

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Smit, J. M., Krijthe, J. H., Meduri, G. U., Dequin, P. F., Karunajeewa, H., Torres, A., Reinders, M. J. T., Endeman, H., & Van Der Zee, P. A. (2025). C-reactive protein-guided treatment in pneumonia: Charting a personalised approach – Authors' reply. *The Lancet Respiratory Medicine*, 13(5), e25-e26. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600\(25\)00096-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-2600(25)00096-7)

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

In case the licence states “Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa)”, this publication was made available Green Open Access via the TU Delft Institutional Repository pursuant to Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa, the Taverne amendment). This provision does not affect copyright ownership.
Unless copyright is transferred by contract or statute, it remains with the copyright holder.

Sharing and reuse

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

C-reactive protein-guided treatment in pneumonia: charting a personalised approach

Authors' reply

We appreciate the opportunity to further clarify our findings in response to the insightful comments from Shota Yamamoto and colleagues and Luis Felipe Reyes and Ignacio Martin-Loeches¹ regarding our recent community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) study.²

Yamamoto and colleagues highlight the importance of considering the risks of multiple testing and multicollinearity. We agree and explicitly took steps to mitigate these risks: following Lu Tian and colleagues³ recommendation, we pre-registered⁴ our model and used the two most recent trials^{5,6} as an independent test cohort, confirming statistically significant and clinically relevant heterogeneity of treatment effect in this external validation. Regarding multicollinearity, the variance inflation factor for C-reactive protein (CRP), at only 1.25 in the training cohort and 1.20 across all eight trials, suggest CRP is unlikely to be a proxy for another observed variable. Although we cannot rule out that multicollinearity or other factors obscure additional predictors of treatment benefit, we showed that CRP's predictiveness for treatment response is externally validated.

Yamamoto and colleagues also mention the importance of considering outcomes other than 30-day mortality to get a more comprehensive picture of treatment effects, which was addressed in our analysis with the inclusion of multiple secondary outcomes, including intubation and 90-day mortality, both trending toward greater benefit for patients with high baseline CRP—aligning with our primary outcome findings.

While the significant reduction in 30-day mortality for patients with

high CRP is a key benefit and our study revealed no increase in superinfections or gastrointestinal bleeding, the observed increase in readmissions and hyperglycaemia rates in patients treated with corticosteroids (regardless of baseline CRP) leads us to share Yamamoto and colleagues' concern about an important trade-off with potential short-term and long-term implications, warranting further investigation beyond the 30-day timeframe.

Reyes and Martin-Loeches¹ raise concerns that using CRP might be premature for guiding clinical practice and advocate for a phenotype-based approach incorporating multiple biomarkers. We support further research into additional inflammatory markers (eg, cytokines), but our multivariate approach, using nearly all currently available randomised controlled trial data provides evidence only for a CRP-based method. CRP measurement is widely available, routinely used, and therefore an accessible and evidence-supported tool to guide corticosteroid therapy.

Of note, Reyes and Martin-Loeches do support corticosteroid use in severe CAP, aligning with the latest Society of Critical Care Medicine (SCCM) guidelines.⁷ However, severity classifications vary across guidelines, with SCCM⁷ and the European Respiratory Society, European Society of Intensive Care Medicine, European Society of Clinical Microbiology and Infectious Diseases, and Latin American Thoracic Association⁸ using different criteria. Furthermore, in our analysis, we did not observe a greater relative treatment benefit in severe versus non-severe CAP, regardless of the severity definition used (ie, pneumonia severity index,⁹ CURB-65,¹⁰ initial intensive care unit admission, or initial invasive mechanical ventilation), challenging the current severity-based approach.

Reyes and Martin-Loeches suggest that many of the included

trials lacked data on aetiology or baseline inflammation. However, we obtained aetiological data for seven (87.5%) of eight trials and had access to inflammatory markers (ie, CRP and white blood-cell counts) for all included trials. Our analyses on aetiology (based on patients with randomised treatment, including 11% of viral cases and 6% with influenza) suggest a non-significant trend toward harm in patients with viral CAP, including influenza, regardless of baseline CRP. Hence, we agree caution is warranted in these cases and advocate further investigation. Additionally, we encourage research on the potential benefits of response-adaptive corticosteroid regimens,⁶ early treatment initiation, and hydrocortisone usage (rather than other corticosteroids).

In conclusion, our analysis provides clear evidence that baseline CRP is a strong predictor of corticosteroid response, while severity-based classifications do not show the same treatment effect differentiation. We believe updates to the guidelines should reflect this.

P-FD received funding from the French Ministry of Health for the CAPE COD trial, whose data are used in this Article; loan of equipment and supply of devices for a therapeutic trial from Aerogen and Fisher & Paykel; consulting fees from Ardis Pharmaceuticals; payment or honoraria from Vidal; and support for attending meetings or travel from AOP Health. AT received consulting fees and payment or honoraria for lectures, presentations, speakers bureaus, manuscript writing, and educational events from Pfizer, Poliphor, Merck Sharp & Dohme, Janssen, and OM Pharma. All other authors declare no competing interests.

**Jim M Smit, Jesse H Krijthe, Gianfranco U Meduri, Pierre-François Dequin, Harin Karunajeewa, Antoni Torres, Marcel J T Reinders, Henrik Endeman, Philip A Van Der Zee, on behalf of all authors*

j.smit@erasmusmc.nl

Department of Intensive Care (JMS, HE, PAVDZ), Department of Pulmonary Medicine (PAVDZ), Erasmus MC-University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands (JMS, HE, PAVDZ); Pattern Recognition & Bioinformatics group, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands (JMS, JHK, MJTR); Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, University

of Tennessee Health Science Center, Memphis, TN, USA (GUM); Médecine intensive réanimation, Chru Hôpitaux De Tours, Hospital Bretonneau, Tours, France (P-FD); Department of Medicine, The Western Health Chronic Disease Alliance and the University of Melbourne, Melbourne, VIC, Australia (HK); Department of Pulmonology, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain (AT)

- 1 Reyes LF, Martin-Loeches I. Corticosteroids in community-acquired pneumonia: a step forward, but questions remain. *Lancet Respir Med* 2025; **13**: 191–93.
- 2 Smit JM, Van Der Zee PA, Stoof SCM, et al. Predicting benefit from adjuvant therapy with corticosteroids in community-acquired pneumonia: a data-driven analysis of randomised trials. *Lancet Respir Med* 2025; **13**: 221–33.
- 3 Tian L, Alizadeh AA, Gentles AJ, Tibshirani R. A simple method for estimating interactions between a treatment and a large number of covariates. *J Am Stat Assoc* 2014; **109**: 1517–32.
- 4 Smit JM, Van Der Zee PA, Stoof SCM, et al. Predicting individualized treatment effects of corticosteroids in community-acquired-pneumonia: a data-driven analysis of randomized controlled trials. *medRxiv* 2023; published online Oct 3. <https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.10.03.23296132> (preprint).
- 5 Meduri GU, Shih M-C, Bridges L, et al. Low-dose methylprednisolone treatment in critically ill patients with severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2022; **48**: 1009–23.
- 6 Dequin P-F, Meziani F, Quenot J-P, et al. Hydrocortisone in severe community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 2023; **388**: 1931–41.
- 7 Chaudhuri D, Nei AM, Rochweg B, et al. 2024 focused update: guidelines on use of corticosteroids in sepsis, acute respiratory distress syndrome, and community-acquired pneumonia. *Crit Care Med* 2024; **52**: e219–33.
- 8 Martin-Loeches I, Torres A, Nagavci B, et al. ERS/ESICM/ESCMID/ALAT guidelines for the management of severe community-acquired pneumonia. *Intensive Care Med* 2023; **49**: 615–32.
- 9 Fine MJ, Auble TE, Yealy DM, et al. A prediction rule to identify low-risk patients with community-acquired pneumonia. *N Engl J Med* 1997; **336**: 243–50.
- 10 Lim WS, van der Eerden MM, Laing R, et al. Defining community acquired pneumonia severity on presentation to hospital: an international derivation and validation study. *Thorax* 2003; **58**: 377–82.