

Delft University of Technology

Effect of synthesis conditions on morphology, surface chemistry and electrochemical performance of nickel ferrite nanoparticles for lithium-ion battery applications

Babu, Reshma S.; Madai, Eszter; Nair, Durga S.; Gonugunta, Prasad; Armaki, Seyedamirhossein Mohseni; Hendrikx, Ruud; Panneerselvam, Thamayanthi; Murugan, Ramaswamy; Kumar, V. V. Ravi Kanth; Taheri, Peyman

DOI

10.1007/s10854-025-14886-w

Publication date

Document Version Final published version

Published in Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics

Citation (APA)

Babu, R. S., Madai, E., Nair, D. S., Gonugunta, P., Armaki, S. M., Hendrikx, R., Panneerselvam, T., Murugan, R., Kumar, V. V. R. K., Taheri, P., Mol, A., Satyanarayana, N., & Anusuyadevi, P. R. (2025). Effect of synthesis conditions on morphology, surface chemistry and electrochemical performance of nickel ferrite nanoparticles for lithium-ion battery applications. *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Electronics*, *36*(14), Article 865. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-025-14886-w

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



Effect of synthesis conditions on morphology, surface chemistry and electrochemical performance of nickel ferrite nanoparticles for lithium-ion battery applications

Reshma S Babu¹, Eszter Madai², Durga S Nair³, Prasad Gonugunta², Seyedamirhossein Mohseni Armaki², Ruud Hendrikx², Thamayanthi Panneerselvam¹, Ramaswamy Murugan¹, V. V. Ravi Kanth Kumar¹, Peyman Taheri², Arjan Mol², N. Satyanarayana^{1,*}, and Prasaanth Ravi Anusuyadevi^{2,*}

¹ Department of Physics, Pondicherry University, Puducherry 605014, India

² Department of Materials Science and Engineering (MSE), Faculty of Mechanical Engineering (ME), Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

Mekelweg 2, 2628 CD Delft, The Netherlands

³ Department of Physics, Puducherry Technological University, Puducherry 605014, India

Received: 12 November 2024 Accepted: 28 April 2025 Published online: 23 May 2025

© The Author(s), 2025

ABSTRACT

In the search for effective high-tech materials for energy conversion and storage devices, spinel-structured nickel ferrite (NiFe₂O₄) has been identified as a promising anode material for lithium-ion batteries (LIBs). However, the influence of different morphologies and surface properties of NiFe2O4 nanoparticles on battery performance is hardly addressed. To understand the effect of different morphologies and surface properties on the lithium-ion storage performance, NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles were synthesized through four different synthesis conditions: NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C. The formation of polycrystalline inverse spinel NiFe₂O₄ was confirmed through XRD, FTIR, and Raman spectroscopy. The morphologies of the obtained samples were studied using FESEM, and it was found that the four different synthesis conditions employed here enabled us to obtain NiFe₂O₄ with four different morphologies. The surface chemistry, surface area and porosity of the NiFe₂ O_4 samples were respectively characterized using XPS and BET. The electrochemical performance of the four $NiFe_2O_4$ samples as anode material was studied by fabricating lithium-ion half-cells. NiFe₂O₄ sample obtained from surfactant-free synthesis condition (NFO-S) displayed a high initial discharge and charge capacity of 2258 mAh/g and 1815 mAh/g, respectively at the current density of 100 mA/g. Even after 100 cycles, NFO-S showed a better discharge capacity of 116 mAh/g at the current density of 100 mA/g, compared to the other samples studied here. The observed higher capacity of the NFO-S sample is attributed to the higher surface area ($40.8 \text{ m}^2/\text{g}$) and pore volume ($0.190 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$).



Address correspondence to E-mail: nallanis2011@gmail.com; P.RaviAnusuyadevi@tudelft.nl; ranu.prasaanth@gmail.com

The NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared with cationic CTAB surfactant (NFO-C) showed better cyclic stability with a stable coulombic efficiency of 98.5% at the 100th cycle, mainly attributed to its nanocube morphology with lower surface area (16.1 m²/g) and pore volume (0.087 cm³/g).

1 Introduction

Environmental issues related to excessive usage of non-renewable energy resources have led to everincreasing intensive research of alternatives, in particular during the last decades. Persistently, there is a high demand for fast rechargeable and weightless batteries with long cyclic life and high energy density [1, 2]. Currently, high energy density storage devices with long cyclic life are required for various applications: storage of renewable energy, electric vehicles, and fast-developing portable electronic devices like digital cameras, mobile phones, laptops, etc. Lithium-ion batteries (LIBs) are found to be the most suitable choice due to their long cyclic life, good energy density, wide operating temperature range, and low memory effect [3–6].

Graphite is widely used as the anode material in commercial LIBs, since it is economical and has a good cyclic life. However, the low specific capacity of graphite (372 mAh/g) is a significant limitation [3, 7]. As an alternative material for the anode in LIBs, transition metal oxides (TMOs) were introduced [7, 8]. Mainly three types of lithium-ion storage mechanisms occur in the anode of LIBs: intercalation, alloying, and conversion reaction. TMOs undergo a conversion reaction with lithium and this effectively utilizes all possible oxidation states of TMOs [8–13]. During discharging, the transition metal oxide anode gets reduced to the transition metal, and during charging, metals get re-oxidized to metal oxide, which helps achieve a longer cyclic life. Therefore, most of the TMOs have a better theoretical capacity than graphite. TMOs have crystal structures such as spinel, perovskite, rutile, etc., which enhance lithium storage capacity [14, 15]. Despite the advantages, TMOs exhibit low electrical conductivity and significant volume variation during the charge–discharge cycles [16–18].

Among spinel oxides with the formula AB_2O_4 , ferrites stand out with their lithium cyclability, theoretical

capacity, non-toxicity, and abundance. Its spinel structure can accommodate eight lithium ions [12, 19, 20]. Spinel oxides, such as $LiMn_2O_4$ are also used as cathode materials in LIBs due to their enhanced energy densities [21]. The mixed metal oxides can have a normal spinel, inverse spinel or mixed spinel structure depending on the metal ion radius and its oxidation state [17, 22, 23]. Also, the synergistic effect from two metals in binary transition metal oxide shows enhanced performance. NiFe₂O₄ (NFO) has a high theoretical capacity of 915 mAh/g and an inverse spinel structure, as shown in Fig. 1, where octahedral sites are filled with Ni²⁺ ions and half of Fe³⁺ ions and tetrahedral sites with another half of Fe³⁺ ions [24–27]. Hence, one mole of NiFe₂O₄ reacts with 8 mol of lithium providing a high theoretical capacity [4, 28, 29]. NiFe₂O₄ is a non-toxic compound, while nickel and iron are abundant on the earth [30]. However, NiFe₂O₄ has some demerits such as low electrical conductivity, large volume expansion and hysteresis in voltage during charge-discharge, which should be taken into account. Mesoporous nanostructured $NiFe_2O_4$ can improve the electrochemical performance by providing more active sites for the reaction and can also accommodate the volume changes during continuous cycling [4, 31, 32]. The conductivity and the electrochemical performance can be increased by adding carbonaceous materials like carbon nanotubes, nanosphere, quantum dots or graphene oxides. The conductive carbon materials with high surface area and porosity increase the cyclic life, by mitigating the volume expansion during cycling [33-47].

The present study stands out from the previous studies, majorly focusing on the effect of different morphology, surface properties, porosity and surface chemistry on the lithium storage performance of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle samples prepared under four different synthesis conditions. This will provide fundamental knowledge towards optimizing NiFe₂O₄-based composite morphologies, solving their intrinsic





conductivity issues and achieving efficient LIBs with NiFe₂O₄ as an anode material for the future. To attain a better understanding of how NiFe₂O₄ morphological changes affect the lithium storage performance, and to extract the best out of them, hydrothermally synthesized NiFe₂O₄ nanomaterials were studied as an anode in LIBs. In this work, we developed different morphologies of NiFe2O4 nanoparticles through the four different synthesis conditions, as shown in Figs. 2 and S1 from Supporting Information. By varying the additives, reaction temperature, and reaction time, different morphologies of NiFe2O4 nanoparticles were developed. Thus, the obtained NiFe₂O₄ materials exhibited different morphology, surface area, porosity, and surface chemistry, which displayed a significant influence on the performance of LIBs. Therefore, this study aims to investigate how different particle size, morphology, surface area, porosity, and surface chemistry of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles developed through different conditions of hydrothermal synthesis influence their electrochemical properties. The synthesis conditions employed here are optimized to find the best-performing NiFe₂O₄ as anode in LIBs. As the four different synthesis conditions are newly designed in this work, it produced nickel ferrite nanoparticles with different morphologies which were not reported before with similar precursors, precipitants and/or surfactants in the domain of hydrothermal synthesis. The newly reported batch-reactor parameters of this study can be implemented in the future using continuous supercritical synthesis techniques, where such advanced protocols pave the way for large-scale production of nanomaterials for energy storage applications [48, 49].

The phase purity and crystalline structure of the four as-synthesized NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle samples were studied by XRD. Lattice structure and metal ion distribution within the inverse spinel cubic sublattice were studied using ATR-FTIR and Raman spectros-copy. The effect of (co)-precipitants and surfactants on the particle size and morphology of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle samples was studied by FESEM, and the



Fig. 2 Schematic representation of four different synthesis conditions for producing $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle samples (NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, NFO-C)

corresponding elemental mapping was achieved by EDX analysis. The surface chemistry with the distribution of metal ions and their corresponding valance states were studied using XPS. The surface properties and porosity were studied by the N₂ adsorption–desorption technique.

The lithium storage performance of the samples was studied by fabricating a half-cell consisting of NiFe₂O₄ as the working electrode and lithium as the counter electrode. Cyclic voltammetry (CV), galvanostatic charge-discharge (GCD), and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) techniques were used to study the electrochemical performance of the samples. CV was performed to understand the redox reactions occurring during the charge-discharge cycles of the lithium-ion cells. CV demonstrated the capacity fading and cyclic stability of NFOs. GCD was employed to assess the electrochemical performance of the developed nickel ferrite nanoparticles over continuous charge-discharge cycles, whereas EIS was performed to understand the resistive and capacitive response offered by the components of the electrochemical system.

2 Materials and methods

2.1 Synthesis of nanoparticles

Nickel ferrite (NiFe₂O₄) nanoparticles were synthesized by a hydrothermal route using different co-precipitants and/or surfactants, under different temperatures and reaction times. Nickel chloride hexahydrate (NiCl₂·6H₂O, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) and anhydrous ferric chloride (FeCl₃, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) were used in 1:2 molar ratio, to prepare the precursor solution. This solution was brought to pH 10, by dropwise addition of 1 M sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 97%, SRL) solution. The resultant 100 mL solution was poured into a 200 mL Teflon-lined autoclave and kept for hydrothermal reaction. The obtained product was centrifuged and washed several times with deionized water. The washed residue was dried at 80 °C in a hot-air oven overnight.

- The NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle sample synthesized at 180 °C for 12 h was named as NFO-S.
- The NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized in the presence of urea (99%, SRL) and polyethylene glycol 400 (PEG400, Sigma-Aldrich) at 200 °C for 12 h was named as NFO-U.

- The NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized in the presence of D-glucose (SRL) at 130 °C for 24 h and calcinated at 700 °C for 4 h was named as NFO-G.
- The NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized in the presence of cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, 99%, SRL) at 150 °C for 12 h and calcinated at 500 °C for 4 h was named as NFO-C.

All NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under four different synthesis conditions were ground using a mortar and a pestle for further studies. Figures 2 and S1 in Supporting Information respectively show the schematic diagram and flowchart of the complete synthesis process of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles produced by the four different synthesis conditions.

2.2 Characterization

For all the prepared NiFe₂O₄ samples, X-ray Diffraction (XRD) patterns were recorded using the Bruker D8 Advance diffractometer (Cu K_{α} radiation, λ = 1.54 Å), in the range of 10° – 80° with a step size of 0.02° 2 Θ . The powder samples were deposited on a Si510 zero-background wafer during measurements, and their corresponding XRD data were evaluated using Bruker-AXS software DiffracSuite.EVA 7.2 and Panalytical Highscore. Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectra were obtained using a Thermo Nicolet iS50-FTIR in Attenuated Total Reflectance (ATR) mode with 4 cm⁻¹ resolution. Raman spectra have been obtained using a WiTeC Alpha300R Raman imaging microscope, using a 532 nm laser with 0.5 mW laser power to avoid damaging the samples with an integration time of 8 s and 10 accumulations. The samples for Raman measurements were prepared by dissolving sample powder in isopropyl alcohol (IPA) and drop cast on quartz slides. The morphologies of the as-synthesized $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles were characterized using field emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM, JEOL, JSM-6500F) at an accelerating voltage of 20 kV and a working distance of 10 mm. The FESEM images during Energy-Dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) were captured at a 25 mm working distance and 20 kV accelerating voltage. The surface chemistry of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles was studied using a PHI 5400 XPS (X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy) (Physical Electronic Inc.), possessed with an Aluminum (Al)-nonmonochromatic K_{α} X-ray radiation (hv = 1486.7 eV). This X-ray was provided with a 200 W power setting at 13.5 kV accelerating electron voltage. XPS measurements were carried out at 10^{-9} mbar vacuum, the pass energy during the full survey measurement was 89.45 eV, and the pass energy at high-resolution measurements was 71.55 eV. All the XPS spectra were processed using MultiPak v.8.0, provided by Physical Electronics Inc., enabling us with facile and precise curve fitting. The Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area and Barrett–Joyner–Halenda (BJH) pore volume were calculated by the N₂ adsorption method using the Micromeritics BET analyzer. The samples were degassed at 120 °C overnight and were analyzed under the N₂ adsorption–desorption technique.

2.3 Electrochemical measurements

For the electrode preparation, the $NiFe_2O_4$ as an active material, polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) as binder, and conductive carbon are taken in an 8:1:1 ratio and mixed with N-methyl pyrrolidone (NMP). The well-mixed slurry is coated on the copper foil using a doctor blade and dried at 120 °C overnight. The dried electrode was cut in 8 mm diameter size using an electrode disk cutter. CR2032 coin cell-type lithium-ion batteries were fabricated using the NFO-S/ NFO-U/NFO-G/ NFO-C nanoparticles as the working electrode, lithium metal as the counter electrode, 1 M $LiPF_6$ in EC: EMC (1:1) as the electrolyte, and Whatman glass fiber filter as the separator. All the cells were assembled in the argon filled glove box. The cells were characterized by measuring cyclic voltammetry (CV), charge-discharge measurements, and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) using the electrochemical workstation of Biologic BCS-810. CV has been performed by varying the voltage range 0.01–3 V at a scan rate of 0.1 mV/s and measuring the respective current for five cycles. At 100 mA/g current density, the charge-discharge studies are performed between 0.01 and 3 V for 100 cycles. EIS measurements were done in the 10 kHz-1 Hz frequency range.

3 Results and discussion

Figure 3a shows the XRD patterns of NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under four different synthesis conditions of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C. For all the four samples, the observed diffraction peaks are at 2 Θ angles of 18.4°, 30.3°, 35.6°, 37.3°, 43.3°, 53.8°, 57.3°, 62.9°, and 74.5°, which were compared with the standard pattern of NiFe₂O₄ (ICDD data: 98-011-6755)



Fig. 3 a XRD pattern, b FTIR spectra, c Raman spectra, d-g FESEM images and h-k particle size distribution histograms of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G and NFO-C



and were respectively assigned to (111), (220), (311), (222), (400), (422), (511), (440), and (533) planes [50]. Hence, the formation of the pure crystalline phase of the face-centered cubic (FCC) inverse spinel structure of NiFe₂O₄ with space group Fd $\overline{3}$ m is confirmed [10, 27, 51, 52]. From Fig. 3a, all four XRD patterns showed an additional broader peak between 12° and 24° with varied intensities, which may be due to the amorphous phase of a minor quantity of impurity. Hence, for all samples including the NFO-C, the XRD peak at 18.4° is overlapped over the additional broader peak between 12° and 24°. This intensity of the broader peak is higher for NFO-C and smaller for NFO-G.

The X-ray diffraction peak broadening is due to the following reasons: (1) Instrumental broadening, (2) Increase in crystallite size, and (3) Lattice strain due to distortion [53]. Thus, the observed total diffraction peak broadening (β_T) can be mathematically related using Eq. (1).

$$\beta_T = \beta_D + \beta_S. \tag{1}$$

Here (β_D) corresponds to the instrumental corrected peak broadening induced due to the crystallite size of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles only, see Eq. (2) [54]. β_S represents diffraction peak broadening due to the lattice strain and its relation with micro-strain (ϵ) due to crystal distortion and imperfection is provided by Eq. (3).

$$\beta_D = \frac{k\lambda}{D\cos\theta'},\tag{2}$$

where k is the shape factor, λ is the wavelength of the X-ray, D is the crystallite size (nm), and θ is the Bragg diffraction angle corresponding to the diffraction peak [4, 17, 54].

$$\beta_S = 4\varepsilon \tan\theta. \tag{3}$$

Thus, Eq. (1) can be further simplified, resulting in Eqs. (4) and (5).

$$\beta_T = \frac{k\lambda}{D\cos\theta} + 4\varepsilon \tan\theta,\tag{4}$$

$$\frac{\beta_T \cos\theta}{k\lambda} = \frac{1}{D} + \frac{4\varepsilon \sin\theta}{k\lambda}.$$
(5)

Thus, through a Williamson–Hall (W–H) analysis plot, using Eq. (5), the crystallite sizes of the NiFe₂O₄ particles prepared under four different synthesis conditions were estimated and their crystallite sizes are given in Table 1.

Table 1 Crystallite sizes calculated for four $NiFe_2O_4$ samples studied in this work

NiFe ₂ O ₄ samples	Crystallite size (D)
NFO-S	$37 \pm 3 \text{ nm}$
NFO-U	19 ± 2 nm
NFO-G	$51 \pm 3 \text{ nm}$
NFO-C	$34 \pm 3 \text{ nm}$

From Table 1, it is observed that $NiFe_2O_4$ prepared under the NFO-U condition exhibits the smallest crystallite size, whereas the NFO-G sample exhibits the highest crystallite size. The reason for NFO-G and NFO-C samples showing good crystallinity is due to the high-temperature calcination at 500 °C and 700 °C, respectively, whereas the smaller crystallite size of the NFO-U sample may be due to its synthesis involving urea and PEG400 and the absence of the post-calcination step. Further, the XRD results of the directly obtained NFO-G and NFO-C samples, prior to the calcination step, showed impurity phases; hence the NFO-G and NFO-C samples were calcinated to remove the impurity phases, whereas the NFO-S and NFO-U samples obtained directly showed a pure crystalline phase and hence, these samples were not subjected to a post-calcination process.

The inverse spinel structure of NiFe₂O₄ allots the distribution of metal ions (especially Fe³⁺) in the octahedral and tetrahedral sites, localizes the Ni²⁺ ions only in the octahedral site of the sublattice. The two protuberant absorption bands in Fig. 3b of the ATR-FTIR spectra confirm the presence of iron (Fe³⁺) cations at the different sites of the single-phase crystal structure. The intense band (v_1) observed in the region of 500–700 cm⁻¹ in all the NiFe₂O₄ samples in this work, corresponds to the stretching vibrations of the ironoxygen ($Fe^{3+}-O^{2-}$) bonding in the tetrahedral site, whereas the second apparent band in the region of 400–430 cm⁻¹ corresponds to the metal–oxygen bonds' stretching vibration in the octahedral site [55]. Importantly, v_2 was centered at 404, 404, 417, and 411 cm⁻¹ for NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C, respectively. Such a prominent shift in the absorption position of the FTIR spectrum is due to the difference in the distance between the (Fe³⁺–O^{2–}) bonds in the octahedral site. Thus, with the introduction and increment of calcination temperature (500-700 °C) in our synthesis protocol, there is a significant enhancement of intensity and shift of the absorption mode to a higher wavenumber,



especially for v_2 band. This is specifically due to the increase of force constants of the metal–oxygen bonds. However, no such significant order was observed for the broad v_1 band (500–700 cm⁻¹) for all four NiFe₂O₄ samples [56–58].

The observation of active five Raman bands: $A_{1g} + E_g + 3T_{2g}$ in Fig. 3c again substantiates the formation of inverse spinel structure with Fd3m space group in all the four NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. Here, A_{1g} (630–750 cm⁻¹) mode corresponds to the symmetric stretching of the oxygen atoms in the metal-oxygen bonds of the tetrahedral site. The remaining three T_{2g} $(150-230, 420-510 \text{ and } 520-600 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ bands and E_g $(280-350 \text{ cm}^{-1})$ correspond to the symmetric bending and asymmetric bending of the metal-oxygen bonds present in the octahedral site, respectively. In the case of NFO-G and NFO-C, due to high-temperature calcination (\geq 500 °C), the Raman bands are narrower and subsequently shift to high frequency due to the redistribution of cations. However, the broadening of Raman bands along with peaks shift to lower frequency, in case of NFO-S and NFO-U, is due to the phonon confinement effect, arising from its smaller nanoparticle size [55, 56, 59, 60].

The morphology of our NiFe₂O₄ samples synthesized under four different conditions were determined using the FESEM. Figure 3d-g shows the FESEM images of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles, and Fig. 3h-k represents the particle size distribution histograms derived from the FESEM of the respective samples. All the FESEM images showed particles smaller than 100 nm with different morphology for the samples attained from four different conditions. NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared under NFO-S conditions, where the sodium hydroxide (NaOH) is the primary precipitant (Fig. 3d), had an average size of 47 nm with mixed rhombohedron morphology. NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared under NFO-U conditions, where along with NaOH, urea was used as a co-precipitant and Polyethylene glycol (PEG400) (non-ionic) surfactant was employed (Fig. 3e) showed the smallest average nanoparticle size of 22 nm with a nanosphere-like morphology. From Fig. 3f, the NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared under NFO-G conditions showed polyhedron-shaped nanoparticles with an average particle size of 60 nm. NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared under NFO-C conditions displayed a morphology of nanocubes with 82 nm average particle size as shown in Fig. 3g.

Varying the additives in the precursor solution might affect both the direction and rate of crystal

growth, resulting in different morphologies. NaOH, the common precipitant in all four synthesis conditions, readily provides OH^- ions, which allows metal ions to react easily and initiate nucleation before the hydrothermal reaction. By controlling the pH and molar ratio, we were able to facilitate the anisotropic growth of crystals, leading to the formation of faceted particles of NFO-S, NFO-G, and NFO-C.

In the study of Gao et al., the usage of urea as the only precipitant resulted in nanosheet morphology due to a slow nucleation rate, accredited to the slow release of hydroxyl ions (OH⁻) ions upon the pyrolysis of urea [61]. Compared to NFO-S synthesis conditions, in NFO-U, there is abundant flooding of OH⁻ ions from both NaOH and urea molecules, resulting in rapid nucleation-point due to "multipoint-flowering" conditions in the reactive medium. This ultimately results in the achievement of smaller sized (22 nm) nanoparticles upon nucleation. Subsequently, during the growth phase, the PEG400 gets adsorbed on the surface of the multiple nuclei and influences the growth, resulting in the attainment of nanosphere morphology.

For the NFO-S and NFO-U samples obtained directly from the hydrothermal process, the XRD results confirmed the formation of phase pure inverse spinel structure of NiFe₂O₄, and hence, these were not subjected to further calcination. However, for NFO-G and NFO-C, samples obtained directly from the hydrothermal process showed the impurity phases. So, these samples were calcinated at higher temperatures to remove the impurity phases. Hence, NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under glucose (NFO-G) and CTAB (NFO-C) conditions exhibited larger particle sizes, which is due to the calcination effect at a high temperature. Further, it is stated that glucose and CTAB are used to obtain varied morphology of NiFe₂O₄ samples.

In NFO-G, the real motivation for the employment of glucose (which was neither a surfactant nor a co-precipitant) molecule along with NaOH was to achieve hollow nanosphere morphology, as seen in the work of Maria-Magdalena Titirici et al., for binary metal oxides [62]. Surprisingly, in our nickel ferrite system, the usage of glucose during the hydrothermal process coupled with the calcination step, effectuated a non-uniform polyhedral morphology with sharp edges and an average size of 60 nm (Fig. 3f).

The successful attainment of different morphologies in nickel ferrite nanoparticles through different synthesis routes is due to two crucial factors: (1)

Influence of alkalinity by the precipitants present in the reaction medium and (2) Guidance of preferential growth of crystalline planes by the surfactants [61, 63]. Thus, to achieve cubical plate-like morphology, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB), a cationic surfactant, was employed with NaOH precipitant in NFO-C conditions, as reported by Chengsi Pan et al., in their hydrothermal process for attaining binary cerium oxide nanoplates [63]. Interestingly, we did not observe the phase pure inverse spinel structure of NiFe₂O₄ upon the implementation of CTAB in our hydrothermal process, prior to calcination. However, it resulted in nanocube morphology following the calcination step after the hydrothermal reaction. NFO-S, NFO-C, and NFO-G samples have nanopolyhedral structures with flat faces and straight edges, whereas NFO-U sample has spherical nanoparticles with curved surfaces. The elemental distribution in NFO-C is studied using EDX, and the EDX mapping of the NFO-C is given in Figure S2 in Supporting Information. It confirmed the presence and uniform distribution of Ni, Fe, and O elements in NFO-C.

The XRD study confirmed the cubic inverse spinel structure for the different morphologies accomplished for nickel ferrite nanoparticles. The Raman spectroscopy studies reconfirmed the crystal structure but indicated the redistribution of cations in the fcc lattice. At the same time, in the case of pristine NFO-S and NFO-U nanoparticles, there might be a presence of surfactants or pyrolyzed products of surfactants on the surface of nanoparticles, as there was no calcination step involved in their synthesis route. In the case of NFO-G and NFO-C, there might be oxidized products of surfactants on their nanoparticles, as they were subjected to high-temperature treatment in an ambient air-filled muffle furnace setup.

Thus, to understand the surface chemistry of the nanoparticles, valence state, and distribution of cations, the XPS study was carried out in this work. Figure 4 shows C 1s, O 1s, Ni 2p, Fe 2p high-resolution spectra, the XPS survey scan of the four NiFe₂O₄ samples, and the corresponding surface cation distribution in these nanoparticles. The surface chemistry of NiFe₂O₄ samples helps to evaluate the effect of different morphological influences on the battery performance of nano-NiFe₂O₄ as an anode material.

The high-resolution O 1s, Ni 2p, and Fe 2p XPS spectra shown in Fig. 4a–p of the four $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticle samples were peak shifted to neutralize the charging effect with respect to 284.8 eV peak of C–C

bonding in C 1s spectrum [64]. As the pristine NFO-S nickel ferrite was synthesized without any organic surfactants, the source for C 1s spectrum was carbon contamination during XPS measurements. The deconvolution of this C 1s spectrum in Fig. 4a is necessary to differentiate the carbon contribution coming from the surfactants and from the XPS equipment. Deconvolution of C 1s spectrum in Fig. 4a discloses distinctive sp³ and sp² hybridized carbon peaks: 284.8 eV, 286.4 eV, and 288 eV corresponding to C–C, C–O–C and O–C=O bonds, respectively, arising from adventitious carbon during XPS measurements [65].

The deconvoluted O 1s spectrum of NFO-S consists of three peaks at 529.7 eV, 531.9 eV, and 534 eV ascribed to lattice oxygen O²⁻ bonded to metal ions (Ni²⁺ and Fe³⁺), hydroxyl group OH⁻, and absorbed water molecule on the surface, respectively (Fig. 4b) [66]. Figure 4c shows the high-resolution XPS spectrum of Ni 2p, which involves major peaks at 855 eV and 872.5 eV (Ni $2p_{3/2}$ and Ni $2p_{1/2}$) due to spin-orbit coupling followed by their shake-up satellite peaks at 861.5 eV and 880 eV. Ni 2p_{3/2} and Ni 2p_{1/2} core levels can be further deconvoluted into $\mathrm{Ni}^{2\text{+}}$ and $\mathrm{Ni}^{3\text{+}}.$ In Fig. 4c, the fitted peaks within the Ni 2p spectrum, at the binding energy of 854.9 eV and 872.2 eV, correspond to Ni²⁺, whereas 857.11 eV and 874.13 eV correspond to Ni³⁺ [66]. Concurrently, the Ni 2p spectrum (Fig. 4c) exhibits an asymmetric shape for NFO-S nickel ferrite nanoparticles, indicating the presence of two non-equivalent bonds of Ni ions, present both in the tetrahedral and octahedral lattice sites of the spinel structure [55]. This confirms the redistribution of cations within the spinel structure, as observed in the Raman spectroscopy measurement in Fig. 3c. This asymmetric shape is evident in the Ni 2p spectra of all four NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle samples analyzed in Fig. 4.

In the case of pristine NFO-S nanoparticles, unlike the idealistic bulk NiFe₂O₄ material, the surface of NiFe₂O₄ contains Ni³⁺ in addition to Ni²⁺ and their corresponding (Ni³⁺/Ni²⁺) ratio is 0.36. Similarly, the Fe 2p high-resolution spectrum of NFO-S (Fig. 4d) has Fe 2p_{3/2} and Fe 2p_{1/2} peaks at 710.5 and 723.9 eV followed by satellite peaks, and the deconvolution of these peaks gives the Fe²⁺ and Fe³⁺ peaks. Specifically, the fitted peaks of the Fe 2p spectrum in Fig. 4d, at 710.28 eV and 723.8 eV correspond to Fe²⁺ and peaks at 712.9 eV and 726.29 correspond to Fe³⁺[66, 67]. The (Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺) ratio in NFO-S nanoparticles is 0.544. The additional Ni³⁺, Fe²⁺, and OH⁻ peaks are indicative of the presence of possible supplementary compositions



◄Fig. 4 XPS high-resolution spectra of C 1s, O 1s, Ni 2p and Fe 2p of a–d NFO-S, e–h NFO-U, i–l NFO-G and m–p NFO-C, q Survey spectra of the four nickel ferrite nanoparticle samples and r Percentage of different valence states of Ni and Fe ions present on the four NiFe₂O₄ samples estimated through XPS

on the surface of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. During the synthesis of NFO-S samples, the sole basic precipitant (NaOH) provides excess OH⁻ ions, and NiO(OH) and Fe(OH)₂ might have formed at the surface. Along with NiFe₂O₄, there might be FeO, which gives rise to the Fe²⁺ oxidation state; however, Ni₂O₃ might not be present because of its unstable nature [68, 69].

Unlike NFO-S, other samples have co-precipitants and/or surfactants in their synthesis procedure, which is also reflected in their XPS spectra. In Fig. 4e, the deconvoluted C 1s spectrum of NFO-U contains more proportions of C-O-C and O-C=O bonds in addition to the carbon contaminations from XPS. In NFO-U synthesis, the decomposition of urea during hydrothermal reaction at 200 °C, along with the presence of OH⁻, could have resulted in the formation of -COOH carboxyl groups that have potentially coated on the surface of the NFO-U nanoparticles. Formation of the carboxyl group has resulted in the decrement of metalhydroxide formation, which explains the reason for the lower intensity deconvoluted OH⁻ peak in the O1s spectrum of NFO-U (Fig. 4f), compared to NFO-S. The Ni 2p and Fe 2p spectra of NFO-U (Figs. 4g and h) are almost similar to that of NFO-S (Figs. 4c and d). On the surface of NFO-U, the ratio of Ni³⁺/Ni²⁺ and Fe³⁺/ Fe^{2+} obtained from the corresponding peaks are 0.44 and 0.58, respectively. In NFO-G, the deconvoluted C 1s spectrum (Fig. 4i) contains a larger C–O–C peak in addition to carbon contamination peaks. This could have been attributed to the trace amount of contamination products from the pyrolysis of glucose present along with precursors of NFO-G nanoparticles. A prominent OH⁻ peak larger than the lattice oxygen peak is visible in the O 1s spectrum of NFO-G (Fig. 4j). Upon the completion of the hydrothermal process in NFO-G synthesis, the surface of NiFe₂O₄ may be coated with products from decomposition of glucose and calcination of such complex at higher temperatures in the ambient environment may result in the formation of metal-hydroxide bonds on the surface of NFO-G nanoparticles. This explains the reason for the higher intensity OH⁻ compared to the lattice metal-oxygen peak. Even though, in the Ni 2p and Fe 2p spectra of NFO-G (Figs. 4k and l), deconvolution peaks are similar to NFO-S and NFO-U without any additional components, Ni^{3+} and Fe^{3+} peaks are considerably higher compared to other samples. Subsequently, the ratio of surface cation distribution is 3.72 and 4.26 for Ni^{3+}/Ni^{2+} and Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} . As both the precipitant NaOH and glucose provide excess amount of OH⁻ ions upon decomposition, it eventually triggers the formation of higher proportion of NiO(OH) and FeOOH, explaining the rationale for the increased ratios of Ni^{3+}/Ni^{2+} and Fe^{3+}/Fe^{2+} .

The C 1s spectrum of NFO-C (Fig. 4m) shows peaks from the carbon contamination molecules from XPS equipment and C–O group. Decomposition of CTAB surfactant during calcination step at 500 °C in ambient atmosphere is potential reason for the occurrence of C–O bonding on the surface of NFO-C nanoparticles. The O 1s spectrum of NFO-C (Fig. 4n) is similar to that of the NFO-S, containing lattice oxygen, hydroxyl group, and absorbed water molecule peaks. Ni 2p and Fe 2p spectra of NFO-C (Figs. 4o and p) are similar to NFO-S (Figs. 4c and d) showing that the similar additional chemical compositions (NiO(OH) and Fe(OH)₂) present on the surface of NFO-C. The Ni³⁺/Ni²⁺ and Fe³⁺/Fe²⁺ ratios at surface of NFO-C are 0.49 and 0.72, respectively.

Figure 5a shows the nitrogen adsorption–desorption isotherms of NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under four different conditions. The surface areas of each sample were calculated from BET analysis, and it was found to be 65.7 m²/g, 40.8 m²/g, 18.7 m²/g, and 16.13 m²/g for NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under NFO-U, NFO-S, NFO-G, and NFO-C conditions, respectively. Figure 5a inset shows the pore size distribution of NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under four different conditions. The surface area and the cumulative pore volumes calculated from N₂ adsorption–desorption curves are presented in Table 2.

From Fig. 5a, the N_2 adsorption and desorption spectra, the NFO-S, NFO-G, and NFO-C show type-IV, H3-hysteresis loops, and NFO-U shows a type-IV, H2(b)-hysteresis loop. The type-IV hysteresis loop indicates that the pores present are of mesoporous nature. At lower pressure, the adsorption logarithmically increases and leads to a linear increase in the mid-section, which corresponds to the monolayer filling and starting of multilayer adsorption. The hysteresis nature of the graph is associated with the capillary condensation in mesopores [70–73]. NFO-U's H2-type hysteresis loop is attributed to the ink-bottle-shaped



Fig. 5 a N_2 adsorption and desorption isotherms and (inset) pore size distribution of NiFe₂O₄ samples. Schematic representation of **b** ink-bottle type pore and **c** slit-shaped pore

Table 2 Surface area and Pore volume of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C

	Surface area (m ² /g)	Cumulative pore volume (cm ³ /g)	
		Adsorption	Desorption
NFO-S	40.8	0.189	0.190
NFO-U	65.7	0.142	0.145
NFO-G	18.7	0.106	0.107
NFO-C	16.1	0.087	0.087

pore structure with a narrow neck region and wide body region possessing a volume in the range of $0.142-0.145 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g}$, as illustrated in Fig. 5b. H3-hysteresis loops of the remaining three nickel ferrite nanoparticles depict that they are mesoporous in nature with the slit-shaped pore structure, as shown in Fig. 5c.

From the inset of Fig. 5a presenting the pore size distribution, the mesoporous nature of $NiFe_2O_4$ samples is reconfirmed as the effective pore width is within the range of 2 to 50 nm. The NFO-U sample has a sharp peak in the pore distribution curve at 7.5 nm and has the smallest effective pore width. NFO-S, NFO-G, and NFO-C samples have broadened pore distribution graphs. NFO-S and NFO-C have the highest and comparable effective pore width. However, NFO-S has the highest pore volume, and NFO-C has the lowest.

The BET hysteresis curve and FESEM data can be compared to analyze the pore morphology of the four

NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle samples. NFO-U nanoparticles exhibit roughly a nanosphere morphology with highly curved surfaces (Fig. 3e), and they aggregate to form the ink-bottle-shaped pore structure (Fig. 5b). From the FESEM data, NFO-S, NFO-G, and NFO-C have flat surfaces with sharp edges (Figs. 3d, f, and g), and their agglomeration results in slit-like pores, which are confirmed by the H3-type hysteresis graph. This is pictorially represented in Fig. 5c. Also, the particle size of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles from FESEM images can be compared with the surface area found in BET. The NFO-U NiFe₂O₄ sample, with the smallest average particle size (22 nm), has the largest surface area, and NFO-S with an average particle size of 47 nm, exhibits the 2nd largest surface area. NFO-G (60 nm) and NFO-C (82 nm), with larger particle sizes, have a lower surface area than NFO-U and NFO-S, due to the calcination step involved in their synthesis condition. NFO-C, with the larger particle size, shows a smaller surface area than the NFO-G sample.

Figure 6a–d shows the cyclic voltammetry (CV) results of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized under four different conditions for 5 cycles. The first discharge curve corresponds to the reduction of NiFe₂O₄ to nickel (Ni) and iron (Fe). Equation 6 represents the first lithiation step, where lithium (Li) gets oxidized by supplying electrons to NiFe₂O₄, and lithium oxide (Li₂O) is formed during this reaction.

$$NiFe_2O_4 + 8Li^+ + 8e^- \rightarrow 4Li_2O + Ni + 2Fe.$$
(6)



Fig. 6 a-d Cyclic voltammetry and e-h galvanostatic charge-discharge of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G and NFO-C, respectively

During charging, the peak in the anodic scan corresponds to the oxidation of metal ions, as given in Eq. 7, and Li_2O gets reduced by accepting the electron. Fe ions get converted to Fe_2O_3 completely, whereas only a fraction of Ni metal gets oxidized to NiO [74]. This can be clearly observed from the depletion of the reduction peak from the first cycle to the second cycle.

respectively, between voltage range of 0.01–3 V (vs Li/Li⁺) at a constant current density of 100 mA/g. In the initial discharge curve, all samples show a plateau region near 0.8 V, different from other consecutive cycles. This plateau region resembles the sharp peak in the first cathodic sweep of CV, indicating the irreversible reduction of NiFe₂O₄ to Ni and Fe and

 $4Li_2O + Ni + 2Fe \leftrightarrow (1 - x)NiO + xNi + Fe_2O_3 + xLi_2O + (8 - 2x)(Li^+ + e^-)$ (7)

(0 < x < 1).

Equation (6) is irreversible, whereas Eq. (7) is reversible. The shift of the reduction peaks after the first cycle shows the irreversibility and the low-capacity retention of the anode materials [2, 31, 74].

Comparing the four CV graphs, NFO-C shows the best retention where reduction (except 1st cycle) and oxidation peaks are at around the same voltage 0.86 V and 1.62 V, respectively, with lesser change in the peak height, whereas NFO-G and NFO-U show the poorest retention and the peaks disappear by the 5th cycle. NFO-S shows moderate capacity retention with the fluctuating reduction peak voltage.

Figure 6e–h shows the charge–discharge profiles of $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles synthesized under conditions of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C, the formation of Li₂O [74].

NFO-S delivers an initial discharge capacity of 2258 mAh/g, and NFO-U gives the next highest discharge capacity of 2136 mAh/g. NFO-C and NFO-G have initial discharge capacities of 1523 and 934 mAh/g, respectively. First charge capacity also follows the same trend in the order of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-C, and NFO-G with capacities of 1815, 1446, 1156, and 643 mAh/g, respectively. After 100 cycles, NFO-S gives the highest discharge capacity of 116 mAh/g, whereas the discharge capacity of NFO-C and NFO-G comes near to the capacity displayed by NFO-S after 100 cycles, which are 112 and 106 mAh/g, respectively. However, the sample NFO-U with the second highest initial capacity drops to the lowest discharge capacity, 56 mAh/g, after 100 cycles. Table 3 tabulates the first discharge and



Table 3 First discharge and charge capacity and	Samples	NFO-S	NFO-U	NFO-G	NFO-C
100th discharge capacity of	1st discharge capacity (mAh/g)	2258.88	2136.21	934.44	1523.25
NiFe ₂ O ₄ samples	1st charge capacity (mAh/g)	1815.42	1446.84	643.11	1156.32
	100th discharge capacity (mAh/g)	116.33	56.50	106.52	112.68

charge capacities and the 100th discharge capacities of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C.

Figure 7a-c shows the plots of charge capacity, discharge capacity, and coulombic efficiency vs cycle number of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized under four different synthesis conditions. The discharge and charge capacities of nickel ferrites follow a similar trend throughout the cycles. NFO-S dominates in specific capacities over most of the cycles except from the 6th to 17th cycle. NFO-U, with the second highest initial capacity, falls to the lowest capacity range by the 15th cycle. A poor capacity retention is observed for all the NiFe₂O₄ sample in Fig. 7a and b, and the key reasons are their poor conductivity and volume expansion during cycling. The surface area and particle size of anode materials directly influence the performance of LIBs [7, 75, 76]. NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles (both NFO-S and NFO-U) with the lowest particle size and large surface area have high initial discharge and charge capacities. The larger surface area provides more sites for lithiation; hence, the capacity increases [51].

From Fig. 7c, the NFO-G and NFO-C samples, having larger particle sizes and lower surface area showed a higher coulombic efficiency compared to the NFO-S and NFO-U samples, having small particle sizes and higher surface area. In NFO-S and NFO-U samples, the observed higher surface area may increase the electrode–electrolyte interface, which in turn increases the electrolyte decomposition on the electrode surface and leads to the formation of the solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) layer [77]. However, the formation of an excess SEI layer may offer higher resistance to the Li-ion diffusion, which in turn reduces the reversibility of lithium ions and results in lower coulombic efficiency [78, 79]. In NFO-G and NFO-C samples, the observed lower surface area may decrease the electrode–electrolyte interface, which in turn results in less or optimum decomposition of electrolyte and may form a more stable and uniform SEI layer. This may reduce the lithium loss and enhance the charge transfer, increasing the cyclic stability and leading to a higher coulombic efficiency.

Even though the NFO-U sample has a smaller particle size and higher surface area compared to NFO-S, it exhibits lower discharge capacity and reduced capacity retention. The key reason for the exhibiting lower discharge capacity of NFO-U may be due to the formation of the ink-bottle-shaped pore structure, which is confirmed by the BET results (Fig. 5). The ink-bottleshaped pores of NFO-U with a narrow entrance and wider interior may restrict the diffusion of Li ions by limiting access to inner pore surfaces, reducing the utilization of active sites inside the pores, resulting in low specific capacity (Fig. 5b). The slit-shaped pores of



Fig. 7 Plots of a charge capacity, b discharge capacity and c coulombic efficiency vs. cycle number during charge–discharge at a current density of 100 mA/g for 100 cycles

NFO-S may provide more accessible pathways for Liion transport, maximizing the utilization of the active sites resulting in high specific capacity (Fig. 5c). Hence, the NFO-U exhibited lower discharge capacity even though it has a higher surface area, compared to NFO-S. The highly defective performance of NFO-G could be attributed to the presence of supplementary compositions (contaminations) from their synthesis route potentially due to the decomposition of glucose molecules, as observed from NFOG's XPS spectra (Figs. 4i, j, k, and l).

Comparing all four NiFe₂O₄ samples prepared under four different conditions, NFO-S shows the best lithium storage capacity, and NFO-C shows the best coulombic efficiency over 100 cycles. The higher charge and discharge capacities of NFO-S compared to all other samples can be attributed to its large surface area, pore volume, and slit-shaped pores, as it provides more active sites for lithiation regardless of its low coulombic efficiency [80]. So, the optimization of the surface area of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles can offer good capacity with cyclic stability. Concurrently, the morphology, surface chemistry of nanoparticles, interparticle voids, and the porous nature of the NiFe₂O₄ samples have a great influence on lithium diffusion and electrochemical performance. We have also systematically compared the electrochemical performance of the best NiFe₂O₄ anode (NFO-S) studied in this work with the NiFe₂O₄ anode materials reported so far in the literature, in Table S2 of the Supporting Information.

Figures 8a, b, c, and d presents the Nyquist plots $(\operatorname{Re}(Z) \operatorname{vs} - \operatorname{Im}(Z))$ from electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) of Li-ion half-cells, fabricated using the NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized under four different conditions as anode materials, before cycling and after 100 cycles. EIS helps us to understand the complex electrochemical reactions inside the cell, including lithium-ion migration through the liquid electrolyte, charge transfer inside the active materials, and conduction through the SEI layer. Due to the distinct relaxation times of these different processes, the cell responds uniquely to each of the activities when the frequency is varied. Unlike the ideal situation, the spectrum does not provide separate features for each electrochemical activity, and the Nyquist graph analysis using an equivalent electrical circuit simplifies the study. The Randles circuit is the highly preferred and uncomplicated equivalent circuit to study the EIS data of lithiumion half-cells fabricated with metal oxide as electrode material [81]. The fitting of the Randles circuit for our EIS data gave a very low χ^2 value (in the range of 10^{-3}); see Table S1 from the Supporting information.

Figure 8e and f shows the equivalent circuit model for the Li-ion half-cell with nickel ferrite as the working electrode before cycling and after 100 cycles, respectively. The Nyquist plot in Figs. 8a, b, c and d comes with a semicircle followed by an inclined line, which can be divided into high, mid, and lowfrequency ranges. R_{el} is the resistance of electrolyte towards the ions, and it is found from the x-intercept of the Nyquist plot at high-frequency range. The midfrequency range consists of a charge transfer mechanism (electrons and ions), double-layer charging at the anode and reactions at the SEI layer. R_{ct} is the charge transfer resistance of redox reactions and Q_{dl} is the constant phase element (CPE) for double-layer capacitance at the active material. R_{SEI} and Q_{SEI} represent the ohmic resistance of Li⁺ ion at the SEI layer and SEI layer capacitance, respectively. At lower frequencies, diffusion of Li⁺ ions occurs and is indicated by the Warburg impedance (W) of the electrode. The constant phase element, which is in series with Warburg impedance, Qint represents the low-frequency bulk capacitance. The diameter of the depressed semicircle is the sum of charge transfer resistance (R_{ct}) and SEI layer resistance (R_{SEI}), and the inclined straight line relates to Warburg impedance [82–85].

The calculated values for $R_{el'} R_{ct'}$ and R_{SEI} obtained from the equivalent circuit are given in Table 4. The R_{el} values of NiFe₂O₄ samples do not show much difference before and after cycling except for NFO-C. Compared to the R_{ct} values prior to the first cycle, it has been substantially increased for all the NiFe₂O₄ samples after 100 cycles of charge–discharge. The significant increase in resistive elements validates the capacity decline for our samples over 100 cycles.

The Li⁺ ion diffusion coefficient is calculated using the following Eq. (8) where R is the Ideal gas constant, T is temperature, A is the area of the electrode, n is the number of electrons transferred, F is the Faraday Constant, C is the concentration of active species, and σ is Warburg coefficient calculated from EIS data and tabulated in Table 5.

$$D_{Li^{+}} = \frac{R^2 T^2}{2A^2 n^4 F^4 C^2 \sigma^2} (\text{cm}^2/\text{s})$$
(8)

The lithium diffusion coefficients of NFO samples decrease after 100 cycles, indicating an increase in



Fig. 8 Nyquist plots of **a** NFO-S, **b** NFO-U, **c** NFO-G, **d** NFO-C before cycling and after 100 cycles and equivalent circuit model for the half-cell fabricated with $NiFe_2O_4$ anode **e** before cycling and **f** after 100 cycles

Material		$R_{el}(\Omega)$	$R_{ct}\left(\Omega\right)$	$R_{SEI}\left(\Omega\right)$
NFO-S	Before 1st Cycle	5.622	23.51	_
	After 100 cycles	4.385	208.4	14.53
NFO-U	Before 1st Cycle	7.902	117.2	_
	After 100 cycles	9.007	190.9	1.416
NFO-G	Before 1st Cycle	4.076	67.61	_
	After 100 cycles	4.941	197.5	0.298
NFO-C	Before 1st Cycle	12.16	200	-
	After 100 cycles	341.9	253.9	0.455

Table 4Electrolyte resistance, Charge transfer resistance, andSEI layer resistance values calculated from EIS of NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G, and NFO-C

Table 5 Li⁺ ion diffusion coefficient values obtained from EISof NFO-S, NFO-U, NFO-G and NFO-C

Material	Li ⁺ ion diffusion coefficient (cm ² /s)		
	Before 1st cycle	After 100 cycles	
NFO-S	1.303×10^{-10}	9.020×10^{-14}	
NFO-U	1.098×10^{-13}	2.119×10^{-14}	
NFO-G	3.217×10^{-13}	5.252×10^{-15}	
NFO-C	2.012×10^{-13}	6.066×10^{-15}	

internal resistance reflected in capacity loss. Before cycling, NFO-S exhibited the highest lithium diffusion coefficient, leading to an excellent first discharge capacity.

The sample NFO-S with the highest pore volume $(0.190 \text{ cm}^3/\text{g})$ shows the lowest charge transfer resistance (23.51 Ω) and also exhibits the highest specific capacity (2258.88 mAh/g). As the BJH cumulative pore volume and BET surface area increase (Table 2), the charge transfer resistance decreases, except for NFO-U. The distinctive behavior of NFO-U can be explained by its ink-bottle-shaped pore structure. The bottleneck pore entrance decreases the Li⁺ ion diffusion. Hence, the charge transfer resistance increases in the case of NFO-U.

The specific capacity of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles is not solely dependent on charge transfer resistance, which in turn is influenced by the surface area and morphology of the nanoparticle pore structure, as seen in the case of NFO-S and NFO-U. However, it is also influenced by the surface chemistry and cation distribution within the spinel structure of crystalline NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles. This is evident in the case of NFO-G and NFO-C materials. Here, even though NFO-C has the lowest pore volume (0.087 cm³/g) and surface area (16.13 m²/g) with the highest charge transfer resistance (200 Ω), it still exhibits higher specific capacity (1523.25 mAh/g) than NFO-G nanoparticle (934.44 mAh/g), with higher surface area (18.7 m²/g), high pore volume (0.107 cm³/g), and low charge transfer resistance (67.61 Ω).

Figure S3 in Supporting Information shows the rate performance of NFO-S, NFO-G, and NFO-C cycled for 10 cycles at each current densities: 50 mA/g, 100 mA/g, 500 mA/g, 1 A/g, 2 A/g, 5 A/g, 10 A/g, and 20 A/g. The NiFe₂O₄ prepared under NFO-S condition showed a superior rate performance over other samples. NFO-S exhibited a good rate performance with an average discharge capacity of 106 mAh/g at 1 A/g current density, and it retained an average discharge capacity of 515 mAh/g at a current density of 50 mA/g after the cycling at a higher current density of 20 A/g. NFO-U was not able to be studied for the rate performance due to its poor cyclic retention and very low capacity at higher current densities.

All in all, this study clearly points out that an optimum balance between the surface area, morphology, porosity, surface chemistry, and cationic distribution within the spinel structure is required for achieving higher electrochemical performance for LIBs using $NiFe_2O_4$ as an anode material. The $NiFe_2O_4$ sample prepared under NFO-S condition exhibited higher specific capacity compared to the other three samples. The higher capacity of the NFO-S sample can be attributed to its high surface area with slit-like pore structure, which resulted from the agglomeration of rhombohedral-shaped nanoparticles, whereas the NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared under the NFO-C condition showed good cyclic stability over others, which is attributed to the stable SEI layer formation as a result of its lower surface area. These samples with superior performance over others will be taken for further studies including compositing with conductive carbon materials like CNT, graphene, and its derivatives with porous structure and high surface area to improve the conductivity and cyclic performance by reducing the volume expansion [46, 47].

4 Conclusions

 $NiFe_2O_4$ nanoparticles were synthesized through the hydrothermal route under four different conditions. The phase purity, morphology, particle size, and surface chemistry of the $NiFe_2O_4$ samples synthesized



under different conditions were studied using XRD, FTIR, Raman spectroscopy, FESEM, XPS, and BET. The NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticle sample prepared with NaOH precipitant without any surfactants (NFO-S) exhibited a higher initial discharge capacity of 2258 mAh/g and a higher initial charge capacity of 1815 mAh/g at a current density of 100 mA/g, compared to the other three samples. The NiFe₂O₄ sample prepared with CTAB (NFO-C) showed better cyclic stability with good coulombic efficiency over 100 cycles. CV results of the NFO-S and NFO-C samples showed more stable and reversible conversion reactions compared to other samples, which is attributed to their morphology, surface area, porosity, and surface chemistry. In conclusion, the NiFe₂O₄ samples synthesized under NFO-S and NFO-C conditions exhibited better lithium storage performance, showing high specific capacity and cyclic stability, respectively, due to their individual morphology and surface properties. This work provides guidance on the effect of hydrothermal synthesis conditions on the electrochemical performance of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles, focusing on their morphology and surface properties. This work also paves the way for future research to develop high-performing $NiFe_2O_4$ composite anode materials incorporating advanced conductive materials with large surface areas such as carbon nanotubes (CNT), graphene, MXenes, transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs), etc.

Acknowledgements

R.S.B. is grateful to CSIR, Govt of India for CSIR-SRF Fellowship (No. 09/559(0158)/2020-EMR-I). N.S. acknowledges UGC, Govt of India for awarding UGC-BSR Faculty Fellowship (No. F.18-1/2011(BSR), Date: 07-03-2019). R.S.B. is grateful to the Central Instrumentation Facility (CIF), Pondicherry University for utilizing the characterization facilities.

Author contributions

RSB: Conceptualization, Methodology, Validation, Investigation, Data Curation, Writing—Original Draft, Visualization; EM: Visualization; DSN: Data Curation; PG: Data Curation, Supervision, Writing—Review & Editing; SMA: Data Curation; RH: Data Curation; TP: Resources, Methodology, Validation; RM: Resources, Writing—Review & Editing; VVRKK: Resources, Writing—Review & Editing; PT: Resources, Writing— Review & Editing AM: Resources, Writing—Review & Editing; NS: Conceptualization, Methodology, Resources, Writing—Review & Editing, Supervision, Project administration, Funding acquisition; PRA: Supervision, Conceptualization, Resources, Data Curation, Writing—Review & Editing.

Funding

R.S.B. has received research support from CSIR, Govt of India through CSIR-SRF Fellowship (No. 09/559(0158)/2020-EMR-I). N.S. has received UGC-BSR Faculty Fellowship support from the UGC, Government of India (No. F.18-1/2011(BSR), Date: 07-03-2019).

Data availability

Data will be made available on request.

Declarations

Competing interests The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Supplementary Information The online version contains supplementary material available at https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-025-14886-w.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/.

References

- G. Huang, X. Du, F. Zhang, D. Yin, L. Wang, A facile molten-salt route for large-scale synthesis of NiFe₂O₄ nanoplates with enhanced lithium storage capability. Chem. Eur. J. 21, 14140–14145 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1002/ chem.201500910
- C.T. Cherian, J. Sundaramurthy, M.V. Reddy, P. Suresh Kumar, K. Mani, D. Pliszka, C.H. Sow, S. Ramakrishna, B.V.R. Chowdari, Morphologically robust NiFe₂O₄ nanofibers as high capacity Li-Ion battery anode material. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 5, 9957–9963 (2013). https:// doi.org/10.1021/am401779p
- N. Wang, H. Xu, L. Chen, X. Gu, J. Yang, Y. Qian, A general approach for MFe₂O₄ (M = Zn Co, Ni) nanorods and their high performance as anode materials for lithium ion batteries. J. Power. Sources 247, 163–169 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2013.08.109
- S. Dai, X. Tang, X. Li, J. Zhang, Z. Shao, Synthesis of NiFe₂O₄ with different precipitation agents for Li-ion battery anode material by co-precipitation. J. Solid State Electrochem. 26, 1211–1220 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10008-022-05158-2
- J. Wang, G. Yang, L. Wang, W. Yan, Synthesis of onedimensional NiFe₂O₄ nanostructures: tunable morphology and high-performance anode materials for Li ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 4, 8620–8629 (2016). https://doi.org/10. 1039/c6ta02655a
- L. Liu, L. Sun, J. Liu, X. Xiao, Z. Hu, X. Cao, B. Wang, X. Liu, Enhancing the electrochemical properties of NiFe₂O₄ anode for lithium ion battery through a simple hydrogenation modification. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy **39**, 11258– 11266 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2014.05. 093
- P. Poizot, S. Laruelle, S. Grugeon, L. Dupont, J.-M. Tarascon, Nano-sized transition-metal oxides as negativeelectrode materials for lithium-ion batteries. Nature 407, 496–499 (2000). https://doi.org/10.1038/35035045
- X.L. Wang, E.M. Kim, T.G. Senthamaraikannan, D.H. Lim, S.M. Jeong, Porous hollow high entropy metal oxides (NiCoCuFeMg)₃O₄ nanofiber anode for high-performance

lithium-ion batteries. Chem. Eng. J. (2024). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cej.2024.149509

- P. Lavela, J.L. Tirado, CoFe₂O₄ and NiFe₂O₄ synthesized by sol-gel procedures for their use as anode materials for Li ion batteries. J. Power. Sources **172**, 379–387 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2007.07.055
- C. Vidal-Abarca, P. Lavela, J.L. Tirado, The origin of capacity fading in NiFe₂O₄ conversion electrodes for lithium ion batteries unfolded by 57Fe Mössbauer spectroscopy. J. Phys. Chem. C 114, 12828–12832 (2010). https:// doi.org/10.1021/jp103888a
- J.G. Kim, Y. Noh, Y. Kim, S. Lee, W.B. Kim, Formation of ordered macroporous ZnFe₂O₄ anode materials for highly reversible lithium storage. Chem. Eng. J. **372**, 363–372 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2019.04.151
- C. Zhang, C. Jin, G. Teng, Y. Gu, W. Ma, Controllable synthesis of hollow MnFe₂O₄ by self-etching and its application in high-performance anode for lithium-ion batteries. Chem. Eng. J. **365**, 121–131 (2019). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.cej.2019.02.004
- P.R. Anusuyadevi, S. Pranavi, R.N.R. Shaw, E. Mádai, D.J.P. Kumar, P. Gonugunta, P. Taheri, A. Mol, An overview of emerging 2D nanomaterials: general synthesis methods and properties, in *Next-Generation 2D Nanomaterials for Sustainable Energy and Environment-Oriented Applications*. (Royal Society of Chemistry, London, 2025), pp.1–67. https://doi.org/10.1039/9781837675838-00001
- Y. Zhang, X. Xu, Modeling of lattice parameters of cubic perovskite oxides and halides. Heliyon 7, e07601 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07601
- Y. Zhang, X. Xu, Predicting lattice parameters for orthorhombic distorted-perovskite oxides via machine learning. Solid State Sci. 113, 106541 (2021). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2021.106541
- H. Liu, H. Zhu, H. Yang, A low temperature synthesis of nanocrystalline spinel NiFe₂O₄ and its electrochemical performance as anode of lithium-ion batteries. Mater. Res. Bull. 48, 1587–1592 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. materresbull.2012.12.072
- P.R. Kumar, S. Mitra, Nickel ferrite as a stable, high capacity and high rate anode for Li-ion battery applications. RSC Adv. 3, 25058–25064 (2013). https://doi.org/10.1039/c3ra4 4001j
- W. Deng, S. Ci, H. Li, Z. Wen, One-step ultrasonic spray route for rapid preparation of hollow Fe₃O₄/C microspheres anode for lithium-ion batteries. Chem. Eng. J. **330**, 995– 1001 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2017.08.039
- M. Choi, S.H. Lee, J.Y. II, J.Y. Jung, J.S. Park, W.K. Choi, S.Y. Park, H.J. Won, J.K. Moon, J. Choi, S.B. Kim, The high capacity and cycle stability of NiFe₂O₄ thin film



prepared by E-beam evaporation method for lithium ion batteries. J. Alloys Compd. **729**, 802–808 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2017.06.334

- D. Feng, H. Yang, X. Guo, 3-Dimensional hierarchically porous ZnFe₂O₄/C composites with stable performance as anode materials for Li-ion batteries. Chem. Eng. J. 355, 687–696 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2018.08.202
- Y. Zhang, X. Xu, Machine learning lattice constants for spinel compounds. Chem. Phys. Lett. 760, 137993 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cplett.2020.137993
- B.P. Hahn, J.W. Long, A.N. Mansour, K.A. Pettigrew, M.S. Osofsky, D.R. Rolison, Electrochemical Li-ion storage in defect spinel iron oxides: the critical role of cation vacancies. Energy Environ. Sci. 4, 1495–1502 (2011). https://doi. org/10.1039/c0ee00819b
- I.O. Alade, Y. Zhang, X. Xu, Modeling and prediction of lattice parameters of binary spinel compounds (AM2X4) using support vector regression with Bayesian optimization. New J. Chem. 45, 15255–15266 (2021). https://doi. org/10.1039/D1NJ01523K
- Z. Li, N. Qiao, J. Nie, J. Zhao, J. Wang, X. Feng, S. Yao, NiO/NiFe₂O₄ nanocubes derived from Prussian blue as anode materials for Li-ion batteries. Mater. Lett. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matlet.2020.128077
- R. Alcántara, M. Jaraba, P. Lavela, J.L. Tirado, J.C. Jumas, J. Olivier-Fourcade, Changes in oxidation state and magnetic order of iron atoms during the electrochemical reaction of lithium with NiFe₂O₄. Electrochem. Commun. 5, 16–21 (2003). https://doi.org/10.1016/S1388-2481(02) 00520-9
- X.D. Li, W.S. Yang, F. Li, D.G. Evans, X. Duan, Stoichiometric synthesis of pure NiFe₂O₄ spinel from layered double hydroxide precursors for use as the anode material in lithium-ion batteries. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 67, 1286–1290 (2006). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2006.01.096
- D. Zhang, Z. Tong, G. Xu, S. Li, J. Ma, Templated fabrication of NiFe₂O₄ nanorods: characterization, magnetic and electrochemical properties. Solid State Sci. 11, 113–117 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solidstatesciences.2008. 05.001
- D. Zhou, S. Permien, J. Rana, M. Krengel, F. Sun, G. Schumacher, W. Bensch, J. Banhart, Investigation of electronic and local structural changes during lithium uptake and release of nano-crystalline NiFe₂O₄ by X-ray absorption spectroscopy. J. Power. Sources **342**, 56–63 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2016.12.038
- Z. Wang, X. Zhang, X. Liu, W. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y. Li, C. Qin, W. Zhao, Z. Bakenov, Dual-network nanoporous NiFe₂O₄/NiO composites for high performance Li-ion

battery anodes. Chem. Eng. J. (2020). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cej.2020.124207

- M.A.N. Zen, F.I. Haq, R. Gabriella, S.R. Putri, A.B.D. Nandiyanto, T. Kurniawan, Techno-economic analysis of the NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles for vehicle battery application using the hydrothermal synthesis method. Int. J. Sustain. Trans. Technol. 4, 29–34 (2021). https://doi.org/10.31427/ IJSTT.2021.4.1.4
- T. Zhang, H. Cao, J. Peng, Q. Xiao, Z. Li, G. Lei, Excellent cycling performance of three-dimensional-ordered macroporous nife 2O4 as anode material for lithium ion batteries. Funct. Mater. Lett. 4, 327–331 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793604711002196
- N. Karthigayan, P. Manimuthu, M. Priya, S. Sagadevan, Synthesis and characterization of NiFe₂O₄, CoFe₂O₄ and CuFe₂O₄ thin films for anode material in Li-ion batteries. Nanomater. Nanotechnol. (2017). https://doi.org/10.1177/ 1847980417711084
- Y. Ding, Y. Yang, H. Shao, One-pot synthesis of NiFe₂O₄/C composite as an anode material for lithium-ion batteries. J. Power. Sources **244**, 610–613 (2013). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jpowsour.2013.01.043
- E.K. Heidari, B. Zhang, M.H. Sohi, A. Ataie, J.K. Kim, Sandwich-structured graphene-NiFe₂O₄-carbon nanocomposite anodes with exceptional electrochemical performance for Li ion batteries. J. Mater. Chem. A 2, 8314–8322 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1039/c4ta00507d
- Y. Zhang, J. Liu, G. Guo, Z. Lin, Review and prospect of NiFe₂O₄-based materials for Li-ion battery. IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci. 555, 012040 (2020). https://doi.org/10. 1088/1755-1315/555/1/012040
- K.M. Naik, A. Kumar Chourasia, C.S. Sharma, Nano-interface engineering of NiFe₂O₄/MoS₂/MWCNTs heterostructure catalyst as cathodes in the long-Life reversible Li-CO₂ mars batteries. Chem. Eng. J. (2024). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cej.2024.151729
- R. Jin, H. Jiang, Y. Sun, Y. Ma, H. Li, G. Chen, Fabrication of NiFe₂O₄/C hollow spheres constructed by mesoporous nanospheres for high-performance lithium-ion batteries. Chem. Eng. J. 303, 501–510 (2016). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.cej.2016.06.032
- Z. Deng, Q. Wei, G. Wan, G. Zhao, S. Shi, P. Mou, S. Teng, C. Du, G. Wang, Synergistic effect of nanosheet structure and carbon coating engineering to enhance lithium storage performance of molybdenum niobium oxides. Mater. Today Sustain. 19, 100176 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. mtsust.2022.100176
- S. Shi, G. Wang, G. Wan, Y. Tang, G. Zhao, Z. Deng, J. Chai, C. Wei, G. Wang, Titanium niobate (Ti₂Nb₁₀O₂₉) anchored on nitrogen-doped carbon foams as flexible and

self-supported anode for high-performance lithium ion batteries. J. Colloid Interface Sci. **587**, 622–632 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcis.2020.11.019

- S. Shi, Y. Tang, G. Wang, W. Yu, G. Wan, L. Wu, Z. Deng, G. Wang, Multiple reinforcement effect induced by gradient carbon coating to comprehensively promote lithium storage performance of Ti₂Nb₁₀O₂₉. Nano Energy **96**, 107132 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107132
- P. Pazhamalai, V. Krishnan, M.S. Mohamed Saleem, S.-J. Kim, H.-W. Seo, Investigating composite electrode materials of metal oxides for advanced energy storage applications. Nano Converg. 11, 30 (2024). https://doi.org/10. 1186/s40580-024-00437-2
- S.M. Abbas, R.U.A. Zia-ur-Rehman, S.U.-D. Khan, Z. Iqbal, N. Ahmad, MoN-decorated nitrogen doped carbon nanotubes anode with high lithium storage performance. Electrochim. Acta 190, 988–996 (2016). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.electacta.2015.12.147
- L. Zhan, X. Ning, X. Zhou, J. Luo, X. Fan, Flower-like Bi₂S₃/rGO modified separator for lithium-sulfur batteries. Adv. Powder Technol. 33, 103521 (2022). https://doi.org/ 10.1016/J.APT.2022.103521
- X. Fan, R. Zhong, Y. Huang, X. Zhou, X. Zhu, L. Zhan, X. Zhou, Y.H. Wang, X. Wang, Oxygen defect-rich MnOOH nanorod as an effective modulator to boost polysulfide reaction kinetic for high-performance lithium sulfur battery. Appl. Surf. Sci. 614, 155869 (2023). https://doi.org/10. 1016/J.APSUSC.2022.155869
- J. Tang, X. Zhou, B. Jin, J. Luo, X. Ning, L. Zhan, Z. Wu, X. Fan, G. Wang, X. Zhou, Microwave-assisted synthesis of a ternary MoS₂/carbon-FeOx composites with 3D hierarchical nanostructure for lithium-ion battery application. J. Mater. Sci. 34, 121 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1007/ s10854-022-09553-3
- L. Zhan, X. Zhou, J. Luo, X. Ning, Ion assisted anchoring Sn nanoparticles on nitrogen-doped graphene as an anode for lithium ion batteries. Int. J. Hydrogen Energy 44, 24913–24921 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJHYD ENE.2019.07.153
- L. Zhan, X. Zhou, J. Luo, X. Ning, Binder-free multilayered SnO₂/graphene on Ni foam as a high-performance lithium ion batteries anode. Ceram. Int. 45, 6931–6936 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CERAMINT.2018.12.190
- P.R. Anusuyadevi, Z.S. Campbell, A. Erriguible, S. Marre, C. Aymonier, Supercritical millifluidic reactor for the synthesis of efficient GaN nanophotocatalysts. Chem. Eng. J. Adv. 14, 100483 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ceja. 2023.100483
- S. Pan, X. Zhao, Nitrogen-doped graphene supported NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles as high-performance anode material

for lithium-ion batteries. J. Mater. Sci. **32**, 26917–26928 (2021). https://doi.org/10.1007/s10854-021-07066-z

- 50. ICDD 98-011-6755
- H. Zhao, Z. Zheng, K.W. Wong, S. Wang, B. Huang, D. Li, Fabrication and electrochemical performance of nickel ferrite nanoparticles as anode material in lithium ion batteries. Electrochem. Commun. 9, 2606–2610 (2007). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.elecom.2007.08.007
- F. Lai, X. Zhang, Q. Wu, J. Zhang, Q. Li, Y. Huang, Z. Liao, H. Wang, Effect of surface modification with spinel NiFe₂O₄ on enhanced cyclic stability of LiMn₂O₄ cathode material in lithium ion batteries. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6, 570–578 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng. 7b02876
- R. Sivakami, S. Dhanuskodi, R. Karvembu, Estimation of lattice strain in nanocrystalline RuO₂ by Williamson-Hall and size–strain plot methods. Spectrochim. Acta A 152, 43–50 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.saa.2015.07.008
- A.A. Al-Tabbakh, N. Karatepe, A.B. Al-Zubaidi, A. Benchaabane, N.B. Mahmood, Crystallite size and lattice strain of lithiated spinel material for rechargeable battery by X-ray diffraction peak-broadening analysis. Int. J. Energy Res. 43, 1903–1911 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1002/er.4390
- 55. R. Singh Yadav, J. Havlica, J. Masilko, L. Kalina, J. Wasserbauer, M. Hajdúchová, V. Enev, I. Kuřitka, Z. Kožáková, Effects of annealing temperature variation on the evolution of structural and magnetic properties of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles synthesized by starch-assisted sol-gel auto-combustion method. J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **394**, 439–447 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmmm.2015.07.012
- 56. R.S. Yadav, I. Kuřitka, J. Vilcakova, J. Havlica, J. Masilko, L. Kalina, J. Tkacz, V. Enev, M. Hajdúchová, Structural, magnetic, dielectric, and electrical properties of NiFe₂O₄ spinel ferrite nanoparticles prepared by honey-mediated sol-gel combustion. J. Phys. Chem. Solids **107**, 150–161 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpcs.2017.04.004
- M. Parishani, M. Nadafan, Z. Dehghani, R. Malekfar, G.H.H. Khorrami, Optical and dielectric properties of NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles under different synthesized temperature. Results Phys. 7, 3619–3623 (2017). https://doi. org/10.1016/J.RINP.2017.09.049
- M. Ebrahimi, M. Ghasemi, V. Soleimanian, M. Nekoeinia, A. Mokhtari, Effects of annealing temperature on microstructural, optical and FTIR properties of NiFe₂O₄ spinel nanoparticles. Chem. Phys. Lett. 833, 140911 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/J.CPLETT.2023.140911
- J. Jacob, M.A. Khadar, Investigation of mixed spinel structure of nanostructured nickel ferrite. J. Appl. Phys. (2010). https://doi.org/10.1063/1.3429202



- P. Chandramohan, M.P. Srinivasan, S. Velmurugan, S.V. Narasimhan, Cation distribution and particle size effect on Raman spectrum of CoFe₂O₄. J. Solid State Chem. **184**, 89–96 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2010.10.019
- X. Gao, W. Wang, J. Bi, Y. Chen, X. Hao, X. Sun, J. Zhang, Morphology-controllable preparation of NiFe₂O₄ as high performance electrode material for supercapacitor. Electrochim. Acta 296, 181–189 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. electacta.2018.11.054
- M.M. Titirici, M. Antonietti, A. Thomas, A generalized synthesis of metal oxide hollow spheres using a hydrothermal approach. Chem. Mater. 18, 3808–3812 (2006). https:// doi.org/10.1021/cm052768u
- C. Pan, D. Zhang, L. Shi, CTAB assisted hydrothermal synthesis, controlled conversion and CO oxidation properties of CeO₂ nanoplates, nanotubes, and nanorods. J. Solid State Chem. **181**, 1298–1306 (2008). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssc.2008.02.011
- A.J. Cornet, A.M. Homborg, P.R. Anusuyadevi, L. Hoen-Velterop, J.M.C. Mol, Unravelling corrosion degradation of aged aircraft components protected by chromate-based coatings. Eng. Fail. Anal. (2024). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. engfailanal.2024.108070
- 65. Thermo Fisher Scientific (2024) Adventitious carbon contamination. In: Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. https://www. thermofisher.com/nl/en/home/materials-science/learningcenter/periodic-table/non-metal/carbon.html. Accessed 12 May 2024
- 66. Z. Wu, Z. Zou, J. Huang, F. Gao, NiFe₂O₄ nanoparticles/ NiFe layered double-hydroxide nanosheet heterostructure array for efficient overall water splitting at large current densities. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 10, 26283–26292 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.8b07835
- Y. He, L. Zhang, H.W. Xiong, X. Kang, Evolution of lattice defects in nickel ferrite spinel: oxygen vacancy and cation substitution. J. Alloys Compd. (2022). https://doi.org/10. 1016/j.jallcom.2022.165494
- K. Jouini, A. Raouafi, W. Dridi, M. Daoudi, B. Mustapha, R. Chtourou, F. Hosni, Investigation of gamma-ray irradiation induced phase change from NiO to Ni₂O₃ for enhancing photocatalytic performance. Optik (Stuttg) (2019). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijleo.2019.163109
- G. Xie, Y. Sakamura, K. Ema, Y. Ito, Characterization of nickel oxide in molten carbonate I. Electrochemical behaviour of higher nickel oxide in molten carbonate. J. Power. Sources 32, 125–133 (1990). https://doi.org/10.1016/ S0378-7753(12)80002-4
- ALOthman Z, A review: fundamental aspects of silicate mesoporous materials. Materials 5, 2874–2902 (2012). https://doi.org/10.3390/ma5122874

- J. Wang, G. Yang, W. Lyu, W. Yan, Thorny TiO₂ nanofibers: synthesis, enhanced photocatalytic activity and super-capacitance. J. Alloys Compd. 659, 138–145 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jallcom.2015.10.233
- K.S.W. Sing, Reporting physisorption data for gas/solid systems with special reference to the determination of surface area and porosity (Recommendations 1984). Pure Appl. Chem. 57, 603–619 (1985). https://doi.org/10.1351/ pac198557040603
- 73. Y. Yamaguchi, R. Aono, E. Hayashi, K. Kamata, M. Hara, Template-free synthesis of mesoporous β-MnO₂ nanoparticles: structure, formation mechanism, and catalytic properties. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 12, 36004–36013 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.0c08043
- M. Islam, G. Ali, M.G. Jeong, W. Choi, K.Y. Chung, H.G. Jung, Study on the electrochemical reaction mechanism of NiFe₂O₄ as a high-performance anode for Li-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 9, 14833–14843 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsami.7b01892
- U. Kasavajjula, C. Wang, A.J. Appleby, Nano- and bulksilicon-based insertion anodes for lithium-ion secondary cells. J. Power. Sources 163, 1003–1039 (2007). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.jpowsour.2006.09.084
- A.S. Aricò, P. Bruce, B. Scrosati, J.-M. Tarascon, W. van Schalkwijk, Nanostructured materials for advanced energy conversion and storage devices. Nat. Mater. 4, 366–377 (2005). https://doi.org/10.1038/nmat1368
- 77. H. Bashirpour-bonab, Investigation and electrochemical analysis of SEI layer formation in natural graphite anode formation process in lithium-ion battery. E-Prime 5, 100261 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prime.2023. 100261
- S.J. An, J. Li, C. Daniel, D. Mohanty, S. Nagpure, D.L. Wood, The state of understanding of the lithium-ionbattery graphite solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) and its relationship to formation cycling. Carbon NY 105, 52–76 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbon.2016.04.008
- A. Wang, S. Kadam, H. Li, S. Shi, Y. Qi, Review on modeling of the anode solid electrolyte interphase (SEI) for lithium-ion batteries. NPJ Comput. Mater. 4, 15 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41524-018-0064-0
- E. Riyanto, T. Kristiantoro, E. Martides, P.B. Dedi, D. Mulyadi, Suprapto, Lithium-ion battery performance improvement using two-dimensional materials. Mater. Today Proc. 87, 164–171 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1016/j. matpr.2023.02.392
- 81. A.R.C. Bredar, A.L. Chown, A.R. Burton, B.H. Farnum, Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy of metal oxide electrodes for energy applications. ACS Appl. Energy

Deringer

Mater. **3**, 66–98 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1021/acsaem. 9b01965

- J.Y. Xiang, J.P. Tu, Y.Q. Qiao, X.L. Wang, J. Zhong, D. Zhang, C.D. Gu, Electrochemical impedance analysis of a hierarchical cuo electrode composed of self-assembled nanoplates. J. Phys. Chem. C 115, 2505–2513 (2011). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp108261t
- C. Wang, Q. Li, F. Wang, G. Xia, R. Liu, D. Li, N. Li, J.S. Spendelow, G. Wu, Morphology-dependent performance of CuO anodes via facile and controllable synthesis for lithium-ion batteries. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 6, 1243–1250 (2014). https://doi.org/10.1021/am405061c
- P.C. Rath, J. Patra, D. Saikia, M. Mishra, C.M. Tseng, J.K. Chang, H.M. Kao, Comparative study on the morphologydependent performance of various CuO nanostructures as

anode materials for sodium-ion batteries. ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 6, 10876–10885 (2018). https://doi.org/10. 1021/acssuschemeng.8b02159

 M.D. Levi, D. Aurbach, Simultaneous measurements and modeling of the electrochemical impedance and the cyclic voltammetric characteristics of graphite electrodes doped with lithium. J. Phys. Chem. B 101, 4630–4640 (1997). https://doi.org/10.1021/jp9701909

Publisher's Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.