

Feedforward control of acoustic tests

Wezenbeek, S.; Laumann, S.; de Klerk, Dennis

Publication date

Citation (APA)

Wezenbeek, S., Laumann, S., & de Klerk, D. (2016). Feedforward control of acoustic tests. Abstract from 14th European Conference on Spacecraft Structures, Materials and Environmental Testing, Toulouse, France.

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policyPlease contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This work is downloaded from Delft University of Technology. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to a maximum of 10.

ECSSMET 2016 Abstract

Feedforward control of acoustic tests

Sebastian Wezenbeek (1), Sascha Laumann (2), Dennis de Klerk (3)

(1) TU Delft

Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, Netherlands

EMail: s.j.j.m.wezenbeek@student.tudelft.nl

(2) Müller-BBM Vibroakustik Systeme GmbH Robert-Koch-Str. 13, 82152 Planegg

EMail: <u>SLaumann@muellerbbm-vas.de</u>

(3) Müller-BBM Vibroakustik Systeme B.V. Veldweg 81, 8051 NP Hattem

EMail: <u>DdeKlerk@MuellerBBM-vas.nl</u>

ABSTRACT

During a rocket launch a spacecraft is, among other loads, exposed to a potentially damaging acoustic load. Prior to space flight, ground tests are performed to determine whether a spacecraft, or a specific part thereof, can withstand the acoustic load. In a reverberation room, a typical sound pressure field is generated to simulate a rocket launch. An acoustic feedback control algorithm shapes a noise signal in order to achieve a given spectral pattern. The sound pressure levels are controlled in third octave bands.

A downside of feedback control in this particular case finds its origin in the time delay of the different subsystems. Especially excitation of the reverberation room results in a delayed response. Consequently, controlling the sound pressure level might lead to inaccuracies, because the influence of previous control actions cannot be observed instantly. Since the delay caused by this transient behaviour gains significance as the change in excitation increases, the feedback algorithm especially lacks efficiency when the test is started.

To bring the sound pressure level faster to the desired level, a different approach is studied. In contrast to feedback control algorithm, a feedforward control algorithm can operate efficient on a system with a delayed response.

The main advantage is of this approach is that by reducing the sound build-up time, energy is saved. However, identification of the system characteristics is, to an even greater extent, required for this approach. Therefore, for instance, non-linear acoustic phenomena that appear in high energy sound fields are quantified.

When the desired sound pressure level is approached, feedback control assures that the sound pressure levels remain constant. The knowledge acquired in the more thorough system identification can also be used to improve the feedback control. A stable sound pressure level throughout the test increases the reliability of the test as the chance of undertesting is minimized. What's more, unnecessary damage is prevented as the risk of overtesting is decreased.