



Delft University of Technology

## Decentring Urban Climate Finance

### Introduction to the Special Feature

Hilbrandt, Hanna; Grafe, Fritz-Julius; Colven, Emma; Knuth, Sarah; Ponder, C.S.; Robin, Enora; Taylor, Zac

#### DOI

[10.1080/13604813.2025.2456367](https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2025.2456367)

#### Publication date

2025

#### Document Version

Final published version

#### Published in

City: analysis of urban trends, culture, theory, policy, action

#### Citation (APA)

Hilbrandt, H., Grafe, F.-J., Colven, E., Knuth, S., Ponder, C. S., Robin, E., & Taylor, Z. (2025). Decentring Urban Climate Finance: Introduction to the Special Feature. *City: analysis of urban trends, culture, theory, policy, action*, 29(1-2), 179-187. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2025.2456367>

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

#### Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

***Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository***

***'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project***

**<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>**

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

# Decentring urban climate finance. Introduction to the Special Feature

Hanna Hilbrandt <sup>a\*</sup>, Fritz-Julius Grafe <sup>a</sup>, Emma Colven <sup>b</sup>,  
 Sarah Knuth <sup>c</sup>, CS Ponder <sup>d</sup>, Enora Robin <sup>e</sup> and Zac Taylor <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Geography, University of Zurich, Zurich, Switzerland; <sup>b</sup>Department of Geography, King's College London, London, UK; <sup>c</sup>Department of Geography, Durham University, Durham, UK; <sup>d</sup>Department of American Studies, University of Texas-Austin, Austin, TX, US; <sup>e</sup>Independent Researcher; formerly University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK; <sup>f</sup>Department of Management in the Built Environment, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands

*Financial agendas centering on the global fight against climate change have increasingly turned to cities and urban re/development projects as ideal candidates for supposedly 'future proof' investment. In the last decade, research has witnessed the development of policy programs, risk assessments, and project pipelines, amongst other efforts to materialize this agenda in the city. Drawing on critical urban geographies of what is loosely known as 'climate finance', this Special Feature, 'Decentering Urban Climate Finance', proposes to expand and provincialize these dominant agendas. The five contributions in this Special Feature employ the notion of decentering in four distinct ways: by putting a broader range of theoretical lenses to use and rereading the workings of climate finance through them; by highlighting the modes of omission through which dominant understandings of climate finance narrow its operations to a limited set of solutions, approaches, places, and imaginaries; by turning a view onto under-examined sites of finance and climate adaptation; and by imagining alternative transformative imaginaries of urban climate finance.*

\*Email: [hanna.hilbrandt@geo.uzh.ch](mailto:hanna.hilbrandt@geo.uzh.ch)

**Keywords** climate finance, provincializing, urban adaptation, development finance, climate risk, racial capitalism

**URL** <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2025.2456367>

© 2025 Informa UK Limited, trading as Taylor & Francis Group

## Introduction

Financial agendas centering on the global fight against climate change have increasingly turned to cities and urban re/development projects as ideal candidates for supposedly ‘future proof’ investment. Following a series of United Nations summits, including COP 26 (UNFCCC 2021), the Third International Conference on Financing for Development (United Nations 2017), and Habitat III (United Nations 2015), financial and policy elites have transformed the climate crisis into a cost calculation (Bryant and Webber 2024) that holds states accountable for ‘de-risking’ (transferring the risks away from, or otherwise incentivizing) climate-related financial investment (Bracking and Leffel 2021; Gabor 2021). A transnational community of climate practitioners—including World Bank consultants, climate insurers, and urban climate NGOs—have since rolled out policy programs, adapted risk assessments, and developed project pipelines to materialize this agenda (Causevic and Selvakkumaran 2018; Long and Rice 2021; Baker 2022; Grafe, Hilbrandt, and van der Haegen 2025). These developments have contributed to a proliferation of finance-driven resilience, retrofitting, and decarbonization projects in cities around the world as expanding frontiers of accumulation (Knuth 2019; Bridge et al. 2020; Taylor and Knuth 2025). The results are well known: poorly designed projects, a failure to reduce climate vulnerability, a lack of funding where it is most needed, and a deepening of inequalities (e.g. Hilbrandt and Grafe 2023; Bryant and Webber 2024; Grafe, Hilbrandt, and van der Haegen 2025). As a collective of researchers, we are interested in understanding how so-called ‘urban climate finance’ came to be envisioned and understood as a very particular constellation of assembled ideas about finance, the climate and cities by a limited set of hegemonic actors. We are especially interested in unpacking the implications of these limited understandings, narrowed fields of practice, omitted sites, and a deficit of more transformative future imaginaries in these dominant approaches to urban climate finance.

This Special Feature, ‘Decentering Urban Climate Finance’, aims at provincializing (Chakrabarty 2007) dominant views and at developing alternative, more expansive understandings of urbanized climate finance. Inspired by Chakrabarty’s (2007) *Provincializing Europe*, critical urban scholars have deployed provincialization as a tactic for exposing apparently universal mainstream (urban) theory and knowledge as situated, partial, and parochial (Sheppard, Leitner, and Maringanti 2013, 896). Previous research on urban financialization has documented how novel financial instruments, valuation techniques, and forms of knowledge turn environmental risks into new asset classes (Bracking 2019). This scholarship includes research on new insurance products dealing with climate catastrophes (Johnson 2015; Christophers, Bigger, and Johnson 2020; Taylor 2020) as well as on the commodification of forests, wetlands, grasslands (Bracking 2012), the air that we breathe (Silver 2017), and nature and ecosystems’ contributions to the reproduction of human life on earth (e.g. Dempsey and Robertson 2012; Sullivan 2013; Ouma, Johnson, and Bigger 2018).

The past five years have been marked by the emergence of a related research agenda on the urban geographies of what is loosely known as

'climate finance': climate change-aligned financial investments, innovations, and expertise (Bridge et al. 2020). Research considering the urban dimensions of climate finance has evidenced how the latter portrays cities as sites of opportunity for investments and experimentation (e.g. Bigger and Webber 2021) and targets urban infrastructures for speculative interventions and accumulation schemes. This work has documented how decarbonization and green growth agendas may facilitate financialized accumulation processes (Knuth 2017; 2018; 2019; Long and Rice 2019). For instance, studies of the reinsurance and institutional real estate investment sectors show how urban climate risks create new opportunities for 'risk fixes' (McElvain 2023) and 'risk rents' for investors (Taylor 2020; Taylor and Aalbers 2022) in ways that mold imaginaries of urban climate risk governance (Collier and Cox 2021) and more broadly mediate the 'moral economy of climate change' (Elliott 2021). Meanwhile, analyses of climate risk and municipal bond markets illuminate how climate change has become a new frontier for the further financialization of urban infrastructures (Hilbrandt and Grubbauer 2020), in ways that reinforce historical patterns of racialized financial extraction (Phinney 2018; Ponder and Omstedt 2022)—though has also come to represent an important and dynamic site of urban climate politics (Cox 2022; 2025). These and related findings have demonstrated how climate finance can (re)produce uneven access to essential services, creating and entrenching variegated geographies of climate vulnerability though also opening opportunities for meaningful community counter-activism.

Decentering urban climate finance, the aim of this Special Feature, also requires expanding and provincializing current research on the financialization of urban climate and nature. As Enora Robin has recently argued, 'existing studies overlook the heterogeneity of financial relations, initiatives, and actors that make possible urban climate action. This includes, for instance, the deployment of decentralised, small-scale renewable energy technologies, or community-led adaptation efforts' (Robin 2021; see also Robin and Broto 2021). Despite Robin's critical contributions, research documenting the relationships between financial practices and urban transformations under climate change remains primarily concerned with a handful of cities in which consolidated financial markets are already the norm, or with case studies that conceptualize climate finance in relatively narrow terms, e.g. as financial instruments created for the purposes of so-called greening of capital markets (Robin 2021). We pick up on this call to examine the variety of 'ordinary' climate finance instruments and relations shaping an urban world of more diverse and heterogeneous financial practices. How are under-examined urban sites shaped by climate-relevant financial flows? How are established and emerging climate-financial centers constitutively configured by far broader geographies of extraction and experimentation? How do the heterogeneous practices and instruments that constitute everyday climate finance work across diverse cities, urban spaces, and modes of provisioning for everyday life? How are unjust climate finance outcomes regulated by institutions or resisted locally? How do existing landscapes of exclusion reverberate within climate finance? And how can an expansion of theoretical approaches and viewpoints help to bring these processes into view?

## The background and contributions of this Special Feature

These lines of questioning provided the grounds for a seminar series funded by the Urban Studies Foundation in 2021 and 2022.<sup>1</sup> That series brought urban scholars together in bi-monthly meetings, a masterclass series, and finally two workshops organized online at the University of Durham and in a hybrid format at the University of Zurich that provide the foundation for this Special Feature (see also Knuth et al. 2025a). The aim was to provincialize dominant notions of urban climate finance and to reimagine its theoretical underpinnings, goals and societal aims. Participants collectively worked to think across their ongoing or previously completed research to draw out generative connections and interdependencies, to inspire one another through new theoretical perspectives, and to widen the view on developments in sites unfamiliar to some participants. The contributions to this Special Feature are the result of many comparative conversations within diverse author teams which were formed during the Zurich workshop. The Special Feature is also an experiment in collaborative writing that binds together both research sites from multiple research projects and novel conceptual lenses with which some of the authors may not have previously worked. In this manner of thinking across a variety of subjects and sites from diverse theoretical perspectives, this Special Feature aims to weave together connective threads that collectively produce a criticism of current climate finance practices and framing, and ultimately help to lead us towards expanded understandings and interventions in diverse urban settings.

The five resulting interventions are chiefly conceptual rather than empirical. Although they employ real-world empirical material in exemplary ways, they do not offer in-depth engagement with individual sites. Rather, the Special Feature expands scholarly perspectives on the sites, instruments, and analytical concepts mobilized to grasp the workings of urban climate finance in new lights. Grafe et al. (2023) discuss how the notion of ‘bankability’ mediates emerging global geographies of climate finance. These authors use the lens of topological reach to talk across a World Bank resilience program, a C40 initiative, and a UK central bank approach, thus showing how urban sites are enrolled in or left out of dominant climate finance interventions. Wagner et al. (2024) consider financial mechanisms being deployed for climate change-related building retrofitting across three different geographies of US housing—single-family homes, manufactured housing, and multi-family rental residences—to ‘bring climate finance “home”, as they write, and expose its underlying inequalities in practice. Notably, they discuss how the privileging of certain forms of so-called real property and its ownership (i.e. conventional single-family homes) pervades both old and new forms of finance and how this structural inequity is producing new or deepened housing exclusions today. Hofmann et al. (2024) center their intervention on the climate finance dealmaking process in three urban sites. In collectively thinking through Mexico City (Mexico), Cagayan De Oro City (Philippines), and Philadelphia (USA) using a lens of racial capitalism, they bring the ‘colorblindness of climate finance’ into sharp focus. Kear, Ponder, and Hilbrandt (2024) argue that understandings of urban climate finance which are driven by political and financial elites circumscribe understandings of what is needed or possible, in

ways which reverberate through classed and racialized geographies of climate vulnerability and urban profit extraction. Their intervention challenges these mainstream understandings through an alternative repertoire of climate and finance interventions that they describe as everyday, historically informed, and reparative in character. Finally, using the World Bank's City Creditworthiness Initiative as an illustrative example, Cox, Colven, and Morris (2025) examine forms of 'centering' work (classification, standardization, and framing) that position private finance at the center of urban climate action. They argue that attention to such practices not only elucidates how particular urban climate pathways are normalized, while others are effectively closed, but also provides avenues for destabilizing the center of urban climate finance as it is presently constructed.

### Defining decentring: lenses, sites, modalities of omission, alternative responses

In these interventions, the notion of decentring is put to work in four distinct ways. First, this project implies *putting a broader range of theoretical lenses to use* and rereading the workings of climate finance through them. The contributions in this Special Feature chart the workings of urban climate finance against the political landscapes of property, with attentiveness to understanding their intersections with racial, environmental, and climate (in) justices and their historical background. For instance, Wagner et al. (2024), Hofmann et al. (2024) and Kear, Ponder, and Hilbrandt (2024) use the lens of racial capitalism as a crucial perspective to rethink policy and academic approaches to climate finance. Through this lens, Hofmann et al. argue it becomes apparent 'how ongoing racial subordination substantiates so-called "successful" climate finance and urban adaptation projects' (this issue, 2025, 4). As they contend, 'race-neutral approaches to understanding capitalist climate finance are not only insufficient for addressing the racial violence of climate change but serve as pathways for its reproduction' (2024, 4). The terminology of decentring is useful here: it prompts us to recontextualise the workings of urban climate finance in the worldly realities of those made vulnerable at its receiving end and emphasizes implications for urban justice under climate change.

Second, the notion of decentring highlights the modes of omission through which dominant understandings of climate finance narrow its operations to a limited set of solutions, approaches, places, and imaginaries. Grafe, Hilbrandt, and van der Haegen (2025) propose the spatial vocabulary of topological reach to examine how financial programs or mechanisms capture some sites, while simultaneously excluding others. The lens of topological reach helps the authors expose how mainstream climate-financial practices reconfigure connections—through funding streams, knowledge transfers, or personal networks—and how such connections generate and reproduce urban asymmetries: how places that receive funding are (re)built, while other spaces are left out as viable sites of investment. Furthermore, Hofmann et al. (2024) show how metrics of environmental and economic impact within current modes of climate finance



frequently obscure social parameters and justice outcomes. For example, such mainstream devices can elide critical questioning of racialized injustices that may underpin project designs and their implementation, reinforcing highly problematic forms of so-called ‘colorblindness’. Along similar lines, Cox, Colven, and Morris (2025) highlight the work of classification, standardization, and framing as key moments in which definitional power over what climate finance is and how solutions ought to work is forged and enacted. These are also moments in which omissions take place. Kear, Ponder, and Hilbrandt (2024) illustrate how the lack of historical assessments in program designs or policy reforms can deepen pre-existing inequalities. In this context, the notion of decentering also enables the interventions to show how sites are or are not drawn into the arena of mainstream finance, shaping a need for so-called ordinary climate finance—today largely unmet—beyond the elite global climate finance paradigm that dominates much of contemporary discourse and practice.

Third, relatedly, Special Feature contributors turn a view onto under-examined sites of finance and climate adaptation. While some of their examples draw from research in the so-called Global South, decentering also implies considering sites in the Global North in which extant financial relations are not discussed under a climate lens, and which may consequently face barriers to access finance or adverse environmental conditions, as in the case of mobile home owners or renters in multi-family housing in disaster-exposed regions (see Wagner et al. 2024). Frequently far removed from the analytical site of the home are central banks, and their ordinary practices under climate change and their relational implications for building a more climate-responsive financial system (Grafe, Hilbrandt, and van der Haegen 2025) – though see Knuth et al. (2025b). Kear, Ponder, and Hilbrandt (2024) furthermore highlight the importance of ordinary and everyday practices as key sites for examining climate finance in relation to the embedded, historical trajectories of places.

Finally, all contributions call for a reimagining of urban climate finance. The collective work in this feature makes the case for liberatory responses, tells alternative stories about how climate finance ‘works’, and brings a plurality of urban sites into view. But perhaps most importantly, contributors call for a different normative basis for urban climate finance—one that acknowledges existing injustices and aims to overcome them. In this way, Kear, Ponder, and Hilbrandt (2024) propose reframing climate finance for reparation and abolition. Building on the work of Olúfẹmi Táíwò (2022a; 2022b), amongst others, this intervention examines sovereign debt relief, unconditional cash transfers, and processes of remunicipalization in relation to debates about urban climate finance to ‘creat(e) new practices, forms of engagement and research that bring just, liberatory and abolitionist climate futures closer to realization’ (Kear, Ponder, and Hilbrandt 2024, 12). Similarly, Wagner et al. (2024, 17) call for moving climate finance beyond what they term ‘real property supremacy’, breaking free of this regressive legacy to ‘advance more effective, responsible, and inclusive ways of investment and dwelling in a changing climate’. It is our hope that these contributions, and the broader unfolding debates about urban climate finance emerging within and beyond traditional spaces of institutional



practice, help to prompt new ways of understanding, imagining, and ‘doing’ urban climate finance.

## Acknowledgements

We thank all participants in the Urban Studies Foundation-funded workshop ‘The Urbanization of Global Climate Finance’ for their inspiring input and Kate Derickson for her guidance in initial stages of planning this Special Feature. We additionally thank the *City* editors, particularly Anna Richter, for their support of this introduction and Special Feature.

## Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author(s).

## Funding

This work was supported by the Urban Studies Foundation under grant number USF-SSA-210206. Hanna Hilbrandt and Fritz-Julius Grafe additionally received financial support for the research, authorship, and conceptualization of this article through the Swiss National Science Foundation [grant number 10001A\_197113].

## Note

- 1 <https://www.urbanclifi.com/whatwedo> (accessed 17 October 2024).

## ORCID

Hanna Hilbrandt  <http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8873-425X>

Fritz-Julius Grafe  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0014-4843>

Emma Colven  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4072-6303>

Sarah Knuth  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3053-5394>

CS Ponder  <http://orcid.org/0000-0003-2371-8149>

Enora Robin  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-0327-1549>

Zac Taylor  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-5967-2034>

## References

- Baker, Lucy. 2022. “Procurement, Finance and the Energy Transition: Between Global Processes and Territorial Realities.” *Environment and Planning E: Nature*
- and Space 5 (4): 1738–1764. <https://doi.org/10.1177/2514848621991121>.
- Bigger, Patrick, and Sophie Webber. 2021. “Green Structural Adjustment in the World Bank’s Resilient City.” *Annals of the American Association of Geographers* 111 (1): 36–51. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2020.1749023>.
- Bracking, Sarah. 2012. “How Do Investors Value Environmental Harm/Care? Private Equity Funds, Development Finance Institutions and the Partial Financialization of Nature-based Industries.” *Development and Change* 43 (1): 271–293. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-7660.2011.01756.x>.
- Bracking, Sarah. 2019. “Financialisation, Climate Finance, and the Calculative Challenges of Managing Environmental Change.” *Antipode* 51 (3): 709–729. <https://doi.org/10.1111/anti.12510>.
- Bracking, Sarah, and Benjamin Leffel. 2021. “Climate Finance Governance: Fit for Purpose?” *WIREs Climate Change* 12 (4): 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wcc.709>.
- Bridge, Gavin, Harriet Bulkeley, Paul Langley, and Bregje Van Veen. 2020. “Pluralizing and Problematising Carbon Finance.” *Progress in Human Geography* 44 (4): 724–742. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132519856260>.
- Bryant, Gareth, and Sophie Webber. 2024. *Climate Finance: Taking a Position on Climate Futures*. Newcastle upon Tyne: Agenda Publishing.
- Causevic, Amar, and Sujeetha Selvakumaran. 2018. “The Role of Multilateral Climate Funds in Urban Transitions between 1994 and 2014.” *Journal of Sustainable Finance & Investment* 8 (3): 275–299. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20430795.2018.1465769>.
- Chakrabarty, Dipesh. 2007. *Provincializing Europe: Postcolonial Thought and Historical Difference*. Princeton Studies in Culture / Power / History. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Christophers, Brett, Patrick Bigger, and Leigh Johnson. 2020. “Stretching Scales? Risk and Sociality in Climate Finance.” *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 52 (1): 88–110. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X18819004>.
- Collier, Stephen J., and Savannah Cox. 2021. “Governing Urban Resilience: Insurance and the Problematisation of Climate Change.” *Economy and Society* 50 (2): 275–296. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2021.1904621>.

- Cox, Savannah. 2022. "Inscriptions of Resilience: Bond Ratings and the Government of Climate Risk in Greater Miami, Florida." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 54 (2): 295–310. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X211054162>.
- Cox, Savannah. 2025. "Bonding out the Future: Tracing the Politics of Urban Climate Finance in Miami, Florida." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 47 (1): 70–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2023.2192941>.
- Cox, Savannah, Emma Colven, and John Hogan Morris. 2025. "Centering Work: Toward More 'Social' Accounts of Urban Climate Finance." *City*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2025.2455233>.
- Dempsey, Jessica, and Morgan M. Robertson. 2012. "Ecosystem Services: Tensions, Impurities, and Points of Engagement within Neoliberalism." *Progress in Human Geography* 36 (6): 758–779. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0309132512437076>.
- Elliott, Rebecca. 2021. "Insurance and the Temporality of Climate Ethics: Accounting for Climate Change in US Flood Insurance." *Economy and Society* 50 (2): 173–195. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03085147.2020.1853356>.
- Gabor, Daniela. 2021. "The Wall Street Consensus." *Development and Change* 52 (3): 429–459. <https://doi.org/10.1111/dech.12645>.
- Grafe, Fritz-Julius, Giuseppe Forino, Arabella Fraser, Hanna Hilbrandt, and John Hogan Morris. 2023. "Understanding the Functioning of Urban Climate Finance through Topologies of Reach." *City*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2023.2284383>.
- Grafe, Fritz-Julius, Hanna Hilbrandt, and Thilo van der Haegen. 2025. "The Financial Ecologies of Climate Urbanism: Project Preparation and the Anchoring of Global Climate Finance." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 47 (1): 19–34. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2023.2235035>.
- Hilbrandt, Hanna, and Fritz-Julius Grafe. 2023. "Thinking Topologically about Urban Climate Finance: Geographical Inequalities and Mexico's Urban Landscapes of Infrastructure Investment." *Urban Geography* 45 (3): 332–351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2023.2176599>.
- Hilbrandt, Hanna, and Monika Grubbauer. 2020. "Standards and SSOs in the Contested Widening and Deepening of Financial Markets: The Arrival of Green Municipal Bonds in Mexico City." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 52 (7): 1415–1433. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X20909391>.
- Hofmann, Sahar Zavareh, C. S. Ponder, Héctor Herrera, Manuel De Vera, Akira Drake Rodriguez, and Kareem Buyana. 2024. "The 'Colorblindness' of Climate Finance: How Climate Finance Advances Racial Injustice in Cities." *City*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2024.2348209>.
- Johnson, Leigh. 2015. "Catastrophic Fixes: Cyclical Devaluation and Accumulation through Climate Change Impacts." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 47 (12): 2503–2521. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X15594800>.
- Kear, Mark, CS Ponder, and Hanna Hilbrandt. 2024. "Making Climate Finance: Toward Everyday, Historically Informed, and Reparative Understandings." *City*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2024.2400442>.
- Knuth, Sarah. 2017. "Green Devaluation: Disruption, Divestment, and Decommodification for a Green Economy." *Capitalism Nature Socialism* 28 (1): 98–117. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10455752.2016.1266001>.
- Knuth, Sarah. 2018. "Breakthroughs' for a Green Economy? Financialization and Clean Energy Transition." *Energy Research & Social Science* 41 (July): 220–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.erss.2018.04.024>.
- Knuth, Sarah. 2019. "Cities and Planetary Repair: The Problem with Climate Retrofitting." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 51 (2): 487–504. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X18793973>.
- Knuth, Sarah, Savannah Cox, Sahar Zavareh Hofmann, John Morris, Zac Taylor, and Beki McElvain. 2025b. "Interrupted Rhythms and Uncertain Futures: Mortgage Finance and the (Spatio-) Temporalities of Climate Breakdown." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 47 (1): 35–52. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2023.2229462>.
- Knuth, Sarah, Zac J. Taylor, Sahar Zavareh Hofmann, Fritz-Julius Grafe, and C. S. Ponder. 2025a. "The Urbanization of Climate Finance: Understanding for Urban Action." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 47 (1): 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2024.2393973>.
- Long, Joshua, and Jennifer L Rice. 2019. "From Sustainable Urbanism to Climate Urbanism." *Urban Studies* 56 (5): 992–1008. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0042098018770846>.
- Long, Joshua, and Jennifer L. Rice. 2021. "Climate Urbanism: Crisis, Capitalism, and Intervention." *Urban Geography* 42 (6): 721–727. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2020.1841470>.

- McElvain, Beki. 2023. "Autorecovery and Everyday Disaster in Mexico City's Peripheries." *Environment and Planning D: Society and Space* 41 (2): 253–274. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02637758231161613>.
- Ouma, Stefan, Leigh Johnson, and Patrick Bigger. 2018. "Rethinking the Financialization of 'Nature'." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 50 (3): 500–511. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X18755748>.
- Phinney, Sawyer. 2018. "Detroit's Municipal Bankruptcy: Racialised Geographies of Austerity." *New Political Economy* 23 (5): 609–626. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13563467.2017.1417371>.
- Ponder, Caroline S., and Mikael Omstedt. 2022. "The Violence of Municipal Debt: From Interest Rate Swaps to Racialized Harm in the Detroit Water Crisis." *Geoforum; Journal of Physical, Human, and Regional Geosciences* 132 (June): 271–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geoforum.2019.07.009>.
- Robin, Enora. 2021. "Rethinking the Geographies of Finance for Urban Climate Action." *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers* 47 (2): 393–408. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tran.12508>.
- Robin, Enora, and Vanesa Castán Broto. 2021. "Towards a Postcolonial Perspective on Climate Urbanism." *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research* 45 (5): 869–878. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-2427.12981>.
- Sheppard, Eric, Helga Leitner, and Anant Maringanti. 2013. "Provincializing Global Urbanism: A Manifesto." *Urban Geography* 34 (7): 893–900. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02723638.2013.807977>.
- Silver, Jonathan. 2017. "The Climate Crisis, Carbon Capital and Urbanisation: An Urban Political Ecology of Low-carbon Restructuring in Mbale." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 49 (7): 1477–1499. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X17700393>.
- Sullivan, Sian. 2013. "Banking Nature? The Spectacular Financialisation of Environmental Conservation." *Antipode* 45 (1): 198–217. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8330.2012.00989.x>.
- Táíwò, Olúfẹ̀mi O. 2022a. *Elite Capture: How the Powerful Took over Identity Politics (and Everything Else)*. London: Pluto Press.
- Táíwò, Olúfẹ̀mi O. 2022b. *Reconsidering Reparations: Worldmaking in the Case of Climate Crisis*. Philosophy of Race Series. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Taylor, Zac J. 2020. "The Real Estate Risk Fix: Residential Insurance-linked Securitization in the Florida Metropolis." *Environment and Planning A: Economy and Space* 52 (6): 1131–1149. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0308518X19896579>.
- Taylor, Zac J., and Manuel B. Aalbers. 2022. "Climate Gentrification: Risk, Rent, and Restructuring in Greater Miami." *Annals of the American Association of Geographers* 112 (6): 1685–1701. <https://doi.org/10.1080/24694452.2021.2000358>.
- Taylor, Zac J., and Sarah E. Knuth. 2025. "Financing 'Climate-Proof' Housing? The Premises and Pitfalls of PACE Finance in Florida." *Journal of Urban Affairs* 47 (1): 53–69. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07352166.2023.2247503>.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change). 2021. "Glasgow Climate Change Conference – October–November 2021." United Nations Climate Change. Accessed October 13, 2024. <https://unfccc.int/conference/glasgow-climate-change-conference-october-november-2021>.
- United Nations. 2015. *Addis Ababa Action Agenda of the Third International Conference on Financing for Development*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: United Nations. [https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/2051AAAA\\_Outcome.pdf](https://sustainabledevelopment.un.org/content/documents/2051AAAA_Outcome.pdf).
- United Nations. 2017. *The Conference: The United Nations Conference on Housing and Sustainable Urban Development (HABITAT III)*. Quito, Ecuador: United Nations. <https://habitat3.org/wp-content/uploads/Habitat-III-the-conference.pdf>.
- Wagner, Julia, Mark Kear, Sarah Knuth, Sahar Zavareh Hofmann, and Zac J. Taylor. 2024. "Grappling with Real Property Supremacy in US Urban Climate Finance." *City*. Advance online publication. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13604813.2024.2367922>.