

Evaluation of RRSB distribution and lognormal distribution for describing the particle size distribution of graded cementitious materials

Gao, Peng; Zhang, Tong Sheng; Wei, Jiang Xiong; Yu, Qi Jun

DOI

[10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.079](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.079)

Publication date

2018

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Published in

Powder Technology

Citation (APA)

Gao, P., Zhang, T. S., Wei, J. X., & Yu, Q. J. (2018). Evaluation of RRSB distribution and lognormal distribution for describing the particle size distribution of graded cementitious materials. *Powder Technology*, 331, 137-145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2018.01.079>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Evaluation of RRSB distribution and lognormal distribution for describing the particle**
2 **size distribution of graded cementitious materials**

3 Peng Gao^{a,b}, Tong Sheng Zhang^{a,c}, Jiang Xiong Wei^{a,c*}, Qi Jun Yu^{a,c}

4 ^a *School of Materials Science and Engineering, South China University of Technology, 510640, Guangzhou,*
5 *People's Republic of China*

6 ^b *Microlab, Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, 2628 CN Delft, The*
7 *Netherlands*

8 ^c *Guangdong Low Carbon Technologies Engineering Center for Building Materials, 510640, Guangzhou,*
9 *People's Republic of China*

10

11 **Abstract:** Graded blended cement made of graded Portland cement (PC), blast furnace slag (BFS) and fly ash
12 (FA) is attractive for cement production. For manufacturing graded blended cement, a suitable mathematical
13 expression should be introduced to describe the particle size distribution (PSD) of its components and control the
14 quality of graded blended cement. This study aims to evaluate Rosin-Rammler-Sperling-Bennet (RRSB)
15 distribution and lognormal distribution for describing the PSD of the components of graded blended cement.
16 RRSB distribution and lognormal distribution are used to fit the PSD of ungraded and graded PC, BFS and FA. It
17 is found that lognormal distribution exhibits smaller fitting errors for describing the PSDs of graded PC, BFS, FA
18 and ungraded FA. What is more, lognormal distribution exhibits good simplicity and popularity. Hence, it is
19 recommended to use lognormal distribution to control the PSD of graded blended cement in manufacturing
20 process.

21

* Corresponding author. Tel./Fax.: +86 20 8711 4137. Email address: jxwei@scut.edu.cn (Jiangxiong Wei).

22 **Key words:** Lognormal distribution; RRSB distribution; Blast furnace slag; Fly ash; Portland cement; Graded
23 cement.

24

25 **1. Introduction**

26 Cementitious materials, such as Portland cement (PC), **consist of** polydisperse particles with particle size from
27 nanoscale to microscale. The particle size plays an important role in affecting the performance of cementitious
28 materials, **such as setting, heat release and strength** [1-8]. Hence, controlling the particle size distribution (PSD)
29 of cementitious materials is an important issue in cement production.

30 The incorporation of supplementary cementitious materials (SCM), like blast furnace slag (BFS) and fly ash
31 (FA), in PC is an efficient method to reduce CO₂ emissions and **energy consumption in cement production**.
32 Controlling the PSD of SCM is also important to improve the strength of cement blended with SCM [9-12]. In
33 recent years, Zhang et al. [10-12] proposed a method to prepare graded blended cement by mixing fine BFS (e.g.
34 <8 μm), medium PC (e.g. 8-32 μm) and coarse FA (e.g. >32 μm) based on a close packing theory. The obtained
35 graded blended cement contains only a small amount of PC (approximate 25 wt.%) and shows similar strength to
36 pure PC. **For this reason this method is attractive for cement production. A commercial patent was also published**
37 **recently to promote the application of this method in cement industry [13].**

38 However before applying this method for manufacturing graded blended cement, some aspects should be
39 carefully taken into account. **One aspect is how to control the PSD of the components of the graded blended**
40 **cement in the manufacturing process.** Mathematical expressions are good tools to describe the PSD of cements.
41 By using mathematical expressions the PSD of cements **can be described with only a few coefficients.** These
42 coefficients are helpful for cement quality control [14], **because it will be easy to know** whether the PSD of
43 cements meet the standard or not by comparing the values of these coefficients with the standard values. For

44 manufacturing graded blended cement, a suitable mathematical expression can also be used to control the PSD of
45 graded cementitious materials, such as fine BFS, medium PC and coarse FA.

46 Rosin-Rammler-Sperling-Bennet (RRSB) distribution is a possible option because it has been widely used in
47 cement industry. As shown in Fig. 1, RRSB distribution (Fig. 1a) exhibits a similar curve to the PSD of an
48 ungraded PC (Fig. 1b). However, as shown in Fig. 1b the graded PC presents a sharp and narrow PSD which is
49 significantly different from the ungraded PC. Hence, RRSB distribution might be inappropriate to describe the
50 PSD of graded cementitious materials.

51 Another possible option is lognormal distribution. Lognormal distribution has been widely applied in **natural**
52 **and social sciences**. It can be used to describe the PSD of graded particles [15], aerosol particles [16], ultrafine
53 metal particles [17] and powders for ceramic sintering [18], etc. As shown in Fig. 1a, lognormal distribution
54 **shows a bell-shape** in logarithmic to linear scale, which is similar to the PSD shape of the graded PC.

55 It seems that lognormal distribution is more suitable to describe the PSD of graded cementitious materials in
56 comparison with RRSB distribution. However, **only a few studies have** systematically evaluated RRSB and
57 lognormal distributions for describing the PSD of graded cementitious materials. This study aims to evaluate
58 these two mathematical expressions for describing the PSD of graded cementitious materials. The evaluation will
59 **take into account the accuracy** of mathematical expressions for describing the PSD of graded cementitious
60 materials, the simplicity (the number of coefficients) of mathematical expressions and the popularity of
61 mathematical expressions.

62

63 **2. Introduction of RRSB distribution and lognormal distribution**

64 **2.1 RRSB distribution**

65 RRSB distribution is a powered exponential distribution. It originated in 1933 when P. Rosin and E. Rammler

66 used a mathematical expression to describe the PSD of materials prepared by grinding [19,20]. The initial RRSB
67 distribution was:

$$R(x) = 100\exp(-bx^n) \quad (1)$$

68 where $R(x)$ is the cumulative weight of particles larger than x (μm); b and n are coefficients.

69 The coefficients b and n were difficult to be solved until B.B Bennet introduced a characteristic particle
70 size x_e :

$$b = (x_e)^{-n} \quad (2)$$

71 By combining Eq. (1) and Eq. (2), RRSB distribution was rewritten as:

$$R(x) = 100\exp(-(x/x_e)^n) \quad (3)$$

72 And further rewritten as:

$$\ln\ln(100/R(x)) = n\ln(x/x_e) \quad (4)$$

73 According to Eq. (4), $\ln\ln(100/R(x))$ is proportional to $\ln(x/x_e)$. Hence $(x/x_e, 100/R(x))$ can be
74 plotted as a straight line in the “ $\ln\ln$ to \ln ” axis. The coefficient n , which is the slope of this straight line, can
75 be determined with a protractor in the “ $\ln\ln$ to \ln ” axis. After that the coefficients b and x_e can be calculated
76 with Eq. (1) and Eq. (2). This method was used to calculate the coefficients of RRSB distribution before
77 computers were prevalent. Currently, these coefficients can be easily obtained based on linear regression method
78 with the help of computers.

79

80 **2.2 Lognormal distribution**

81 Lognormal distribution is also called Galton's distribution. It was derived from normal distribution. Normal
82 distribution, also called Gaussian distribution, is a well-known mathematical expression to describe the random
83 variation that occurs in natural and social phenomena [21,22].

84 The function of normal distribution can be written as:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\delta\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(1 - \frac{(x - x_0)^2}{2\delta^2}\right) \quad (5)$$

85 where $f(x)$ is the probability density; x_0 is the arithmetic mean of x ; δ is the standard deviation of x .

86 Although normal distribution is successfully used in many fields, it is not suitable to describe the
87 distributions with skewed curves (also called skew distribution), which are quite common for the data with low
88 mean values, large variances and no negative values [21,22]. In 1879, Galton proposed lognormal distribution to
89 describe skew distributions [23]. As shown in Fig. 2, the normal distribution presents a bell-shaped curve, while
90 the lognormal distribution exhibits a skewed-shaped curve. It should be emphasized that the lognormal
91 distribution will also present a bell-shaped curve in logarithmic to linear scale.

92 Lognormal distribution can be written as:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x\delta\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln x - \ln x_0)^2}{2\delta^2}\right) \quad (6)$$

93 where $f(x)$ is the probability density; $\ln x_0$ is the arithmetic mean of $\ln x$; δ is the standard deviation of $\ln x$.

94 Two other parameters (offset y_0 and curve area A) are often involved to extend the applicability of
95 lognormal distribution. Then lognormal distribution is rewritten as:

$$f(x) = y_0 + \frac{A}{x\delta\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln x - \ln x_0)^2}{2\delta^2}\right) \quad (7)$$

96 Limpert et al. [22] used two models to illustrate the mechanism of normal and lognormal distributions (Fig.
97 3). As shown in Fig. 3a, a board with triangular barriers is used to illustrate the mechanism of normal distribution.
98 The balls are fed in the middle-top of the board and dropped through the triangular barriers until arriving at the
99 bottom receptacles. When the balls hit on a barrier, the probability of turning right or left is identical. If the
100 number of the barrier rows is N , the number of the bottom receptacles will be $N + 1$. For a large number of
101 rows and balls, the distribution of the balls in the receptacles will present a bell shape.

102 For lognormal distribution, a board with scalene triangles is used (Fig. 3b). The balls are also fed in the
103 left-top of the board. When the balls hit on the barriers, the probability of going right or left is identical. If the
104 number of the barrier rows is N , the number of the bottom receptacles will be $N + 1$. If the number of the rows
105 and balls are large enough, the distribution of the balls in the receptacles will be bell-shaped because the space of
106 the receptacles increases from left to right.

107 These two models reveal that both normal and lognormal distributions are caused by random variation.

108

109 3. Raw materials

110 In this evaluation the PSD of both ungraded and graded PC, BFS and FA will be used. The PSD data of ungraded
111 and graded PC, BFS and FA are from Zhang et al. [11], in which the graded PC, BFS and FA were prepared by
112 dividing the ungraded PC, BFS and FA with an air classifier, and the PSD of these materials were determined
113 with a laser diffraction particle size analyser. As shown in Fig. 4b, 4c and 4d, the graded PC (labelled as C1 to
114 C8), BFS (labelled as B1 to B7) and FA (labelled as F1 to F8) exhibit a bell-shaped PSD in the logarithmic to
115 linear scale, respectively. As shown in Fig. 4a, FA also presents a bell-shaped PSD curve although this “bell” is
116 wider than that of the graded PC, BFS and FA. The ungraded PC, BFS and FA are labelled as C0, B0 and F0,
117 respectively.

118 **4. Evaluation methods**

119 **4.1 RRSB distribution fitting**

120 The coefficients of RRSB distribution n and x_e in Eq. (3) are calculated with linear regression method. The
121 coefficients of RRSB distribution b is calculated with Eq. (2). The frequency weight of the RRSB distribution
122 is calculated as:

$$\Delta G_{RRSB}(d_i) = (100 - R(d_i)) - (100 - R(d_{i-1})) \quad (8)$$

123 where d_i is the diameter of particles; $\Delta G_{RRSB}(d_i)$ is the frequency weight of the particles between d_{i-1} and
124 d_i ; $R(d_i)$ is the cumulative weight of the particles with size up to d_i .

125

126 **4.2 Lognormal distribution fitting**

127 By setting the offset (y_0) as zero in Eq. (7), the function of lognormal distribution is rewritten as Eq. (9). The
128 coefficients of lognormal distribution d_0 , d_i and A in Eq. (9) are calculated with linear regression method.

$$\Delta G_{Log}(d_i) = \frac{A}{d_i \delta \sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left(-\frac{(\ln d_i - \ln d_0)^2}{2\delta^2}\right) \quad (9)$$

129 where $\Delta G_{Log}(d_i)$ is the frequency weight of the particles between d_{i-1} and d_i ; A represents the curve area
130 coefficient; δ is the curve width; d_0 is the mean diameter.

131

132 **4.3 Fitting errors**

133 The fitting errors are represented as absolute error and relative error. The absolute error means the PSD
134 difference between the fitting and the experimental data, and the relative error means the ratio between the
135 absolute error and the experimental data.

136 The absolute error for the particles between d_{i-1} and d_i is calculated as:

$$AE(d_i) = |\Delta G_{\text{exp}}(d_i) - \Delta G(d_i)| \quad (10)$$

137 where $AE(d_i)$ is the absolute error; d_i is the diameter of particles; $\Delta G_{\text{exp}}(d_i)$ is the frequency weight of the
138 particles between d_{i-1} and d_i given by experiment; $\Delta G(d_i)$ is the fit frequency weight of the particles
139 between d_{i-1} and d_i .

140 If there are N fractions, the average absolute error (AAE) for the particles between minimum particle
141 diameter (d_{min}) to maximum diameter (d_{max}) is calculated as :

$$AAE = \left(\sum_{d_{\text{min}}}^{d_{\text{max}}} AE(d_i) \right) / N \quad (11)$$

142 where N is the number of particle size intervals given by the laser diffraction particle size analyser.

143 The relative error of the particles between d_{i-1} and d_i is calculated as:

$$RE(d_i) = \frac{|\Delta G_{\text{exp}}(d_i) - \Delta G(d_i)|}{\Delta G_{\text{exp}}(d_i)} \times 100\% \quad (12)$$

144 The average relative error (ARE) for the particles between d_{min} and d_{max} is calculated as:

$$ARE = \left(\sum_{d_{\text{min}}}^{d_{\text{max}}} RE(d_i) \right) / N \quad (13)$$

145 In linear regression method, the fitting accuracy can be represented with the adjusted coefficient of
146 determination ($Adj. R^2$). If $Adj. R^2$ is closer to 1, the regression accuracy is more acceptable.

147 $Adj. R^2$ is calculated from the coefficient of determination (R^2). R^2 is calculated as:

$$R^2 = 1 - \frac{\sum_{d_{min}}^{d_{max}} (\Delta G_{exp}(d_i) - \Delta G(d_i))^2}{\sum_{d_{min}}^{d_{max}} [\Delta G_{exp}(d_i) - (\sum_{d_{min}}^{d_{max}} \Delta G_{exp}(d_i) / N)]^2} \quad (14)$$

148 Then $Adj. R^2$ is calculated as:

$$Adj. R^2 = 1 - \frac{(1 - R^2)(N - 1)}{(N - p - 1)} \quad (15)$$

149 where p is the number of coefficients. For RRSB distribution $p = 2$, because it contains coefficients x_e and n .

150 For lognormal distribution $p = 3$, because it contains coefficients A , d_0 and δ .

151

152 5. Evaluation results

153 5.1 Coefficients of RRSB and lognormal distribution fitting

154 The obtained coefficients of RRSB and lognormal distributions are listed in Table 1, Table 2 and Table 3. The

155 coefficients b and δ represent the “width” of RRSB and lognormal distributions, respectively. For the RRSB

156 distribution fitting, the value of coefficient b is smaller for the graded materials with larger characteristic

157 particle size x_e . For the lognormal distribution fitting, the value of coefficient δ is smaller for the graded

158 materials with larger mean particle size d_0 . Both values of coefficients b and δ show that the graded materials

159 **with smaller particle size have wider distributions**. This is consistent with the PSD curve of graded materials (Fig.

160 4).

161

162 5.2 Fitting errors

163 As listed in Table 4 RRSB distribution shows smaller errors for fitting the PSD of the ungraded PC (C0) and

164 BFS (B0), and exhibits larger errors for fitting the PSD of the ungraded FA (F0). For fitting the PSD of the

165 graded PC (C1 to C8), BFS (B1 to B7) and FA (F1 to F8), lognormal distribution exhibits smaller errors (as
166 listed in Table 5 to Table 7).

167 In order to more directly illustrate the fitting errors of RRSB and lognormal distributions, three representative
168 fractions (fine, medium and coarse) of the graded PC, BFS and FA are selected, and their PSD are plotted in Fig.
169 5 together with the fitting results of RRSB and lognormal distributions. The PSD of the ungraded FA is also
170 plotted in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 5a, Fig. 5b and Fig. 5c the lognormal distribution fitting is closer to the PSD
171 of the graded PC, BFS and FA. Furthermore, lognormal distribution fitting is also closer to the PSD of the
172 ungraded FA (Fig. 5d).

173

174 5.3 Adjusted coefficient of determination

175 Fig. 6 shows the $Adj. R^2$ of the fitting of RRSB and lognormal distributions. The lognormal distribution fitting
176 shows smaller $Adj. R^2$ for describing the PSD of the ungraded PC (C0) and BFS (B0), and larger $Adj. R^2$ for
177 describing the PSD of the ungraded FA (F0) (Fig. 6a). For all graded materials, C1 to C8, B1 to B7 and F1 to F8,
178 the lognormal distribution fitting shows higher $Adj. R^2$ (Fig. 6b, Fig. 6c and Fig. 6d). The closer $Adj. R^2$ is to
179 1, the more accurate is the linear regression. The above results illustrate that the accuracy of lognormal
180 distribution fitting is better for the graded PC, BFS and FA, and the ungraded FA, which is consistent with the
181 results of fitting errors.

182

183 6. Discussion

184 According to the aforementioned results lognormal distribution is more accurate to describe the PSD of graded
185 PC, BFS and FA. However, the applicability of a mathematical expression depends not only on its accuracy, but
186 also on its simplicity and popularity [24]. If a mathematical expression comprise too many coefficients, it will be
187 inconvenient to be applied. Moreover, if this mathematical expression is not well-known, it will be difficult to be

188 widely accepted. In the following paragraphs the applicability of RRSB and lognormal distributions for
189 describing the ungraded and graded PC, BFS and FA will be discussed in view of accuracy, simplicity and
190 popularity.

191

192 **6.1 Mathematical expression for describing the PSD of ungraded cementitious materials**

193 On average, RRSB distribution is suitable for describing the PSD of ungraded PC and BFS. First, its accuracy is
194 adequate according to the results shown in Table 4, and Fig. 6a. In addition, the simplicity of RRSB distribution
195 is acceptable since it only contains two coefficients: n and b . Further, the popularity of RRSB distribution is
196 good because it has been widely applied in cement industry.

197 However the accuracy of RRSB distribution is relatively low for describing the PSD of ungraded FA (as
198 shown in Table 4, and Fig. 6a). For the ungraded FA from other reports [25-28], the RRSB distribution fitting
199 also show larger errors (Table 8). This is probably because RRSB distribution was initially proposed to describe
200 the PSD of materials made by grinding. However FA particles are normally formed in the cooling process of
201 fused materials in the air without grinding.

202

203 **6.2 Mathematical expression for describing the PSD of graded cementitious materials**

204 According to the results in Table 5, Table 6, Table 7 and Fig. 6b, Fig. 6c, Fig. 6d, lognormal distribution presents
205 high accuracy for describing the PSD of graded PC, BFS and FA. Hence, the accuracy of lognormal distribution
206 is acceptable. Furthermore, the simplicity of lognormal distribution is adequate, because it contains three
207 coefficients: the curve width δ ; the curve area A ; and the mean particle size d_0 . It will be easy to evaluate the
208 PSD from different resources. What is more, the popularity of lognormal distribution is ensured because it is a
209 well-known distribution that has been applied in many fields.

210 In this evaluation, the graded PC, BFS and FA were obtained by using an air classifier to divide the ungraded
211 PC, BFS and FA into several fractions. As schematically shown in Fig. 7a, this air classifier comprises three
212 main zones: feed zone, gravitational-counterflow zone, and centrifugal-counterflow zone. The feed of the air
213 classifier contains fine, medium and coarse particles. As illustrated in Fig. 7b, the particles in the
214 gravitational-counterflow zone are affected by the combination of airflow force (F_A) and gravity force (F_G) [29].
215 A cut size is calculated according to the balance $F_G = F_A$. The particles with diameter smaller than the cut size
216 will rise along with the airflow. However, due to stochastic factors, some fine particles will fall to the downside
217 and some coarse particles will rise up [29]. When the particles pass through the gravitational-counterflow zone,
218 the coarse particles will be separated. In the centrifugal-counterflow zone, the fine and medium particles are
219 rotated by the airflow in the centrifuge (Fig. 7c). The movement of these particles is affected by the combination
220 of three forces: airflow force, centrifugal force and drag force (Fig. 7d). The drag force F_D is defined as the
221 force induced by the air movement [29]. Driven by these forces, the medium particles will flow towards the
222 walls and then fall to the bottom, while the fine particles will rise up to outside along with the airflow. At the
223 same time some medium particles will arrive at the upside, and some fine particles will come to the bottom as a
224 result of stochastic factors. When the particles pass through centrifugal-counterflow zone, the medium and fine
225 particles will be separated.

226 Using the above classifying process each ungraded material was classified into three fractions. Next, each
227 fraction was fed in the air classifier again, and new fractions were obtained. After several passes the ungraded
228 materials were graded into several fractions with desired PSD. Due to stochastic factors, each fraction
229 unavoidably contained the fine, medium and coarse particles. To some extent, the graded cementitious materials
230 were produced by random variation, which is similar to lognormal distribution (Fig. 3b). This is the possible
231 reason why lognormal distribution is suitable for describing the PSD of graded cementitious materials.

232

233 **7. Conclusions**

234 Graded blended cement made of graded PC, BFS and FA is attractive for cement production. For manufacturing
235 the graded blended cement, a suitable mathematical expression can be used to describe the PSD of its
236 components and control the quality of the graded blended cement. RRSB distribution and lognormal distribution
237 are two options to describe the PSD of the components of the graded. This study evaluated RRSB distribution
238 and lognormal distribution for describing the PSD of graded cementitious materials by taking into account the
239 accuracy of mathematical expressions for describing the PSD of graded cementitious materials, the simplicity
240 (the number of coefficients) of mathematical expressions and the popularity of mathematical expressions. Based
241 on the results of the evaluation, the following conclusions can be drawn:

242

243 (1) Lognormal distribution shows smaller fitting errors when used to describe the PSD of graded PC, BFS and
244 FA. The reason is that the graded PC, BFS and FA were produced by random variation, which is similar to
245 lognormal distribution. RRSB distribution shows smaller fitting errors for describing the PSD of ungraded PC
246 and BFS. The reason is that RRSB distribution is only suitable for describing the PSD of materials made with
247 grinding.

248

249 (2) The accuracy of RRSB distribution for describing the PSD of ungraded FA is relatively low. This is because
250 there is no grinding process in the production of FA.

251

252 (3) The simplicity of lognormal distribution is adequate, because it contains three coefficients: the curve width δ ;
253 the curve area A ; and the mean particle size d_0 . It will be easy to evaluate the PSD from different resources.

254 Further, the simplicity and popularity of lognormal distribution are also acceptable. It is recommended to use

255 lognormal distribution to control the PSD of graded blended cement in manufacturing process.

256

257 Acknowledgements

258 The authors would like to thank Mr. Hua Dong, Dr. Guang Ye, and Dr. Jie Hu for their help in the paper writing.

259 This work was funded by the National key research and development program (2016YFB0303502), China

260 Scholarship Council (CSC), Research Centre of TU Delft in Urban System and Environment (No. C36103),

261 SCUT Doctoral Student Short-Term Overseas Visiting Study Funding Project, National Natural Science

262 Foundation of China (No. 51672084), Guangdong special support for Youth Science and Technology innovation

263 talents (2015TQ01C312), and Pearl River Science and Technology Nova Program of Guangzhou

264 (201610010098). Their financial supports are gratefully acknowledged.

265

266 Reference

267 [1] H.F.W. Taylor, Cement Chemistry, second ed., Thomas Telford, London, 1997.

268 [2] Y.M. Zhang, T.J. Napier-Munn, Effects of particle size distribution, surface area and chemical composition
269 on Portland cement strength, Powder Technol. 83 (1995) 245–252.

270 [3] D.P. Bentz, E.J. Garboczi, C.J. Haecker, O.M. Jensen, Effects of cement particle size distribution on
271 performance properties of Portland cement-based materials, Cem. Concr. Res. 29 (1999) 1663–1671.

272 [4] H. Binici, O. Aksogan, I.H. Cagatay, M. Tokyay, E. Emsen, The effect of particle size distribution on the
273 properties of blended cements incorporating GGBFS and natural pozzolan (NP), Powder Technol. 177
274 (2007) 140–147.

275 [5] I.B. Celik, The effects of particle size distribution and surface area upon cement strength development,
276 Powder Technol. 188 (2009) 272–276.

- 277 [6] Q.Q. Ye, B.H. Guan, W.B. Lou, L. Yang, B. Kong, Effect of particle size distribution on the hydration and
278 compressive strength development of α -calcium sulfate hemihydrate paste, *Powder Technol.* 207 (2011)
279 208–214.
- 280 [7] T.S. Zhang, Q.J. Yu, J.X. Wei, P.P. Zhang, Effects of size fraction on composition and fundamental
281 properties of Portland cement, *Constr. Build. Mater.* 25 (2011) 3038–3043.
- 282 [8] D.P. Bentz, C.F. Ferraris, M.A. Galler, A.S. Hansen, J.M. Guynn, Influence of particle size distributions on
283 yield stress and viscosity of cement-fly ash pastes, *Cem. Concr. Res.* 42 (2012) 404–409.
- 284 [9] D.P. Bentz, A.S. Hansen, J.M. Guynn, Optimization of cement and fly ash particle sizes to produce
285 sustainable concretes, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 33 (2011) 824–831.
- 286 [10] T.S. Zhang, Q.J. Yu, J.X. Wei, P.P. Zhang, A new gap-graded particle size distribution and resulting
287 consequences on properties of blended cement, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 33 (2011) 543–550.
- 288 [11] T.S. Zhang, Q.J. Yu, J.X. Wei, P.P. Zhang, P.X. Chen, A gap-graded particle size distribution for blended
289 cements: analytical approach and experimental validation. *Powder Technol.* 214 (2011) 259–268.
- 290 [12] T.S. Zhang, Q.J. Yu, J.X. Wei, P.P. Zhang, Efficient utilization of cementitious materials to produce
291 sustainable blended cement, *Cem. Concr. Compos.* 33 (2012) 692–699.
- 292 [13] J.M. Guynn, A.S. Hansen, “Narrow PSD hydraulic cement, cement-SCM blends, and methods for making
293 same” U.S. Patent US8377201 B2, Feb. 19, 2013.
- 294 [14] K.M. Djamarani, I.M. Clark, Characterization of particle size based on fine and coarse fractions, *Powder*
295 *Technol.* 93 (1997) 101–108.
- 296 [15] D.W. Cooper, On the products of lognormal and cumulative lognormal particle size distributions, *J. Aerosol*
297 *Sci.* 13 (1982), 111–120.
- 298 [16] S.I. Hwang, S.I. Choi. Use of a lognormal distribution model for estimating soil water retention curves

299 from particle-size distribution data, *J. Hydrol.* 323 (2006), 325–334.

300 [17] C.G. Granqvist, R.A. Buhrman, Log-normal size distributions of ultrafine metal particles, *Solid State*
301 *Commun.* 18 (1976) 123–126.

302 [18] R.E. Stanford, B.R. Patterson, Controlled particle size distributions using linear programming, *Powder*
303 *Technol.* 176 (2007) 114–122.

304 [19] P. Rosin, E. Rammler, The laws governing the fineness of powdered coal, *J. Inst. Fuel.* 7 (1933)
305 29–36.

306 [20] D. Stoyan, Weibull, RRSB or extreme-value theorists?, *Metrika*, 76 (2013) 153–159.

307 [21] L. Zikovsky, B. Chah, The lognormal distribution of radon concentration in ground water, *Groundwater*, 28
308 (1990) 673–676.

309 [22] E. Limpert, W.A. Stahel, M. Abbt, Log-normal Distributions across the Sciences: Keys and Clues On the
310 charms of statistics, and how mechanical models resembling gambling machines offer a link to a handy
311 way to characterize log-normal distributions, which can provide deeper insight into variability and
312 probability—normal or log-normal: That is the question, *BioScience*, 51 (2001) 341–352.

313 [23] F. Galton, The Geometric Mean, in *Vital and Social Statistics*, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London,
314 29 (1879) 365–367.

315 [24] K. van Breugel, Simulation of hydration and formation of structure in hardening cement-based materials,
316 PhD Thesis, Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands, 1991.

317 [25] R.B. Lin, S.M. Shih, Characterization of $\text{Ca}(\text{OH})_2$ /fly ash sorbents for flue gas desulfurization, *Powder*
318 *Technol.* 131 (2003) 212–222.

319 [26] D. Hoff, J. Meyer, G. Kasper, Influence of the residual carbon content of biomass fly ashes on the filtration
320 performance of ceramic surface filters at high temperatures, *Powder Technol.* 180 (2008) 172–177.

- 321 [27] A.K.H. Kwan, J.J. Chen, Adding fly ash microsphere to improve packing density, flowability and strength
322 of cement paste, *Powder Technol.* 234 (2013) 19-25.
- 323 [28] A. Kashani, R.S. Nicolas, G.G. Qiao, J.S.J. van Deventer, J.L. Provis, Modelling the yield stress of ternary
324 cement–slag–fly ash pastes based on particle size distribution, *Powder Technol.* 266 (2014) 203–209.
- 325 [29] M. Shapiro, V. Galperin, Air classification of solid particles: a review, *Chem. Eng. Process*, 44 (2005)
326 279–285.

Figure captions:

Fig. 1 PSD of the ungraded and graded PC, and the shapes of RRSB and lognormal distributions

Fig. 1 (a) Lognormal distribution and RRSB distribution, Fig. 1 (b) PSD of the ungraded and graded PCs

Fig. 2 Shapes of normal distribution and lognormal distribution

Fig. 2 (a) Bell-shaped curve of normal distribution, Fig.2 (b) Skewed curve of lognormal distribution

Fig. 3 Schematic representation of the models for normal distribution and log-normal distribution (After [22])

Fig. 3 (a) Normal distribution, Fig. 3 (b) Lognormal distribution

Fig. 4 PSD of graded and ungraded PC, BFS and FA measured with a laser diffraction particle size analyser (After [11])

Fig. 4 (a) PSD of ungraded PC, BFS and FA, Fig. 4 (b) PSD of graded PC, Fig. 4 (c) PSD of graded BFS, Fig. 4 (d) PSD of graded FA.

Fig. 5 The PSD determined by experiments *versus* the PSD fit by RRSB and lognormal distributions

Fig. 5 (a) Comparison for C2, C5 and C8, Fig. 5 (b) Comparison for B1, B4 and B7, Fig. 5 (c) Comparison for F1, F5 and F8,

Fig. 5 (d) Comparison for F0

Fig. 6 $Adj. R^2$ of the RRSB distribution fit and lognormal distribution fit

Fig. 7 Schematic representation of the air classifier to prepare graded cementitious materials

(a) Main zones of the air classifier: left is feed zone, middle is gravitational-counterflow zone, right is centrifugal-counterflow zone; (b) Two forces in gravitational-counterflow zone; (c) Vertical view of centrifugal-counterflow zone; (d) Three forces in centrifugal-counterflow zone

Fig1a

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig1b

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig2a


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig2b

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig3a

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig3b

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig4a

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig4b

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig4c


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig4d

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig5a


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig5b


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig5c


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig5d

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)




Fig6a


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig6b


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig6c


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig6d


[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Fig7

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)







Table 1 Fitting coefficients of RRSB and lognormal distributions for ungraded and graded PC

Sample No.	RRSB distribution			Lognormal distribution		
	x_e	n	b	d_0	δ	A
C0	15.321	0.958	7.313E-02	43.979	1.104	245.231
C1	1.580	2.806	2.771E-01	1.701	0.404	21.129
C2	3.395	3.348	1.671E-02	3.651	0.374	48.247
C3	6.430	3.962	6.281E-04	6.772	0.310	90.676
C4	8.883	4.595	4.379E-05	9.277	0.260	124.267
C5	14.375	5.585	3.429E-07	14.873	0.225	204.842
C6	23.205	5.885	9.204E-09	24.01	0.218	335.194
C7	30.364	6.167	7.217E-10	31.437	0.203	434.289
C8	40.106	6.334	7.009E-11	41.428	0.199	573.082

Table 2 Fitting coefficients of RRSB and lognormal distributions for ungraded and graded BFS

Sample No.	RRSB distribution			Lognormal distribution		
	x_e	n	b	d_0	δ	A
B0	11.870	0.932	9.957E-02	56.680	1.318	265.486
B1	2.092	1.890	2.478E-01	2.696	0.623	30.598
B2	5.295	3.095	5.750E-03	5.717	0.371	72.692
B3	7.848	4.436	1.074E-04	8.196	0.279	111.173
B4	10.284	4.998	8.732E-06	10.652	0.252	146.129
B5	17.694	5.319	2.308E-07	18.356	0.239	254.718
B6	20.258	5.769	2.899E-08	20.949	0.217	288.593
B7	23.738	6.287	2.255E-09	24.188	0.191	325.372

Table 3 Fitting coefficients of RRSB and lognormal distributions for ungraded and graded FA

Sample No.	RRSB distribution			Lognormal distribution		
	x_e	n	b	d_0	δ	A
F0	11.791	0.863	7.313E-02	54.019	1.421	215.276
F1	1.651	3.240	1.969E-01	1.777	0.383	23.365
F2	5.720	3.702	1.570E-03	6.060	0.341	81.565
F3	6.886	4.198	3.038E-04	7.251	0.303	99.204
F4	9.023	4.667	3.477E-05	9.383	0.272	128.841
F5	10.992	5.143	4.426E-06	11.410	0.244	156.690
F6	16.615	5.213	4.336E-07	17.186	0.242	236.089
F7	18.926	5.652	6.047E-08	19.623	0.223	271.426
F8	27.918	5.910	2.853E-09	29.014	0.213	402.037

Table 4 Errors of RRSB and lognormal distributions for fitting the PSD of the ungraded PC, BFS and FA

Sample No.	Average absolute error		Average relative error (%)	
	RRSB	Lognormal	RRSB	Lognormal
C0	0.21	0.41	73.1	84.7
B0	0.30	0.46	80.0	165.0
F0	0.35	0.09	107.1	90.4

Note: RRSB represents RRSB distribution and Lognormal represents lognormal distribution

Table 5 Errors of RRSB and lognormal distributions for fitting the PSD of the ungraded and graded PC

Sample No.	Average absolute error		Average relative error (%)	
	RRSB	Lognormal	RRSB	Lognormal
C0	0.21	0.41	73.1	84.7
C1	0.84	0.45	68.1	40.2
C2	1.07	0.68	212.4	86.7
C3	1.21	0.92	140.9	49.0
C4	1.21	0.93	59.4	54.5
C5	1.50	1.37	106.6	35.0
C6	2.13	1.22	457.3	82.1
C7	1.81	0.99	120.2	50.5
C8	1.86	0.95	151.9	51.2

Table 6 Errors of RRSB and lognormal distributions for fitting the PSD of the ungraded and graded BFS

Sample No.	Average absolute error		Average relative error (%)	
	RRSB	Lognormal	RRSB	Lognormal
B0	0.30	0.46	80.0	165.0
B1	0.45	0.31	171.4	66.4
B2	0.71	0.29	56	45.6
B3	1.37	0.9	161.2	50.3
B4	1.58	0.99	179.3	54.2
B5	2.03	1.39	138.9	73.7
B6	1.7	1.02	104.6	64.2
B7	2.01	1.11	110.9	55.7

Table 7 Errors of RRSB and lognormal distributions for fitting the PSD of the ungraded and graded FA

Sample No.	Average absolute error		Average relative error (%)	
	RRSB	Lognormal	RRSB	Lognormal
F0	0.35	0.09	107.1	90.4
F1	1.14	0.73	199.6	60.6
F2	1.39	0.90	426.3	132.3
F3	1.62	1.17	155.4	235.9
F4	1.60	1.12	259.7	68.1
F5	1.51	0.88	160.0	49.1
F6	1.73	0.84	209.8	42.2
F7	1.77	1.25	107.9	123.8
F8	1.55	1.30	168.0	72.7

Table 8 $Adj. R^2$ of RRSB distribution fitting and lognormal distribution fitting for the ungraded FA in [25-28]

Sample number	$Adj. R^2$	
	RRSB distribution fitting	Lognormal distribution fitting
1 ^[24]	0.733	0.958
2 ^[25]	0.805	0.896
3 ^[26]	0.820	0.952
4 ^[27]	0.946	0.971

Note: In [26], there were four FA. The FA which was called HCA 2 is used in the present study