



Delft University of Technology

#### Document Version

Final published version

#### Citation (APA)

Tian, X., De Bruin, S., Simoes, R., Isik, M. S., Minarik, R., Ho, Y. F., Sahin, M., Herold, M., Consoli, D., & Hengl, T. (2025). Spatiotemporal Prediction of Soil Organic Carbon Density for Europe (2000--2022) Based on Landsat-Based Spectral Indices Time-Series. In J. A. González Pérez, & J. M. de la Rosa Arranz (Eds.), *Advancing Soil Knowledge for a Sustainable Future: Book of Abstracts of the Communications presented to the VII EUROSIL Meeting Seville – Spain September 8 – 12, 2025* (pp. 1095-1095). CSIC Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas.

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

#### Copyright

In case the licence states “Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa)”, this publication was made available Green Open Access via the TU Delft Institutional Repository pursuant to Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa, the Taverne amendment). This provision does not affect copyright ownership. Unless copyright is transferred by contract or statute, it remains with the copyright holder.

#### Sharing and reuse

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

#### Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

*This work is downloaded from Delft University of Technology.*



# VII EUROSOIL 2025 & X Congreso Ibérico de la Ciencia del Suelo

SEVILLE-SPAIN 8-12 SEP



ORGANIZED BY:



INDEX

GT 14 - 39 - 0

## SPATIOTEMPORAL PREDICTION OF SOIL ORGANIC CARBON DENSITY FOR EUROPE (2000--2022) BASED ON LANDSAT-BASED SPECTRAL INDICES TIME-SERIES

GT 14. SOIL DATA ACQUISITION, CURATION, SHARING & MODELLING / GT 10. SOIL CARBON DYNAMICS AND STABILIZATION

**XUEMENG TIAN**<sup>\*1,2</sup>, SYTZE DE BRUIN<sup>2</sup>, ROLF SIMOES<sup>1</sup>, MUSTAFA SERKAN ISIK<sup>1</sup>, ROBERT MINARIK<sup>1</sup>, YU-FENG HO<sup>1</sup>, MURAT SAHIN<sup>3</sup>, MARTIN HEROLD<sup>4,2</sup>, DAVIDE CONSOLI<sup>1</sup>, TOM HENGL<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> *OpenGeoHub, Doorwerth, The Netherlands*

<sup>2</sup> *Wageningen University and Research, Wageningen, The Netherlands*

<sup>3</sup> *Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands*

<sup>4</sup> *Helmholtz GFZ German Research Centre for Geosciences, Potsdam, Germany*

\* [xuemeng.tian@opengeohub.org](mailto:xuemeng.tian@opengeohub.org)

The paper describes a comprehensive framework for soil organic carbon density (SOC<sub>D</sub>) (kg/m<sup>3</sup>) modeling and mapping, based on spatiotemporal Random Forest (RF) and Quantile Regression Forests (QRF). A total of 45,616 SOC<sub>D</sub> measurements and various feature layers, particularly 30m Landsat-based spectral indices, were used to produce 30m SOC<sub>D</sub> maps for the EU at four-year intervals (2000--2022) and four soil depth intervals (0--20cm, 20--50cm, 50--100cm, and 100--200cm). Per-pixel 95% probability prediction intervals (PIs) and extrapolation probabilities are also provided. Model evaluation indicates consistent accuracy, with R<sup>2</sup> between 0.53--0.67 and CCC 0.68--0.80 across cross-validations and independent tests. Prediction accuracy varies by land cover, depth interval and year of prediction with accuracy the worst for shrubland and deeper soils 100--200cm. PI validation confirmed effective uncertainty estimation, though with reduced accuracy for higher SOC<sub>D</sub> values. Shapley analysis identified soil depth as the most influential feature, followed by vegetation, long-term bioclimate, and topographic features. While pixel-level uncertainty is substantial, spatial aggregation reduces uncertainty by approximately 66%. Detecting SOC<sub>D</sub> changes remains challenging but offers a baseline for future improvements. Maps, based primarily on topsoil data from cropland, grassland, and woodland, are best suited for applications related to these land covers and depths. Users should interpret the maps with local knowledge and consider the uncertainty and extrapolation probability layers. All data and code are available under an open license at <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13754343> and <https://github.com/AI4SoilHealth/SoilHealthDataCube/>.