# **Climate change and inland shipping**



Old Roman Rhine vessel



Container vessel "Jowi"

By: Ad van der Toorn University of Technology Delft, The Netherlands



This paper is based on the tentative results of the National Research Program 'Knowledge for Climate', working group: <u>Climate change and inland shipping</u>

#### Partners:

- Arcadis
- Deltares- Delft
- Rijkswaterstaat / DVS
- TNO-Mobility and Logistics
- VU-University Amsterdam
- University of Technology Delft / CiT&G



# **Climate change and inland shipping**

- 1. <u>Present</u> and <u>future</u> problems?
- 2. <u>Analysis</u> of working group
- 3. <u>Short</u> term and <u>long</u> term solutions ?
- 4. What will be the right **policy**?



#### What are the present problems ?

- In 'dry' years, like 2003, with periods of <u>low discharges</u> of the river Rhine, there are already <u>strong restrictions</u> to the loading capacity of the main inland vessels.
- In 'wet' years, like 1995, with periods of <u>high discharges</u> there are already <u>some restrictions</u> to the heigth of (container) vessels with respect to bridges and some speed limitations because of dike (in)stability.



## What are the <u>future</u> problems ?

- Due to climate change the expectation is that there will be <u>a sea level rise of 1,30 m</u> in 2100. So the Maeslant barrier will close more frequent (1\*/10yr > 30\*/yr).
- Due to climate change the expectation is that there will be <u>more fluctuation in the discharges</u> of the river Rhine, e.g. in 2050 the 'dry' year 2003, will be an average one.
- Together with the continious upsizing of inland vessels, there will be even more restrictions to the loading capacity and/or height at that time (2050 / 2100).



# Analysing steps of working group:





# **Results working group so far:**

- In 2050, with high economic growth and climate change, then in worst case 10 days periods 35% of the volume is <u>infeasible</u> and 10% is still feasible, but <u>at higher costs.</u>
- The increase in unreliability and higher costs leads to a modal shift of 26% of the volume, of which <sup>3</sup>/<sub>4</sub> to rail and <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> to road transport.
- 3. In 2050, the closing frequency of the Maeslant barrier may be 1 in every 5 years, but will have <u>no substantial impact</u> on inland waterway transport.



## What are <u>short</u> term solutions ?

- Better <u>Information management</u>: More acurate actual and forecasted waterdepth, draught, heigth, etc.
- More adequat <u>River management</u>: Local dredging, local suppletion, etc.
- Logistic management: More stock or storage capacity, other routes, modal shift, extra handling facilities, etc.
- Added buoancy at critical locations (by ship camel)



# Added buoyancy ? What's new!

Scheepskamelen & Waterschepen

Fere ellendige talmerij, doch lofflijk middel

Image: Scheepskamelen & Waterschepen

Fere ellendige talmerij, doch lofflijk middel

Image: Scheepskamelen & Waterschepen

Image: Scheepska

GRADDY BOVEN & AB HOVING

#### Ship camel, Amsterdam, the Netherlands, 1690 !





### What are long term solutions ?

- Fleet management: Vessels with smaller draft, so broader, longer, light weight, extra buoyancy, etc.
- <u>River management</u>: Movable weirs, movable groins, reservoirs and retention basins, etc.



## What will be the right policy ?

- Calculate <u>costs and benefits</u> of most promising short term and long term solutions.
- Try to convince <u>stakeholders</u> to make first small steps in their part of the (short term) solution.
- <u>Monitor</u> the developments of the main aspects to see if more (long term) solutions are needed.

