Entrepreneurial Finance:

From Startup to Scale-Up

A European Perspective

dr. ir. Michaël Peeters MRE

Delft University of Technology (TU Delft)

March 2026

Course Text for Master's Students

Faculty of Architecture and the Built Environment

Department Management in the Built Environment

Chapter 1: Introduction

1.1 Why Finance Matters for Entrepreneurs

Every entrepreneurial venture, regardless of how innovative its technology or compelling its value proposition, ultimately depends on its ability to secure, manage, and allocate financial resources. The most brilliant product idea will fail if the founding team cannot fund product development, sustain operations through early revenue shortfalls, or scale production to meet market demand. Finance is the lifeblood of any business, and for startups, it determines whether an enterprise will survive long enough to achieve product–market fit (Ries, 2011).

This course text introduces master's students to the financial architecture of entrepreneurial ventures with a specific emphasis on the European context. While much of the global entrepreneurial finance literature draws on American examples and institutions, European founders operate within a distinctly different ecosystem, one shaped by bank-oriented financial systems, strong regulatory frameworks, and a capital market infrastructure increasingly integrated through the European Union's (EU) Capital Markets Union initiative (Janse & Strauch, 2024).

1.2 European Small and Medium-Sized Enterprises: The Backbone of the Economy

Small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) constitute approximately 99,8 % of all enterprises in the EU's business economy, provide employment to around 90–100 million people, and generate just over half of the EU's business-economy value added (Eurostat, 2023). These enterprises range from sole proprietorships to high-growth technology startups, and they constitute the core of Europe's economic fabric. In the Netherlands, the Central Bureau of Statistics reports that SMEs account for roughly 71% of all employment in the private business sector for the year 2020, underscoring their significance as a driver of economic growth and innovation(CBS,2021).

Unlike the United States, where large public capital markets and a deep pool of venture capital (VC) provide startups with relatively abundant equity financing, Europe has historically relied more heavily on bank lending. This bank-oriented financial system means that many European entrepreneurs face different financing challenges and must navigate a more complex patchwork of public grants, bank facilities, and nascent VC markets compared with American entrepreneurs (Krämer-Eis et al., 2023). Understanding these structural differences is essential for any founder seeking to build a company on European soil.

1.3 Overview of the Financing Landscape

The financing journey of a typical European startup passes through several stages, each associated with different instruments, institutions, and risk profiles. From personal savings at the ideation stage, through angel investment and government grants during the seed phase, to VC rounds and bank loans during growth and ultimately an initial public offering (IPO) or trade sale at maturity, each stage calls for unique financial decisions. This text comprehensively maps those stages, offering both theoretical grounding in corporate finance and practical guidance specific to the Netherlands and the broader European market.

The text adopts a dual lens to explore both the academic rigor of financial theory (drawing on seminal works by Modigliani and Miller [1958], Myers [1984], and Berk and DeMarzo [2020]) and the entrepreneurial pragmatism found in lean startup methodology and business model thinking (Blank, 2013; Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010). This combination prepares students not only to understand finance in the abstract but also to apply it in the messy, resource-constrained world of new venture creation.

Chapter 2: Foundations of Corporate Finance

2.1 Time Value of Money and Discounted Cash Flow

The principle that a euro today is worth more than a euro tomorrow is the cornerstone of financial analysis. The time value of money reflects the opportunity cost of capital: Money available now can be invested to earn a return, making it inherently more valuable than the same amount received in the future (Berk & DeMarzo, 2020).

The present value (PV) of future cash flow (*CF)* received in *t* years, discounted at rate *r*, is

$$PV = \frac{CF}{(1+r)^t}$$

For multiperiod projects, the net present value (NPV) aggregates all discounted future cash flows minus the initial investment. A positive NPV signals that a project creates value above the required rate of return. This framework is essential for entrepreneurs evaluating whether to invest in new product development, enter a new market, or acquire another business. The discount rate tends to be substantially higher for startups than for established firms, reflecting the elevated uncertainty inherent in early-stage ventures. While a mature Dutch corporation might apply a discount rate of 8%–10%, an early-stage startup could face an implicit rate of 30%–50% or higher, reflecting the probability of failure (Brealey et al., 2020).

2.2 Capital Structure Theories

Capital structure, the mix of debt and equity used to finance a firm, has been a central theme in corporate finance since the publication of Modigliani and Miller's (1958) seminal work, whose foundational proposition states that in a perfectly efficient market (i.e., no taxes, bankruptcy costs, or information asymmetry), a firm's value is independent of its capital structure. While this proposition is obviously unrealistic, it provides a powerful benchmark against which real-world deviations can be analyzed.

Trade-off Theory

The trade-off theory of capital structure relaxes the Modigliani–Miller theorem by recognizing that debt provides a tax shield (since interest payments are tax-deductible in most jurisdictions, including the Netherlands) but also increases the risk of financial distress. Therefore, firms seek an optimal capital structure that balances the marginal benefit of additional debt (tax savings) against the marginal cost (increased probability of bankruptcy). For startups, this theory has limited direct applicability because most early-stage ventures have no taxable income and limited access to debt. However, as a company matures and begins generating stable cash flows, the trade-off theory becomes increasingly relevant (Brealey et al., 2020).

Pecking Order Theory

Myers's (1984) pecking order theory argues that firms prefer internal financing first, followed by debt, and only issue equity as a last resort due to information asymmetries between managers and outside investors. This theory is particularly descriptive of SME behavior in Europe. López-Gracia and Sogorb-Mira (2008) empirically confirmed the relevance of pecking order behavior among European SMEs, finding that smaller firms exhibit a strong preference for retained earnings over external financing.

For startup founders, this theory explains why bootstrapping and reinvestment are so common in the early stages. Not merely due to choice but because external investors demand a significant premium to compensate for informational disadvantages.

2.3 Weighted Average Cost of Capital

The weighted average cost of capital (WACC) represents the blended cost of all sources of capital a firm uses, weighted by their proportion in the total capital structure. It serves as the minimum rate of return that a company must earn on its existing assets to satisfy its creditors, owners, and other providers of capital:

$$WACC = (\frac{E}{V} R_e) + (\frac{D}{V} R_d (1 - T_c))$$

where *E* is the market value of equity, *D* is the market value of debt, and *V* = E + D, the total financial value ('price'). R_e is the cost of equity, R_d is the cost of debt, and T_c is the corporate tax rate. In the Netherlands, the corporate income tax rate (vennootschapsbelasting) is 19% on the first €200,000 of taxable profit and €38,000 plus 25.8% above that threshold (as of 2026). For early-stage startups, calculating the WACC is complex because they often lack market-traded equity and rely heavily on one source of capital. Nonetheless, the concept is important for growth-stage decisions about when to take on debt versus dilute equity (Berk & DeMarzo, 2020).

2.4 Risk and Return: The Startup Trade-off

The risk–return trade-off is a fundamental principle here: Investors demand higher expected returns for bearing higher risk. In publicly traded markets, the capital asset pricing model quantifies this principle by linking expected return to systematic (market) risk through the beta-factor. However, for startups, the majority of risk is idiosyncratic, which means specific to the venture and not easily diversifiable. This fact explains why VC investors demand returns of 25%–35% per annum or more, while public equity investors may be satisfied with 8%–12% (Brealey et al., 2020).

For founders, this has practical implications. Equity financing is extraordinarily expensive at the early stage because of the high risk premium investors require. A founder who gives up 25% of the company to an angel investor for €200,000 is implicitly paying a very high cost of capital if the business succeeds. Therefore, understanding the full cost of different financing instruments is critical to preserving value and maintaining control.

2.5 Financial Statement Basics

Three financial statements form the backbone of corporate financial reporting and are equally vital for startups, even if the numbers are small.

- **Balance sheet (Balans):** provides a snapshot of assets, liabilities, and equity at a given point in time. For a startup, the balance sheet may show mostly cash (from a funding round), some equipment, and perhaps intangible assets, such as software under development, offset by equity from founders and investors.
- **Income statement (Winst- en Verliesrekening):** reports revenues, costs, and profit or loss over a period. Early-stage startups typically show negative net income, with costs dominated

by personnel, product development, and marketing. Tracking the trajectory of losses is essential to forecasting runway.

- **Cash flow statement (Kasstroomoverzicht):** tracks cash inflows and outflows from operating, investing, and financing activities. It is arguably the most critical statement for a startup. A company can show a net loss on the income statement yet remain solvent if it has sufficient cash from financing activities. Conversely, a profitable company can fail if cash flow timing creates a liquidity crisis.

2.6 Key Financial Ratios

Several financial ratios are particularly relevant for startups and early-stage companies.

Ratio	Formula	Startup Relevance
Current ratio	Current assets/current liabilities	Measures short-term liquidity; startups need >1.0.
Debt-to-equity	Total debt/total equity	Often near zero for pre-revenue startups.
Burn rate	Net cash used per month	Critical survival metric; drives fundraising urgency.
Runway	Cash balance/monthly burn rate	Months of operation remaining; <6 months triggers action.
Gross margin	$\frac{Revenue\ -\ Cost\ Of\ Goods\ Sold}{Revenue}\ 100$	Indicates scalability of business model.

Table 2.1. Key Financial Ratios for Startups

2.7 How Financial Ratios Differ for Startups Versus Established Firms

For established firms, financial ratios provide reliable indicators of performance because of stable revenue streams and predictable cost structures. For startups, the same ratios tell a different story. A current ratio may be artificially high simply because the startup recently closed a funding round and has not yet deployed the capital. The debt-to-equity ratio may be zero, not because the company is conservatively managed, but because no lender will extend credit to a pre-revenue enterprise. The burn rate and runway metrics, rarely discussed in traditional corporate finance, are the most important indicators of startup health, answering the question, *How long can we survive?* (Harvard Business Review, 2018).

Understanding these differences is not merely an academic venture. Founders who approach banks or investors with financial statements must be able to narrate the story behind the numbers, explaining why a high burn rate reflects deliberate investment in growth rather than mismanagement

or why negative retained earnings are a temporary feature of the startup life cycle rather than a sign of chronic unprofitability.

Chapter 3: The Startup Life Cycle and Financing Stages

3.1 Overview of the Life Cycle

The startup life cycle is commonly divided into five broad phases, each characterized by different strategic priorities, risk profiles, and financing needs, as follows:

1. **Ideation:** The founder identifies a problem and develops an initial concept. Financial needs are minimal and typically met through personal savings. The primary activities are market research and concept validation.
2. **Seed/Startup:** A legal entity is formed, an initial product or minimum viable product (MVP) is developed, and the first customers are acquired. This stage requires seed capital, often from a combination of personal funds, friends and family, angel investors, and grants.
3. **Growth/Expansion:** The company has demonstrated product–market fit and now seeks to scale operations, expand into new markets, and build organizational capacity. Financing needs increase dramatically, and the firm may access VC, bank loans, and mezzanine instruments.
4. **Maturity:** As revenue stabilizes and the business model is proven, the focus shifts to optimization, market defense, and possibly diversification. Cash flows are more predictable, enabling access to traditional debt instruments and, potentially, public equity markets.
5. **Exit:** Founders and investors realize returns through an IPO, trade sale (Mergers & Acquisitions, M&A), secondary sale, or management buyout.

3.2 The Valley of Death

The transition from the startup phase to growth represents the most perilous period in a company's life, commonly referred to as the "valley of death." During this period, the venture has consumed its initial capital on product development and early operations but has not yet generated sufficient revenue to sustain itself. Cash outflows exceed inflows, often for an extended period, and many promising ventures fail simply because they run out of money before reaching profitability.

Research by the European Investment Fund (Krämer-Eis et al., 2023) indicates that approximately 90% of European startups fail, with inadequate financing and cash flow mismanagement among the top three causes. The valley of death is particularly deep in capital-intensive sectors such as deep tech, clean energy, and life sciences, where long development cycles precede commercialization. Government intervention through grants and subsidies, such as the Dutch WBSO R&D tax credit, is partly designed to bridge this gap (Blank, 2013).

3.3 Matching Financing Instruments to Life Cycle Stages

Stage	Typical Instruments	Key Providers	Amount Range
Ideation	Personal savings, FFF	Founders, family, friends	€0–€50K
Seed/startup	Grants, crowdfunding, angels	RVO, angels, Seedrs	€25K–€500K
Early growth	VC (Series A), bank loans	VCs, banks, Invest-NL	€500K–€5M
Growth/expansion	VC (Series B/C), mezzanine	VCs, EIF, venture debt	€5M–€50M+
Maturity/exit	IPO, M&A, MBO	Euronext, acquirers	€50M+

Table 3.1. Financing Instruments Matched to Life Cycle Stages

3.4 The Dutch Startup Ecosystem

The Netherlands has emerged as one of Europe's most dynamic startup ecosystems, benefiting from a highly educated and multilingual workforce, excellent digital infrastructure, a business-friendly regulatory environment, and strong international connectivity via Schiphol Airport and the port of Rotterdam. Several regional hubs have developed distinct strengths, including the following:

- **Amsterdam:** This city is the largest hub, home to fintech and e-commerce leaders such as Adyen, Mollie, and Catawiki. Its StartupAmsterdam program, coupled with a vibrant community of accelerators and coworking spaces, has attracted international founders. The Amsterdam Stock Exchange (Euronext Amsterdam) provides a pathway to public capital markets.
- **Eindhoven:** Known as the Brainport region, Eindhoven's ecosystem centers on deep tech, hardware, and high-tech manufacturing. The proximity of the Eindhoven University of Technology (TU), ASML, Philips, and NXP Semiconductors has fostered a cluster of innovation in photonics, semiconductor technology, and medical devices. High Tech Campus Eindhoven is one of Europe's leading innovation campuses.
- **Rotterdam–The Hague:** This metropolitan area combines Rotterdam's strengths in logistics, maritime, and clean energy with The Hague's focus on cybersecurity, legal tech, and international justice. Incubators such as YES!Delft (associated with TU Delft) bridge the academic and commercial worlds.
- **Other hubs:** Utrecht (health tech, gaming), Groningen (energy transition, smart materials), and Twente (robotics, nanotechnology) contribute to a geographically distributed innovation landscape.

The Dutch government actively supports this ecosystem through agencies such as the Rijksdienst voor Ondernemend Nederland (RVO), which administers grants, tax credits, and advisory services for entrepreneurs. The Netherlands Foreign Investment Agency further supports international startups, considering the Netherlands as a European base.

Chapter 4: Startup Stage Financing

4.1 Personal Savings and Friends, Family, and Fools

The first capital that a founder invests is almost invariably their own. Personal savings signal commitment and "skin in the game" to future investors. In the Netherlands, where household savings rates are relatively high by European standards, it is not uncommon for founders to invest €10,000–€50,000 of personal funds to cover initial costs, such as company registration at the Kamer van Koophandel (KvK; Chamber of Commerce), website development, market research, and legal fees.

Beyond personal savings, many founders turn to friends, family, and "fools" (FFF), the latter term referring to the willingness of close contacts to invest based on trust rather than rigorous due diligence. While FFF financing can provide critical early capital (typically €5,000–€100,000 in aggregate), it carries significant interpersonal risk. Failed ventures can damage personal relationships. The best practice is to formalize FFF investments through a convertible loan agreement or simple equity agreement, clearly specifying terms for repayment or conversion (Harvard Business Review, 2018).

4.2 Bootstrapping Tactics

Bootstrapping refers to building a business with minimal external capital, relying instead on creative resource management and early revenue generation. Key bootstrapping tactics include the following:

- **Lean operations:** When adopting the lean startup methodology (Ries, 2011), founders minimize waste by building an MVP and iterating based on customer feedback rather than investing heavily in a fully developed product before market validation.
- **Trade credit:** Negotiating payment terms with suppliers (30, 60, or 90 days) effectively provides short-term, interest-free financing. For example, a Dutch hardware startup might negotiate 60-day payment terms with a supplier while collecting payment from customers within 30 days, creating a positive cash conversion cycle.
- **Leasing:** Rather than purchasing expensive equipment outright, startups can lease assets, e.g., laptops to laboratory instruments, preserving cash for operational expenditures. In the Netherlands, numerous leasing companies cater to SMEs, including De Lage Landen and Grenke Group.
- **Preselling:** Collecting payment from customers before delivery generates working capital. Software as a Service (SaaS) companies routinely offer annual subscription discounts, collecting 12 months of revenue upfront while spreading service delivery costs over the year.

4.3 Government Grants and Subsidies: The Dutch Context

The Netherlands offers one of Europe's most comprehensive grant and subsidy landscapes for startups and innovative SMEs. Key programs include the following:

- **Wet Bevordering Speur- en Ontwikkelingswerk (WBSO):** The WBSO R&D tax credit is the cornerstone of Dutch innovation policy. It reduces wage tax and social security contributions for employees performing qualifying R&D activities. Startups (or starters in the program's

terminology) receive an enhanced rate, effectively subsidizing R&D labor costs by up to 40% on the first €350,000 of qualifying expenditure. This is a substantial benefit for technology startups in which developer salaries constitute most costs.

- **MKB-Innovatiestimulering Topsectoren (MIT):** The MIT scheme provides subsidies for innovation feasibility studies and collaborative R&D projects, with grants typically ranging from €20,000 to €200,000. It specifically targets SMEs operating within the Netherlands' nine "top sectors," including high-tech systems, energy, and life sciences.
- **RVO grants:** The RVO administers a wide range of grants, from the Vroegefasefinanciering (early-stage financing) program, which provides loans of up to €350,000 to innovative startups, to specific sectoral grants for sustainability and digitalization.
- **Horizon Europe and European Innovation Council (EIC) Accelerator:** At the EU level, the EIC Accelerator provides grants of up to €2.5 million and equity investments of up to €15 million to high-potential startups and scale-ups. Several Dutch companies have successfully accessed this program, which is particularly suited to deep-tech ventures with long development timelines.

4.4 Crowdfunding

Crowdfunding has emerged as a viable financing mechanism for startups, particularly since the EU introduced Regulation 2020/1503, which established a harmonized framework for European crowdfunding service providers. This regulation allows platforms licensed in one member state to operate across the EU, expanding the potential investor base for Dutch startups (European Commission, 2023).

Two primary forms of crowdfunding are relevant for startups:

- **Reward-based crowdfunding:** Platforms such as Kickstarter and Indiegogo allow founders to presell products to backers, who receive the product (or another reward) in exchange for their pledge. This model is particularly effective for consumer products, as it simultaneously validates market demand and generates working capital. A Dutch designer launching a sustainable fashion line might raise €30,000–€100,000 through a well-executed Kickstarter campaign.
- **Equity-based crowdfunding:** Platforms such as Seedrs and Crowdcube allow startups to sell shares to many small investors. Under the new EU regulation, platforms can facilitate raises of up to €5 million. This model is suited to startups with a compelling narrative and broad consumer appeal, as the investor base often also becomes an ambassador community.

4.5 Accelerators and Incubators

Accelerators and incubators provide startups with structured mentorship, workspace, access to networks, and often a modest amount of seed capital (typically €15,000–€150,000) in exchange for a small equity stake (5%–10%). The Netherlands hosts several prominent programs.

- **YES!Delft:** Affiliated with TU Delft, YES!Delft is one of Europe's top university-linked incubators, specializing in deep tech, clean tech, and health tech. Its alumni include companies such as Ampelmann and PHYSEE Technologies.

- **UtrechtInc:** Connected to Utrecht University and University Medical Center Utrecht, UtrechtInc focuses on health, sustainability, and education technology.
- **Rockstart:** An Amsterdam-based accelerator with verticals in energy, agri-food, and emerging technologies, Rockstart provides both capital and extensive international mentor networks.
- **STATION F (Paris):** While not Dutch, STATION F is the world's largest startup campus and attracts many Dutch founders seeking access to the French and broader European market.

4.6 Angel Investors at the Seed Stage

Angel investors are high-net-worth individuals who provide capital and mentorship to early-stage companies, typically investing between €25,000 and €250,000 per deal. Angels often invest in sectors in which they have personal expertise, providing not only capital but also strategic guidance. In the Netherlands, angel investing has grown significantly over the past decade, supported by networks such as Leapfunder (an online angel investment platform) and the Dutch chapter of the European Business Angel Network (EBAN). Angel investors frequently syndicate, pooling multiple investments to reduce individual risk exposure while collectively providing the startup with sufficient capital.

4.7 Example: A Dutch Founder's Seed Stage Capital Stack

Consider Lisa, a TU Delft alumna who has developed an AI-powered energy management system for commercial buildings. Her seed stage capital stack might look like Table 4.1.

Source	Amount	Terms
Personal savings	€25,000	Founder equity (100%)
RVO early-stage loan	€50,000	Subordinated loan, low interest
Crowdfunding (Seedrs)	€30,000	Equity (5% at €600K valuation)
Total seed capital	€105,000	

Table 4.1. Example Seed-Stage Capital Stack for a Dutch Founder

This blended approach, i.e., combining personal commitment, non-dilutive government financing, and community-based equity crowdfunding, is characteristic of the Dutch startup environment, where founders leverage the rich public funding landscape before turning to more expensive private capital (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010).

Chapter 5: Growth Stage Financing

5.1 Reinvestment and Self-Financing

For companies that have achieved product–market fit and begun generating revenue, retained earnings represent the least costly source of growth capital. Reinvesting profits avoids both the dilution of equity financing and the servicing costs of debt. According to the pecking order theory (Myers, 1984), this preference for internal funds is rational. Managers, who possess superior information about the company's prospects, avoid the adverse selection costs associated with external financing.

In practice, however, most growth-stage startups are not yet profitable, or their profits are insufficient to fund the rapid expansion required to capture market share. Revenue-generating startups typically reinvest all available cash flow while simultaneously seeking external capital to accelerate growth. Key financial discipline at this stage involves distinguishing between growth investments (which create long-term value) and unsustainable cash burn (which destroys it).

5.2 Bank Loans and Credit Facilities

As a startup matures and develops a track record of revenue, traditional bank financing becomes increasingly accessible. European banks, which play a more central role in corporate finance than their American counterparts, offer several products relevant to growth-stage companies (Janse & Strauch, 2024), as follows:

- **Term loans:** These fixed-amount loans are repaid over a specified period (typically 3–7 years) and used for capital expenditures such as equipment, outfitting of new premises, or technology infrastructure. Dutch banks such as ABN AMRO, ING, and Rabobank have dedicated SME lending divisions.
- **Working capital facilities:** These are revolving credit lines that provide flexibility to manage seasonal fluctuations in cash flow. For instance, a Dutch e-commerce company might draw on a working capital facility to finance inventory ahead of the holiday season.
- **Leasing and asset finance:** Banks and specialized lessors provide financing for specific assets like vehicles, machinery, or IT equipment, secured against the asset itself.
- **Credit guarantee schemes:** The Dutch Borgstelling MKB-kredieten (BMKB) program allows banks to extend loans to SMEs that lack sufficient collateral. Under BMKB, the government guarantees up to 90% of the credit facility (up to €1.5 million for starters), significantly reducing the bank's risk and enabling lending to companies that would otherwise be declined.

5.3 Venture Capital Rounds: Series A, B, and C

VC is the most prominent form of growth-stage equity financing for high-potential startups. VC rounds are typically structured as sequential funding events, each associated with a specific growth milestone.

- **Series A (€1M–€10M):** follows seed funding and is intended to scale a proven business model. Investors at this stage expect evidence of product–market fit, a growing customer

base, and a clear path to revenue expansion. Series A investors often take a board seat and become active strategic partners.

- **Series B (€10M–€30M):** focuses on scaling operations e.g., expanding into new geographies, hiring key senior staff, and building organizational infrastructure. At this stage, the company is expected to show strong revenue growth and improved unit economics.
- **Series C and beyond (€30M+):** late-stage rounds intended to achieve market dominance, prepare for an IPO, or fund strategic acquisitions. Investors at this stage include growth equity funds, corporate venture arms, and sovereign wealth funds.

European VC deal terms differ in some respects from American norms. While American deals frequently include participating preferred stock, European deals more commonly feature nonparticipating preferred shares. Liquidation preferences in Europe are typically 1× nonparticipating, meaning investors receive their investment back before common shareholders but do not "double dip." Anti-dilution protections (usually the broad-based weighted average) and board representation rights are standard.

5.4 Mezzanine Finance: Convertible Notes and Venture Debt

Mezzanine finance occupies the space between pure equity and pure debt, combining features of both.

Convertible Notes

A convertible note is a short-term debt instrument that converts into equity upon a triggering event, typically the next equity financing round. The mechanics include the following:

- **Discount rate (typically 15%–25%):** provides the note holder with a discount on the share price at conversion, compensating for the risk of investing earlier.
- **Valuation cap:** sets a maximum company valuation at which the note converts, protecting the investor if the company's valuation increases dramatically. For example, if an investor holds a convertible note with a €4 million cap and the Series A prices the company at €10 million, the note converts at the €4 million valuation, yielding significantly more shares.
- **Interest rate (typically 4%–8%):** accrues over the term of the note, increasing the conversion amount.

Convertible notes are popular in Europe because they defer the valuation discussion to a later stage, when more data is available to support a credible valuation. This is particularly useful between seed and Series A, when valuing a pre-revenue startup is inherently speculative.

Venture Debt

Venture debt is a term loan provided to venture-backed companies, typically by specialized lenders such as the European Investment Bank (EIB), Kreos Capital, or Columbia Lake Partners. It supplements equity financing by providing additional capital without dilution. Venture debt typically carries an interest rate of 8%–15% and may include warrants (options to purchase equity) as additional compensation for the lender. Dutch scale-ups in the €2M–€20M revenue range increasingly use venture debt as a bridge between equity rounds or to finance specific growth initiatives (Krämer-Eis et al., 2023).

5.5 Factoring and Invoice Discounting

For startups with business-to-business (B2B) revenue models, factoring provides immediate access to cash tied up in outstanding invoices. A factor purchases the startup's accounts receivable at a discount (typically 1%–5% of the invoice value) and assumes responsibility for collection. In the Netherlands, companies such as Svea Finans and Floryn Factoring offer services tailored to SMEs. This instrument is particularly valuable for companies experiencing rapid revenue growth but long payment cycles, a common situation for startups selling to large corporate clients or government agencies, for which payment terms of 60–90 days are standard.

5.6 Working Capital Management and Growing Pains

One of the most common causes of failure among growth-stage startups is not a lack of revenue but a failure to manage working capital. Rapid growth can consume cash faster than it generates it. Inventory must be purchased before sales are made, employees must be paid before customers pay, and capital expenditures for new capacity must precede the revenue they enable. This phenomenon, sometimes called overtrading, can lead to insolvency even for a company with a healthy order book and growing revenues.

Effective working capital management requires careful monitoring of the cash conversion cycle: the number of days between paying suppliers and collecting from customers. Shortening this cycle through tighter inventory management, faster invoicing, and negotiating favorable payment terms can dramatically reduce the external financing needed to sustain growth (Berk & DeMarzo, 2020).

5.7 Example: Blended Growth Financing for a Dutch Cleantech Startup

Consider GreenWatt BV, an Eindhoven-based startup that has developed smart grid technology for commercial buildings. Having completed a seed round of €500,000 and achieved €800,000 in annual recurring revenue, GreenWatt seeks €4 million to expand into the German market. The financing structure is provided in Table 5.1.

Source	Amount	Terms/Rationale
Bank loan (ING/BMKB)	€1,000,000	5-year term, 4.5% interest, BMKB guarantee covers 67%
VC (Series A)	€3,000,000	20% equity at €15M pre-money valuation, board seat
Total growth capital	€4,000,000	

Table 5.1. Blended Growth Financing for a Dutch Cleantech Startup

This structure illustrates a common European pattern: combining relatively inexpensive bank debt (enabled by government guarantee programs) with equity capital from a VC investor who brings strategic value beyond capital. The bank loan finances tangible assets and working capital, while the VC round funds market expansion and team growth.

Chapter 6: Angel Investment and Venture Capital in Europe

6.1 Angel Investors: Role and Characteristics

Angel investors occupy a critical position in the startup financing ecosystem, bridging the gap between personal/FFF financing and institutional VC. In Europe, angel investors typically invest between €100,000 and €500,000 per deal, with the average European angel investment standing at approximately €170,000 according to EBAN surveys. Unlike VCs, angels invest their own money, which gives them greater flexibility in deal structure and decision-making speed.

The angel's role extends far beyond capital provision. Effective angels contribute industry expertise, customer introductions, hiring support, and mentorship. Many successful European angels are themselves former entrepreneurs who have exited their own companies and wish to reinvest both capital and experience in the next generation of founders. In the Netherlands, platforms such as Leapfunder have democratized angel investing by enabling syndication (multiple angels co-investing in a single deal), which allows individual angels to diversify their portfolios while providing startups with sufficient capital.

6.2 European Tax Incentives for Angel Investment

Several European countries have implemented tax incentives to encourage angel investing, recognizing its importance in the startup funding pipeline.

- **United Kingdom:** The Enterprise Investment Scheme (EIS) provides 30% income tax relief on investments up to £1 million, plus capital gains tax exemption if shares are held for three years. The Seed Enterprise Investment Scheme (SEIS) offers 50% relief on investments up to £200,000 in very early-stage companies. These schemes have been highly successful in stimulating angel and early-stage investment in the United Kingdom.
- **The Netherlands:** While the Netherlands does not have a direct equivalent of EIS/SEIS, several fiscal provisions support angel investment. The Fiscale regeling voor durfkapitaal (VC tax facility) previously provided tax benefits for qualifying equity investments in startups, though the scheme has been modified over time. Additionally, the innovation box regime (innovatiebox) reduces the effective tax rate on profits derived from qualifying innovations to 9%, indirectly benefiting angel-backed companies that generate intellectual property.
- **France:** The IR-PME scheme provides 25% income tax relief on investments in qualifying SMEs, with enhanced rates for investments in innovative companies.

6.3 Venture Capital Fund Structure and Investment Process

A VC fund is typically structured as a limited partnership, comprising the following:

- **General partners (GPs):** The fund managers who make investment decisions, sit on portfolio company boards, and manage day-to-day fund operations. They typically invest 1%–5% of the fund's capital as a personal commitment.
- **Limited partners (LPs):** Institutional investors who provide most of the the fund's capital. They include pension funds (e.g., ABP and PFZW in the Netherlands), insurance companies,

endowments, family offices, and, increasingly, public institutions such as the EIF. Limited partners have limited liability and do not participate in investment decisions.

The typical VC fund has a 10-year life, with a 3- to 5-year investment period (during which new investments are made) followed by a 5- to 7-year harvesting period (during which the GP focuses on value creation and exits). The GP earns a management fee (typically 2% of committed capital annually) and carried interest (typically 20% of profits above a hurdle rate), creating alignment with the LPs' return objectives.

The investment process follows a structured sequence:

1. **Deal sourcing:** identification of potential investments through networks, events, and inbound applications.
2. **Initial screening:** evaluation of the team, market, product, traction, and fit with the fund's thesis.
3. **Due diligence:** a comprehensive review of the financials, legal structure, technology, market, and references.
4. **Term sheet:** a nonbinding document outlining the proposed investment terms.
5. **Investment committee approval:** formal approval by the fund's investment committee.
6. **Legal documentation and closing:** drafting and execution of the shareholders' agreement, articles of association amendment, and investment agreement.

6.4 Key Terms in Venture Capital Deals

Understanding VC terminology is essential for founders negotiating with investors.

- **Pre-money valuation:** the valuation of the company immediately before the new investment. If a startup has a pre-money valuation of €10 million and raises €2.5 million, the post-money valuation is €12.5 million.
- **Post-money valuation:** the pre-money valuation plus the new investment amount.
- **Dilution:** The reduction in existing shareholders' percentage ownership resulting from the issuance of new shares. In the example above, the new investor receives 2.5/12.5 = 20% of the company, proportionally diluting existing shareholders.
- **Liquidation preference:** a provision ensuring that preferred shareholders (typically investors) receive their investment back before common shareholders in a liquidation or exit event. A 1× nonparticipating liquidation preference is standard in European deals.
- **Anti-dilution protection:** a mechanism that adjusts the conversion price of preferred shares if the company raises a subsequent round at a lower valuation (a "down round"). The broad-based weighted average method is most common in Europe.
- **Board seats:** one or more board seats typically required by VC investors as a condition of investment, providing oversight and strategic input.

6.5 The Role of Public Institutions

Public institutions play a uniquely important role in European VC, helping to address the structural funding gap between Europe and the United States.

- **EIF:** a subsidiary of the EIB, the EIF is the largest institutional investor in European VC funds. It acts as an LP in VC funds, providing cornerstone investments that attract additional private capital. The EIF has committed over €14 billion to equity funds supporting more than 900,000 SMEs across Europe.
- **Invest-NL:** established by the Dutch government in 2020, Invest-NL provides financing and development support to ventures that contribute to major societal transitions like energy, sustainability, digitalization, and health care. It focuses on opportunities that are too risky or long-term for private financiers alone, investing amounts typically ranging from €1 million to €25 million.
- **InvestEU:** the EU's investment program (successor to the Juncker Plan), InvestEU aims to mobilize €372 billion of additional investment across the EU through a €26.2 billion budget guarantee. It supports VC funds, venture debt providers, and other financial intermediaries.

6.6 Environmental, Social, Governance (ESG) and the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation in Venture Capital

DISCLAIMER: Currently, the Sustainable Finance Disclosure Regulation (SFDR) 2.0 is in the making (03/2026), so this paragraph will probably be outdated by the end of 2026.

The SFDR has introduced new transparency requirements for financial market participants in the EU, including VC fund managers. Funds are now classified as follows:

- **Article 6:** funds that integrate sustainability risk disclosures but do not promote environmental or social characteristics.
- **Article 8 ("light green"):** funds that promote environmental or social characteristics. An increasing number of European VC funds are positioning themselves as Article 8, reflecting both regulatory pressure and LP demand for sustainable investment.
- **Article 9 ("dark green"):** funds with sustainable investment as their explicit objective. Impact-focused VC funds targeting clean energy, circular economy, or health equity typically fall into this category.

For startups, the SFDR has practical implications: VC funds classified under Articles 8 or 9 will increasingly require portfolio companies to report on ESG metrics, including carbon emissions, diversity data, and governance practices. Founders who build ESG measurement into their operations from an early stage will find it easier to attract investment from this growing pool of sustainability-oriented capital.

6.7 The European Venture Capital Landscape: Scale and Fragmentation

Despite significant growth over the past decade, European VC remains substantially smaller than its American counterpart. Venture capital investment in Europe represents approximately 0.3% of GDP, compared with 0.7%–1.0% in the United States (Arnold et al., 2024). This gap has several structural causes, including:

- fragmentation of the European market across 27 member states with different legal systems, languages, and business cultures.

- a relatively conservative institutional investor base, with European pension funds historically allocating smaller shares to alternative assets.
- shallower public equity markets for technology companies, reducing exit opportunities and dampening VC returns.
- cultural attitudes toward risk and failure that are gradually evolving but remain more cautious than those in the United States.

Key European VC hubs include London (which, despite Brexit, is still Europe's largest VC market), Paris (benefiting from La French Tech initiatives), Berlin (strong in B2B SaaS and mobility), Amsterdam (fintech and e-commerce), and Stockholm (a disproportionate producer of unicorns relative to population size).

6.8 Case: Swiss Healthtech Series A

MedTech Innovations AG, a Zurich-based startup developing AI-powered diagnostic tools for dermatology, seeks a Series A round after completing a successful seed stage with €1.5 million from angel investors and Innosuisse (the Swiss Innovation Agency). The company has 15 hospital pilot partnerships and €400,000 in annual revenue.

A European life sciences VC fund offers €5 million at a pre-money valuation of €15 million:

- **Post-money valuation:** €15M + €5M = €20 million.
- **Investor ownership:** €5M/€20M = 25%.
- **Founder dilution:** existing shareholders are diluted from 100% to 75% of the post-money equity.
- **Terms:** 1× nonparticipating liquidation preference, broad-based weighted average anti-dilution, one board seat for the lead investor, standard information and veto rights.

This deal structure is representative of European Series A terms in the health care sector. The relatively modest valuation multiple (compared to American equivalents) reflects both the European market discount and the regulatory complexity of medical device certification CE (Conformité Européenne) marking under the EU Medical Device Regulation.

Chapter 7: Financial Planning and Management

7.1 Building a Financial Model

A robust financial model is the foundation of effective financial management and an essential tool for communicating with investors. For startups, the financial model typically projects revenues, costs, and cash flows over a 3- to 5-year horizon. The model should be built bottom-up, grounded in verifiable assumptions rather than top-down market share estimates. Key components include the following:

- **Revenue forecasting:** for a SaaS business, this might involve projecting the number of customers acquired per month, average revenue per user, churn rate, and expansion revenue. For a physical product, it includes unit sales projections, pricing strategy, and distribution costs.
- **Cost structure:** this structure is categorized into fixed costs (rent, salaries, and insurance) and variable costs (materials, transaction fees, and customer acquisition). Understanding which costs scale with revenue and which do not is critical for modeling profitability at different scale points.
- **Unit economics:** this component is the profitability of each individual customer or transaction. The ratio of customer lifetime value (LTV) to customer acquisition cost (CAC) is one of the most scrutinized metrics by VC investors. A healthy SaaS business typically targets an LTV:CAC of 3:1 or higher.

7.2 Cash Flow Planning and Burn Rate

Cash flow planning requires converting the income statement projections into cash flow timing. Revenues recorded on an accrual basis may not translate into cash receipts for 30–90 days, while many costs (especially payroll) must be paid immediately. The monthly burn rate, the net cash consumed per month, drives one of the most important calculations for a startup: its runway, or the number of months of operation remaining before cash runs out.

Prudent financial management requires maintaining a runway of 6–12 months at all times. When the runway falls below six months, founders must either raise additional capital, cut costs, or accelerate revenue. Starting a fundraising process with less than six months of runway puts the founder in a weak negotiating position, as investors recognize the urgency and may offer less favorable terms (Berk & DeMarzo, 2020).

7.3 Scenario Analysis and Sensitivity Testing

Given the inherent uncertainty of startup operations, financial planning must incorporate scenario analysis (modeling optimistic, base, and pessimistic cases) to understand the range of potential outcomes and identify the key variables that most strongly influence financial performance.

Sensitivity testing isolates individual variables (e.g., CAC, churn rate, and pricing) to assess their impact on cash flow and profitability. For example, if a 20% increase in churn reduces runway from 14 to eight months, the founder knows that customer retention is a critical lever requiring immediate attention. Tools such as Excel, Google Sheets, and specialized platforms (e.g., Causa and Mosaic) enable founders to build dynamic scenario models with relative ease (Osterwalder & Pigneur, 2010).

7.4 Key Performance Indicators for Startups

Key performance indicators (KPIs) provide a dashboard for monitoring startup health and progress. While the specific KPIs vary by industry and business model, several are near universal for technology startups.

KPI	Definition	Why It Matters
MRR	Monthly recurring revenue	Predictable income; primary SaaS metric
CAC	Cost to acquire one customer	Efficiency of sales/marketing spend
LTV	Total revenue from a customer over lifetime	Must exceed CAC for sustainable unit economics
Churn rate	% of customers lost per period	Indicates product–market fit and satisfaction
Gross margin	(Revenue – cost of goods sold)/revenue	Scalability indicator; SaaS targets >70%
Burn rate	Net cash consumed per month	Determines runway and fundraising timing

Table 7.1. KPIs for Technology Startup

7.5 When to Hire a Chief Financial Officer or Finance Professional

Most startups begin with the founding team handling all financial responsibilities, such as bookkeeping, tax filings, and investor reporting, often with the help of a boekhouder (bookkeeper) or accountant. As the company grows, the complexity of financial management increases: Multiple revenue streams, international operations, compliance requirements, and investor reporting demand dedicated expertise.

The typical inflection points for hiring a finance professional are as follows:

- **Part-time financial controller (€500K–€2M revenue):** manages day-to-day bookkeeping, prepares financial statements, and handles tax compliance. Many Dutch startups outsource this function to specialized firms.
- **Full-time head of finance (€2M–€10M revenue):** builds internal financial systems, manages cash flow, and leads fundraising preparation.
- **Chief financial officer (€10M+ revenue):** focuses on financial strategy, capital allocation, investor relations, and preparation for exit events. The chief financial officer is a key hire ahead of an IPO or major acquisition.

7.6 Practical Tips for Dutch Founders

Navigating the Dutch administrative landscape requires paying attention to several practical considerations.

- **Begroting (budget):** Dutch financial institutions and grant programs typically require a detailed begroting i.e. a formal budget projection as part of any application. This document should include monthly cash flow projections, a break-even analysis, and clear assumptions.
- **KvK registration:** Every business in the Netherlands must register with the KvK. The choice of legal form, e.g., eenmanszaak (sole proprietorship), VOF (partnership), or BV (private limited company), has significant implications for taxation, liability, and the ability to raise external capital. Most startups seeking equity investment will need to operate as a BV.
- **BTW/VAT:** Dutch entrepreneurs must register for BTW (belasting over de toegevoegde waarde) and charge the standard rate of 21% on most goods and services. The quarterly BTW return requires careful tracking of input and output VAT (Value Added Tax). Cross-border sales within the EU are subject to additional complexity under the One Stop Shop system.
- **Payroll and social security:** Employing staff in the Netherlands involves obligations, including loonheffing (payroll tax), werkgeverslasten (employer social security contributions of approximately 20%–25% on top of gross salary), and mandatory pension contributions. These costs should be fully reflected in financial projections.

Chapter 8: Going Public and Exit Strategies

8.1 The Initial Public Offering Process in Europe

An IPO represents the culmination of the startup financing journey, transforming a private company into a publicly traded entity with access to the broadest and deepest pool of capital. In Europe, the principal stock exchanges for technology IPOs include the following:

- **Euronext Amsterdam:** the Netherlands' primary exchange and one of Europe's most active markets for technology listings. Euronext operates a pan-European platform spanning Amsterdam, Brussels, Dublin, Lisbon, Milan, Oslo, and Paris, providing access to a combined market capitalization exceeding €6 trillion.
- **Deutsche Börse Group (Frankfurt):** Germany's main exchange, with the Scale segment specifically designed for growth companies.
- **London Stock Exchange Alternative Investment Market (AIM):** provides a lighter regulatory regime for smaller, growing companies. Despite Brexit, AIM remains an option for European companies seeking to access United Kingdom investors.

8.2 When and Why to Go Public

The decision to go public involves weighing significant benefits against substantial costs and obligations.

Benefits:

- **Access to capital:** an IPO raises substantial primary capital (the company issues new shares) and creates a liquid currency (publicly traded shares) for future acquisitions.
- **Liquidity for existing shareholders:** founders, employees with stock options, and early investors can sell shares in the public market, realizing returns on their investment.
- **Profile and credibility:** public listing enhances brand visibility, attracts talent (through equity compensation), and signals maturity to customers and partners.
- **Acquisition currency:** publicly traded shares can be used as consideration in mergers and acquisitions, enabling growth-by-acquisition strategies without depleting cash reserves.

Costs and obligations:

- **Underwriting fees:** investment banks (underwriters) typically charge 3%–7% of the gross proceeds. For a €100 million IPO, this translates to €3–€7 million in fees alone.
- **Prospectus preparation:** the prospectus, a comprehensive disclosure document required by the EU Prospectus Regulation, involves months of preparation and significant legal and accounting costs.
- **Market abuse regulation:** public companies must comply with stringent rules on inside information disclosure, insider trading prevention, and market manipulation. This requires robust compliance infrastructure.
- **Corporate sustainability reporting directive (CSRD):** effective from 2024 for large public companies, the CSRD mandates detailed ESG reporting according to European Sustainability

Reporting Standards. It represents a significant new compliance burden but also positions European public companies as global leaders in sustainability transparency.

8.3 Alternative Exit Strategies

Not all successful startups go public. Indeed, the majority of exits in Europe occur through alternative routes.

Trade Sale (M&A)

Acquisition by a larger company (a trade sale) is the most common exit path for European startups. Strategic acquirers pay a premium for technology, talent, customers, or market position. Trade sales can be completed more quickly than IPOs and avoid the ongoing compliance burden of public listing. For the entrepreneur, a trade sale typically provides a clean, complete exit, with proceeds received at closing (potentially with earn-out provisions tied to post-acquisition performance).

Secondary Sales

In secondary transactions, existing shareholders (founders, angels, or VC funds) sell their shares to new investors without the company issuing new equity. The rise of secondary platforms such as Forge Global and EquityZen has made this option more accessible. Secondary sales allow early investors to achieve liquidity while the company remains private, which can be particularly valuable during long periods between funding rounds.

Management Buyout

A management buyout occurs when the existing management team, often supported by private equity or debt financing, purchases the company from its current owners. This exit route is more common for mature, profitable companies than for high-growth startups, but it provides an option for founders who wish to transition ownership to a trusted management team while ensuring business continuity.

8.4 Case: Adyen Initial Public Offering on Euronext Amsterdam

Adyen NV, a Dutch payment technology company founded in 2006, conducted one of Europe's most successful technology IPOs when it was listed on Euronext Amsterdam on June 13, 2018. The key metrics of the Adyen IPO illustrate the dynamics of a European technology listing:

- **IPO price:** €240 per share, at the top of the €220–€240 indicative range.
- **Market capitalization at IPO:** €7.1 billion (Sterling, 2018), making it one of the largest European tech IPOs of the decade.
- **Capital raised:** Aaproximately €266 million in primary proceeds (new shares issued by the company), plus secondary sales by existing shareholders.
- **First-day performance:** shares surged to €455 on the first day of trading, an increase of approximately 90%, demonstrating strong investor demand.

Adyen's IPO is significant for several reasons. First, the company chose to list in Amsterdam rather than on NASDAQ or NYSE, demonstrating that European exchanges can support world-class technology listings. Second, Adyen was profitable at the time of its IPO, an unusual feat for a high-growth technology company and a reflection of its disciplined financial management. Third, Adyen's

decision to pursue a direct listing-style approach (with limited price discovery and no traditional road show) presaged a broader trend toward more founder-friendly IPO processes in Europe.

As of 2025, Adyen's market capitalization exceeded €40 billion, confirming its status as one of Europe's most valuable technology companies and a flagship success story for the Dutch startup ecosystem.

Chapter 9: Conclusion

9.1 Aligning Financial Strategy with Venture Stage

The central theme of this course text is that there is no single correct way to finance a startup. The optimal financing strategy depends on the venture's stage, sector, and growth trajectory and the founder's objectives regarding control, risk, and timeline. At each stage, founders face trade-offs between the cost of capital (dilution and interest), the speed of access, and the strategic value that different financing sources provide.

The most successful entrepreneurs develop financial literacy early, treating financing not as an occasional fundraising event but as an ongoing strategic discipline. They understand that a €50,000 government grant at the seed stage can be more valuable than a €500,000 VC investment if it allows the founder to retain full ownership and demonstrate traction before engaging with institutional investors on more favorable terms.

9.2 Europe's Rich but Complex Tool Kit

Europe offers entrepreneurs a remarkably diverse tool kit of financing instruments from generous public grants and R&D tax credits to emerging VC markets and deep public equity exchanges. However, this tool kit is also complex, fragmented across national jurisdictions, and embedded within a regulatory framework that demands more compliance than founders in the United States typically face. Navigating this landscape requires not only financial acumen but also institutional knowledge of national and EU-level programs, regulations, and norms (Janse & Strauch, 2024).

9.3 Regulatory Compliance as Competitive Advantage

Rather than view European regulation as a burden, forward-thinking entrepreneurs recognize it as a competitive advantage. Compliance with the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), CSRD, SFDR, and other frameworks builds trust with customers, partners, and investors. European companies that can demonstrate robust governance, transparent financial reporting, and credible ESG practices are increasingly preferred by institutional investors, corporate partners, and public procurement agencies both within Europe and globally.

9.4 Ethics and Sustainability in European Finance

The European entrepreneurial finance landscape is increasingly defined by its integration of ethics and sustainability. The European Green Deal, the EU taxonomy for sustainable activities, and the SFDR are reshaping capital flows toward environmentally and socially responsible ventures. For the next generation of entrepreneurs, including the master's students reading this text, building a financially successful and ethically grounded company is not a contradiction but a convergence. The European model of entrepreneurial finance, with its emphasis on stakeholder value, long-term thinking, and societal contribution, offers a compelling alternative to purely shareholder-driven approaches.

The entrepreneurs who will shape Europe's economic future are those who combine innovative products, scalable business models, and disciplined financial management with a deep commitment to the principles of sustainability, inclusivity, and responsible growth.

References

Arnold, N., Claveres, G., & Frie, J. (2024, July 15). *Europe can better support venture capital to boost growth and productivity.* International Monetary Fund Blog. https://www.imf.org/en/Blogs/Articles/2024/07/15/europe-can-better-support-venture-capital-to-boost-growth-and-productivity

Berk, J., & DeMarzo, P. (2020). *Corporate finance* (5th ed.). Pearson.

Blank, S. (2013). Why the lean start-up changes everything. *Harvard Business Review*, *91*(5), 63–72.

Brealey, R. A., Myers, S. C., & Allen, F. (2020). *Principles of corporate finance* (13th ed.). McGraw-Hill.

CBS. 2021. State of SMEs Annual Report 2021. https://cms.staatvanhetmkb.nl/wp-content/uploads/2021/12/State_of_SMEs_Annual_Report_2021.pdf

European Commission. (2023). *Crowdfunding*. Capital Markets Union. https://finance.ec.europa.eu/capital-markets-union-and-financial-markets/financial-markets/crowdfunding_en

Eurostat. (2023). *Structural business statistics overview.* Eurostat Statistics Explained.

Harvard Business Review. (2018). Entrepreneur's handbook: Everything you need to launch and grow your new business. Harvard Business Review Press.

Janse, K. A., & Strauch, R. (2024, March 11). *How capital market finance can boost European businesses.* World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/03/how-capital-market-finance-boost-european-businesses/

Krämer-Eis, H., Botsari, A., Gvetadze, S., Lang, F., & Torfs, W. (2023). *The European Small Business Finance Outlook 2023* (EIF Working Paper No. 2023/96). European Investment Fund. https://www.eif.org/news_centre/publications/EIF_Working_Paper_2023_96.htm

López-Gracia, J., & Sogorb-Mira, F. (2008). Testing trade-off and pecking order theories financing SMEs. *Small Business Economics*, *31*(2), 117–136.

Modigliani, F., & Miller, M. H. (1958). The cost of capital, corporation finance and the theory of investment. *American Economic Review*, *48*(3), 261–297.

Myers, S. C. (1984). The capital structure puzzle. *Journal of Finance*, *39*(3), 574–592.

Osterwalder, A., & Pigneur, Y. (2010). *Business model generation*. John Wiley & Sons.

Ries, E. (2011). The lean startup: How today's entrepreneurs use continuous innovation to create radically successful businesses. Crown Business.

Sterling, T. (2018, June 12). *Adyen prices at top of range, worth 7.1 billion euros at IPO price.* Reuters. https://www.reuters.com/article/technology/adyen-prices-at-top-of-range-worth-71-billion-euros-at-ipo-price-idUSKBN1J82NL/

Thomson, E. (2024, January 9). *These charts show which businesses are driving the EU economy.* World Economic Forum. https://www.weforum.org/stories/2024/01/chart-drive-eu-economy-small-business-sme/