly and usually after 5 to 10 years are overloaded reducing their treatment efficiency (NDUDP, 2001). Available methods of septic tank sludge treatment and disposal like composting, anaerobic digestion, chemical treatment are often not feasible for developing countries due to high handling and operational costs. Therefore, these rich moisture separated solids are often employed in agriculture or aquaculture or discharged indiscriminately into lanes, drainage ditches, sewer networks, onto open urban spaces, and into fresh and marine water bodies, causing serious environmental and health impacts (Bradley, 1981; Chen, 1988; NDUDP, 2001).

On the other hand, MSW stabilisation processes in sanitary landfills are restrained due to the lack of sufficient moisture, keeping the waste intact for long periods of time (Allen, 2001). A more sustainable approach is to operate the landfill as a bioreactor in order to enhance the stabilisation process of waste by addition of liquids (Valencia et al., 2008). However, the produced leachate is often not enough to cover the bioreactor landfill moisture requirements; therefore additional sources of moisture are constantly needed. Several investigations have been done with wastewater
treatment plant (WWTP) sludge, rumen content from slaughterhouses, and dredging material (Reinhart and Townsend, 1988; Chan et al., 1999; Silva et al., 2004; Çinar et al., 2004). Co-digestion of WWTP sludge and the organic fraction of MSW (OFMSW) at mesophilic temperatures in a full-scale digestion plant improved the reactor performance as shown by the increased biogas production (Bolzonella et al., 2006). Studies carried out by Hartmann and Ahring (2005) on co-digestion of WWTP sludge, OFMSW and manure at thermophilic temperatures with liquid recirculation resulted in higher biogas production rates, slightly alkaline pH and gave no signs of inhibition by ammonia as was observed in the batch-type experiments. Neves et al. (2006) found that anaerobic co-digestion of coffee waste and sewage sludge enhanced the anaerobic digestion. However, coffee waste containing high levels of lignocellulose produced hydrolysis intermediates toxic for methane production. Despite legal and environmental constraints with the indiscriminate use of these materials, the result of these investigations revealed that co-disposal of these materials influences positively the waste stabilisation process. However, none of the previous experiments were done using septic tank sludge, which has different characteristics than regular sewage sludge (Harrison and Moffe, 2003). Research done by Leckie et al. (1979) and Leuschner (1989) reported that septic sludge (suggested as a poor inoculum source) influences negatively the process of MSW stabilisation by keeping pH at suboptimal levels and hence inhibiting the development of methanogenic bacteria. However, in the previous co-disposal experiments no recirculation of liquids was implemented and therefore no pH control measures could be applied. Since septic tank sludge is already separated from the liquid part, it should be safely disposed following the solid waste management pathway; co-disposal with MSW could provide a solution to the problem of extra moisture requirement in bioreactor landfills, while it would also provide an efficient and cost-effective disposal of septic sludge (Metcalf and Eddy, 1991). Therefore, the objective of this study is to evaluate the effect of septic tank sludge addition to the MSW stabilisation process in bioreactor landfills simulators.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Bioreactor landfill simulators setup and operation

The bioreactor landfills were simulated by using PVC pipes, 23 cm in diameter and 100 cm in height, with a working volume of approximately 0.031 m$^3$, hermetically sealed with PVC lids and rubber rings attached at both ends. Schematic views and photographs of the simulators can be seen in Annex 1. Reactors were equipped at the top with a unidirectional wet gas meter (Meterfabriek Schlumberger) to record the volume of biogas produced and a water inlet for recirculation purposes. At the bottom, a water outlet was installed to allow the produced leachate flow into a reservoir for further manual recirculation. Two online temperature sensors were placed at 35 and 75 cm from the top of the reactor, respectively. Internal temperatures were maintained around 30±1°C by means of an electrical blanket covered by fibre-wool with aluminium foil and wrapped in plastic. Both reactors were filled with shredded MSW collected and characterised at the transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands, as shown in Table 1. The control reactor (called MSW) was filled with only 30 kg of MSW (wet weight) and the second reactor (MSW+S) was filled with a mechanically homogenised mixture of 25 kg MSW and 8.5 kg (wet weight) of septic sludge, collected from a septic tank receiving only human waste at a farmhouse in the outskirts of Delft, The Netherlands. The waste was placed in layers of approximately 30 cm and compacted using a sledgehammer to an apparent density of 967 and 1080 kg m$^{-3}$ for the control reactor and the homogenised mixture, respectively. Additionally, 7 litres of warm (30°C) tap-water were added to each reactor during the filling procedure in order to stimulate leaching conditions. The leachate produced was recycled manually to the top of the reactor 3 times per week (±1 L week$^{-1}$) aiming to maintain the reactors at field capacity (approximately at 45% moisture content, on wet weight basis).
Table 1. Composition of the municipal solid waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage (wet weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic undefined</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper &amp; cardboard</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous metals / non-ferrous metals</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather/rubber</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&gt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&lt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ESSENT Milieu 2005 at transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands (Provided by H. Woelders)

2.2 Analytical procedures

Moisture and volatile solids content of the MSW were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). The elemental composition (C, H, N, S) of the MSW and mixture of MSW+S were determined by flash combustion in a partial oxygen atmosphere using helium as carrier, at 1020°C with a Thermo Quest EA 1110 Interscience elemental analyser. Leachate samples were analysed for pH, electrical conductivity (EC) and oxygen with portable meters WTW pH340, LF340 and Oxi345, respectively. Chemical oxygen demand (COD), biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and NH₄⁺ were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). Total organic carbon (TOC) was determined using an OI Corporation TOC Analyser M-700. All liquid samples were filtered with glass fibre filters GF 52, Schleicher & Schuell. Extraction and preparation techniques for microbial analyses of MSW and mixtures of MSW and septic tank sludge (STS) were performed following the procedures described by Vanderzant and Splittstoesser (1992). Microbial determinations were done using the Chromocult® coliform agar technique (MERCK) as previously reported by Finney et al. (2003). Gas production was measured using unidirectional precision wet gas meters (Meterfabriek Schlumberger). All samples taken from the simulators were analysed in duplicate (BOD, NH₄⁺) or triplicate (COD, TOC), results reported in the graphs are the average of these samples. No error bars were added to the graphs because the standard deviation calculated was minimal to be noticeable as error bars.
3. **Results**

3.1 *Behaviour of the reactors*

3.1.1 Physical-chemical parameters

In both reactors the pH of the leachate (Fig. 1a) started at values around 5.2, and increased in the first week of operation to values around 7 and 6.5 for reactor with MSW and MSW+S, respectively. pH values of both reactors decreased slightly below 6 after 3 weeks of operation. Contrary to the pH values of the reactor with MSW, which remained constant at values slightly below 6 throughout the entire experiment, the pH of the reactor with MSW+S increased gradually from day 100 reaching values close to 7 at day 300. An even sharper increase was observed from day 300 up to day 400, reaching values around 9.5, which decreased to 8.6 towards the end of the experiment at day 450. Electrical conductivity (EC) of the leachate (Fig. 1a) of the reactor with MSW increased from 25 mS cm$^{-1}$ up to 37 mS cm$^{-1}$ in the first 50 days, then sharply decreased to values close to 30 mS cm$^{-1}$ and remained constant throughout the experiment. In contrast, EC of the reactor with MSW+S increased gradually from 20 mS cm$^{-1}$ to 40 mS cm$^{-1}$ during 350 days, but EC deceased sharply (25 mS cm$^{-1}$) towards the end of the experiment at day 450. Dissolved oxygen was depleted in both reactors from 4 mg O$_2$ L$^{-1}$ within 3 weeks of operation and afterwards the oxygen concentrations fluctuated between 0 and 0.2 mg O$_2$ L$^{-1}$ (Data not shown).
3.1.2 Biological parameters

Biogas production (Fig. 1b) in both reactors increased in a similar way during the first 100 days (±0.25 m³). However, the reactor with MSW+S started to produce considerably more biogas after 100 days reaching approximately 2.2 m³ after 450 days of operation. In contrast, biogas
production at reactor with MSW started to increase after day 350 producing 1.25 m$^3$ towards the end of the experiment. Biogas yields observed were 0.32 and 0.60 m$^3$ biogas kg$^{-1}$ VS$_{\text{converted}}$ for reactor MSW and MSW+S, respectively. These yields were within the higher ranges reported in literature (Bolzonella et al., 2006; Chan et al., 1999; Hartmann and Ahring, 2005; Neves et al., 2006)

The biodegradability of the leachate was followed by means of the BOD/COD ratio (Fig. 2a), which started at 0.6 and 0.4, followed by an increase up to 0.8 and 0.65 during the first 50 days of operation for reactor MSW and MSW+S, respectively. The values of the BOD/COD ratio of reactor with only MSW remained relatively constant in the range between 0.6 and 0.65 throughout the entire experiment (values between days 50 and 250 not available). In contrast, BOD/COD values in the reactor with MSW+S decreased progressively from 0.6 at day 300 to around 0.2 at day 450.

The TOC of both reactors (Fig. 2b) increased from 20 and 15 g TOC L$^{-1}$ to 30 g TOC L$^{-1}$ during the first 50 days of operation. TOC values of the reactor with MSW decreased to values around 20 g TOC L$^{-1}$ after 100 days and remained constant until day 300, decreasing further reaching values around 10 g TOC L$^{-1}$ at the end of the experiment. The reactor with MSW+S followed a similar decreasing trend; however, the final decrease was steeper occurring after day 250 and reached values close to 2 g TOC L$^{-1}$ at day 450.
NH$_4^+$ in the leachate (Fig. 3) at both reactors increased gradually from 0.5 up to 1.5 g L$^{-1}$ during the first 50 days of operation. After 150 days there was a second increase up to 2-2.5 g NH$_4^+$ L$^{-1}$, respectively for the MSW and MSW+S. Contrary to the NH$_4^+$ concentration of the MSW reactor that remained constant until the end of the experiment, a sharp decrease of the NH$_4^+$ concentration on MSW+S reactor was observed after day 350.
However, the NH$_4^+$ concentration remained around 0.5 g L$^{-1}$ towards the end of the experiment.

![Ammonium in the leachate of the bioreactor landfill simulator](image)

**Figure 3.** Ammonium in the leachate of the bioreactor landfill simulator

### 3.2 Degree of waste stabilisation

The physical characteristics and elemental (CNHS) content of the initial MSW, MSW+S mixture and residues are shown in table 2.

The stoichiometric complete conversion of biodegradable solids to CH$_4$, CO$_2$, NH$_3$, and H$_2$S was calculated based on the formula (1) proposed by Buswell and Mueller (1952) and the results of Table 2; however, the oxygen content was derived from the average content found in literature (Barlaz et al., 1989; Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002).

$$
\begin{align*}
C_vH_wO_xN_yS_z + & \left[ \frac{v}{4} - \frac{w}{2} + \frac{3y}{8} + \frac{z}{2} \right] H_2O \Rightarrow \left[ \frac{v}{2} + \frac{w}{8} - \frac{x}{4} - \frac{3y}{8} - \frac{z}{2} \right] CH_4 \\
+ & \left[ \frac{v}{2} - \frac{w}{8} + \frac{x}{4} + \frac{3y}{8} + \frac{z}{2} \right] CO_2 + y NH_3 + z H_2S
\end{align*}
$$

(Eq. 1)

The mass balances revealed, based on Equation 1 and the amount of dry matter converted (MSW=1.65 kg and MSW+S=2.63 kg), that the
reactors must have produced around 1.45 and 2.38 m$^3$ of biogas, whereas only 1.12 and 2.15 m$^3$ of biogas were measured for reactors with MSW and MSW+S respectively, during the entire length of the experiment.

**Table 2.** Physical properties and elemental analyses of initial and final content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Dry weight (kg)</th>
<th>Moisture content (%)</th>
<th>VS content (%)</th>
<th>C*</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>H*</th>
<th>S*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial MSW</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>43.5</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>23.96</td>
<td>1.63</td>
<td>3.21</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final MSW</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>21.0</td>
<td>17.78</td>
<td>1.31</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial MSW+S**</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>61.9</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>25.73</td>
<td>1.42</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>1.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final MSW+S**</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.00</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Elemental analyses figures reported as percentage of dry weight
** Mixtures based on organic matter content

### 3.3 Pathogen reduction from the residues of the simulators

The total initial content of total coliform content (Fig. 4a) of both reactors started at $3 \cdot 10^{11}$ CFU reactor$^{-1}$ and decreased to levels around $3 \cdot 10^9$ CFU reactor$^{-1}$ at day 450. Faecal coliforms (Fig. 4a) of both reactors started at $3 \cdot 10^{10}$ CFU reactor$^{-1}$ and were not detected at the end of the experiment at day 450.
Despite the fact that faecal coliform content in the leachate of both reactors differed considerably at the start, after 5 days no faecal coliforms could be detected anymore (Fig. 4b).

4. Discussion

4.1 Reactor performance

The initial increase of pH could be attributed to an increase of the buffer capacity of the leachate caused by the dissolution of salts, such as NH$_4^+$, contained in the waste into the leachate as observed by the increase of EC of the leachate (Fig. 1a). However, due to enhanced organic matter hydrolysis, accumulation of hydrolytic products (VFA) subsequently increased the acidity within both reactors hence reducing pH. The pH of the reactor treating only MSW was similar as in previous experiments (Valencia et al., 2005), suggesting that without control measures such as leachate buffering (Valencia et al., 2008) or seeding as reported here, bacterial populations will experience long lag-phases before adapting to the surrounding environment.
Apparently, the more acclimatised hydrolytic/methanogenic bacteria coming from the septic sludge adapted in a faster way to the environmental conditions of the reactor with MSW+S. This in turn help to transform the available hydrolytic products faster into biogas (Fig. 1b), which led to an increase of pH values up to neutral ranges and even to alkaline ranges. These alkaline pH levels have been reported before for reactors treating wastes and sludge (Chan et al., 1999). The alkaline pH values of the reactor with MSW+S could be attributed to an excessive production $\text{NH}_4^+$ and dissolution of ammonia salts into the leachate and the concomitant shift of the carbonate equilibrium due to the high pH values as observed by the increase of leachate EC from day 250 until day 380. Calculations revealed that the absolute difference in $\text{NH}_4^+$ concentration between both reactors corresponded to 6.75 mS cm$^{-1}$, which was 95% of the EC difference between day 250 and day 450. However, $\text{NH}_4^+$ concentration in the leachate decreased significantly towards the end of the experiment since at those high pH levels $\text{NH}_4^+$ is lost due to volatilisation of $\text{NH}_3$ (Cheung et al., 1997); this was inline with the observed decrease of leachate EC during the same period.

According to biogas production rates, the high pH did not have a negative impact on the overall performance of the reactor. The high alkalinity of the reactor contributed to neutralise the reactor pH thus reducing the negative effect of excessive VFA production (He et al., 2005). Correspondingly, Kadam and Boone (1996) reported that specific species of methanogens are able to adjust their internal pH as external pH levels are increased (i.e. by dissolution of $\text{NH}_4^+$). This in turn suggests that higher the pH less the inhibitory $\text{NH}_4^+$ effect for methanogens is. Based on the results from Table 2 and Equation 1, the biogas recovery was approximately 77% and 90% for reactors MSW and MSW+S, respectively, similar to those recovery rates reported by Barlaz et al., 1989. The difference in biogas production between both reactors could be explained by the rapid consumption and transformation of biodegradable compounds and organic carbon which were visible by the decrease of the BOD/COD ratio and TOC, Figs. 2a and 2b, respectively. Presumably the reactor with only MSW will achieve the same amount of biogas produced by the reactor with MSW+S, however the inherent bacterial population has to adapt to the reactor’s environment. Despite the sub-optimal pH values measured at the bottom of
the reactor, the methanogenic bacterial population at the top and middle of the reactor may have started to adapt from day 350 onwards as seen by the late but steady biogas production increase (Fig. 1b) and reduction of TOC (Fig. 2b) in the leachate during the same period.

Septic tank sludge contributed to 3.34% of the total nitrogen budget of the MSW+S reactor, which is inline with low nitrogen content in septage reported by Metcalf and Eddy (1991). In addition, Montangero and Belevi (2007) reported that 85% of N is removed via the liquid effluent while 15% of N remained in the sludge.

The NH$_4^+$ (Fig. 3) produced during organic matter conversion (Berge et al., 2005) increased in both reactors to comparable levels, but became relatively higher in the reactor with MSW+S due to the fact that more organic matter was converted as conditions were more favourable for the bacterial population as shown by the pH (Fig. 1a) and biogas production (Fig. 1b) from day 150 onwards. The sharp decrease of NH$_4^+$ concentration after day 350 in the reactor might be explained by volatilization of NH$_3$ due to high pH levels (Fig. 1a) (Cheung et al., 1997; Kabdasli et al., 2000; Fricke et al., 2007); calculations based on dissolution of NH$_4^+$ and NH$_3$ depending on pH values and temperature indicated that approximately 0.068 m$^3$ of NH$_3$ was produced. This volume of NH$_3$ represented 16% of the total theoretical amount of NH$_3$, which was 0.13 m$^3$ of NH$_3$ produced according to Equation 1 and data from Table 2. NH$_4^+$ removal via anammox bacteria was quite unlikely, since their growth rate is extremely low and they required NO$_2^-$ as electron acceptor (Jetten et al., 1998). NO$_2^-$ could not be sufficiently produced via nitrification of NH$_4^+$, due to lack of oxygen intrusion into the reactor as suggested before (Valencia et al., 2008). Maximally 300 mg of NH$_4^+$ could be converted into NO$_2^-$ assuming that 1 L week$^{-1}$ of recirculated leachate was saturated with oxygen during the entire experiment.

4.2 Pathogen removal from residues

The results of the microbiological examinations revealed that approximately 95% of total and faecal coliforms were supplied by the municipal solid waste. The reduction of total and faecal coliforms (Fig. 4a), 99% and 100% respectively, was inline with the results of reported by
Déportes et al. (1998) and Vanotti et al. (2005) who used disinfection techniques for liquid swine manure. The normal factors for die-off of coliforms in solid waste (high temperature, extreme alkaline pH (pH>11) and high EC) as described by Watson-Craik et al. (1992) and Boost & Poon (1998) were not significantly influential in this experiment. Competition and predation as suggested by Chabaud et al. (2006) were relatively less significant since there was enough initial substrate to prevent competition and predator’s activity decreases as salinity in the environment increases as reported by Moussa et al. (2005). Dispersion due to constant recirculation as suggested by Smith et al. (2005) could be considered as an environmental condition to increase the die-off process of pathogens. Factors affecting the die-off of pathogens during this experiment could be initial inactivation caused by low pH and high VFA as suggested by Salsali et al. (2006), followed by the detrimental effect of high carbonate and ammonia concentrations similar as those reported by Park and Diez-Gonzalez (2003). In addition, the retention time was considerably longer than those reported by Jepsen et al. (1997). However, it was not clear which of these harsh conditions (high salinity, high H₂S and/or NH₄⁺ concentrations) were the most influential on the die-off process of coliforms during this experiment.
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The effect of hydraulic conditions on waste stabilisation in bioreactor landfill simulators

It is common sense to take a method and try it. If it fails, admit it frankly and try another. But above all, try something.

Franklin D. Roosevelt (1882 - 1945)

Abstract

Seven Bioreactor Landfill simulators (mixed gravel, gravel in layers, and controls without gravel with two levels of compaction, i.e. normal and lower density) were used to investigate the effect of different hydraulic conditions on the waste stabilisation process. The simulators with mixed gravel performed better by absorbing the temporal and spatial changes of the MSW particles, which allowed better contact of liquids and solids thus providing favourable conditions for micro-organisms to enhance the waste stabilisation process. Moreover, neutral pH levels seemed to be the “driving force” that enhanced physical, chemical and biological processes contributing to waste stabilisation in the simulators. After one year of operation, the residues of the different simulators were very close to achieving a Final Storage Quality status comparable to the Waste Acceptance Criteria for inert waste of the European Landfill Directive.

Accepted for publication in Bioresource Technology as:
1. Introduction

Municipal solid waste (MSW) placed in sanitary landfills undergoes a sequential decomposition process, which may last from few weeks (i.e. aerobic phase) up to several decades or even centuries (i.e. methanogenesis phase) (Allen, 2001; Evans 2001; Pohland, 1996). Many researchers have investigated the controlling factors of the sequential phases of MSW stabilisation (Gurljala and Suflita, 1993; Hartz et al., 1982; Klink and Ham, 1982; Leckie et al., 1979), and have suggested that moisture content is the most critical one. The efforts (i.e. enhancement techniques) to influence these critical factors resulted in the concept of operating a landfill as a bioreactor, the so-called Bioreactor Landfill approach. Most common enhancement techniques used in laboratory, pilot and field-scale are: leachate recirculation, addition of nutrients and buffers, seeding with alternative waste materials, leachate pre-treatment, or combinations of these (Kinman et al., 1987; Reinhart et al., 2002; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998; Warith, 2002; Yuen et al., 2001). Leachate recirculation has been the most widely used technique (i.e. vertical and horizontal injection, surface ponds, leachate spraying and hydraulic blankets) with relatively good results, especially a combination of horizontal and vertical injection (Benson et al., 2007; Haydar and Khire, 2005; Khire and Mukherjee, 2007; Miller and Emge, 1997; Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996; Townsend et al., 1995; Warith et al., 2001). However, the effective influence of these methods is limited to the central part of the landfill. The effectiveness of the chosen recirculation method is governed by the hydraulic properties of the MSW and the occurrence of preferential pathways (channelling), which leaves dry-spots within the waste matrix (McCreanor and Reinhart, 1999; Rosqvist et al., 2005; Rosqvist and Destouni, 2000).

Since MSW is highly heterogeneous and the physical properties change, not only spatially, but also temporally, the preferred recirculation method should be able to absorb these changes in order to maintain a constant leachate flow and homogenous distribution within the changing waste matrix. Addition of materials (i.e. in layers or mixtures) has not been tried before and could contribute to the effectiveness of the recirculation methods by “breaking” the channelling effect and redistributing the recirculated leachate homogenously. The objective of this study is to
investigate the impact of different hydraulic regimes on the MSW stabilisation process by adding inert materials in Bioreactor Landfills.

2 Material and methods

2.1 Bioreactor Landfill simulators setup and operation

Seven bioreactor landfills were simulated using high density polyethylene (HDPE) sewage pipes (3 cm wall thickness) of 70cm diameter and 200cm high, hermetically sealed with attached and welded HDPE lids at the top and bottom ends of the simulators, respectively. Schematic views and photographs of the simulators can be seen at Annex 1. At the top, unidirectional gas meters (Meterfabriek Schlumberger) were installed to measure the biogas production and inlets for leachate recirculation. The produced leachate was collected in a reservoir at the bottom of the reactor and was recirculated into the simulator using a high-speed pump. The internal temperature of the simulators was measured by means of two online temperature sensors placed at 50 and 150cm from the bottom. Internal temperature was maintained at 30±4°C as explained in Valencia et al (2008). The simulators were filled with shredded (particle size max. 4cm) MSW, collected and characterized at the transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands as shown in Table 1.

Table 1. Composition of the municipal solid waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage (wet weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic undefined</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper &amp; cardboard</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous metals / non-ferrous metals</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather/rubber</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&gt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&lt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source ESSENT Milieu 2006 at transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands (Provided by H. Woelders).

The different configuration features of the bioreactor landfill simulators are shown in Table 2 and schematic views can be seen at Annex 1. Two gravel layers (5cm) were placed in simulators 1 and 2, whereas the
same amount of MSW and gravel was homogenously mixed and placed in simulators 3 and 4. The control simulators (5, 6 and 7) had no gravel and were filled with the same total weight of MSW and gravel (5 and 6) or filled with only the weight of MSW contained in simulators 1-4, but compacted with less density (7).

Since operational conditions changed after day 250, simulators 5 and 6 served as control until that day. 124L tap water with a 0.1M NaHCO$_3$ was added to all simulators at the start of the experiment in order to reach field capacity and to stimulate leaching conditions as suggested by Vroon et al (1999) and to reduce the impact of the initial acidification caused by the excessive production of intermediate hydrolytic products (Valencia et al, 2008). The produced leachate was recycled without any pre-treatment at least 3 times per week (±30L week$^{-1}$) in order to maintain a dynamic leachate flow and at least 45% moisture content (field capacity) on a wet weight basis. Buffer (0.3M NaHCO$_3$) was added to the leachate prior to recycling during a period of 6 weeks (day 50-100) to reduce the negative impact of the VFA on the pH.

**Table 2. Configuration features of the Bioreactor Landfill simulators.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulator</th>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Quantity MSW*</th>
<th>Quantity gravel</th>
<th>Quantity liquid added</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Simulator 1-2</td>
<td>2 layers of gravel</td>
<td>330 kg</td>
<td>100 kg</td>
<td>124 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator 3-4</td>
<td>Gravel &amp; MSW mixed</td>
<td>331 kg</td>
<td>100 kg</td>
<td>124 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator 5-6</td>
<td>Control</td>
<td>428 kg</td>
<td>0 kg</td>
<td>124 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Simulator 7</td>
<td>Control less density</td>
<td>350 kg</td>
<td>0 kg</td>
<td>124 L</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* On a wet weight basis.

### 2.2 Analytical procedures

Moisture and volatile solids content of the MSW were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). Additionally, a leaching test (NEN-EN 12457-4) was conducted in triplicate to determine the leaching potential of COD, BOD, TOC, NH$_4^+$, selected ions (Cl$^-$, NO$_3^-$, PO$_4^{3-}$, SO$_4^{2-}$) and heavy metals (Pb, Ni, Zn, Cu, Cd) from the solid waste according to European Standards (CEN, 2002). The elemental composition (CHNS) of the MSW was determined by flash combustion in a partial oxygen atmosphere using helium as carrier, at 1020°C with a Thermo Quest EA
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1110 Interscience elemental analyser. Leachate samples were analysed for pH, temperature, conductivity and oxygen with portable meters WTW pH 340, LF 340 and Oxi 345, respectively. COD, BOD, $\text{NH}_4^+$, VFA were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). TOC was determined using an OI Corporation TOC Analyser M-700. Volatile fatty acids (VFA) were measured with a Chrompack CP9001 gas chromatograph, equipped with a FID and fitted with a Chrompack column (CP-FFAP-CB 25 m x 0.53 mm, 1 μm) using helium as carrier gas, coupled with a Shimadzu C-R5A Chromatopac integrator. Heavy metals were analysed with a Perkin Elmer Atomic Absorption Spectrometer 3110 flame detector. Ions were analysed using an Ion Chromatography system DIONEX ICS-1000 attached with an automated sample injector DIONEX ASI-100. All liquid samples were filtered with glass fibre filters GF 52, Schleicher & Schuell. Biogas composition ($\text{CH}_4$, $\text{CO}_2$ and $\text{O}_2$) was monitored using a Geotechnical Instruments GA25 portable gas extraction analyser.

2.3 Statistical analyses

Since the variation of the results of all parameters among simulators was minimal, the graphs were elaborated using the average results of the two replicates (simulators). For the biological parameters (BOD, COD and TOC) eight samples were used to calculate each simulator average, whereas for $\text{NH}_4^+$, $\text{Cl}^-$, $\text{SO}_4^{2-}$ and $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$, four samples were used to calculate the average. However, due to larger differences in the results of the elemental mass balances and leaching tests, the results are reported by simulator in order to avoid a biased conclusion.

3 Results

3.1 Process parameters

Initially, pH values (Fig 1a, 1c) in all simulators were slightly below 6 and increased up to 6.5 in the first 2 weeks of operation. After decreasing sharply to values around 5.5, pH remained constant for about 100 days, while afterwards pH values increased steadily up to the range between 7 and 8. The simulators with gravel responded faster to the addition of buffer (between day 50 and 100) than the control simulators. Nevertheless, the simulators with mixed gravel and less density control achieved higher
overall pH values (above 7.5) than the ones with gravel in layers and the
controls, suggesting a better leachate mixing within the simulators.

Figure 1. pH and conductivity of the leachate in the simulators with gravel
(a, b) and controls (c, d).

Electrical conductivity (EC) of the leachate (Fig 1b, 1d) increased
gradually from 20 mS cm\(^{-1}\) up to maximum values between 35 and 40 mS
\(\text{cm}^{-1}\) at day 200. The EC of all simulators remained constant, except the less
density simulator in which the EC decreased slightly after day 300.

3.2 Biological parameters

During the first 100 days all simulators produced about 5 m\(^3\) of biogas
(Fig. 2a, 2c), with an average biogas composition of 15%CH\(_4\)-75%CO\(_2\)-
10%O\(_2\). From day 150 the exponential biogas production started, which
 corresponded with a rapid decrease of VFA in the leachate (Fig 2b, 2d). Also
the biogas composition changed to 64%CH\(_4\)-34%CO\(_2\)-0.5%O\(_2\) in the
simulators with mixed gravel and the less density control and 56%CH\(_4\)-
41%CO\(_2\)-1.5%O\(_2\) for the simulator with gravel in layers. At day 250, the
control simulators had produced 8 m$^3$ of biogas with a composition of 25%CH$_4$-55%CO$_2$-2.5%O$_2$, which was inline with the amount of VFA still present in the simulators.

BOD/COD ratio in the leachate was used to follow the biodegradability of the waste (Fig. 3a, 3b). BOD/COD in all simulators decreased faster (i.e. from day 200 onwards) as more organic matter was converted into biogas, reaching values around 0.3 or lower towards the end of the experiment. BOD/COD of the control did not decrease yet and was 0.7 at day 250.

Despite the low initial values (10 g TOC L$^{-1}$) in all simulators the TOC (Fig.3 b-d) increased immediately to values around 25 g TOC L$^{-1}$. Similar to the BOD/COD ratio, the TOC of all simulators decreased after day 150 which corresponded with the start of the exponential biogas production and VFA reduction from the leachate. After day 150, the TOC of the gravel in layers simulators did not decrease further and remained relatively constant up to the end of the experiment at 15 g TOC L$^{-1}$.
Figure 3. BOD/COD ratio and TOC in the leachate from the simulators with gravel (a, b) and controls (c, d).

The ammonium concentration in the leachate (Fig. 4a, 4b) of all simulators increased from 1 g L⁻¹ up to maximally 3 g L⁻¹ in the first 200 days of operation. After day 200 the concentration in all simulators decreased to about 1.5 g L⁻¹. However, no further decrease was observed towards the end of the experiment. The decrease in ammonium coincided not only with the start of the exponential biogas production, but also with the VFA and BOD/COD decrease.

The chloride concentration in the leachate (Fig. 4c, 4d), first measured after 50 days, was in all simulators between 2.5 and 3 g L⁻¹, but decreased slightly towards the end of the experiment to values around 2 g L⁻¹.

The phosphate (Fig. 4e, 4f) concentration in the leachate of all simulators was relatively constant around 0.05 g L⁻¹ during the course of the experiment.
Figure 4. Ammonium, chloride, phosphate and sulphate in the leachate of the simulators with gravel (a, c, e, g) and the controls (b, d, f, h).
The sulphate concentration in the leachate (Fig 4g, 4h), first measured at day 50, decreased from 4 to 6 g L\(^{-1}\) to values close to zero towards the end of the experiment. The simulators with gravel showed earlier decreasing trends compared to the less density simulators that decreased sharply after day 250. The sulphate concentration decrease corresponded with the reaching of neutral pH values (pH≥7). The sulphate in the control simulator did not change within 250 days of operation.

3.3 **Degree of waste stabilization**

The initial and final characteristics of the waste and residues from the bioreactor landfill simulators are shown in Table 3. Volatile solids (VS), on a dry weight basis, were reduced by 18%, 26%, 35%, and 27% from their original contents for the simulators with gravel in layers, mixed with gravel, control and control with less density, respectively. Accordingly, an average reduction of the basic elements (C, N, H, S) content of the residues, 14%, 11%, 24% and 40%, respectively was observed.

4 **Discussion**

4.1 **Process parameters**

All simulators showed a similar decreasing trend in pH values, commonly caused due to the accumulation of hydrolytic products during the first weeks of operation (Ağdağ and Sponza, 2005; Veeken et al., 2000; Warith, 2002). Such sudden pH drop can be reduced to one week in systems with leachate recirculation (Pohland and Kim, 1999). In our experiment, however, the initial pH increase (Fig. 1a, 1c) observed in all simulators was most likely due to the addition of buffer. The supplement of NaHCO\(_3\) to the recycled leachate helped to increase the buffering capacity of the leachate from day 100 onwards, raising the pH values near the neutral range, which is optimal for methanogens (Ağdağ and Sponza, 2005). After day 200, more acclimatised and predominant methanogenic bacteria in the simulators converted the accumulated VFA faster into biogas (Fig. 2a-d), which together with the ammonium release during hydrolysis of proteins (Berge et al., 2005) helped to increase the pH (Ağdağ and Sponza, 2005; Chen, 1996; Dinamarca et al., 2003). The simulators with mixed gravel and less density
exhibited a faster overall response, by reaching neutral pH ranges in a shorter period of time, suggesting a better liquid/solid contact within the simulators.

4.2 Biological parameters

Biogas production showed usual lag-phases as reported in similar bioreactor landfill experiments (Al-Yousfi and Pohland, 1998; Ledakowicz and Kaczorek, 2004; Mehta et al., 2002; Morris et al., 2003). The biogas (Fig. 2a, 2c) was initially mainly composed of CO$_2$ ($\pm$ 80%), which is expected during the transition and acid formation phases of anaerobic digestion of organic matter (Evans, 2001; Pohland and Kim, 1999; Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996) and the constant degassing phenomenon from the liquid phase into the gas phase. However from day 150, as environmental conditions were more favourable (i.e. higher pH), methanogens started to dominate the systems, clearly seen by the exponential increase of the biogas, the higher CH$_4$ content (up to 70%) and the concomitant depletion of VFA (Fig. 2b, 2d). The average biogas yields observed during these experiments 0.26, 0.13 and 0.30 m$^3$ of CH$_4$ kg$^{-1}$ VS converted for mixed gravel, gravel in layers and the less density simulators, respectively, were comparable with literature (Barlaz et al., 1989; Davission et al., 2007; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998). The lower biogas yields of the intermediate layers simulators could be attributed to a suboptimal contact between liquids and solids within the simulators as also shown by the late response of the pH and the more gradual EC increase of the leachate (Fig. 1a, 1d). All simulators were sampled at day 350 and it was observed that in the simulators with gravel in layers a “semi-impermeable” muddy layer was formed under the bottom layer of gravel, leaving the bottom layer of waste almost in its original state. This amount of intact waste has caused the lower biogas yields and higher TOC and BOD/COD values towards the end of the experiment. More detailed determinations revealed that these layers of waste also had higher VS content; after correction biogas yield for theses simulators was 0.23 m$^3$ of CH$_4$ kg$^{-1}$ VS converted.
Table 3. Initial and final characteristics of the MSW and residues from the Bioreactor Landfill simulators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simulators</th>
<th>Wet weight (kg)</th>
<th>Leachate volume (L)***</th>
<th>Waste volume (m³)</th>
<th>Wet density (ton m⁻³)</th>
<th>Dry weight (kg)</th>
<th>VS weight (kg)</th>
<th>C*</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>H*</th>
<th>S*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial waste</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>225</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel in layers 1</td>
<td>331</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>15.5****</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gravel in layers 2</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>20.0****</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed gravel 1</td>
<td>283</td>
<td>183</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>101</td>
<td>20.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed gravel 2</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>186</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>426</td>
<td>253</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>29.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>369</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>20.6</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control less density</td>
<td>344</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>27.4</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* As percentage of the dry weight (n=6).
** See Table 2.
*** The leachate volume includes the initial 124 L added, the moisture contained in the MSW and the water produced/lost during the stabilisation process of the MSW.
**** This VS content was calculated based on 2/3 of the reactor volume of very well decomposed material, the remaining 1/3 was isolated by a semi-impermeable layer of muddy-like material leaving the material almost in its original condition.
The BOD/COD ratio is commonly used to depict the degree of biodegradable organic carbon of the system (Ağdağ and Sponza, 2005; Ledakowicz and Kaczorek, 2004) According to the values reached at the end of the experiment (BOD/COD=0.2) the simulators were comparable to stable methanogenic landfills older than 20 years (Benson et al., 2007; Kjeldsen et al., 2002). The decrease of the BOD/COD was inline with the decrease of VFA which were converted into biogas (Fig. 2a, 2d). The less density simulator reached BOD/COD values similar to the mixed gravel simulator; however, the ratio decreased sharply only after day 250, which corresponded with the achievement of neutral pH levels (Fig. 1c). Accordingly, TOC values of all simulators also decreased as more VFA were converted into biogas. Higher BOD/COD and TOC values of the gravel in layers simulator were an indication that organic matter was still not hydrolysed and converted into biogas. The fact that BOD/COD and TOC values decreased faster in the mixed gravel and less density simulators may be an indication that the recirculated leachate was better distributed, thus providing better conditions for micro-organisms. The time needed to reach neutral pH and biological values described before, was half of the time previously reported by Valencia et al. (2008). This may be explained by the improved hydraulic conditions and buffer addition in the current simulators which helped to provide better contact between liquids and solids and to reach the neutral pH level faster. Moreover, it is tempting to conclude that pH is the possible “driving force” to trigger all processes: as soon as it becomes neutral all processes start.

There was sufficient BOD and COD to theoretically reduce all the sulphate into sulphides (Lens et al., 1998). Since pH levels were never higher than 8, most of the sulphides were present as H₂S (Jang and Townsend, 2001), which could be removed from the reactors through the gas phase or by precipitation with heavy metals. The sulphur mass balances indicated that all reactors received 3 kg of S of which only about 0.7 kg was recovered as residual S. If theoretically all SO₄²⁻ was converted into H₂S and escaped via the gas phase, it could account for about 1 kg of S. The SO₄²⁻ was measured at the bottom of the simulators where conditions were not optimal; supposedly better conditions in the upper layers could lead to higher conversion rates of SO₄²⁻ into H₂S that may cover the unaccounted fraction of 1.4 kg of S. If that was the case, H₂S content in the biogas could be as
much as 2.5% of the total biogas volume produced, which exceeds the typical H₂S concentration in landfill gas (Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). However, higher H₂S concentrations have been reported before in literature (Kim et al., 2005; Schieder et al., 2003). During the experiments, 12 incidental H₂S measurements were carried out with sampling tubes showing concentrations higher than 4000 mg · L⁻¹, exceeding the capacity of the sampling tubes. SO₄²⁻ reduction was inline with the reduction of BOD and COD in the simulators. The SO₄²⁻ drop corresponded, in all cases, with the moment at which pH values were within the neutral range, which is the optimal pH range for sulphate-reducing bacteria (Lens et al., 1998; Wu and Lee, 2004). The fact that the control simulators never reached neutral pH values and hence no SO₄²⁻ reduction was observed supports the hypothesis of neutral pH levels as the “driving force” to trigger all biological and chemical processes in systems operating under the Bioreactor Landfill approach.

Ammonium (NH₄⁺), the end product of protein degradation (Berge et al., 2005), in all simulators followed similar trends, starting at 1 g L⁻¹ and ending at 2 g L⁻¹; however, they reached different maximum levels. The difference between gravel simulators could be attributed to more waste being converted in the simulator with mixed gravel since a fraction of waste was still not degraded in the simulators with layers of gravel. The control simulators produced more ammonium (max 3 g L⁻¹) due to the higher amounts of organic matter placed in the simulators (Table 1). However, the less density simulators, which had approximately the same amount of waste as the simulators with gravel, produced more ammonium. This difference could be attributed to the hydraulic conditions that allowed a much better contact between liquids and solids enhancing the degradation of proteineous material. The decrease of ammonium in all simulators could be attributed to nitrification of the leachate after recirculation and/or removal via anammox. It was observed that minimal quantities of oxygen were introduced into the simulators during the recirculation processes of leachate. These minimal amounts of oxygen could lead to full nitrification to NO₃⁻, but also to partial nitrification to NO₂⁻, similar as in the Canon process (Strous et al., 1997). This nitrite could then be converted together with ammonium (1:1 ratio) to N₂ by anammox bacteria (Jetten et al., 1998). Due to the presence of hydrazine (>6 mg L⁻¹), an intermediate of anammox metabolism (Van Niftrik et al., 2004), it is tempting to assume the presence of anammox.
bacteria in the simulators. The fact that ammonium levels remained stable from day 200 onwards could suggest that a dynamic equilibrium was established within the simulators, where the supply of ammonium was equal to the conversion rates by the aforementioned processes. In addition, from day 200 onwards the pH values (Fig. 1a, 1c) were neutral, which are optimal for nitrifying and anammox bacteria (Jetten et al., 1998; Strous et al., 1997). Alternatively, ammonium levels may have decreased due to struvite precipitation. Simulations using PHREEQC program (version 2.10.0.0, Nov 2004; Parkhurst and Appelo, 1999) revealed that according to the ammonium, phosphate and magnesium concentrations and neutral pH levels of the leachate this could be theoretically possible (data not shown), although struvite concentrations were never measured. This theoretical struvite production could explain the constantly low levels of phosphate in the leachate.

Chloride in all reactors was constant, with a slight decrease towards the end of the experiment. The decrease was not significant to argue wash-out effect, but it seemed a dilution effect caused by constant recirculation and more homogeneous liquid distribution within the simulators. This means that final concentrations were in fact the actual chloride concentrations of the simulators, since chloride is a very conservative parameter for which no removal mechanisms under anaerobic conditions exist (Bilgili et al., 2007).

4.3 Carbon and nitrogen mass balance

The mass balances revealed that approximately 30% and 10% of carbon and nitrogen, respectively, were released either into the liquid or gas phases. Since biogas production reduced considerably towards the end of the experiment, the remaining carbon and nitrogen content of the residues could be of a hardly biodegradable nature or not reachable by micro-organisms due to impermeable layers. Additionally, a high percentage of plastics and woody material, which are not or hardly biodegradable under anaerobic conditions (Bayer et al., 2007; Kim and Townsend, 2007), was observed by visual inspection during the final sampling of the simulators. The recovery rates of the carbon balances were very similar to those reported before by Barlaz et al. (1989), whereas the nitrogen recovery rates were relatively low since no N determinations were done in the gas phase. The balances were calculated using the dry weight and the elemental content of the MSW.
Because the simulators were not emptied immediately after 350 days, the wet weight was calculated indirectly, using the density of extracted samples (± 20 kg) and final measures of the simulators. This indirect approach seems to be the main reason of the inconsistency of the recovery rates of the duplicates, especially for the mixed gravel simulators. Similar to previous results (Valencia et al., 2008) the leaching tests (Table 6) revealed that the residues did not comply with the FSQ status, comparable to the Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) for inert waste of the Landfill Directive (CEC, 2003). However, these results were close to compliance with such a stringent criterion in a shorter period of time. The residues did comply with alternative parameters used to define stability of waste residues such as low BMP (<0.045 m³ kg⁻¹ VS), BOD/COD ratio (<0.20), low VS content, a dark sludge-like appearance and low (<0.1) SO₄²⁻/Cl⁻ ratio (Lo, 1996; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998). In addition, heavy metal levels were several times lower than those stated in the regulation for exceptional quality compost of USEPA (Das et al., 2002).
Table 4. Carbon mass balance of the bioreactor landfill simulators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gravel in layers*</th>
<th>Gravel mixed*</th>
<th>Control*</th>
<th>Less density*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial carbon</td>
<td>45.70</td>
<td>46.54</td>
<td>46.10</td>
<td>46.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C in CH₄</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>6.56</td>
<td>9.96</td>
<td>8.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C in CO₂</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>5.70</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>6.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C in leachate</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>2.58</td>
<td>1.88</td>
<td>1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual C</td>
<td>24.75</td>
<td>30.84</td>
<td>16.87</td>
<td>37.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C recovery rate</td>
<td>82.7%</td>
<td>98.2%</td>
<td>78.8%</td>
<td>117.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average C recovery</td>
<td>90.5%</td>
<td>98.1%</td>
<td>85.9%</td>
<td>98.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures are expressed in kg

Table 5. Nitrogen mass balance of the bioreactor landfill simulators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Gravel in layers*</th>
<th>Gravel mixed*</th>
<th>Control*</th>
<th>Less density*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial nitrogen</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>2.90</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N in leachate</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residual N</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N recovery rate</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>73%</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average N recovery</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>71%</td>
<td>68%</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Figures are expressed in kg
Table 6. Leaching results from residues of the Bioreactor Landfill simulators.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Standards</th>
<th>Inert waste</th>
<th>Non-hazardous waste</th>
<th>Simulator 1</th>
<th>Simulator 2</th>
<th>Simulator 3</th>
<th>Simulator 4</th>
<th>Simulator 5</th>
<th>Simulator 6</th>
<th>Simulator 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TOC</td>
<td>30000</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>14050 ± 3037</td>
<td>8716 ± 2098</td>
<td>4103 ± 470</td>
<td>7019 ± 5286</td>
<td>2646 ± 542</td>
<td>4782 ± 2595</td>
<td>2425 ± 51</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOC</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>4261 ± 3590</td>
<td>1766 ± 642</td>
<td>1027 ± 763</td>
<td>152 ± 159</td>
<td>721 ± 667</td>
<td>2736 ± 2125</td>
<td>908 ± 268</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>4000</td>
<td>60000</td>
<td>27300 ± 13902</td>
<td>21306 ± 4248</td>
<td>19732 ± 4699</td>
<td>15425 ± 3419</td>
<td>15388 ± 2252</td>
<td>21104 ± 4366</td>
<td>12287 ± 8700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloride</td>
<td>800</td>
<td>15000</td>
<td>1080 ± 579</td>
<td>734 ± 124</td>
<td>1060 ± 148</td>
<td>708 ± 163</td>
<td>944 ± 175</td>
<td>1233 ± 807</td>
<td>882 ± 399</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoride</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulphate</td>
<td><strong>1000</strong></td>
<td><strong>20000</strong></td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.27</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.41</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.25</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.15</td>
<td>0.98 ± 0.34</td>
<td>1.03 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>2.06 ± 0.23</td>
<td>9.37 ± 11.84</td>
<td>7.72 ± 3.56</td>
<td>8.49 ± 1.51</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.91</td>
<td>2.19 ± 1.69</td>
<td>5.32 ± 0.06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.59</td>
<td>3.74 ± 3.39</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.96</td>
<td>3.21 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.83 ± 0.47</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.56</td>
<td>3.26 ± 0.72</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.06</td>
<td>2.16 ± 2.31</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.55</td>
<td>2.47 ± 0.73</td>
<td>3.56 ± 2.30</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.26</td>
<td>1.66 ± 0.46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>BDL*</td>
<td>BDL*</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL**</td>
<td>BDL*</td>
<td>BDL*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figures are given in mg kg⁻¹ dry substrate, unless indicated otherwise. Limits of criteria refer to L/S=10 L kg⁻¹ of the WAC in the Landfill Directive (CEC, 2003). ** BDL= below detection limit; results with standard deviation (n=3). *** Waste operator reported presence of demolition debris (gypsum) mixed with the waste used during this experiment.
4.4 *The effect of the hydraulic conditions*

The control simulator with less density performed much better than the other simulators, probably because in the less density simulator there was less resistance for liquids and gases to flow in both directions. Nevertheless it was not clear if channelling did occur in the simulator. During the final sampling it was observed that most of the residue was converted into a “muddy-like” material and water. Similarly, in the simulators with gravel in layers, the same type of “muddy-like” material was found under the bottom layer of gravel. Apparently, the enhanced biodegradation of waste promotes a faster reduction of the waste particles, which in turn clog the available pores thus reducing the leachate recirculation efficiency of the simulators. This was clearly observed from day 250 onwards when it became more difficult to extract sufficient leachate for the recirculation process in all these simulators (less density and gravel in layers). In addition, this “muddy-like” material, which seemed to have a low hydraulic conductivity, restricted the passage of leachate since it kept the layers underneath relatively dryer than the rest of the residual material.

In contrast, the mixed gravel simulators were more effective by being able to absorb these temporal and spatial changes of the MSW particles, presumably helping to maintain the homogenised conditions as the waste stabilisation process proceeded. The fact that the hydraulic conditions were maintained may have helped to keep a constant flow of moisture, which in turn redistributed nutrients and micro-organisms within the simulators. This was inline with the findings of Elshorbagy and Mohamed (2000), who mixed several materials to improve the hydraulic conductivity of the composite material. In the mixed gravel simulators, it was observed that the leachate extraction process never reduced its flow velocity.
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Ammonium release and Anammox as an option for its removal

Abstract

Experiments carried out in bioreactor landfill simulators demonstrated that more than 40% of the total N was transferred into the liquid and gas phases during the incubation period of 380 days. Besides minimal contributions from other N-removal processes, Anammox bacteria were found to be active within the simulators. Anammox is considered to be an important contributor to remove N from the solid matrix. However, it was unclear how the necessary nitrite for Anammox metabolism was produced. Ammonium, an end product of protein degradation and important parameter to consider during landfill closure, tends to accumulate up to inhibitory levels in the leachate of landfills especially in landfills with leachate recirculation. Most efforts to remove ammonium from leachate have been focused on ex-situ and partial in-situ methods such as nitrification, denitrification and chemical precipitation. Nevertheless, little is known about the nature of residual nitrogen in the waste mass and possible mechanisms to remove it. Intrusion of small quantities of O₂ is believed to be beneficial for the degradation process of MSW in bioreactor landfills but not sufficient to remove significant amounts of ammonium via nitrification. Volatilisation

and Anammox activity were the main N removal mechanisms in the simulators. The results of these experiments bring new insights on the behaviour, evolution and fate of nitrogen that may contribute to better N-removal from solid waste in bioreactor landfill simulators.

1. Introduction

Operating landfills as bioreactors has gained a lot of attention in recent years due to shorter waste stabilisation periods, higher biogas production and recovery, reduced leachate organic strength and maximised waste settlement and volume recovery (Pohland, 1980; Warith, 2002). However, bioreactor landfills have also shown several constraints such as uneven moisture distribution (seepage and preferential flow), geotechnical stability (landslides) and accumulation of toxic compounds in the leachate (chloride, ammonium, etc.) (Price et al., 2003). Ammonium content of the leachate is an important parameter to consider during the safe closure of landfill sites (Burton and Watson-Craik, 1998; Barlaz et al., 2002). Ammonium, an end product of protein degradation (Jokela and Rintala, 2003; Berge et al., 2005), tends to accumulate since there are no removal mechanisms under strict anaerobic conditions, especially in the leachate of landfills with leachate recirculation (Onay and Pohland, 1998; Price et al., 2003). Most efforts to remove ammonium from landfills were focused on the leachate fraction via ex-situ methods such as nitrification/denitrification, precipitation and even irrigation schemes (Ohlinger et al., 1998, Jokela et al., 2002; Li and Zhao, 2003; Kurniawan et al., 2006). Different approaches suggested referred to promoting ex-situ nitrification and in-situ denitrification of the leachate to remove ammonium (He et al., 2007) or even complete in-situ removal of ammonium by dedicated nitrification and denitrification zones (Onay and Pohland, 1998; Shao et al., 2007). However, in-situ or partially in-situ approaches are likely to produce NO\textsubscript{x} and N\textsubscript{2}O, which are significant pollutants for their contribution to climate change (Price et al., 2003). Despite all efforts to reduce the levels of ammonium from landfill leachate, little information is available about the origin, evolution and fate of ammonium in bioreactor landfills and especially about the residual nitrogen in the solid phase. Huber et al. (2004) suggested that approximately 4% of N leaves the landfill via the leachate pathway, while 96% of N remained in the landfill body. Therefore, the objective of this
study was to investigate the mechanisms involved in the ammonium release from the solid phase into the liquid phase and possible in-situ removal mechanisms under anaerobic conditions in bioreactor landfills.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Experimental set-ups

Pilot scale. – Seven bioreactor landfills were simulated using high density polyethylene (HDPE) sewage pipes (0.75 m³ working volume). Schematic views and photographs of the simulators can be seen in Annex 1. The simulators were filled with shredded (particle size max. 4 cm) municipal solid waste (MSW), the composition of which is shown in Table 1; operational features of the simulators were described elsewhere (Valencia et al., 2008a, 2008b). Buffered tap water (124 L, 0.1 M NaHCO₃) was added to stimulate leaching conditions; the leachate was recycled 3 times per week (±60 L week⁻¹) in order to maintain a dynamic leachate flow and at least 45% moisture content (field capacity) on a wet weight basis. Buffer (0.3 M NaHCO₃) was added to the leachate prior to recycling during a period of 6 weeks (day 50-100) to reduce the negative impact of the VFA on the pH. The internal temperature of the simulators was maintained within the range of 30 ± 4°C.

Laboratory scale.- 10 simulators of 1 L volume were filled with 300 g shredded MSW. Photographs of the simulators can be seen in Annex 1. 150 ml of tap water (O₂ free) were added to increase the moisture content and stimulate leaching conditions; the leachate was collected at the bottom and recirculated to the top of the simulators 5 times per week (± 0.15 L week⁻¹). The simulators were placed in a controlled temperature room (30±0.5°C). Biogas production was measured daily using the displacement method and production figures were corrected for temperature and pressure.

Anammox activity test.- Solid samples were taken from the 0.75 m³ simulators, after subjected to a leaching test according to CEN (2002), the biomass was concentrated by centrifugation (3000 rpm for 1 hr) and 10±0.5 g were placed in 50 ml butyl septa airtight bottles flushed with argon and incubated in a 37°C control room. Anammox bacteria were enriched using a
buffer solution of hepes/bicarbonate (75/5 mM, pH 7.8), 5 mM NaNO₂, 2.5 mM (NH₄)₂SO₄, 0.1 mM hydrazine (N₂H₄·H₂SO₄) according to Strous et al. (1999).

Anammox identification in the residue was carried out using fluorescent in situ hybridization (FISH) techniques employing the following probes: Pla46 for planctomycetales, AMX820 covering all Anammox organisms, specially *Kuenenia sp.* and *Brocadia sp.* and DHI820 for *Anammoxoglobus sp.* Probes and hybridisation procedures are described in Schmid et al. (2003) and Kartal et al. (2007).

The effects of different ammonium concentrations on the waste stabilization process were investigated by carrying out methanogenic activity tests using 500 ml butyl septa airtight bottles purged with N₂ and seeded with 10 g of shredded MSW, 50 g of anaerobic sludge and 2 ml L⁻¹ of macronutrients and buffer (NaHCO₃) solution and 1 ml L⁻¹ of trace elements, according to Sanders et al. (2000). All runs were carried out in triplicate with a constant pH (7.6±0.2) and constant temperature (30±0.5 °C).

**Table 1.** Composition of the municipal solid waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage (wet weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic undefined</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper &amp; cardboard</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous metals / non-ferrous metals</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather/rubber</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&gt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&lt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source ESSENT Milieu 2006 at transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands (Provided by H. Woelders)

### 2.2 Analytical procedures

Moisture and volatile solids content of the MSW were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). Additionally, a leaching test (NEN-EN 12457-4) was conducted in triplicate to determine the leaching potential of COD, BOD, TOC, NH₄⁺, selected ions (Cl⁻, NO₃⁻, PO₄³⁻, SO₄²⁻)
and heavy metals (Pb, Ni, Zn, Cu, Cd) from the solid waste according to European Standards (CEN, 2002). The elemental composition (CHNS) of the MSW was determined by flash combustion in a partial oxygen atmosphere using helium as carrier, at 1020°C with a Thermo Quest EA 1110 Interscience elemental analyser. Leachate samples were analysed for pH, temperature, conductivity and oxygen with portable meters WTW pH 340, LF 340 and Oxi 345, respectively. \( \text{NH}_4^+ \) and \( \text{NO}_3^- \) were analysed according to Standard Methods (APHA, 2005). TOC was determined using an OI Corporation TOC Analyser M-700. \( \text{NO}_3^- \) was analysed using an Ion Chromatography system DIONEX ICS-1000 attached with an automated sample injector DIONEX ASI-100. All liquid samples were filtered with glass fibre filters GF 52, Schleicher & Schuell. Biogas composition (\( \text{CH}_4 \), \( \text{CO}_2 \) and \( \text{O}_2 \)) was monitored using a Geotechnical Instruments GA25 portable gas extraction analyser.

### 2.3 Statistical analyses

The graphs were constructed using averaged (7 simulators) values of pH, TOC, biogas production, ammonium and N content and complemented with standard deviation bars.

### 3 Results

#### 3.1 Bioreactor landfill simulators performance

The process parameters of the bioreactor landfill simulators are shown in Figure 1a. More details on the reactor set up and operation are presented in Valencia et al. (2008a, 2008b). Due to the accumulation of hydrolytic products the pH decreased in the first months of operation, but increased as soon as a more acclimatised methanogenic population developed, which converted these hydrolytic products into biogas. Initially, due to a constant dissolution of salts into the leachate, conductivity increased sharply during the first two weeks, followed by a more gradual increase during the next 150 days after which it remained relatively constant up to day 250. Thereafter conductivity started to decrease due to degassing (i.e. \( \text{CO}_2 \) escape into the biogas) and precipitation within the simulators towards the end of the experiment.
During the first 150 days of operation the biogas production (Fig. 1c) was minimal (< 5 m³) and contained mainly CO₂ (±85%). However, as more favourable conditions (i.e. neutral pH) for methanogens were reached, biogas production increased exponentially during the following 200 days, coinciding with a rapid decrease of TOC during the same period (Fig. 1b).

3.2 Ammonium in the bioreactor landfill simulators

After an initial increase of the ammonium levels (Fig. 2a) in the leachate during the first 100 days (up to 2.5 g L⁻¹), ammonium concentration remained stable during the period between days 100 and 200. The fact that ammonium levels did not further increase could be caused by inhibitory levels of ammonium reached within the simulators, as shown by the methanogenic activity tests (Fig. 3b) at which ammonium levels above 2.5 g NH₄⁺ L⁻¹ inhibited methane production. However, from day 200 onwards
ammonium levels gradually started to decrease to an averaged 1.7 g L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2a); the maximum ammonium decrease observed was about 1 g L$^{-1}$. In a preliminary experiment with longer duration the same tendency was visible and the final ammonium levels were even below 1 g L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2b). After the ammonium levels started to decrease 2 simulators were aerated, this did not lead to a visible difference with the 5 non-aerated simulators (Fig. 2a).

Figure 2. Ammonium in the leachate a) one year experiments with 7 simulators and b) two years experiments with 2 simulators preceding a).

The release of ammonium into the leachate seems to have two phases: a sharp increase to about 1 g L$^{-1}$ within 6 to 10 days (up to 0.5 g L$^{-1}$ in 24 hr) (Fig. 3a) and a gradual increase between day 10 and 100 up to 2.5 g L$^{-1}$ (Fig. 2a).

A methanogenic activity tests showed different degrees of inhibition on the methane production at different ammonium levels at neutral pH and constant temperature of 30 °C (Fig. 3b).
Figure 3. a) Initial ammonium release into the leachate in a bioreactor landfill simulator and b) the effect of ammonium on methane production from MSW.

A possible explanation of the ammonium decrease (Fig. 2a-b) after day 200 could be the presence of Anammox bacteria. The samples taken on day 300 revealed the presence of Anammox bacteria (Fig. 4a-b). In addition, high levels of hydrazine (>6 mg L⁻¹), an intermediate product of the Anammox metabolism (Schmid et al., 2003; Kartal et al., 2007), were detected in the gas phase during the last 100 days of operation.

An activity test on the extracted biomass from the simulators showed patterns typical for Anammox bacteria: a 1:1 (1:0.98 this experiment) molar conversion of NH₄⁺ (0.0034 moles day⁻¹) and NO₂⁻ (0.0046 moles day⁻¹) accompanied with a minimal production of NO₃⁻ (Fig. 4c).
4. Discussion

The effectiveness of the simulators to transfer the carbon fraction from the solid phase into the liquid and gaseous phases has been previously described (Valencia et al., 2008a, 2008b). The biogas, pH, and TOC values followed similar trends as those reported in literature (Pohland, 1980; Warith, 2002) with faster responses in those simulators with improved hydraulic conditions (Valencia et al., 2008b).

The release of ammonium into the leachate during the first hours (up to 0.5 g L\(^{-1}\)) (Fig. 3a inserted) seemed to be completely governed by a physical mechanism: the contact between solids and the liquid percolating through the waste mass. The subsequent increase of ammonium concentration (up to 1 g L\(^{-1}\)) in the leachate during the first 6 to 10 days was due to the constant recirculation process, which helped to washout the...
ammonium salts present in the simulators. These ammonium salts could be produced during the initial 20-30 days at which waste was produced, stored, transported and samples were collected. During this period dry anaerobic and aerobic conditions caused ammonium salts to be “deposited” on the surface of the waste particles. The second ammonium increase from day 10 until day 100 (Fig. 2a-b) can be attributed to the enhanced microbiological conversion of organic matter under anaerobic conditions (Fig. 2a) (Berge et al., 2005; Jokela et al., 2005). Ammonium accumulated because there were no removal mechanisms within the simulators.

The results of the methanogenic activity tests (Fig. 3b) showed that at ammonium levels higher than 2.5 g L\(^{-1}\) the microbial activity started to decrease and/or cease, suggesting that concentrations of ammonium above this level are the threshold values for hydrolysis of organic matter, similarly to the values previously reported by Burton and Watson-Craik (1998) and Fricke et al. (2007). It is tempting to suggest that there is a steady state reached between day 100 and 200, where the constant ammonification rate is similar to the ammonium removal rate (Fig. 2a-b). The “accidental” intrusion of O\(_2\) (3.25 mg O\(_2\) L\(^{-1}\) week\(^{-1}\)) during the recirculation process (60 L week\(^{-1}\)) was sufficient to carry-out partial or complete nitrification of the leachate and since during this period there was sufficient organic matter present in the simulators denitrification could also have taken place. Nitrification probably did not occur during the first 100 days, because nitrifying bacteria require more than 30 days to fully develop under optimal conditions (Hoilijoki et al., 2000). The fact that NO\(_2^-\) and NO\(_3^-\) (data not shown) were not detected could mean two things: 1) Nitrification and subsequent denitrification were not taking place within the simulators, or 2) and more likely, the conversion rates of NO\(_2^-\) (and NO\(_3^-\)) into N\(_2\) occurred with a certain speed that these compounds could not be detected in the leachate. The ammonium trends (Fig. 2a-b) up to this point (day 200) were comparable to those reported in literature, especially those referring to large scale experiments (Morris et al., 2003).

The key factors for the decrease of ammonium levels after day 200 were, most likely, the reduced supply of ammonium as most of the readily organic matter (Fig. 1b) had been hydrolysed and started to be converted into biogas (Fig. 1c) and the constant recirculation process that kept supplying...
enough O₂ to carry out, at least partial, nitrification of the leachate. Despite the fact that there was sufficient organic matter in the leachate (Fig. 1b) but probably not easily biodegradable, denitrification was limited as most of the acetic acid (data not shown), the preferred substrate by denitrifiers (Constantin and Fick, 1997) was depleted from the system. Consequently, from day 300 onwards minimal quantities of NO₃⁻ (up to 30 mg L⁻¹) (data not shown) started to be detected in the leachate, which suggests that denitrification and hence nitrification were also occurring at earlier stages within the simulators. However, calculations revealed that the amount of O₂ introduced was not sufficient to reduce significantly ammonium levels. Therefore there must be other ammonium removal processes that have contributed to the decline in ammonium levels. This brought us to look for Anammox bacteria presence within the reactors. Similar decreases of ammonium levels has been observed and not fully explained in comparable bioreactor landfill experiments (Ağdag and Sponza, 2005a, Ağdag and Sponza, 2005b).

The biomass extracted from the simulators without active aeration revealed the presence of Anammox bacteria (Fig. 4a-b). The main species identified were Candidatus Brocadia anammoxidans, Candidatus Kuenenia stuttgartiensis and Candidatus Brocadia fulgida, with an estimated bacterial density of 5-7% of the total bacterial density (Schmid and op den Camp, 2007). It is more likely that partial nitrification up to NO₂⁻ and sufficient ammonium in the leachate, supported by the optimal pH (±7.5-8.0) and temperature (±30°C) (Strous et al., 1997), were the key factors for the development and activity of the Anammox bacteria within the simulators. At day 300, no Anammox bacteria were found in the two simulators which were intermittently aerated for about 50 days (240 L air week⁻¹). Jetten et al. (1998) and Strous et al. (1999) reported that Anammox activity was reversible after exposure at low O₂ concentrations, but, Egli et al. (2001) reported complete inhibition of Anammox activity at higher concentrations of O₂, like the ones applied to these simulators. Besides the FISH analyses on the biomass and the presence of hydrazine, the activity test confirmed the presence of Anammox bacteria. The Anammox activity test results were similar to those reported by Egli et al. (2001) in which conversion of ammonium and nitrite were approximately 1:0.98 on molar basis, specially after spiking with a buffer solution containing NH₄⁺ and NO₂⁻ (Fig. 4c).
Nitrogen balances (Fig. 5) showed that approximately 40% of the total N was released from the solid waste and transferred either into the liquid or the gas phase in a period of 380 days. At the end of the experimental period, only 2.3 kg of an initial 3.2 kg of N added to the simulators could be recovered: 1.9 kg as residual N and 0.4 kg of N in the free liquid mainly composed of ammonium (± 80%) and $N_{\text{org}}$ (±20%). The unaccounted N, if totally converted into $N_2$, would had accounted for about 0.8 m$^3$ of gas, which was approximately 2.8% of the total biogas production; this $N_2$ value is within the ranges suggested in literature (Tchobanoglous and Kreith, 2002). It was not certain if the residual N was of inorganic and inert nature or perhaps was not biologically available in the form of humus-like material as suggested by Burton and Watson-Craik (1998).

Possible options to explain the amount of N removed (±1 kg) from the simulators are: precipitation as struvite, volatilization of $NH_3$, biological uptake, nitrification/denitrification and Anammox activity.

Theoretically, about 10 g N could be removed via struvite precipitation depending on pH values (above 7.5) and temperature (Ohlinger et al., 1998), since $NH_4^+$, $Mg^{2+}$, and $PO_4^{3-}$ (33:6:1 molar ratio) exceeded the solubility values according to simulations using PHREEQC. However, struvite was not measured and most likely low $PO_4^{3-}$ concentrations (data not
shown), instead of Mg\(^{2+}\), would be the limiting factor for precipitation of higher struvite quantities within the simulators.

Approximately 30 g N could have been volatilised as \(\text{NH}_3\) during the last 180 days according to pH values (maximal volatilisation rate 2.5% at pH=7.5±0.5).

Maximally 4 g N could have been used for assimilation by microorganisms for cell growth, similar to figures reported by Burton and Watson-Craik (1998); therefore bacterial up-take cannot explain the total consumption of N as suggested by Ağdağ and Sponza (2005a).

Removal of N due to full nitrification (up to NO\(^3\)-) can only account for 2.3 g N, considering that maximally 3.25 mg O\(_2\) L\(^{-1}\) were introduced every time the leachate was recycled into the simulators. Denitrification was not a limiting factor for N removal since there was sufficient organic matter present in the simulators at least during the first 200 days.

The combined effect of partial nitrification and Anammox, with the same amount of oxygen introduced, can stoichiometrically account for up to 5.4 g N removed. However, based on the approximated Anammox bacterial density and the removal yields suggested by Strous et al. (1997) it could be possible to explain the removal of the 93 g NH\(_4^+\). Moreover, the Anammox activity test results (Fig. 4c) suggested that it would have been possible to convert about 854 g N, considering 100 L of free leachate and an active period from day 200 until day 380. However, it was not clear how the necessary NO\(^2\)- for Anammox was produced.

It is most likely that all these processes occurred simultaneously within the simulators. Anammox could be the main responsible factor for the removal of N, especially when most of the readily organic matter was depleted (after day 200). However, Anammox bacteria depend on availability of NO\(^2\)- to carry out their metabolism, which can only be produced via nitrification; consequently the amount of O\(_2\) introduced would be the main limiting factor to remove ammonium as occurs in the CANON process for sewage treatment (Slickers et al., 2002). Diffusion of O\(_2\) through the simulators walls was considered as a possibility, but it was unlikely since
all reactors were operated at overpressure. Nevertheless according to HDPE properties (Composite Agency, 2007) maximally about 8.6 g O\textsubscript{2} could have penetrated through the wall during the entire length of the experiment (380 days), which was about 2.5% of the required O\textsubscript{2} to nitrify the 93 g NH\textsubscript{4}\textsuperscript{+} converted during the experiment. Alternatively, NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} could have been produced externally in the leachate reservoirs and introduced via recirculation without being noticed. However, during incidental measurements in the leachate reservoirs NO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{-} was never detected. Anammox presence in landfill environments has been suspected before (Burton and Watson-Craik, 1998; Price et al., 2003; Berge et al., 2005), but never confirmed. To our knowledge these results present the first evidence of the existence of Anammox activity in bioreactor landfill simulators.
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Chapter

Mathematical simulations of carbon and nitrogen transformations in bioreactor landfill simulators

As far as the laws of mathematics refer to reality, they are not certain, and as far as they are certain, they do not refer to reality.

Albert Einstein (1879-1955)

Abstract

Biogas production, pH and ammonium evolution of seven bioreactor landfills was simulated using the LDAT (Landfill Degradation and Transport) model, developed by the University of Southampton, UK. The LDAT model was able to describe relatively accurately the processes occurring in Compression Anaerobic Reactors (CARs). However, LDAT was not able to simulate the processes occurring in the bioreactor landfill simulators. Main reasons for the discrepancy of the simulations are related to problems in the model construction, namely the biological and chemical reactions and the fact that LDAT operates at 20ºC. In addition inhibition factors such as sulphate (competition and its end-product H₂S) and ammonium inhibition were not included in LDAT. A literature review on mathematical models for waste degradation revealed that ionic balances used were not complete, which has a significant influence on the buffer capacity of the landfill system. These findings suggest that in order to increase accuracy and applicability of these models, complete leachate chemistry (ionic balance) should be used as an important factor determining the rate at which biological and chemical reactions occur in the (bioreactor) landfills. Moreover, these findings highlight the importance of inorganic chemistry measurements in order to complement available data sets and reduce the
number of uncertainties during mathematical simulation of waste degradation in landfills.

1. Introduction

Operating landfill sites as bioreactors, the so-called bioreactor landfill approach, is gaining popularity among landfill operators due to the enhanced landfill gas production that can be used for energy purposes if properly captured (Pohland, 1980, 1996; Reinhart and Townsend, 1998). Additional benefits are: the gain of landfill volume and the reduction of the leachate chemical and biological strength (Morris et al., 2003; Benson et al., 2007). Substantial research has been conducted during the last three decades using laboratory and pilot-scale experiments from which it has been possible to identify the optimal ranges of the key process parameters namely pH, temperature, moisture content, inhibitory content, diverse microbial kinetics, etc. (Gurijala and Suflita, 1993; Barlaz and Ham, 1993). Several municipalities, especially in United States of America, have adopted the bioreactor approach at full-scale. However, despite all positive feedback, no concrete conclusions about their effectiveness can be drawn at this point since most of these sites are still under scrutiny and monitoring periods are expecting to be completed in a period of five years from 2007 (Morris et al., 2003; Benson et al., 2007). This physical experimentation is essential and valuable to acquire knowledge but requires time and intensive labour input, which is reflected in the large amounts of financial resources being expended on it, especially when full-scale testing is required. Mathematical modelling, a less expensive and time consuming activity, of different scenarios could provide valuable insight of the diverse processes occurring within the landfill, and the possible effects of alterations in operation and/or environmental conditions can be processed quickly. Moreover, the results of modelling could help to improve designs and during the decision-making processes for a full-scale implementation of the best-case scenario.

Modelling all the physical, chemical and biological processes occurring within the landfill environment is a complex task, especially because not all variables are known until now. Assumptions have to be made to simplify these complex processes in order to describe them, relatively accurately, in mathematical terms (van Dam, 2005). There is enough literature available regarding modelling of the diverse landfill processes such
as landfill gas and leachate production, waste mechanics (settlement and compaction), landfill hydrodynamics (water infiltration, channelling), landfill microbial interactions (kinetics) (El-Fadel and Khoury, 2000; Hudson et al., 2004; McGreanor and Reinhart, 2000; Ozkaya et al., 2006; Vavilin et al., 2003; Zacharof and Butler, 2004; Haarstrick et al., 2001, 2004; White et al., 2003, 2004). However, few authors have incorporated all variables or processes in their proposed models and even fewer have validated their models with, the scarce and not completely reliable, full-scale data.

The LDAT (Landfill Degradation and Transport) model, developed by the University of Southampton, attempts to incorporate microbial kinetics of leachate and gas production and their transport in addition to the consolidation of the solid waste (White et al., 2003, 2004). LDAT describes the processes occurring in fully saturated consolidating anaerobic reactors (CARs) which were subjected to compression and up-flow liquid recirculation (Ivanova et al., 2003). In this thesis, despite the different operational mode, the LDAT model was used to simulate the biogas production, pH and ammonium evolution of seven non-saturated bioreactor landfills with down-flow pattern leachate recirculation.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Experimental set-up and data

Data for simulations were collected from seven active bioreactor landfill simulators of 0.8 m$^3$ filled with approximately 350 kg of municipal solid waste (MSW) operated for a period of 1 year. The simulators were constantly monitored for biogas production, pH, carbon (COD, BOD and TOC) and nitrogen ($\text{NH}_4^+$, $\text{NO}_3^-$) among other parameters. More details of the operational features of the simulators and the analytical procedures performed are presented in Valencia et al. (2008a, 2008b) and in the previous chapters (Chapter 4 & 5). The MSW placed in the simulators was collected and characterised at the transfer station of Wijster, The Netherlands as shown in Table 1.
Table 1. Composition of the municipal solid waste.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Percentage (wet weight)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Organic undefined</td>
<td>33.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paper &amp; cardboard</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plastics</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferrous metals / non-ferrous metals</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leather/rubber</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wood</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&gt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inert (&lt;3.4 mm)</td>
<td>15.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Textiles</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ESSENT Milieu 2006 at transfer station in Wijster, The Netherlands (Provided by H.Woelders)

2.2 LDAT model construction

The LDAT model integrates several sub-models (degradation, settlement, changes in waste structure, leachate and gas generation and flow) in order to simulate all the spatially distributed processes occurring in a landfill. The degradation sub-model is based on the model proposed by El-Fadel et al. (1996) in which solids are dissolved into the leachate by enzymatic hydrolysis and solution, and then converted into gas by the present microbial communities. However, LDAT includes a lytic biomass control for the initial hydrolytic degradation of solids, thus modifying the El-Fadel et al. (1996) model. The rate of degradation is governed by the metabolic activity of three microbial groups present (lytic, acidogenic and methanogenic) as a function of the available carbon present. The chemistry and stoichiometric equations are based on those proposed by Young (1989).

An important aspect of LDAT is the incorporation of a pH inhibition function (Equation 1) that affects the biomass growth rates, thus the biogas production.

\[
pH = A \cdot pA + n \quad \text{(Eq. 1)}
\]

Where A and n are inhibition constants for acidity and buffering and \( pA \) is the logarithmic relation (Equation 2) of the acetic acid and the acids in solution (White et al., 2003).

\[
pA = -\log_{10}(A_c + A_a) \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]
Another important aspect of LDAT is the possibility to discriminate between readily, moderately and hardly biodegradable organic substrates as input parameters, reflecting the reality of MSW in landfills.

Nevertheless, LDAT suffers from the inability to vary dynamically the microbiological parameters (growth, maintenance and death rates) as function of the available substrates and possible inhibitory substances (dissolved cations and ions). Probably the main limitation of the model is that LDAT runs at a constant temperature of 20ºC. More detailed information about the LDAT model construction can be found in White et al. (2003, 2004).

3 Results and discussion

3.1 LDAT sensitivity analysis

A sensitivity analysis of the input parameters revealed that the LDAT model is most sensitive to biological and environmental parameters, especially lytic and methanogenic bacterial growth rates and pH inhibition factors. Nevertheless, the model is not sensitive for growth rates higher than 0.2 day⁻¹. LDAT model did not exhibit significant influence from physical parameters such as the organic content of the waste or temperature. Varying the catalytic factors and moisture content did not vary significantly the amount of biogas or solids transformed during the simulations. Regarding temperature, it is important to remark that LDAT model assumes a constant temperature of 20ºC (White et al., 2005), which as mentioned before is the main limitation of the model. Therefore, based on the sensitivity analysis, the following input parameters were used in the simulations (Table 2). The best-fit parameters such as bacterial growth rates were higher than the values reported by White et al. (2003, 2005). However, bacterial growth rate values were lower than the values used by Reichel et al. (2007) which simulate, with a more accurate model, the same waste and reactors used by White et al. (2003, 2005). These best-fit parameters were determined after 31 simulations with LDAT; the best 5 simulations (Simulations 25, 28, 29, 30 and 31) are reported here.
Table 2. Best input parameters based on the sensitivity analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value / range</th>
<th>Best fit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lytic bacteria growth rate</td>
<td>0.12 – 0.20 day(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.15 day(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methanogenic bacteria growth rate</td>
<td>0.12 – 0.20 day(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.1655 day(^{-1})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH inhibition coefficient A (Eq. 1 acidity)</td>
<td>0.25 – 0.35</td>
<td>0.305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH inhibition coefficient n (Eq. 1 buffer)</td>
<td>5.1 – 5.4</td>
<td>5.325</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2 LDAT biogas production

The simulations showed a discrepancy between the actual amount of biogas produced and the predicted model results (Fig. 1). In addition, all model results exhibited a different trend when compared to the average biogas production. LDAT biogas production increased exponentially earlier than the experimental results (simulations 25-29). This was attributed to higher bacterial growth rates (0.20 day\(^{-1}\)) and higher pH inhibition (A=0.35, n=5.4) coefficients used during the simulations.

More accurate results were obtained during simulations 30 and 31, especially after day 200; however, pH model results (Fig. 2) diverted significantly from the experimental results. Lower bacterial growth rates (0.12 day\(^{-1}\)) and pH inhibition factors (A=0.30, n=5.25) were used during these simulations. The LDAT model not only overestimates the total biogas production but also the composition of the biogas, reporting a 30%CH\(_4\) and 70%CO\(_2\), whereas the experimental results showed a biogas composition of 56%CH\(_4\), 43%CO\(_2\) and 0.5%O\(_2\). This was attributed to the chemistry of solids degradation described in the model (White et al. 2003, 2004) in which CO\(_2\):CH\(_4\) (27:73) ratio was fixed at around unity by volume, giving a poor fit for the individual CH\(_4\) and CO\(_2\) cumulative gas generation.
3.3 LDAT pH

The model predicted similar pH values to those measured during the experiment for the first 50 days (Fig. 2), as the amount of acids dissolved into the leachate caused pH values to decrease. However, model predictions differ considerably after day 50, when the leachate was buffered prior to recirculation. This buffering campaign was implemented in order to avoid pH suppression due to excessive accumulation of VFA within the system (Valencia et al., 2008b). It would be reasonable to argue that the discrepancy of results was due to the buffer added. However, a previous experiment (Valencia et al., 2008a) in which leachate was not buffered exhibited a different pattern than the simulations. Therefore, it is clear that there is a different description, between LDAT and the simulators, of the buffering capacity where bicarbonate could be expected to be the main contributor (Reinhart and Al-Yousfi, 1996; Ağıdağ and Sponza, 2005).

The buffering capacity of the simulators (experiments) was maintained artificially by two processes: addition of buffer to the leachate and the slow but constant development of methanogens, which removed VFA (acidity) from the system. This allowed more bicarbonate to be present in solution to buffer the simulators. In contrast according to the model, the
buffer capacity was exceeded because acids were constantly dissolved into the leachate causing pH to decrease below 5.5. The simulations showed that the available organic matter was dissolved into the leachate after 100 to 150 days. Since no more acids were dissolved and LDAT methanogens started to convert VFA into biogas, the bicarbonate equilibrium of the model started to buffer the system, consequently increasing pH values.

Figure 2. Measured vs. model pH of the leachate.

Apparently, in LDAT pH levels below 5.5 (Fig. 2) inhibited biogas production during the period between day 100 and 200 (Fig. 1). As soon as pH levels were above this threshold level, biogas production was resumed. It seems that LDAT ionic balance calculates, based on the amount of acids dissolved, a pH value, which in turn dictates the development of the bacterial groups (acidogens and methanogens) responsible for the transformation of these intermediate products (VFAs) into biogas. In addition, LDAT does not consider the contribution of the early biogas production to the buffer capacity (first 50 days, Figure 1) before the system inhibits itself due to the accumulation of VFA. The simulation results also showed that pH values did not increase above 7. This was because LDAT model does not consider other dissolved species ($\text{NH}_4^+$, $\text{Cl}^-$, $\text{PO}_4^{3-}$, $\text{Na}^+$, $\text{K}^+$, etc.) than bicarbonate that can contribute to increase pH levels. This has been previously addressed by White et al (2005). Higher pH levels were reached ($\pm$8) when higher
inhibition coefficients and higher initial pH values were used. However, biogas production in reality increased beyond the measured values.

Biogas production from day 100 to day 200 was relatively low, even when pH values were around 6.5 supposedly not inhibitory for methane production (Farquhar and Rovers, 1973; Ehrig, 1983), therefore other factors than pH may inhibit biogas production. These other factors inhibiting the biogas production could be high sulphate and ammonium concentrations in the leachate. Sulphate reduction, energetically a more efficient process than methanogenesis, is known to delay methane production during anaerobic digestion of solid waste. From day 200 onwards sulphate concentrations decreased from a constant concentration of 4 g L\(^{-1}\) to less than 1 g L\(^{-1}\) (Valencia et al, 2008b). This decrease in sulphate concentration coincided with the exponential increase of biogas production, supporting the hypothesis that sulphate reduction (substrate competition) and hydrogen sulphide (product inhibition) restricted the proper development of methanogenic bacteria. Concentrations of H\(_2\)S higher than 4 g L\(^{-1}\) were measured during this period, decreasing in a later stage to less than 0.2 g L\(^{-1}\). Unfortunately, LDAT is not able to model sulphate reduction. Consequently, relatively high concentrations of NH\(_4^+\) (Figure 3) were noticed during two different experiments (Valencia et al, 2008a, 2008b). Figure 3 shows that high concentrations of NH\(_4^+\) also corresponded with low biogas production periods. Biogas increased from day 200 onwards, not only because of a more neutral pH, but apparently because also NH\(_4^+\) levels decreased below certain inhibition threshold level. This NH\(_4^+\) inhibition threshold was determined by methanogenic activity essays at different ammonium levels, which revealed that methane production is considerably reduced at levels higher than 2 g L\(^{-1}\), and even ceased at levels around 4 g L\(^{-1}\) (Valencia et al, 2008c).
The LDAT model describes the NH$_4^+$ production as function of the protein content of the waste (Figure 3). The NH$_4^+$ produced according to LDAT was relatively low compared to the measured values. Increasing the protein content of the waste to 10%, which was beyond the normal values of protein (4% of dry weight) in waste (Jokela and Rintala, 2003; Jokela et al, 2005), not only increased the NH$_4^+$ content of the leachate (±2.1 g L$^{-1}$), but also increased the biogas production to 60 m$^3$. Initially, NH$_4^+$ produced by previous processes (Valencia et al, 2008) dissolved into the leachate causing a relatively moderate increase in NH$_4^+$ levels. However, as enhanced hydrolysis of organic matter started, NH$_4^+$ dissolved into the leachate reached inhibitory levels for methane production (day 50 until day 200) according to methanogenic essays mentioned above (Valencia et al, 2008c). Nevertheless, from day 200 onwards, different NH$_4^+$ removal mechanisms (volatilisation, nitrification and denitrification, Anammox metabolism) started to predominate in the simulators and reduced NH$_4^+$ levels below the inhibition level. Since these processes are not reflected by LDAT results, it is clear that the NH$_4^+$ production and transformation equations in LDAT are different to what actually occurs in a bioreactor landfill with down-flow leachate recirculation.

Figure 3. Cumulative biogas production and ammonium in the leachate of the simulators.
4 Concluding remarks

It is clear that MSW in landfills undergoes a series of diverse and complex processes of which not everything is known. Assumptions have to be made in order to simplify and achieve a best-case scenario of the processes occurring within a landfill. In the case of LDAT, the model describes relatively accurately the processes occurring in consolidating anaerobic reactors (CARs) (Ivanova et al, 2003; White et al, 2005). However, LDAT is not suitable to describe the processes (biogas production and pH evolution) in bioreactor landfill simulators operating under different conditions than the CARs. In order to improve LDAT performance for wider application the following problems should be addressed:

- LDAT biological and waste chemistry reactions must be expanded (i.e. intermediate compounds) to account for the changes of available substrate in time.
- Reaction rates (biological and chemical constants) should be adapted to the real process temperature (i.e. ±30°C).
- Substrate inhibition (i.e. VFA, sulphate) and product inhibition (i.e. ammonium, H2S) should be incorporated into the model.
- Incorporation of additional processes (i.e. nitrification and denitrification, volatilisation, Anammox metabolism and sulphate reduction) into the model should reduce the discrepancy of the simulation results.

Other models found in literature (Al-Yousfi and Pohland, 1998; Haarstrick et al, 2001; 2004; McDougall, 2007; Riechel et al, 2007) include a more accurate approach towards waste degradation by breaking down the biological process in several (about 8 to 12) enzymatic reactions. Al-Yousfi and Pohland (1998) and McDougall (2007) incorporated non-saturated flow equations governing leachate generation and transport through the waste mass. In these models, pH values were imposed forcing the microbiological kinetics to operate under the imposed conditions, which resulted in very accurate modelling results. However, despite the fact that these models include ionic balances, they do not consider all ionic dissolved species into the leachate that can influence the buffering capacity of the system.
Achievement of neutral pH levels is crucial for the performance of the simulators, since they are the “driving force” that triggers all processes for MSW stabilisation (Valencia et al, 2008b). LDAT ionic balance did not include other dissolved species than bicarbonate. Therefore, models should address pH development and the changes of the leachate chemistry in time more accurately by incorporating ionic balances that include all dissolved species. This pH calculation is of utmost importance because it dictates the rates at which chemical and biological reactions take place in the simulators. The numbers of assumptions (i.e. uncertainties) are decreasing considerably because modelling tools and techniques are improving constantly and more detailed and longer data sets are becoming available. Nevertheless, based on this modelling exercise, more attention should be paid to inorganic chemistry, which determines the leachate characteristics and its influence on the buffer capacity of the landfill.
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Conclusions and outlook

Success is the ability to go from one failure to another with no loss of enthusiasm.

Sir Winston Churchill (1874 – 1965)

1. The past: what we did not know

The concept of sanitary landfill has existed for over a century and it is still considered, even in international legislation, as the least desired option in the wide spectrum of waste management options. The landfilling of waste is the least preferred treatment option due to the space requirements involved, the need of aftercare in perpetuity, the loss of material resources, and the pollution caused by the leachate and biogas escaping from landfill sites. Despite this negative public and political perception, landfills shall continue to be the most flexible, reliable and economical method of waste containment and disposal around the world. Substantial landfill research was done between mid 1980s and mid 1990s. However, changes in the international political arena during mid 1990s and early 2000s, specially in the European Union, restricted further developments on landfill research, with the exception of improvements on liners aimed at sealing landfills to prevent or reduce air, soil and water pollution (bottom and top covers). In order to protect human health and the environment from the negative impacts of landfilling of waste, the European legislation aimed at reducing the amount of waste deposited in landfills using mechanisms such as landfill taxation, banning landfilling of specific (waste) materials and encouragement of waste incineration. Additionally, more stringent standards have been imposed in order to isolate the waste from the biosphere preventing the entry of moisture. Nevertheless, current (sanitary) landfills
are considered a liability for landfill operators and municipalities, since isolation barriers will eventually fail allowing the intrusion of moisture that will trigger restrained biological and chemical processes. These restrained processes will cause leachate and landfill gas emissions into the atmosphere, usually long after the legal monitoring periods have ceased. Little more than 30 years, landfill research pioneers started to conduct experiments on which later became to be known as the bioreactor landfill concept. Their research highlighted the importance of operational parameters such as moisture and liquid channelling and distribution on the enhancement of waste degradation in landfills. During the last two decades several approaches to improve waste degradation in landfills were proposed, the so-called enhancement techniques. However, most of these approaches have been tested at laboratory or pilot-scale with positive results but without generating economically viable solutions at full-scale. The proposed approaches were neither technically nor financially feasible at full-scale. The main practical problem seems to be the homogenous addition and distribution of the added liquid due to the heterogeneity of the waste matrix.

In a five years retrospective, it was not known how different combinations of these enhancement techniques could enhance further the waste degradation process or how the mechanical and hydraulic properties of waste changed or could change in time due to the implementation of these enhancement techniques. Little information existed about the inherent microbial dynamics or the geochemical processes occurring within the (bioreactor) landfill mass. In these last five years, the political perception has started to change slowly, thus granting, beside limited funds, “escape” windows that allow landfill operators to conduct R&D efforts to demonstrate the value and importance of bioreactor landfills within the wide range of waste management options.

2. **The present: what we know now**

Municipal solid waste degraded in (bioreactor) landfills undergoes a series of diverse and complex processes. Every year researchers around the world increase the knowledge not only on these diverse and complex processes, but also about other issues within the municipal solid waste management system (i.e. prevention, collection, reuse, recycling, alternative treatments, etc.).
American and Australian universities and landfill operators focus more on maximisation of biogas production and capture for energy purposes. Most of these research efforts are conducted at full-scale, which is the uniqueness of their research. However, the diverse approaches and the variability of results have made it impossible to declare bioreactor landfills as the most efficient and effective method of waste disposal. Moreover, their research focuses more on the biological stabilisation rather than on the final characteristics of the residues. In contrast, European universities and research centres are looking mainly into issues related to Final Storage Quality (FSQ)\(^1\) status by understanding the influence of waste mechanics and hydrodynamics on the MSW degradation process in landfills. However, they focus more on mathematical modelling of the processes, because due to the legal restrictions in place most of their experimental efforts are being carried out at laboratory and pilot-scales.

This thesis attempted to combine previous knowledge and apply influencing measures focusing to achieve FSQ of waste residues in landfills, resulting in the development of new insights about physical, chemical and biological dynamics occurring with bioreactor landfill simulators.

2.1 Physical dynamics

Physical dynamics refers to the interaction between moisture, waste particles and microorganisms. Three main findings can be highlighted:

- Raising waste moisture content (>field capacity) increases the rate of waste stabilisation. However, it did not prevent inhibition problems due to by-products (i.e. ammonium) or failure of the system (i.e. clogging) (Chapter 2).

- Modifying the hydraulic conditions (i.e. homogenous gravel-MSW mixtures) improve moisture distribution and movement within the simulators reducing the biological stabilisation periods (Chapter 4).

---

\(^1\) FSQ suggests that the potential of a waste material to produce pollution is reduced to nearly zero in the long-term perspective
2.2 **Chemical dynamics**

Chemical dynamics are related to changes of waste composition due to physical dynamics and microbial activities. In that context, the following findings are important to emphasise:

- The buffering capacity of the system has the same importance as moisture content and movement, since neutral pH values are the “driving force” that triggers all the processes for waste stabilisation (Chapter 4).
- The achievement of FSQ status depends strongly on the initial MSW composition and to the different *modi operandi* (i.e. anaerobic & aerobic) applied to the system (landfill) (Chapter 2 & 4).
- The residues of the experiments were not only close to complying with the stringent criterion set in the WAC for inert waste of the Landfill Directive but fulfilled all other biological stabilisation standards set by researchers elsewhere. This has been the first documented attempt to reach and define FSQ status of waste residues by a certain numeric data set (WAC for inert waste) (Chapter 2 & 4).
- The bioreactor landfill approach can efficiently transfer approximately 45% of the total carbon and nitrogen from the solid phase into the liquid and gaseous phases, leaving a residue that is biologically stable (Chapter 2 & 4).

2.3 **Biological dynamics**

Biological dynamics are related to the successful development of microbiological communities due to the influenced environmental conditions. The core of this thesis is based on these biological dynamics and the most important findings are:

- Co-disposal of septic tank sludge with MSW enhances the waste stabilisation process by increasing moisture availability and provision of a more acclimatised and active microbial population. In addition, co-disposal is an environmentally sound, relatively low cost and safe disposal option that, if proper infrastructure is in place, can be implemented in developing countries to increase gas production for energy purposes (Chapter 3).
- The risks and hazards associated with septic tank sludge (total and faecal coliforms contamination) are practically eliminated and may provide...
significant improvement to current septage management practices in place in many developing countries. Harsh environmental conditions were the most influential factors governing the die-off process of pathogenic bacteria in the bioreactor landfill simulators (Chapter 3).

- Ammonium release in bioreactor landfill simulators is governed initially by physical processes, followed by microbiological conversion of protein (MSW) (Chapter 5).
- Intrusion of small quantities of O₂ is beneficial for the ammonium removal from MSW, because it triggers in-situ nitrification, which in turn could have promoted the growth of Anammox bacteria (Chapter 5).
- Anammox bacteria were present in bioreactor landfills and are likely to have contributed substantially to the N-removal from the solid matrix (Chapter 5).

2.4 Mathematical modelling

- Despite slight discrepancy in results, mathematical models are able to represent the main processes (microbial kinetics and liquid and gas transport) occurring within the bioreactor landfills. Coupling of chemical mediated processes (dissolution and buffering) with already well known microbial and transport processes can increase the accuracy of the models to describe the aforementioned processes (Chapter 6).

In conclusion, this research reduced our current gaps-in-knowledge and offered feasible technical alternatives to control and stir the processes occurring in a bioreactor landfill aiming to achieve FSQ status of residues.

3. The future: what we still need to know further

The future of bioreactor landfills in Europe, at least in the legislation, is dubious not only because less organic material will be deposited in landfills but because of the legal barriers (banning of liquid addition and restriction on co-disposal with bio-wastes) in place for it operation. However, bioreactor landfills might become an important part of the waste management system due to their flexibility. Nevertheless, we need to continue increasing our knowledge of the diverse and complex processes occurring within bioreactor landfills in order to use to implement bioreactor
landfills as sustainable methods of waste disposal which are able to achieve FSQ status of residues. The following questions still remain to be answered in the research agenda:

Is it possible to achieve FSQ status of residues in bioreactor landfills within a generation timeframe?

The work presented in this thesis demonstrated that biological stabilisation of MSW can be achieved within a generation timeframe using the bioreactor landfill approach. In order to achieve FSQ of waste, it is of outmost importance to know more about the geochemical processes (precipitation, complexation\(^2\), adsorption, absorption, etc.) occurring within the bioreactor landfill and their influence on the achievement of residues FSQ status within a generation timeframe.

What is the nature of nitrogen remaining in the residues?

Nitrogen compounds are considered as the main pollutants that will jeopardise the achievement of FSQ status of residues. Even after biogas production has ceased, it is still unknown whether the residual nitrogen would be susceptible for physical, chemical and/or biological activity.

Do Anammox bacteria contribute to remove nitrogen in full-scale (bioreactor) landfills?

Since, this work is the first to report on Anammox bacteria existence in bioreactor landfill simulators, it would be interesting to know if these occur also in real-scale bioreactor landfills or even in conventional landfills. In case it occurs, it will be important to define and implement the proper conditions for Anammox development. Moreover, it will be essential to establish the real Anammox contribution to the total nitrogen removal process in a bioreactor landfill.

Does the current modelling knowledge can be applied to improve bioreactor landfill designs?

In order to increase modelling accuracy of the processes within bioreactor landfills it is necessary to incorporate waste physical and

---

\(^2\) The process by which one substance is converted to another substance in which the constituents are more intimately associated than in a simple mixture, generally rendering them less available for uptake by living organisms
chemical characteristics changes due to implementation of new technical
approaches (recirculation schemes, changes on hydraulic conditions,
interaction between gases, liquids and solids, etc.). Additionally, since all
processes are enhanced also inhibitors, inhibition mediated processes
(ammonium, hydrogen sulphide, heavy metals, etc.) need to be included into
these models. Full-scale bioreactor landfills have been working now
approximately for 7-10 years, although they differ on operational conditions,
field-data from these landfills can be used for validation of these proposed
models.

Can laboratory enhancement techniques be applied to improve full-
scale bioreactor landfill performance?

Homogenous liquid addition and distribution is reported as the main
technical problem at field-scale. Implementation of new technical
approaches (different leachate recirculation schemes, addition of bio-solids,
MSW shredding, incorporation of waste coarse materials) to improve
moisture distribution should be carried out now at field-scale. However, their
application requires a previous careful and detailed analysis due to financial
and technical implications associated with these activities at full scale. Some
of these activities are labour intensive and costly. Moreover, the massive
volumes of MSW that have to be processed will represent a monumental
task for landfill operators. Nevertheless, the associated benefits of achieving
FSQ status (i.e. stop aftercare monitoring programs) could off-set the
financial and technical implications of these activities.

The implementation of the bioreactor landfill approach in Europe will
depend strongly on the possibility to achieve FSQ status of residues.
Research and Demonstration are necessary to validate the vast amount of
laboratory experiences and data allowing landfill operators higher flexibility
to implement different and diverse strategies to achieve FSQ status.
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Annex

*The most exciting phrase to hear in science, the one that heralds new discoveries, is not 'Eureka!' but 'That's funny...'*

Isaac Asimov (1920-1992)
Schematic view of the pilot scale bioreactor landfill simulators

**Working units**
- Internal diameter: 0.71 m
- Height: 2.00 m
- Working volume: 0.75 m³
- MSW weight: ±350 kg
- Liquid added: 124 L
- Recirculation rate: 60 L week⁻¹
- Temperature: 30°C ± 3
Schematic view of the different pilot-scale simulators

View of the laboratory bioreactor landfill simulators

**Working units**
- Internal diameter: 0.23 m
- Height: 1.00 m
- Working volume: 0.035 m³
- Weight: 32 kg
- Liquid added: 5 L
- Temperature: 30°C±0.5

**Simulators arrangements**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100% MSW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>90% MSW + 10% Septage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>75% MSW + 25% Septage</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Photos of the bench scale simulators

Small scale simulators (1 L volume) used for methanogenic activity test and specific variable experiments (ammonium release, temperature and pH dependence, pathogen survival). The displacement method was used for measuring gas production. Simulators had similar operational features as the laboratory and pilot scale simulators.
Frontal view of the pilot-scale simulators

Simulators were heated by electrical blankets and isolated by aluminum foil and roof-isolation material and finally wrapped with plastic.

Temperature sensors were placed at 50 and 150 cm from the bottom. Leachate was collected at the bottom and recirculated at the top of the simulators.
Top view of the simulators

Gas meters were installed at the top to record total biogas production. Originally, precision wet meters were used and changed to dry commercial meters, due to severe winter conditions.

Biogas composition was measured with portable gas analyser.
Municipal Solid Waste

Municipal solid waste (±20% moisture content) was shredded (4 cm max.).

Upon arrival the MSW temperature was ±50ºC, because during transport MSW started to undergo a composting process.
Final sampling of MSW from the simulators (after 1 year)

Core samples were taken from each simulator at the end of the experiment. Samples were analysed for moisture, organic content and elemental composition.

After 1 year, the headspace increased to about 50 cm.

Residues had a sludge-like appearance, tar-like “pleasant” odour and lack of structural properties.
Samenvatting

Toegenomen ontwikkeling en de verstedelijking hebben geleid tot een alsmaar groter wordende hoeveelheid huishoudelijk afval per hoofd van de bevolking. Dit afval bestaat uit organische en anorganische fracties, waarvan de afbreekbaarheid varieert van gemakkelijk via moeilijk tot onafbreekbaar. Voor al deze vormen van afval bestaan verwerkingsmethoden: hergebruik, composteren, anaerobe vergisting, verbranding en storten zijn de meest gangbare manieren; pyrolyse en vergassing worden nog nauwelijks toegepast. Welke verwerkingsmethode er ook wordt gekozen, er zal altijd een restfractie overblijven die gewoonlijk eindigt op stortplaatsen, meestal in zogenaamde sanitary landfills. De belangrijkste functie van sanitary landfills is om het afval en de producten die ontstaan door afbraak van het afval te isoleren van hun omgeving met als doel mens en milieu te beschermen. Uiteindelijk zullen echter de beschermende lagen het begeven, zodat het naar binnen sijpelende water fysische, chemische en biologische processen in gang zet die het resterende afval alsnog omzetten, resulterend in verontreinigd percolaat en stortgassen.

Het beperken van de negatieve gevolgen van het storten van afval heeft geresulteerd in de bioreactor landfill. In de bioreactor landfill wordt actief ingegrepen om de micro-organismen optimaal te laten functioneren en de afbreekbare materialen zo snel mogelijk om te zetten in een stabiel restproduct; vaak wordt water toegevoegd en/of gas doorgeblazen. Verder onderzoek heeft geleid tot het vaststellen van de boven- en ondergrenzen voor de belangrijkste proces parameters en tot het instellen van alternatieve operationele omstandigheden: de zogenaamde procesversnellende technieken. De bioreactor landfill kan op twee manieren benaderd worden: 1) vanuit Amerikaans perspectief, waarin geprobeerd wordt om met behulp van deze procesversnellende technieken zoveel mogelijk stortgas te produceren; of 2) vanuit Europees perspectief, waarin geprobeerd wordt om een stabiel eindproduct (FSQ, Final Storage Quality) te realiseren binnen 30 jaar. De term FSQ suggereert dat er geen verontreiniging meer mogelijk is uit het afvalmateriaal en dat het restproduct vergelijkbaar is met de karakteristieken voor gestabiliseerd afval, zoals beschreven in de Waste Acceptance Criteria (WAC) van de Europese Landfill Directive. Het
grootste technische probleem waarmee stortplaatsbeheerders te maken krijgen is om het water homogeen te verdelen over het vaste materiaal.

De primaire doelstelling van dit onderzoek was om FSQ kwaliteit te bewerkstelligen via laboratorium en pilot-plant experimenten. Deze FSQ status moet voldoen aan de WAC van de Europese Landfill Directive voor inert afval, wat algemeen beschouwd wordt als worst-case scenario vanwege de stricte regelgeving. Dit proefschrift richtte zich op de interactie tussen en de eventuele modificatie van de factoren die het stabilisatieproces van het afval bepalen en om de fysische, chemische en biologische processen die zich afspelen in een bioreactor landfill beter te kunnen begrijpen.

Op laboratoriumschaal (1 en 50 liter) en pilot-plant schaal (800 liter) werd met verschillende combinaties van procesversnellende technieken (versnipperen van afval, toedienen van buffer en/of septic tank slib, doorblazen van lucht) gewerkt om FSQ kwaliteit te bereiken. Verder werd er aanvullend grof materiaal toegevoegd (in lagen of gemengd) om de hydraulische eigenschappen te verbeteren. De resultaten lieten zien dat het weliswaar mogelijk was om het materiaal binnen een jaar biologisch te stabiliseren, maar het leidde nog niet tot FSQ kwaliteit. Het bereiken van deze FSQ status lijkt vooral samen te hangen met de samenstelling van het aangeboden afval. Desalniettemin waren de residuen sterk vergelijkbaar met de WAC van de Landfill Directive voor inert afval. Het toevoegen van een buffer of septic tank slib had een positief effect op het stabiliseren van de residue fractie van het afval, vooral omdat de biogasproductie eerder op gang kwam. Ook werden er na een jaar geen faecale coliformen meer aangetroffen, waardoor het toedienen van septic tank slib geen verdere risico’s meebrengt. Ook het toepassen van aanvullend grof materiaal aan het afval had een versneld effect op het stabilisatieproces: het mengen van het afval met deze materialen gaf een beter resultaat dan het aanbrengen van deze materialen in lagen, omdat daarbij nogal verstoppingen optraden.

De belangrijkste factor die het bereiken van FSQ kwaliteit in de weg staat, en dus het veilig sluiten van een stortplaats verhinderd, is de aanwezigheid van stikstof (met name NH$_4^+$) en daarom hebben de productie en de verwijdering van stikstofverbindingen veel aandacht gekregen in dit proefschrift. Via fysische processen kwam 40% van alle NH$_4^+$ binnen 24 uur
vrij uit het afval; de andere 60% kwam vrij door biologische omzetting van de in het afval aanwezige eiwitten. Voor het eerst werden Anammox bacteriën aangetoond in vast afval dat verwerkt wordt in bioreactor landfills en deze bacteriën zouden wel eens een belangrijke rol kunnen spelen in de afname van NH$_4^+$ in de tijd. Niet duidelijk is echter geworden waar de intermediaire verbindingen, nodig voor het functioneren van het Anammox systeem (met name nitriet), vandaan kwamen.

Om de productie van koolstof- en stikstofverbindingen te simuleren is het Landfill Degradation and Transport (LDAT) model gebruikt. Dit LDAT model was echter niet geschikt om de processen die plaats vonden in de reactoren adequaat te beschrijven, vooral omdat het model de processen op een vaste temperatuur (20°C) liet plaats vinden en de chemische formules in dit model verbetering behoeven. Andere modellen zouden wel eens beter geschikt kunnen zijn om deze processen te beschrijven, maar missen een correcte ionenbalans, die van grote invloed is op de pH van het systeem.

Geconcludeerd kan worden dat de pH een belangrijke rol speelt: de de stijging van de pH tot neutrale waarden “triggerde” de meeste processen in de reactoren. Dit proefschrift legde de nadruk op de noodzaak om het systeem in de toekomst te modelleren met behulp van een correcte ionenbalans en het effect daarvan op het bereiken van een neutrale (en misschien basische) pH.

Dit onderzoek heeft bijgedragen tot een vermindering van de hiaten in onze kennis van de verwerking van vast afval en laat haalbare technische oplossingen zien om de processen in bioreactor landfills te beheersen en te sturen om uiteindelijk tot FSQ kwaliteit te komen.
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