

Leveraging Factored State Representations for Enhanced Efficiency in Reinforcement Learning

Suau, M.

DOI

[10.4233/uuid:e19a0363-d9cf-4f33-8936-757c268f27a1](https://doi.org/10.4233/uuid:e19a0363-d9cf-4f33-8936-757c268f27a1)

Publication date

2024

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Suau, M. (2024). *Leveraging Factored State Representations for Enhanced Efficiency in Reinforcement Learning*. [Dissertation (TU Delft), Delft University of Technology]. <https://doi.org/10.4233/uuid:e19a0363-d9cf-4f33-8936-757c268f27a1>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Propositions

accompanying the dissertation

Leveraging Factored State Representations for Enhanced Efficiency in Reinforcement Learning

by

Miguel Suau de Castro

1. Simulators need only replicate the world to the level of detail that intelligent agents can perceive it (Chapters 3 and 4).
2. Learning from a biased yet consistent (low variance) estimate of the return yields superior outcomes compared to learning from the true return when it exhibits high variance (Chapter 4).
3. Excessive abstraction, even when exact, can lead to overfitting (Chapters 5 and 6).
4. Plain reward maximization leads to mere observation-action memorization instead of fostering intelligent behavior (Chapter 6).
5. In addition to maximizing reward, agents should actively intervene in the environments to stress test their learned representations.
6. Deep theoretical insights cannot be conveyed solely through equations.
7. The reviewing system inadvertently encourages complexity rather than clarity.
8. Future research should strive for artificial specialized intelligence, as opposed to artificial general intelligence.
9. The primary risk AI faces at present is falling short of expectations.
10. AIs abstract not.

These propositions are regarded as opposable and defensible and have been approved as such, by the promotors Frans A. Oliehoek and Matthijs T. J. Spaan.