

#### Correlation-induced valley topology in buckled graphene superlattices

Manesco, Antonio L.R.; Lado, Jose L.

DOI 10.1088/2053-1583/ac0b48

Publication date 2021 Document Version Final published version

Published in 2D Materials

#### Citation (APA)

Manesco, A. L. R., & Lado, J. L. (2021). Correlation-induced valley topology in buckled graphene superlattices. *2D Materials*, *8*(3), Article 035057. https://doi.org/10.1088/2053-1583/ac0b48

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

#### PAPER

### Correlation-induced valley topology in buckled graphene superlattices

To cite this article: Antonio L R Manesco and Jose L Lado 2021 2D Mater. 8 035057

View the article online for updates and enhancements.

#### PAPER

RECEIVED 15 April 2021

REVISED 20 May 2021

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 14 June 2021

CrossMark

PUBLISHED 29 June 2021

# Correlation-induced valley topology in buckled graphene superlattices

Antonio L R Manesco<sup>1,2,\*</sup> (D) and Jose L Lado<sup>3</sup> (D)

- <sup>1</sup> Computational Materials Science Group (ComputELL), Escola de Engenharia de Lorena, Universidade de São Paulo (EEL-USP), Materials Engineering Department (Demar), Lorena, SP, Brazil
- kavli Institute of Nanoscience, Delft University of Technology , Delft, 2600 GA, The Netherlands
- <sup>3</sup> Department of Applied Physics, Aalto University , Espoo, Finland
- \* Author to whom any correspondence should be addressed.

#### E-mail: am@antoniomanesco.org

Keywords: superlattices, electronic correlations, graphene, quantum valley Hall effect, Kane-Mele model, effective model

#### Abstract

Quasi-flat-bands emerging in buckled monolayer graphene superlattices have been recently shown to realize correlated states analogous to those observed in twisted graphene multilayers. Here, we demonstrate the emergence of valley topology driven by competing electronic correlations in buckled graphene superlattices. We show, both by means of atomistic models and a low-energy description, that the existence of long-range electronic correlations leads to a competition between antiferromagnetic and charge density wave instabilities, that can be controlled by means of screening engineering. Interestingly, we find that the emergent charge density wave has a topologically non-trivial electronic structure, leading to a coexistent quantum valley Hall insulating state. In a similar fashion, the antiferromagnetic phase realizes a spin-polarized quantum valley-Hall insulating state. Our results put forward buckled graphene superlattices as a new platform to realize interaction-induced topological matter.

#### 1. Introduction

Mesoscopic systems provide a highly powerful platform to design quantum matter [1-9], with the paradigmatic example of artificial topological superconductivity [10-19]. Moire two-dimensional materials have risen as a tunable platform to engineer states of matter [2], ultimately allowing to explore a variety of controllable correlated states [20-23]. This emergence of tunable correlations stems from the quench of kinetic energy in emergent quasi-flat-bands, controllable by twist engineering [24-26]. A variety of twisted van der Waals materials have been demonstrated in this direction, including bilayers, trilayers and tetralayers [27-32].

Beyond the wide family of twisted moire multilayer heterostructures [2], monolayer graphene has also been experimentally shown to realize moireinduced correlation physics in the single layer limit [33]. The field of straintronics, i.e. the control of electronic properties of materials with strain [34], has shown different methods to create twodimensional periodically-strained superlattices with quasi-flat-bands, from substrate engineering [35] to inducing buckling transitions during fabrication [33]. From a low-energy perspective, inhomogeneous strain fields act as valley-dependent pseudo-magnetic fields, leading to the emergence of pseudo-Landau levels [36–39].

There are several ways to create inhomogeneous strain fields in graphene with wrinkles, ripples, foldings, and bucklings [40-42]. In this work, we focus in the latter, motivated by a recent experiment [33]. We highlight that this buckling have large periodicity, in contrast to the atomic-scale buckling known to occur in pristine two-dimensional materials such as silicene, germanene, and stanene [43-49]. From a critical value of in-plane strain, the elastic energy is spontaneously reduced with out-of-plane distortions [50-52], as depicted in figure 1(a). The electronic structure reconstruction due to the strain field leads to the formation of nearly flat bands [33, 53]. Moreover, the bandwidth suppression enhances the interaction effects and leads to electrically-controllable correlated phases [33, 54].



**Figure 1.** (a) Scheme of the buckled graphene superlattice studied. The *B*-field is the same as in equation (6). (b) Local density of states from full-lattice calculations. It is possible to note the emerging honeycomb structure. Valley-projected band structures from a full-lattice simulation of a buckled graphene superlattice (c) in the absence of strain and (d) in the buckled state. The horizontal axis show the high-symmetry points in the mini-Brilouin zone defined by the superlattice. The dashed grey regions indicate the active bands for which we derive the effective model.

Here we show that buckled graphene superlattices yield correlation-induced topological states, stemming from the combination of pseudo-Landau levels and non-local electronic interactions [33, 54]. In particular, we show that the low energy states generated by the buckling (figure 1(b)) shows an emergent low-energy honeycomb structure. Also, similarly to free-standing graphene, the bandstructure (figure 1(d)) has Dirac cones at the corners of the mini-Brillouin zone [54]. We derive the low-energy model describing the bands closer to the Fermi energy (section 2), to explore the impact of electron-electron interactions, and show the existence of charge density wave and antiferromagnetic ground states (section 3). Interestingly, these phases driven by electronic interactions show finite valley Chern numbers, and associated topological surface states. We finally demonstrate the robustness of our model by comparing it with full atomistic selfconsistent calculations, showing analogous phenomenology as the one predicted by the effective model. Our results demonstrate that buckled graphene monolayer can sustain a rich family of correlated topological states, realizing analogous physics to twisted graphene multilayers in the single monolayer limit.

#### 2. The system

We first review the effective model for the buckled graphene superlattice, depicted in figure 1(a). [33, 54] We take the graphene tight-binding Hamiltonian:

$$\mathcal{H} = -t \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{s} \psi_{is}^{\dagger} \psi_{js}, \qquad (1)$$

where *t* is the nearest-neighbor hopping constant, *i* and *j* denote different sites, *s* denotes spin,  $\langle i, j \rangle$  indicates the summation over nearest-neighbors,  $\psi_{is}$  is the annihilation and  $\psi_{is}^{\dagger}$  is the creation operator in position *i* with spin *s*.

Under in-plane strain, the system undergoes a buckling transition, modifying the hopping energies with the additional term [54]:

$$\delta t_n = -\frac{\sqrt{3}ev_F L_M}{4\pi}\sin(\mathbf{b}_n\cdot\mathbf{r}), \qquad (2)$$

where  $L_M$  is the superlattice size, and  $v_F$  is the Fermi velocity of pristine graphene. The three vectors:

$$\mathbf{b}_{1} = \frac{2\pi}{L_{M}} \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, 1, 0 \right), \tag{3}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_2 = \frac{2\pi}{L_M} \left(\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}}, 0, 0\right),\tag{4}$$

$$\mathbf{b}_{3} = \frac{2\pi}{L_{M}} \left( -\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, -1, 0 \right), \tag{5}$$

point along the same direction of each hopping vector.

In the  $k \cdot p$  approximation, the Hamiltonian with hoppings given by equation (2) corresponds to a pseudo-magnetic field with the form [33]:

$$B(\mathbf{r}) = B_{\text{eff}} \sum_{n=1}^{3} \cos(\mathbf{b}_n \cdot \mathbf{r}), \qquad (6)$$

where  $B_{\text{eff}}$  is estimated from the Landau level spacing from the experiment [33]. Under zero strain, the electronic structure is folded in the mini-Brillouin zone defined by the **b**<sub>n</sub> vectors (see figure 1(c)). As the strain takes a finite value, avoided crossings are formed, creating mini-bands (see 1(d)) which we interpret as pseudo-Landau bands [33, 54]. Hence, quasiparticles feel a bandwidth quench.

We perform the valley projection in full-lattice calculations (figures 1(d) and 6) computing the expectation value of the modified Haldane coupling,  $\langle V \rangle = \langle \Psi | V | \Psi \rangle$ , with [55–57]:

$$V = \frac{i}{3\sqrt{3}} \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} \eta_{ij}(\sigma_z)_{ij} \psi_i^{\dagger} \psi_j, \tag{7}$$

where  $\eta_{ij} = \pm 1$  for clockwise/anticlockwise hopping,  $\langle \langle i, j \rangle \rangle$  denotes a sum over second-neighbors, and  $\sigma_z$  acts on sublattice degrees of freedom.

From the local density of states plot in figure 2(b), obtained with full-lattice tight-binding calculations [54], it is possible to infer that the system has an emerging honeycomb superlattice. The Wannier sites are localized at the minima and maxima of  $B(\mathbf{r})$  since the characteristic length  $\sqrt{\hbar/eB(\mathbf{r})}$  is smaller





near the extrema. The two extrema (minimum and maximum) correspond to the two sublattices of this effective honeycomb structure. To reduce the computational cost of our numerical calculations, we now focus on the low-energy model of these Wannier states. We focus on the active bands closer to the Fermi energy, highlighted in figure 2(b). Namely, we derive an effective model for the bands within the [-0.025t, 0.025t] energy window in figure 1(d). This approach is analogous to low-energy models of twisted-bilayer graphene.

From both the space-dependent hopping constants (equation (2)) and density of states (figure 1(b)), we conclude that the system is invariant under  $C_3$ -rotations. Moreover, the bandstructure in figure 1(d) suggest that valley number is a conserved quantity. Finally, in the absence of electronic interactions, the system has time-reversal symmetry. With the current constraints, we find that the family of honeycomb Hamiltonians restricted to these symmetries is [58]:

$$\mathcal{H} = -\mu \sum_{i} \sum_{s,\tau} c^{\dagger}_{is\tau} c_{is\tau} + m \sum_{i} \sum_{s,\tau} (\sigma_{z})_{ii} c^{\dagger}_{is\tau} c_{is\tau} -\tilde{t} \sum_{s,\tau} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} c^{\dagger}_{is\tau} c_{js\tau} + i\lambda \sum_{s,\tau} \sum_{\langle \langle i,j \rangle \rangle} (\tau_{z})_{\kappa\kappa} \eta_{ij} c^{\dagger}_{is\tau} c_{js\tau}$$
(8)

where  $c_{is\tau}^{\dagger}$  are creation and  $c_{is\tau}$  annihilation operators at the site *i*, sublattice  $\sigma$ , valley  $\tau$ , and spin *s*. The Pauli matrices  $\sigma_i$  and  $\tau_i$  act on sublattice and valley degrees of freedom. The onsite energy and the nearest-neighbor hopping constants are denoted by  $\tilde{\mu}$  and  $\tilde{t}$  to distinguish to the atomistic model. There is also an onsite energy imbalance between both sublattices *m*, and a valley-dependent second-neighbors hopping  $\lambda$ . An scheme of this model is shown in figure 2(a). Note that, since the Brillouin zone of this system corresponds to the mini-Brillouin zone from the atomistic model, there is an extra *mini-valley* degree of freedom corresponding to the two nonequivalent points  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  in effective model Brillouin zone.

It is visible that the Hamiltonian of equation (8) is equivalent to the Kane–Mele (KM) model: it consists on the tight-binding model of a honeycomb

structure with a sublattice imbalance and a secondneighbors hopping that depends on the valley isospin. The mapping between both models is made by identifying the valley isospin in the buckled superlattice to spin in KM model (spin<sub>KM</sub>  $\rightarrow$  valley<sub>buckled</sub>), as well as identifying the mini-valleys  $\kappa$  and  $\kappa'$  in the buckled system to the valleys K and K' in KM model (valley<sub>KM</sub>  $\rightarrow$  mini-valley<sub>buckled</sub>) [59, 60]. As shown in figure 2(b), the energy dispersion is similar to the bandstructure of the full system (figure 1(d)) when  $m = 3\sqrt{3} \lambda =: M$ . Therefore, the non-interacting strained system (without symmetry breakings) is enforced to have  $M = m = \tilde{t}$  due to its gapless nature. Note that arbitrarily small variations of m/M open a gap in the effective model. If  $\delta(m/M) > 0$ , the system becomes a trivial insulator. On the other hand,  $\delta(m/M) < 0$  opens a topological gap and the system becomes a quantum valley Hall insulator (QVHI), in analogy to the spin Hall insulator phase in the KM model.

Since the topographic shape of the buckling has the same functional form of  $B(\mathbf{r})$ , out-of-plane displacement fields lead to a modulation of onsite energies as [54]:

$$\mu(\mathbf{r}) = \mu_0 \sum_{n=1}^{3} \cos(\mathbf{b}_n \cdot \mathbf{r}), \qquad (9)$$

where  $\mu_0 = D \ \delta h/4.5$  is proportional to the displacement field *D* and the buckling amplitude  $\delta h = 0.4nm$  (the factor 4.5 comes from the amplitude of the summation in equation (9)). Thus, near the maxima of  $B(\mathbf{r})$ ,  $\mu(\mathbf{r}) \approx 3 \ \mu_0$ , and  $\mu(\mathbf{r}) \approx 3 \ \mu_0/2$  near the minima of  $B(\mathbf{r})$ . From the effective model perspective, the onsite energy modulation is:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{elec}} = 3 \ \mu_{\text{elec}} \sum_{i \in A} \sum_{s.\tau} c_{is\tau}^{\dagger} c_{is\tau} - \frac{3 \ \mu_{\text{elec}}}{2} \sum_{i \in B} \sum_{s,\tau} c_{is\tau}^{\dagger} c_{is\tau},$$
(10)

where  $\mu_{\text{elec}} \propto \mu_0$ . The sum over *i* is performed on different sublattices in equation (10), since the corresponding Wannier sites are located at the maxima and minima of  $\mu(\mathbf{r})$ . This extra term modifies the Hamiltonian as  $m \rightarrow m + 3 \mu_{\text{elec}}/2$ , and  $\tilde{\mu} \rightarrow \tilde{\mu} - 3 \mu_{\text{elec}}/2$ . Therefore, out-of-plane displacement fields might be used as a knob to control the ratio m/M, ultimately working as an electric control of the system's topology, as shown in figure 3(a).

## 3. Interaction-driven quantum valley Hall effect

The reduced bandwidth reduction due to the pseudomagnetic field has been shown to lead to a correlated phase [33, 54]. Yet, due to the degeneracy of the low energy states, different groundstates may be realized in the system, depending on the range and strength of interactions [61–63]. The computational



**Figure 3.** Valley Chern number dependence on (a) sublattice imbalance *m* and antiferromagnetic mass  $m_{AF}$  for the effective model, taking constant  $\lambda$ . Panel (b) shows the valley Chern number obtained after including interactions in the interacting model, as a function of the Hubbard constant  $\tilde{U}$  and nearest-neighbors interactions  $\tilde{V}$ . Solid lines indicate a topological phase transition and dashed lines indicate m = M, which for  $m_{AF}$  corresponds to the non-interacting strained system.

cost of full-lattice calculations makes an extensive investigation of possible groundstates impractical. Hence, the reduced computational cost with an effective model allows us to explore the phase diagram as a function of electronic interactions.

To investigate the phase diagram of buckled graphene, we now include electronic interactions in the low energy model:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} = \tilde{U} \sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta\\\alpha\neq\beta}} \sum_{i} n_{i\alpha} n_{i\beta} + \tilde{V} \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \sum_{\alpha,\beta} n_{i\alpha} n_{j\beta}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\tilde{U}$  is the onsite Hubbard interaction,  $\tilde{V}$  is the nearest-neighbor interaction,  $n_{i\alpha} := c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{i\alpha}$  is the number operator at the Wannier site *i*. The subindices  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are a short-hand notation to include both valley and spin degrees of freedom.

We solve this Hamiltonian by means of a meanfield approximation. Namely, we make

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{int}} \approx \mathcal{H}_{\text{MF}} = \sum_{i,j,\alpha,\beta} \chi_{ij\alpha\beta} c_{i\alpha}^{\dagger} c_{j\beta}, \qquad (12)$$

and find  $\chi_{ij\alpha\beta}$  self-consistently. First, it is important to note that the interaction strengths  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$ depend on the screening created by the substrate of the buckled structure [64], and as such can be controlled by screening engineering [64–67]. In the following, we will explore the potential symmetry broken states as a function of the two interaction strengths, keeping in mind that such values would be controlled by substrate engineering. As we change the ratio of the local and non-local interaction, we see that there are two different groundstates, shown in the phase diagram of figure c. A charge density wave, illustrated in figure b, develops and persists until the Hubbard constant reaches a critical value at which an antiferromagnetic ordering, depicted in figure a,



**Figure 4.** Illustration of (a) antiferromagnetic and (b) charge density wave groundstates. (c) Phase diagram as a function of the coupling constants  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$ . The groundstate is a charge density wave (CDW) unless  $\tilde{U}$  is sufficiently high. For  $\tilde{U}$  higher than a critical value, an antiferromagnetic (AF) order develops. (d) System gap as a function of the electron–electron couplings. It is visible that the gap closes outside the region in which the broken symmetry changes.

occurs. For  $\tilde{V} = 0$ , this critical value is  $\tilde{U}_c \sim 2t$ , as expected for honeycomb systems [68].

From a mean-field perspective, the charge density wave groundstate leads to a change in the sublattice imbalance. In other words, it leads to a transformation  $m \rightarrow m + m_{CDW}$ . The effects of an anti-ferromagnetic lead to the additional term in the Hamiltonian 8:

$$H_{AF} = m_{AF} \kappa_0 \otimes \tau_0 \otimes \sigma_z \otimes s_z, \tag{13}$$

where  $s_z$  acts on the spin space, and  $m_{AF}$  is the meanfield antiferromagnetic mass. Note that one can interpret the antiferromagnetic term as a spin-dependent sublattice imbalance.

As discussed in section 2, small variations on the ratio m/M lead to a gap opening. That makes one wonder if there are topological phase transitions as we change the electron–electron coupling constants. Hence, we compute, for different values of m and  $m_{AF}$ , the valley Chern number [69, 70]:

$$C_V = C_K - C_{K'}$$
  
=  $\int_{-\infty}^0 d\omega \int_{BZ} \frac{d^2 \mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}}{2} G_V(\partial_{k_\alpha} G_V^{-1})(\partial_{k_\beta} G_V).$  (14)

Here,  $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta}$  denotes the Levi-Civita tensor,

$$G_V = [\omega - H(\mathbf{k}) + i0^+]^{-1} \mathcal{P}_V \tag{15}$$

the Green's function associated with the Bloch Hamiltonian  $H(\mathbf{k})$ , and  $\mathcal{P}_V = \tau_z$  is the valley operator.

We see in figure 4(a) that there are two topologically nontrivial phases: one of them is a QVHI



for both spin channels (valley Chern number 4), the other is the one that we predict to exist in a single spin channel (valley Chern number 2). The formation of both phases is rather intuitive to understand. While the QVHI takes place when  $\delta(m/M) < 0$ , the sQVHI phase exist for both positive and negative values of  $m_{\rm AF}$ . The reason is that while one spin channel suffers a shift  $m \rightarrow m + m_{AF}$ , the other is shifted as  $m \rightarrow$  $m - m_{\rm AF}$ . Thus, one spin channel becomes topological and the other becomes a trivial insulator. This is visible in figure 5: in the QVHI phase (figure 5(c)), all four bands show a band inversion; and in the sQVHI phase (figure 5(d)), only two out of the four bands show a band inversion. The band inversion occurs in the spin channels for which the sublattice imbalance decreases.

Now we note that, even though there are only two regions in the order parameter map of figure 4(c), there are several gap closings in figure 4(d). Reviewing the phase diagram by also checking the valley Chern number, it is visible that varying  $\tilde{U}$  and  $\tilde{V}$  yields four distinct phases: trivial charge density wave and antiferromagnet, as well as topological charge density wave (QVHI) and topological antiferromagnet (sQVHI). We also show selected sublattice-projected band diagrams in figures 5(a)– (d). While the charge density wave (figure 5(a)) and antiferromagnetic (figure 5(b)) bulk bands have well-defined sublattice numbers, the QVHI (figure 5(c)) and the sQVHI (figure 5(d)) show a band inversion.

To compare with the effective model calculations, we perform self-consistent Hubbard mean-field calculations in a superlattice following our previous



**Figure 6.** Results of full-lattice calculations with modulated hoppings in equation (2) solved self-consistently with an additional Hubbard constant U = 0.3t. Valley Chern number in a (a) infinite system and (b) in a nanoribbon. (c) Magnetization along the z-direction. A periodically modulated ferrimagnetic order is formed. From a superlattice perspective, it corresponds to a antiferromagnetic honeycomb structure. (d) *y*-position projection of a nanoribbon bandstructure. We see that each edge has two counter-propagating edge states with opposite valley-polarization.

work [54]. To reduce the computational cost, we rescaled the system as  $a \rightarrow \beta a$  and  $t \rightarrow t/\beta$  so the Fermi velocity keeps constant. [71] We choose, as an example, the Hubbard constant to be U = 0.3t, resulting in a correlation gap in the bandstructure (see figure 6(a)). The gap is a result of a magnetic phase in the form of a superlattice-modulated ferrimagnetic order (see figure 6(c)). Integrating the magnetization in the vicinity to a minimum of  $B(\mathbf{r})$  the magnetization is finite and positive, while it is negative in the neighborhood of pseudo-magnetic field maxima. In this situation, the system has a valley Chern number 2, in accordance with our effective model calculations with an antiferromagnetic ordering. We found that this system is in the sQVHI phase, with valley Chern number 2, compatible with the magnetically ordered groundstate observed [54]. The existence of topological edge states is visible in the bandstructure of a nanoribbon, shown in figure 6(b). As expected, we observe two counter-propagating (helical-like) edge states with opposite valley numbers at both boundaries, similarly to the KM model [59, 60]. Finally, we note that the local charge accumulation with the periodic potential might also change the values of U and V. Furthermore, the increase of out-of-plane fields closes the antiferromagnetic gap [54]. Thus, electrostatic control is not only a knob to control topology, but also electronic correlations.

#### 4. Conclusions

To summarize, we have shown that buckled graphene superlattices show spontaneous symmetry breaking driven by electronic interactions, leading to a topological gap opening. First, by combining atomistic low energy models with a symmetry analysis, we derived an effective model for the lowest bands of buckled graphene superlattices. We then included electronic interactions in a non-local form in the low-energy model, showing the emergence of competing ground states. Namely, an antiferromagnetic and a charge density wave. Remarkably, the spontaneous breaking of symmetries was shown to lead to a topological gap opening for a wide range of the non-local interactions. The charge density wave phase hosts a quantum valley Hall insulator, while the antiferromagnetic phase has a spin-polarized quantum valley Hall insulator region in the parameter space. Our results put forward buckled graphene superlattices as a platform to study interaction-induced valley topology, and highlight that single layer moire systems can potentially host analogous correlated states to those of complex twisted graphene multilayers.

#### Data availability statement

The data shown in the figures, as well as the code generating all of the data is available on Zenodo [72].

The data that support the findings of this study are openly available at the following URL/DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4685132.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Gabrielle Weber, Anton Akhmerov, and Eva Andrei for useful discussions. The work of A L R M was funded by São Paulo Research Foundation, numbers 2016/10167-8 and 2019/07082-9. J L L acknowledges the computational resources provided by the Aalto Science-IT project and the financial support from the Academy of Finland Projects Nos. 331342 and 336243. A L R M also acknowledges the hospitality of the Quantum Tinkerer group.

#### ORCID iDs

Antonio L R Manesco in https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7667-6283

Jose L Lado bhttps://orcid.org/0000-0002-9916-1589

#### References

- [1] Zhang Y, Yuan N F Q and Fu L 2020 Phys. Rev. B 102 201115
- [2] Andrei E Y, Efetov D K, Jarillo-Herrero P, MacDonald A H, Mak K F, Senthil T, Tutuc E, Yazdani A and Young A F 2021 Nat. Rev. Mater. 6 201
- [3] Chen P-Y et al 2019 Adv. Mater. 31 1901077

- [4] Lado J L and Liljeroth P 2021 arXiv: 2102.11779 [cond-mat]
- [5] Li Z-D, Li Q-Y, Li L and Liu W M 2007 Phys. Rev. E 76 026605
- [6] Jiang Z F, Li R D, Zhang S-C and Liu W M 2005 Phys. Rev. B 72 045201
- [7] Zhang X-L, Liu L-F and Liu W-M 2013 Sci. Rep. 3 2908
- [8] Chen Y-H, Tao H-S, Yao D-X and Liu W-M 2012 Phys. Rev. Lett. 108 246402
- [9] Wu F, Lovorn T, Tutuc E and MacDonald A H 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 026402
- [10] Kitaev A Y 2003 Ann. Phys., NY 303 2
- [11] Lutchyn R M, Sau J D and Sarma S D 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 077001
- [12] Law K T, Lee P A and Ng T K 2009 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 103 237001
- [13] Zhang H, et al 2021a arXiv: 2101.11456 [cond-mat]
- [14] Zhang H, Liu D E, Wimmer M and Kouwenhoven L P 2019 Nat. Commun. 10 5128
- [15] Mourik V, Zuo K, Frolov S M, Plissard S R, Bakkers E P A M and Kouwenhoven L P 2012 Science 336 1003
- [16] Kezilebieke S et al 2020 Nature 588 424
- [17] San-Jose P, Lado J L, Aguado R, Guinea F and Fernández-Rossier J 2015 Majorana Zero Modes in Graphene Phys. Rev. X 5 041042
- [18] Oreg Y, Refael G and von Oppen F 2010 Phys. Rev. Lett. 105 177002
- [19] Fu L and Kane C L 2008 Phys. Rev. Lett. 100 096407
- [20] Song Z, Wang Z, Shi W, Li G, Fang C and Bernevig B A 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 036401
- [21] Xie F, Song Z, Lian B and Bernevig B A 2020 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 124 167002
- [22] Da Liao Y, Kang J, Breiø C N, Xu X Y, Wu H-Q, Andersen B M, Fernandes R M and Meng Z Y 2021 Phys. Rev. X 11 011014
- [23] Choi Y et al 2021 Nature 589 536
- [24] Kauppila V J, Aikebaier F and Heikkilä T T 2016 Phys. Rev. B 93 214505
- [25] Kopnin N B, Heikkilä T T and Volovik G E 2011 Phys. Rev. B 83 220503
- [26] Tang E and Fu L 2014 Nat. Phys. 10 964
- [27] Gani Y S, Steinberg H and Rossi E 2019 Phys. Rev. B 99 235404
- [28] Cao Y et al 2018 Nature 556 80
- [29] Shen C et al 2020 Nat. Phys. 16 520
- [30] Liu X et al 2020 Nature 583 221
- [31] Park J M, Cao Y, Watanabe K, Taniguchi T and Jarillo-Herrero P 2021 Nature 590 249
- [32] Cao Y, Park J M, Watanabe K, Taniguchi T and Jarillo-Herrero P 2021 arXiv: 2103.12083 [cond-mat.mes-hall]
- [33] Mao J et al 2020 Nature 584 215
- [34] Bukharaev A A, Zvezdin A K, Pyatakov A P and Fetisov Y K 2018 Phys.-Usp. 61 1175
- [35] Jiang Y, Mao J, Duan J, Lai X, Watanabe K, Taniguchi T and Andrei E Y 2017 Nano Lett. 17 2839
- [36] Vozmediano M A H, Katsnelson M I and Guinea F 2010 Phys. Rep. 496 109
- [37] Low T and Guinea F 2010 Nano Lett. 10 3551
- [38] Ramezani Masir M, Moldovan D and Peeters F 2013 Solid State Commun. 175-176 76
- [39] Peltonen T J and Heikkilä T T 2020 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 32 365603
- [40] Deng S and Berry V 2016 Mater. Today 19 197
- [41] Sgouros A P, Kalosakas G, Papagelis K and Galiotis C 2018 Sci. Rep. 8 9593
- [42] Zhang C, Lu C, Pei L, Li J and Wang R 2021b Nano Mater. Sci. 3 95
- [43] Kharadi M A, Malik G F A, Khanday F A, Shah K A, Mittal S and Kaushik B K 2020 ECS J. Solid State Sci. Technol. 9 115031
- [44] Guzmán-Verri G G and Lew Yan Voon L C 2007 Phys. Rev. B 76 075131

- [45] Zhao J et al 2016 Prog. Mater. Sci. 83 24
- [46] Acun A et al 2015 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter. 27 443002
- [47] Grazianetti C, Cinquanta E and Molle A 2016 2D Materials 3 012001
- [48] Molle A, Goldberger J, Houssa M, Xu Y, Zhang S-C and Akinwande D 2017 Nat. Mater. 16 163
- [49] Lyu J-K, Zhang S-F, Zhang C-W and Wang P-J 2019 Ann. Phys., Lpz. 531 1900017
- [50] Bao W, Miao F, Chen Z, Zhang H, Jang W, Dames C and Lau C N 2009 Nat. Nanotechnol. 4 562
- [51] Cai S, Breid D, Crosby A J, Suo Z and Hutchinson J W 2011 J. Mech. Phys. Solids 59 1094
- [52] Cerda E and Mahadevan L 2003 Phys. Rev. Lett. 90 074302
- [53] Milovanović S P, Anłdjelković M, Covaci L and Peeters F M 2020 Phys. Rev. B 102 245427
- [54] Manesco A L R, Lado J L, Ribeiro E V S, Weber G and Jr D R 2020 2D Materials 8 015011
- [55] Colomés E and Franz M 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 086603
- [56] Ramires A and Lado J L 2018 Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 146801
- [57] Lopez-Bezanilla A and Lado J L 2020 Phys. Rev. Res. 2 033357
- [58] Varjas D, Rosdahl T O and Akhmerov A R 2018 New J. Phys. 20 093026

- [59] Kane C L and Mele E J 2005a Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 226801
- [60] Kane C L and Mele E J 2005b Phys. Rev. Lett. 95 146802
- [61] Kharitonov M 2012 Phys. Rev. B 85 155439
- [62] Goerbig M O 2011 Rev. Modern Phys. 83 1193
- [63] Young A F, Sanchez-Yamagishi J D, Hunt B, Choi S H, Watanabe K, Taniguchi T, Ashoori R C and Jarillo-Herrero P 2014 Nature 505 528
- [64] Stepanov P et al 2020 Nature 583 375
- [65] Pizarro J M, Rösner M, Thomale R, Valentí R and Wehling T O 2019 *Phys. Rev.* B **100** 161102
- [66] Rösner M and Lado J L 2021 Phys. Rev. Res. 3 013265
- [67] Steinke C, Wehling T O and Rösner M 2020 Phys. Rev. B 102 115111
- [68] Schüler M, Rösner M, Wehling T O, Lichtenstein A I and Katsnelson M I 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 036601
- [69] Chen K-T and Lee P A 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84 205137
- [70] Wolf T M R, Lado J L, Blatter G and Zilberberg O 2019 Phys. Rev. Lett. 123 096802
- [71] Liu M-H, Rickhaus P, Makk P, Tóvári E, Maurand R, Tkatschenko F, Weiss M, Schönenberger C and Richter K 2015 Phys. Rev. Lett. 114 036601
- [72] Manesco A L R and Lado J L 2021 Correlation-induced valley topology in buckled graphene superlattices (https:// doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4685132)