

**Delft University of Technology** 

# Volatile Fatty Acid Product Spectrum as a Function of the Solids Retention Time in an Anaerobic Granular Sludge Process

Mulders, Michel; Estevez-Alonso, Angel; Stouten, Gerben Roelandt; Tamis, Jelmer; Pronk, Mario; Kleerebezem, Robbert

DOI 10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001768

Publication date 2020 Document Version Final published version Published in Journal of Environmental Engineering (United States)

# Citation (APA)

Mulders, M., Éstevez-Alonso, A., Stouten, G. R., Tamis, J., Pronk, M., & Kleerebezem, R. (2020). Volatile Fatty Acid Product Spectrum as a Function of the Solids Retention Time in an Anaerobic Granular Sludge Process. *Journal of Environmental Engineering (United States), 146*(8), Article 04020091. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001768

## Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



# Volatile Fatty Acid Product Spectrum as a Function of the Solids Retention Time in an Anaerobic Granular Sludge Process

Michel Mulders<sup>1</sup>; Angel Estevez-Alonso<sup>2</sup>; Gerben Roelandt Stouten<sup>3</sup>; Jelmer Tamis<sup>4</sup>; Mario Pronk<sup>5</sup>; and Robbert Kleerebezem<sup>6</sup>

**Abstract:** Volatile fatty acids (VFA) may serve as building blocks for the production of chemicals and polymers. A technology enabling high-rate VFA production from carbohydrate-rich wastewater is the anaerobic granular sludge process. In this study, the characteristics of an anaerobic granular sludge process fermenting glucose was evaluated at different solid retention times (SRT). A lab-scale anaerobic sequencing batch reactor fed with 6 g  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup> glucose was operated at a pH of 5.5 and at SRT values of 1–2, 10–20, and 40–50 days and operated in total for 215 days. A low sludge volume index (SVI) of 1,144 mL  $\cdot$  gTSS<sup>-1</sup> allowed for the high SRT and high volatile suspended solid (VSS) concentration that reached 59 gVSS  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup>. This high VSS concentration enabled a glucose consumption rate of 1,100 gCOD  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  day<sup>-1</sup> at an SRT of 40–50 days. Two product spectra were obtained: (1) a propionate:acetate mixture with a ratio of 2.05:1 (mol<sub>propionate</sub>:mol<sub>acetate</sub>) produced at an SRT of 40–50 days; and (2) an acetate dominated product spectrum was obtained at 1–2 days and 10–20 days SRT (0.71–0.75 mol<sub>acetate</sub>  $\cdot$  mol<sup>-1</sup><sub>VFA</sub>). Overall, a high VFA yield between 0.77 and 0.79 was obtained throughout all enrichments. This work demonstrates that high-rate VFA production combining high yields and low solid concentrations in the effluent technologically can be achieved. This work contributes to the implementation of waste-based production of VFA using anaerobic granular sludge. **DOI: 10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870.0001768.** © *2020 American Society of Civil Engineers*.

Author keywords: Volatile fatty acids (VFA); Granular sludge; Open culture fermentation; Resource recovery.

# Introduction

Downloaded from ascelibrary org by Technische Universiteit Delft on 07/22/20. Copyright ASCE. For personal use only; all rights reserved.

Anaerobic digestion (AD) is a process in which complex organic substrate is degraded to yield a methane-containing biogas (Kleerebezem et al. 2015). A technology used in the AD process present-day is the high-rate granular sludge technology that enables effective production of biogas from wastewater (Lettinga et al. 1980). Key intermediates in the AD process are volatile fatty acids (VFA). These VFA can serve as a platform molecule, in the socalled carboxylate platform (Agler et al. 2011; Holtzapple and Granda 2009). VFA serves in this platform as a building block for the production of potentially higher value chemicals and polymers, such as the production of polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) (Marang et al. 2013; Tamis et al. 2018). Organic waste of unknown composition and complexity can be degraded to these platform molecules using a fermentation process. In order to acquire VFA from an AD process, methanogenesis should be prevented. Methanogenesis is the conversion of (in)organic C1 and C2 intermediates to methane- and carbon-dioxide-containing biogas. This process can be stopped by inhibiting the methanogens by working at low solid retention times (SRT) and/or working at a low pH and high VFA concentrations (Kleerebezem et al. 2015). Combining the granular sludge technology and the inhibition of methanogens, the principles of the AD process using granules can be applied to produce VFA from complex substrates.

Fermentation processes to yield VFA are usually conducted in continuously stirred tank reactors (CSTR) fed with glucose (Rombouts et al. 2019; Temudo et al. 2008). A drawback of using a chemostat-type process is that low volumetric productivities are achieved and that all biomass produced is present in the effluent (Tamis et al. 2015). Another type of operation would be the usage of granular sludge, an established technology in the AD process through the development of the upflow anaerobic sludge bed (UASB) reactor or more recently the Nereda technology applied in municipal wastewater treatment (Lettinga et al. 1980; Pronk et al. 2015). Using granular sludge technology, the SRT can be uncoupled from the hydraulic retention time (HRT), resulting in highrate systems (Tamis et al. 2015). Additionally, a lower solid content can be realized in the effluent using granular sludge through biomass removal from the sludge bed. Low solid contents are beneficial in processes converting the VFA produced into higher-value nonsoluble products like bioplastics. However, the application of granular sludge technology for the production of VFA is just touched upon and granulation and system control need to be persistent. In general, anaerobic granular sludge could serve as a platform to produce on an industrial-scale VFA from carbohydrate-rich wastewaters.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Ph.D. Candidate, Dept. of Biotechnology, Delft Univ. of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, Delft, HZ 2629, Netherlands (corresponding author). ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-4810-5656. Email: m.mulders@ tudelft.nl

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Ph.D. Candidate, Wetsus, Oostergoweg 9, Leeuwarden, MA 8911, Netherlands. ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0531-7977

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Ph.D. Candidate, Dept. of Biotechnology, Delft Univ. of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, Delft, 2629 HZ, Netherlands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Process Specialist, Paques BV, Tjalke de Boerstritte 24, Balk, EL 8561, Netherlands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Technology and Project Manager R&D, Royal HaskoningDHV, Laan 1914 35, Amersfoort, 3800 AL, Netherlands.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Associate Professor, Dept. of Biotechnology, Delft Univ. of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, Delft, 2629 HZ, Netherlands.

Note. This manuscript was submitted on December 18, 2019; approved on March 24, 2020; published online on June 11, 2020. Discussion period open until November 11, 2020; separate discussions must be submitted for individual papers. This paper is part of the *Journal of Environmental Engineering*, © ASCE, ISSN 0733-9372.

Even though VFA production from organic waste using anaerobic granular sludge has been demonstrated, many research questions remain unanswered (Tamis et al. 2015). For example, the factors determining the product spectrum of the fermentation of glucose and other carbohydrates remain unclear. For nongranular processes, it has been demonstrated that at higher pH values (6-8) the product spectrum will mainly consist of acetate and ethanol, whereas at a lower pH (5-6), an acetate and butyrate mixture will be obtained (De Kok et al. 2013; Temudo et al. 2007). At lower pH values, carbohydrate fermentations that produce organic acids often generate H<sub>2</sub> gas as a by-product. Even though in some studies H<sub>2</sub> is considered the main product of the fermentation process, H<sub>2</sub> production lowers the overall VFA product yield (Das and Veziroglu 2008; Hallenbeck and Ghosh 2009). The produced H<sub>2</sub> contains electrons originating from the substrate and the H<sub>2</sub> leaves the bioreactor via the off-gas, resulting in a decrease of the VFA yield on substrate. Lastly, also biomass production can be considered as an unwanted side product of the fermentation process. In anaerobic processes where no (strong) electron acceptor is present, the biomass yield is relatively low compared to aerobic processes. Still, in order to maximize VFA production the biomass yield should be minimized. Increasing the SRT (and thereby lowering the biomass specific growth rate) may result in higher VFA yields because the biomass yield is reduced due to higher substrate demands for maintenance purposes. Increasing the SRT can lead to a higher VFA yield, as shown in previous studies (Bengtsson et al. 2008; Bolaji and Dionisi 2017). Overall, the fermentation of organic waste is a complex process and the factors that determine the product spectrum are largely unknown.

In this study, the effect of the SRT on the product yield and product spectrum of anaerobic granular sludge fermenting glucose was investigated. Glucose was taken as model substrate for carbohydrate-rich wastewater, such as papermill wastewater, food processing wastewater, or sugar cane molasses (Albuquerque et al. 2007; Tamis et al. 2014, 2018). A sequencing batch reactor (SBR) operated at pH 5.5 was used in which pulse-wise glucose was fed to anaerobic granular sludge. The main process performance indicators were the VFA yield, the product spectrum, the sludge volume index (SVI), and the microbial community structure as identified using 16s rRNA sequencing. Three operational SRT were chosen: 1-2 day SRT, 10-20 day SRT, and uncontrolled SRT. Challenges for this process will be granulation at different SRT, avoidance of methanogenesis at longer SRT, and reduced VFA production yield due to production of H<sub>2</sub>.

# Materials and Methods

# Inoculum Cultivation

A single bioreactor was operated, with a height of 150 cm and an internal diameter of 6.5 cm. The working liquid volume was 2.5 L and the headspace was 2.4 L. The reactor was maintained at 30°C  $\pm$  1°C and the pH was controlled at 5.5 using 2 M NaOH (Biostat Stedim, Sartorius, the Netherlands). A 2% Antifoam C solution was added to the reactor four times slower than the base addition. Before initiating the different SRT, a startup period was required to obtain granular sludge. The carbon and mineral medium used were identical as in Tamis et al. (2015) using a glucose concentration of 10 g  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup> in the influent (1.25 L). The reactor was seeded with anaerobic sludge digesting mixed primary and secondary sludge from the sewage treatment plant Harnaschpolder (Delft, the Netherlands). During the granulation process, two general rules were applied determining the cycle length and settling time.

The rules were that glucose should be fully consumed and all biomass should be retained in the reactor. Firstly, glucose was considered fully depleted once the base addition flattened out and  $CO_2$ and  $H_2$  were below 1% in the off-gas. Secondly, the settling time for biomass started at 3 h and was manually shortened to 2 min, over a period of 20 days.

#### Enrichment Setup

The same bioreactor, pH, and temperature as used for the inoculum cultivation were used for the subsequent three enrichments. Similar mineral composition as in Tamis et al. (2015) was used and the glucose concentration in the influent was  $6 \text{ g} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$  (influent volume: 1.25 L). For all three enrichments, the settling time was set to 60 min, ensuring biomass retention. The cycle length was 6 h and the HRT was 12 h. A cycle length consisted out of the following phases: settling phase (60 min), effluent phase (6 min), nutrient feeding phase (5 min), acclimization phase (10 min), glucose feeding phase (3 min), and a reaction phase (276 min). The first SRT investigated was uncontrolled SRT; for this SRT, no sludge was manually removed. Hence, the SRT was determined by the biomass washout via the effluent. The other SRT investigated were 1-2 day and 10-20 day SRT. In these systems, besides the biomass washout via the effluent sludge, biomass was manually removed at the end of the reaction time when the reactor was completely mixed. The reactor was mixed by recycling the produced biogas from the culture, and anaerobic conditions were maintained by two water-locks connected to the bioreactor in series. The second water-lock was connected to the outside environment and sparged with N2 gas, keeping the bottle anaerobic.

#### Sampling and Analytical Methods

The bioreactor was monitored online using measurements of the pH and base addition. The biogas was measured qualitatively for CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in-line with a mass spectrometry system (Omnistar, Pfeifer vacuum). Offline measurements were done for SVI, soluble chemical oxygen demand (COD<sub>Sol</sub>), glucose concentration, organic acids concentration, total suspended solids (TSS), and volatile suspended solids (VSS). The VSS content of the reactor was determined by taking a VSS sample at the end of the reaction phase, when the reactor was completely mixed. The reactor was sampled in more detail at the end of the enrichment, elucidating the conversions during a cycle. To this end, the reactor was sampled every 5 min during the presence of glucose and once every 30 min after glucose depletion. Samples taken for COD<sub>Sol</sub> glucose and organic acid analysis were filtered directly after sampling using a 0.45-µM pore-size filter (PVDF membrane, Millipore, Ireland). The COD<sub>Sol</sub> was measured using commercially available spectrophotometrically test-kit from Hach-Lange. The organic acid composition and quantity were measured using a high-performance liquid chromatography with a BioRadAminex HPX-87H column and a UV/RI detector (Waters 2489). As a mobile phase, 1.5 mM H3PO4 in Milli-Q water was used with a flow rate of 0.6 mL  $\cdot$  min<sup>-1</sup> and a temperature of 60°C. The TSS content of a sample was obtained by first centrifuging a sample at 2,500 g. After decanting the supernatant, the sample was oven-dried at 105°C. The VSS content was obtained according to Clesceri et al. (1999). The SVI60 was obtained by taking the apparent sludge volume (SV) at the end of the settling phase in the bioreactor and dividing this by the measured TSS from the mixed reactor.

# Data Analysis

The SRT was determined by the amount of solids (gTSS  $\cdot$  d<sup>-1</sup>) discharged from the system compared to the total amount of solids (gTSS) present in the reactor. The chemical oxygen demand (COD) balance could only be made over the soluble compounds measured in the effluent and averaged VSS production. Furthermore, it was assumed that all VSS was biomass.

A mathematical model was made for obtaining the conversions and  $qS^{\text{max}}$  of the enrichment. An elaborated description of the model is shown in the Appendix. The model was based upon the following two/three reactions:

1. Direct glucose fermentation towards extracellular products, biomass, and storage polymer:

 $\begin{aligned} \text{Glucose} & \rightarrow \text{a} \text{Acetate} + \text{b} \text{Lactate} + \text{c} \text{Propionate} + \text{d} \text{Butyrate} \\ & + \text{e} \text{Biomass} + \text{f} \text{Storage polymer} [\text{gCOD} \cdot \text{gCOD}]^{-1} \end{aligned}$ 

2. The conversion of storage polymer to extracellular products and biomass

Storage polymer  $\rightarrow$  g Acetate + h Lactate + i Propionate

+ j Butyrate + k Biomass[gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD]<sup>-1</sup>

3. A fixed stoichiometry for lactate conversion as described previously (Tamis et al. 2015)

> Lactate  $\rightarrow 0.21$  acetate + 0.73 propionate + 0.06 VSS [gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD]<sup>-1</sup>

Furthermore, to make the model fitting, the following assumptions were made:

- The biomass conversion factor used was 1.42 gCOD · gVSS<sup>-1</sup> (Rittmann et al. 1986);
- The storage polymer amount was set to 1 gCOD at the start of a cycle and should be  $1 \pm 0.05$  gCOD at the end of the cycle;
- There was no restriction for the COD balances to be closed, allowing identification of missing fermentation products; and
- The production/consumption of  $H_2$  was not incorporated in the model.

# Microbial Community Structure

DNA from mixed bioreactor samples were extracted using the DNeasy UltraClean Microbial Kit (Qiagen, the Netherlands). Approximately 250 mg wet biomass was treated according to the standard protocol except an alternative lysis was implemented. This included a combination of 5 min of heat ( $65^{\circ}$ C) followed by 5 min of bead-beating for cell disruption on a Mini-Beadbeater-24 (Biospec, USA). After extraction, the DNA was checked for quality by gel electrophorese and quantified using a Qubit 4 (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

After quality control, samples were sent to Novogene Ltd. (Hong Kong, China) for amplicon sequencing of the V3-4 region of the 16S-rRNA gene (position 341-806) on an Illumina pairedend platform. After sequencing, the raw reads were quality filtered, chimeric sequences were removed, and OTUs were generated on the base of  $\geq$ 97% identity. Subsequently, microbial community analysis was performed by Novogene using Mothur & Qiime software (version 1.7.0). For phylogenetical determination, the most recent SSURef database from SILVA (http://www.arb-silva.de/) was used.



**Fig. 1.** Operational settings chosen, with the measured time needed for glucose depletion during the startup period for obtaining granules.



**Fig. 2.** Visual impression of the sludge bed during the different operational periods in this study. From left to right, startup phase, 40–50 days SRT, 10–20 days SRT, and 1–2 days SRT.

# Results

# Granulation and Enrichment Performance

Initial reactor operations were aimed at complete retention of the biomass. The cycle length varied in the beginning, starting with a long cycle length of 24 h. Both a flat base addition profile and  $CO_2$  and  $H_2$  concentrations in the off-gas below 1% (m/m) were used as indicators of full conversion of glucose into organic acids. During the reaction time, the biomass could grow and consume the glucose dosed. A short settling time (2–10 min) resulted in nongranular biomass at the start. After increasing the settling time to 3 h, a visible improvement of biomass retention was achieved. After 6 days, the settling time was manually lowered as shown in Fig. 1 to promote granule formation.

The granules formed during the startup period were used as starting material for the first enrichment. An impression of the reactor operated at different SRT is depicted in Fig. 2. The startup period served only as inoculum production for the first enrichment; thus, day 0 was chosen to be the first day of the SRT research.

After the startup phase, the settling time was increased to 60 min and the cycle length to 6 h, to prolong the SRT. In this first period, the SRT was uncontrolled; this meant that TSS was not actively removed from the system. TSS could still leave the system when a maximum sludge volume (SV) was reached (which meant that the sludge bed reached the effluent point of the reactor which was half way the reactor volume height) and additional biomass production



**Fig. 3.** Upper picture is an overview of the reactor performance in terms of VFA, VSS production, and the compactness of the culture expressed as SVI60. The bottom picture is the evolution of the product spectrum obtained during each enrichment. Day 0–134 is the 40–50 day SRT, day 135–191 is the 10–20 day SRT, and day 192–215 is the 1-2 day SRT system.

left the reactor with the discharge effluent. Using this approach, an SRT of 40–50 days was achieved. After characterization of the system, the SRT was manually controlled at 10–20 day SRT and 1–2 day SRT. During the startup phase, H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> were produced and measured in the biogas. After the startup period, no H<sub>2</sub> was measured in the biogas; the biogas consisted solely of CO<sub>2</sub> (20%–40% m/m) and N<sub>2</sub> (60%–80% m/m) and no (<0.5%) H<sub>2</sub> or CH<sub>4</sub> were detected. An overview of the culture performance for the three SRT is shown in Fig. 3.

With increasing the SRT, the concentration of biomass in the reactor increased from 6 gVSS  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup> at 1–2 day SRT to 59 gVSS  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup> at 40–50 days SRT. A high SRT could be achieved due to, among others, a very compact sludge bed with an SVI of 11 ± 2 mL  $\cdot$  gTSS<sup>-1</sup> (average ± standard deviation; n = 8) and a biomass yield of 0.11 gCOD<sub>X</sub>  $\cdot$  gCOD<sup>-1</sup> (where X = biomass). The sludge bed became dense as it showed a strong compressive ability. After starting the settling phase, an initial sludge bed compressed for the remainder of the settling time (total settling time 60 min),

resulting in a very low SVI60. An overview of system characteristics is given in Table 1. In all experimental periods, the VSS present in the effluent was low,  $0.21 \pm 0.18$  (n = 100) gVSS  $\cdot L^{-1}$ , compared to the VSS concentrations present in the reactor. The manually removed sludge was 3.1 gVSS  $\cdot day^{-1}$ , 1.9 gVSS  $\cdot day^{-1}$ , and 0 gVSS  $\cdot d^{-1}$  for the 1–2 day SRT, 10–20 day SRT, and 40– 50 day SRT, respectively. The biomass yield was estimated over multiple cycles for each SRT and was in the range of 0.09-0.17 gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD<sup>-1</sup>. The assumption was made that all VSS could be classified as biomass as described in the Material and Methods section.

#### Product Spectrum

The development of the product spectrum in time during typical operational cycles is shown in Fig. 3. In the 40-50 day SRT system, the measured biomass and VFA produced covered for 90% the influent COD on average. For the VFA, an average was made from day 71 to 134 as the product spectrum was constant in this period. In this period, the product spectrum consisted mainly of acetate and propionate produced in a ratio of propionate:acetate 2.05:1 (mol<sub>propionate</sub>:mol<sub>acetate</sub>). At SRT values of 1-2 days and 10-20 days, the measured biomass yields together with the produced VFA covered for 92%-94% of the influent COD on average for the entire enrichment period. There were no significant amounts of unknown additional fermentation products formed as the measured soluble COD concentration corresponded to the sum of the measured compounds  $(0.97 \pm 0.09 \text{ (}^2 = 55) \text{ gCOD}_{\text{VFA}} \cdot \text{gCOD}_{\text{Sol}})$  (Sol = solube). The increasing gap observed in the COD<sub>Sol</sub> balance during glucose depletion, and subsequent increase of the COD<sub>Sol</sub> balance due to secondary VFA production, suggests that part of the substrate was converted to a storage polymer. This storage polymer was not quantified, as no distinguishment could be made between storage polymer and extracellular polymeric susbtances (EPS) after extraction. When the SRT was shortened to 1-2 days, next to storage polymers, lactate was produced during the glucose consumption phase. Transiently produced lactate and storage polymers were converted to a mixture of acetate, propionate, and butyrate. Inline gas measurements showed a CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure of 20%-40% (m/m) at all SRT. H<sub>2</sub> partial pressures measured ranged from 0.01% to 0.2% and corresponded in all cases to less than 1% of the COD supplied to the system.

#### Kinetics

The conversions within a cycle were investigated in cycle measurements. The measurements were conducted once the system reached a steady functional performance in terms of product spectrum and substrate uptake rates. An overview of all cycle measurements performed is shown in Fig. 4.

In all systems, the majority of the conversions happened in a short period when glucose was present. A process model was made to investigate the stoichiometric and kinetic characteristics of the conversions observed, as described in the Material and Methods

**Table 1.** Overview system characteristics obtained at different SRT.  $Y_{X/S}$  was obtained by averaging the VSS values obtained in the effluent together with the biomass growth in the reactor and/or manual removal of biomass from the reactor

SRT	1–2 days	10-20 days	40-50 days	Unit
SVI60	$44 \pm 8(n = 8)$	$34 \pm 12(n = 17)$	$11 \pm 2(n = 8)$	$mL \cdot gTSS^{-1}$
VSS <sub>effluent</sub>	$0.12 \pm 0.04 (n = 10)$	$0.17 \pm 0.22 (n = 23)$	$0.23 \pm 0.18 (n = 67)$	$gVSS \cdot L^{-1}$
$Y_{X/S}$	$0.17 \pm 0.01 (n = 4)$	$0.14 \pm 0.09(n = 4)$	$0.11 \pm 0.09 (n = 4)$	$gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$
Y <sub>VFA/S</sub>	$0.77 \pm 0.06(n = 7)$	$0.79 \pm 0.03(n = 23)$	$0.79 \pm 0.12(n = 18)$	$gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$



• Acetate • Propionate • sCOD • Glucose • Butyrate • Lactate • VSS

**Fig. 4.** All system characterisations performed in this study. From top to bottom, the 40–50 days SRT, 10–20 days SRT, and the 1–2 days SRT. Markers reflect measurements and lines are modeled. Soluble COD concentrations (sCOD) were calculated as the sum of the individual substrate and product concentrations. Cycle measurements were performed at the end of each enrichment, thus on days 134, 191, and 212 for, respectively, 40–50 days, 10–20 days, and 1–2 days SRT.

section. An overview of the obtained kinetic parameters and final product spectrum is given in Table 2.

The amount of stored polymer produced was estimated using the model. The quantity varied per enrichment but was always significant. At an SRT of 40–50 days, 0.47 gCOD storage polymer was estimated to be produced per gCOD glucose consumed. This amount of storage polymer lowered to 0.43 and 0.32 gCOD  $\cdot$  COD<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, at an SRT of 10–20 days and 1–2 days. The maximum specific substrate uptake rate ( $q_s^{max}$ ) decreased from 0.7 gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup> at 1–2 days SRT to 0.2gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup> at an SRT of 40–50 days.

#### Microbial Community Structure

During the initial granulation process, 16S rRNA showed that the mixed microbial community was dominated by *Clostridium pasteurianum*. An overview of the relative abundance at the start of the SRT research and the end of each SRT period is given in Fig. 5.

When the SRT was prolonged to 40–50 days, the microbial community shifted to a community dominated (>50% operational taxonomic unit (OTU) based) by *Bifidobacterium scardovii*. Additionally, *Propionibacterium thoenii* and *Megasphaera cerevisiae* were detected and contributed 20%–30% in total to the community structure based upon 16S rRNA. This community structure remained similar at an SRT of 10–20 days. While decreasing the SRT to 1–2 days, a more diverse community structure consisting of four species was obtained, with *Saccharibacteria* in addition to the three aforementioned species. Once the enrichment matured, again *B. scardovii* was the dominant microorganism (>65% OTU based) and the *Saccharibacteria* was no longer significantly detected.

### Discussion

#### Granulation

There exists a variety of operational conditions, either aerobic or anaerobic, that can result in the formation of dense granular structures. This study investigated the characteristics of compact anaerobic granular sludge producing VFA cultivated at varying SRT values (1–50 days). Other processes creating granules are the Nereda process and the UASB reactor (Lettinga et al. 1980; Pronk et al. 2015). In both these systems, the influent is fed in the bottom of the reactor and passes through a sludge bed that is not (or only partly) mixed. The microorganisms encounter the highest concentration of substrate at the bottom of the reactor, creating a substrate concentration gradient along the height of the sludge bed. The rapidly settling biomass has a competitive advantage through location in the lower section of the sludge bed. Low substrate concentrations as found in CSTR type reactors negatively affect granule formation (de Kreuk and van Loosdrecht 2004).

**Table 2.** Overview of the obtained of  $qS^{max}$  values, and overall product stoichiometry for acetate (ac), propionate (pro), butyrate (but), and storage polymer produced

	$q_{Glu\cos e}^{\max}$	$R_{\rm glucose}$	$Y^{\frac{ace}{glu}}$	$Y^{\frac{pro}{glu}}$	$Y^{\frac{but}{glu}}$	$Y^{\frac{storage}{glu}}$
SRT	$\overline{gCOD}(gV\bar{SSh})^{-1}$	$gCOD \cdot (L \cdot d)^{-1}$	$gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$	$gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$	$gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$	$gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$
1-2 days	0.7	168	0.43	0.18	0.16	0.31
10-20 days	0.4	97	0.40	0.14	0.15	0.43
40-50 days	0.2	1,104	0.20	0.57	0	0.47
Tamis et al. (2015) (1–2 days SRT)	1.6	300	0.17	0.03	0.20	0.13-0.19

Note: The storage polymer was intermittently produced during the consumption of glucose and assumed to be fully consumed in the famine phase.

Downloaded from ascelibrary org by Technische Universiteit Delft on 07/22/20. Copyright ASCE. For personal use only; all rights reserved



**Fig. 5.** Relative abundance of species detected at the end of each enrichment. Species that were relatively 3% or higher present were taken into account the other species were clustered as other species. Start is the beginning of the SRT research; days 134, 191, and 212 are, respectively, the last days of the 40–50 days, 10–20 days, and 1–2 days SRT periods.

In this work, the substrate was added pulse-wise in a mixed reactor, creating a substrate concentration gradient only in time and not in space and time as described for the other processes. The substrate gradient is a prerequisite for providing a competitive advantage for microorganisms growing in a biofilm. Effective biomass granulation in this study was achieved using the startup procedure as described in the Material and Methods section. Enrichment of biomass growing in granules is a complex process, in which the following operational steps were found to be crucial to achieve effective granulation:

- To initiate granulation in a mixed reactor system, full biomass retention was aimed for, requiring a long settling time. In these experiments, an initial settling time of 3 h was implemented, achieving full retention of biomass in the first 12 cycles of operation.
- 2. To achieve adequate selection of biomass growing in granules, the settling time was step-wise reduced.
- The glucose was dosed pulse-wise, creating a substrate gradient over time.

In this study, at an SRT of 40–50 days, a SVI60 of  $11 \pm 2 \text{ mL} \cdot \text{gTSS}^{-1}$  could be achieved, amounting to a very dense sludge bed. At shorter SRT, the SVI was higher, around 34–44 mL  $\cdot \text{gTSS}^{-1}$ . The SVI for previously operated granular systems varied though were in the same order of magnitude: 17–29 mL  $\cdot \text{gVSS}^{-1}$  for granular acidogenic systems (Tamis et al. 2015) and 12–45 mL  $\cdot \text{gTSS}^{-1}$  have been reported for aerobic granular sludge in lab and full-scale situation (de Kreuk et al. 2005; Pronk et al. 2015). In UASB reactors, the SVI can go as low as 7.8 mL  $\cdot \text{gVSS}^{-1}$  (Grotenhuis et al. 1991). These results demonstrate well-settling granular sludge and dense microbial structures can be obtained under varying process conditions.

# Kinetic Properties of the Enrichment

The  $q_S^{\text{max}}$  of the culture decreased at increasing SRT. At an SRT of 1–2 days, a  $q_S^{\text{max}}$  of 0.7 gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup> was achieved,

which reduced to a value of  $0.2 \text{ gCOD} \cdot \text{gVSS}^{-1} \cdot \text{h}^{-1}$  at an SRT of 40–50 days. Rombouts et al. (2019) found a  $q_s^{\text{max}}$  of 4.3 gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup> in a SBR fed pulse-wise with glucose and operated at a pH of 8.0 and a SRT of 8 h (Rombouts et al. 2019). This  $q_{\rm S}^{\rm max}$  value is an order of magnitude higher than the values obtained in this study. Possibly the pH, SRT, or a combination of both played a crucial role. In Rombouts et al. (2019), the influent contained 4  $g \cdot L^{-1}$  glucose; however, the operating pH was 8.0 and product inhibition was not likely to occur at only 4 g · L<sup>-1</sup> glucose, possibly enabling this high  $q_S^{\text{max}}$ . Another reason for the lower  $q_S^{\text{max}}$  values found for granular sludge is the production of EPS in granular sludge. When in both cases the VSS concentration gets classified as catalytic biomass, the biomass content gets overestimated in a granular system as more parts of the VSS is EPS and not catalytic biomass. Furthermore, part of the biomass could be inactive but retained in the system as VSS incorporated in a granule, resulting in lower observed qS values. Additionally, there is the possibility that microorganisms over the depth of the biofilm are not doing all processes simultaneously. These reasons would result in the underestimation of  $qS^{max}$  in a granular system compared to a suspended cell system. The  $qS^{max}$  value obtained in this work at an SRT of 1-2 days was lower compared to the values found previously in comparable conditions (0.7 versus 1.6 gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup>; Tamis et al. 2015). Potentially, the different history of the enrichments obtained in both studies resulted in a different local optimum, characterized by different types of dominant microorganisms and corresponding kinetic properties, i.e., in this study B. scardovii was the dominant microorganism versus C. pasteurianum in Tamis et al. (2015).

Despite the relatively low observed  $q_s^{\text{max}}$ , a volumetric glucose consumption rate of 1,100 gCOD · L<sup>-1</sup> · d<sup>-1</sup> was found in the 40–50 days SRT system. This is orders of magnitude larger than continuous stirred tank reactors (CSTR) operated using similar conditions as in this study. A consumption rate of 12 gCOD · L<sup>-1</sup> · d<sup>-1</sup> can be estimated when the HRT is 12 h and the influent concentration is 6 gCOD · L<sup>-1</sup>. The overall volumetric capacity in the granular sludge process is orders of magnitude higher compared to a chemostat due to effective biomass retention, and despite the lower  $q_s^{\text{max}}$  values achieved.

# Product Formation (Stoichiometry) Linked to Reactor Operation

Operation at different SRT values, long settling times and biogas recirculation resulted in distinct differences in product spectrum in this work. At an SRT of 40-50 days, a product spectrum consisting of propionate and acetate reached an overall VFA yield of 77%  $(gCOD \cdot gCOD_{glucose}^{-1})$  obtained during a cycle measurement. At lower SRT values, the product spectrum contained less propionate and additionally butyrate was measured in the product spectrum at the end of a cycle. During the 1-2 days SRT, there was transient production of lactate observed during glucose consumption. The product spectra obtained at 1-2 days SRT and 10-20 days SRT were comparable to those obtained in a previous study operated at a pH of 5.5 and using granular sludge (Tamis et al. 2015). The differences between the studies are the ratio in which the main fermentation products were obtained and possible H2 production as shown in Table 2. In this study, more acetate was produced compared to butyrate, which was the main fermentation product in Tamis et al. (2015). The COD balances as measured in the cycle measurements were 0.94, 0.92, 0.88 gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD<sup>-1</sup><sub>glucose</sub> for, respectively, 1-2 days, 10-20 days, and 40-50 days SRT. This indicated that the majority of the fermentation products were found.

Despite the high SRT, methane production was effectively repressed probably due to the relatively low operational pH. As opposed to previous studies, in this work at an SRT of 40–50 days, a relatively higher percentage of the product spectrum consisted out of propionate compared to operating at lower SRT. Namely,  $0.57 \text{ gCOD}_{\text{Pro}} \cdot \text{gCOD}_{\text{glucose}}$  and  $0.14-0.18 \text{ gCOD}_{\text{Pro}} \cdot \text{gCOD}_{\text{glucose}}$ , respectively, for the 40-50 days, 1-2 days, and 10-20 days SRT. Tamis et al. (2015) operated an anaerobic sequential batch reactor using granular sludge reactor at a pH of 5.5 and at an SRT of 1–2 days, around 0.05–0.10 (gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD<sup>-1</sup>) of the product spectrum contained propionate. Chemostat studies have found varying results. De Kok et al. (2013) demonstrated that by increasing the dilution rate in a glucose-fed chemostat from 0.05 to 0.125 h<sup>-1</sup>, the COD-based propionate yield decreased from 0.23-0.29 to 0.02–0.03 gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD<sup>-1</sup>. These results indicate that the SRT plays an important role in the product spectrum and high SRT favor propionate production at the expense of butyrate production. The answer to the question why elevated SRT values seem to favor propionate production remains unanswered.

# Product Formation (Stoichiometry) Linked to Microbial Community Structure

The microbial community structure at 40-50 days SRT was dominated by B. scardovii and supported by Megasphaera and Propionibacterium based on 16S rRNA analysis. This microbial structure could explain the observed product spectrum of propionate and acetate. Bifidobacterium are known for the production of acetic and lactic acid using the phospho-ketolase pathway from glucose using the bifid shunt, though no propionate production has been as of yet associated with Bifidobacterium (Falsen et al. 2015; Pokusaeva et al. 2011; Ventura et al. 2016). The microorganism is lacking the key enzymes for the glycolysis and hexosemonophosphate pathway and uses the phospho-ketolase pathway for catabolism (de Vries and Stouthamer 1967). Possibly, the other observed species Megasphaera cerevisiae and Propionibacterium thoenii capable of consuming the lactate and producing propionate were responsible for the production of propionate in this study (Lanjekar et al. 2014; Paikt and Glatz 1997). Potentially, lactate consumption was faster than lactate production at elevated SRT values (10-20 days and 40-50 days) since no transient lactate was observed. Looking at the direct fermentation of glucose to propionate and acetate, a product spectrum of 2:1 (mol<sub>propionate</sub>:mol<sub>acetate</sub>) can be expected (Gonzalez-Garcia et al. 2017). In this study, a product spectrum at 40-50 days SRT of propionate:acetate of 2.05:1 (molpropionate:molacetate) was found; this reflects nicely the stoichiometry proposed by Gonzalez-Garcia et al. (2017). Bifidobacterium was producing lactate and acetate, and subsequently a different microorganism could produce propionate and acetate from lactate.

The microorganism that dominated the process shortly after startup, *Clostridium pasteurianum*, was also encountered by Tamis et al. (2015). In that work, *C. pasteurianum* dominated the granular biomass over a pH range of 4.5–5.5. Two differences between that study and this study for obtaining *C. pasteurianum* were that in this study the settling time was set to 2 min and the biogas was continuous diluted by input of fresh N<sub>2</sub>. *C. pasteurianum* produced a product spectrum dominated by acetate, butyrate, and hydrogen as several studies have reported before for the *Clostridium* genus (Crabbendam et al. 1985; Dabrock et al. 1992; Lin et al. 2007; Tamis et al. 2015). This study shows that there is still a knowledge gap for obtaining specific product spectrums using granular sludge for the production of VFA from a carbohydrate-rich stream. VFA produced from carbohydrate-rich streams may serve as building blocks for the production of higher-value compounds compared to low-value biogas (Kleerebezem et al. 2015). Open culture fermentation can be used to produce organic acids from a complex substrate such as wastewater. Combining open culture fermentation with granular sludge technology, the production of a VFA-rich effluent with a low solid content is possible. This is ideal for processes where the consumption of VFA results in a particulate endproduct like PHA that can be separated from the water phase (Tamis et al. 2014). Tamis et al. (2014) demonstrated that minimizing the influent solid concentrations in the PHA production process enables maximization of the PHA content of biomass.

Granular sludge technology also provides a low solid effluent and a high volumetric productivity. Uncoupling of the solid and liquid retention enables reduction of the bioreactor volume while maintaining similar output in terms fermentation products formed. This study showed the diversity of the product spectrum that can be obtained through control of the SRT. No mechanistic explanation was found to explain why one product spectrum prevailed over others at different SRT.

# Conclusion

This study showed the successive establishment of anaerobic granular sludge cultures enriched on glucose at 1-2 days, 10-20 days, and 40-50 days SRT at a pH of 5.5 and operated for 215 days. Two distinct product spectra were obtained: (1) at 40-50 days SRT, a propionate:acetate mixture of 2.05:1 ( $mol_{propionate}$ : $mol_{acetate}$ ) was obtained, with a VFA production yield of  $0.79 \pm 0.12$  (n = 18)  $gCOD \cdot gCOD^{-1}$ ; and (2) at 1–2 and 10–20 days SRT, an acetatedominated  $(0.71-0.75 \text{ mol}_{acetate} \cdot \text{mol}_{VFA}^{-1})$  product spectrum was obtained. Overall, high VFA yields of 0.77-0.79 gCOD · gCOD<sup>-1</sup> from glucose fermentations were obtained. Furthermore, compact sludge beds were obtained as SVI60 were ranging within 11-44 mL  $\cdot$  gTSS<sup>-1</sup>, and *B. scardovii* was the prevailent microorganism in all systems. Substrate specific uptake rates varied from 0.2–0.7 gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup>. Despite the relatively low  $qS^{\max}$ , the glucose consumption rate of the systems varied from 100 gCOD  $\cdot$  L<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  day<sup>-1</sup> to a maximum rate of 1,100 gCOD  $\cdot$  $L^{-1} \cdot day^{-1}$ . Overall, this work showed the benefits of granular sludge technology for fermenting carbohydrate-rich water, resulting in a VFA-rich effluent with a low concentration solids. The possibilities of applying anaerobic granular sludge are briefly mentioned and more understanding is desired to control the product spectrum and granulation.

#### Appendix. Mathematical Model

The mathematical model presented in this study was aimed to derive characteristic parameters from the experimental data. The model was based upon 3 reactions: (1) the direct conversion of glucose to organic acids, storage polymers, and biomass; (2) the conversion of storage polymers to organic acids and biomass; and (3) when lactate was one of the organic acids produced, a third reaction was used describing the conversion of lactate to acetate, propionate, and biomass. Glucose and lacatate conversions occurred in parallel and resulting in (partial) similar products; thus, these could not be calibrated separately. For this reason, the reaction stoichiometry for lactate was fixed and similar to that used by Tamis et al. (2015) and shown in Table 3. The reaction stoichiometry for Eq. (1) and (2) are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Reaction stoichiometries used to calibrate the model to the measured data

Compound	rGlu	rSP	rLac
Glucose	-1		
Acetate	$Y_{Ac/Glu}$	$Y_{Ac/SP}$	0.32
Propionate	$Y_{Pro/Glu}$	$Y_{Pro/SP}$	0.63
Butyrate	$Y_{But/Glu}$	$Y_{But/SP}$	0
Lactate	$Y_{Lac/Glu}$	$Y_{Lac/SP}$	-1
Storage polymer	$Y_{SP/Glu}$	-1	0
Biomass	$Y_{X/Glu}$	$Y_{X/SP}$	0.06

Table 4. Kinetic equations used in the study to calibrate the measured data

Reaction	Model kinetics
Glucose consumption	$q_{Glu}(t) = q_{Glu}^{\max} \cdot \frac{C_{glu}}{K_{sGLu} + C_{Glu}}$
Storage polymer consumption	$SP(t) = SP(t-1) \cdot K_{SP}$
Lactate consumption	$q_{lac}(t) = q_{lac}^{\max} \cdot \frac{C_{lac}}{K_{s,lac} + C_{lac}}$

Note:  $K_{s,Glu}$  is the half-saturation constant for glucose; and  $K_{s,lac}$  is the half-saturation constant for lactate.

#### **Kinetics**

All substrate uptake rates were established as shown in Eq. (1):

$$\frac{dC_j}{dt} = q_j \cdot X_{lumped} \tag{1}$$

where  $C_j$  = concentration of compound *j* [gCOD · L<sup>-1</sup>];  $q_j$  = substrate-specific uptake rate for compound *j* [gCOD<sub>j</sub> · gVSS<sup>-1</sup> · h<sup>-1</sup>]; and  $X_{lumped}$  = lumped amount of biomass present in the reactor.

In this study, the biomass was lumped as total VSS minus the storage polymer solids present in the reactor. It was not feasible to obtain fractions of biomass (species differentiation) from the total amount of VSS; thus, it was not possible to obtain specific activities. Therefore, the activities rather represent the activity of the total amount of catalytic VSS present in the reactor. The substrate kinetics equations for glucose, storage polymer (SP), and lactate consumption are shown in Table 4. For the consumption of the storage polymer, a shrinken particle model was used. Furthermore, it was assumed that the consumption of the storage polymer started after all extracellular glucose was consumed. For the model, the starting amount of SP was set to 1 gCOD and the final value (end of the cycle) was set to be  $1 \pm 0.05$  gCOD.

#### Model Calibration

The difference of each measured sampling point  $(t_i)$  with the modeled data was determined. This was done for every component and for every measured data point. All the obtained differences were squared and summed to obtained the sum of squared errors (SSE) as shown in Eq. (2):

$$SSE_j = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( n_j^{measure}(t_i) - n_j^{model}(t_i) \right)^2 \tag{2}$$

where j = glucose, aceate, propionate, butyrate, lactate, SP, biomass;  $i = \text{time corresponding to each measured data point; } n_j^{measured} = \text{amount of compound } j$  measured [gCOD]; and  $n_j^{model}$  = modeled amount of compound j [gCOD].

Subsequently, the SSE of each component was summed, obtaining the total error of the model. The VSS was not taken into account for the SSE, as the SRT was significantly longer than the HRT the quantification of VSS was assumed not to be accurate within one cycle. The SSE was obtained as follows [Eq. (3)]:

$$Total error = \sum SSE_j \tag{3}$$

The total error was minimized adjusting characteristic parameters (e.g.,  $qS^{\text{max}}$ ,  $K_{SP}$ ,  $K_{Lac}$ ,  $K_{Glu}$ ) and the yields shown in Table 3, using the solver tool of Microsoft Excel. The solver was used to obtain the minimal total error, and the solver was set at GRG nonlinear method. No additional constraints were made for the model than the aforementioned constraints. The initial yield used was 0.5 gCOD  $\cdot$  gCOD<sup>-1</sup>,  $K_{SP}$  was initially 0.01 min<sup>-1</sup>, with an initial  $qS^{\text{max}}$  of 1 gCOD  $\cdot$  gVSS<sup>-1</sup>  $\cdot$  h<sup>-1</sup>.

# **Data Availability Statement**

All data, models, and code generated or used during the study appear in the published article.

#### Acknowledgments

The financial support from the Dutch Applied Science foundation (NWO-TTW) and Paques BV through the VFA-platform program (Project No. 12998) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors would like to thank Ben Abbas for the microbial community structure related work.

#### References

- Agler, M. T., B. A. Wrenn, S. H. Zinder, and L. T. Angenent. 2011. "Waste to bioproduct conversion with undefined mixed cultures: The carboxylate platform." *Trends Biotechnol.* 29 (2): 70–78. https://doi.org/10.1016 /j.tibtech.2010.11.006.
- Albuquerque, M. G. E., M. Eiroa, C. Torres, B. R. Nunes, and M. A. M. Reis. 2007. "Strategies for the development of a side stream process for polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) production from sugar cane molasses." *J. Biotechnol.* 130 (4): 411–421. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec .2007.05.011.
- Bengtsson, S., J. Hallquist, A. Werker, and T. Welander. 2008. "Acidogenic fermentation of industrial wastewaters: Effects of chemostat retention time and pH on volatile fatty acids production." *Biochem. Eng. J.* 40 (3): 492–499. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bej.2008.02.004.
- Bolaji, I. O., and D. Dionisi. 2017. "Acidogenic fermentation of vegetable and salad waste for chemicals production: Effect of pH buffer and retention time." *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.* 5 (6): 5933–5943. https://doi.org /10.1016/j.jece.2017.11.001.
- Clesceri, L. S., A. E. Greenberg, and A. D. Eaton. 1999. Standard methods for the examination of water and wastewater. 20th ed. Washtington, DC: American Public Health Association.
- Crabbendam, P. M., O. M. Neijssel, and D. W. Tempest. 1985. "Metabolic and energetic aspects of the growth of Clostridium butyricum on glucose in chemostat culture." *Arch. Microbiol.* 142 (4): 375–382. https:// doi.org/10.1007/BF00491907.
- Dabrock, B., H. Bahl, and G. Gottschalk. 1992. "Parameters affecting solvent production by *Clostridium pasteurianum*." *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 58 (4): 1233–1239. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.58.4.1233 -1239.1992.
- Das, D., and T. N. Veziroglu. 2008. "Advances in biological hydrogen production processes." *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 33 (21): 6046–6057. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.07.098.
- De Kok, S., J. Meijer, M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht, and R. Kleerebezem. 2013. "Impact of dissolved hydrogen partial pressure on mixed culture

fermentations." *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 97 (6): 2617–2625. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4400-x.

- de Kreuk, M. K., M. Pronk, and M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht. 2005. "Formation of aerobic granules and conversion processes in an aerobic granular sludge reactor at moderate and low temperatures." *Water Res.* 39 (18): 4476–4484. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.watres.2005.08 .031.
- de Kreuk, M. K., and M. C. M. van Loosdrecht. 2004. "Selection of slow growing organisms as a means for improving aerobic granular sludge stability." *Water Sci. Technol.* 49 (11–12): 9–17. https://doi.org/10.2166 /wst.2004.0792.
- de Vries, W., and A. H. Stouthamer. 1967. "Pathway of glucose fermentation in relation to the taxonomy of bifidobacteria." J. Bacteriol. 93 (2): 574–576. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.93.2.574-576.1967.
- Falsen, E., N. Weiss, E. Inganäs, M. D. Collins, M. Drancourt, A. L. McCartney, and L. Hoyles. 2015. "Bifidobacterium scardovii sp. nov., from human sources." Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 52 (3): 995–999.
- Gonzalez-Garcia, R., T. McCubbin, L. Navone, C. Stowers, L. Nielsen, and E. Marcellin. 2017. "Microbial propionic acid production." *Fermentation* 3 (2): 21. https://doi.org/10.3390/fermentation3020021.
- Grotenhuis, J. T. C., J. C. Kissel, C. M. Plugge, A. J. M. Stams, and A. J. B. Zehnder. 1991. "Role of substrate concentration in particle size distribution of methanogenic granular sludge in UASB reactors." *Water Res.* 25 (1): 21–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/0043-1354(91) 90094-7.
- Hallenbeck, P. C., and D. Ghosh. 2009. "Advances in fermentative biohydrogen production: The way forward?" *Trends Biotechnol.* 27 (5): 287–297. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tibtech.2009.02.004.
- Holtzapple, M. T., and C. B. Granda. 2009. "Carboxylate platform: The mixalco process part 1: Comparison of three biomass conversion platforms." *Appl. Biochem. Biotechnol.* 156 (1–3): 95–106. https://doi.org /10.1007/s12010-008-8466-y.
- Kleerebezem, R., B. Joosse, R. Rozendal, and M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht. 2015. "Anaerobic digestion without biogas?" *Rev. Environ. Sci. Biotechnol.* 14 (4): 787–801. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11157-015-9374-6.
- Lanjekar, V. B., N. P. Marathe, V. V. Ramana, Y. S. Shouche, and D. R. Ranade. 2014. "Megasphaera indica sp. nov., an obligate anaerobic bacteria isolated from human faeces." Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol. 64 (Pt 7): 2250–2256. https://doi.org/10.1099/ijs.0.059816-0.
- Lettinga, G., A. F. M. van Velsen, S. W. Hobma, W. de Zeeuw, and A. Klapwijk. 1980. "Use of the upflow sludge blanket (USB) reactor concept for biological wastewater treatment, especially for anaerobic treatment." *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 22 (4): 699–734. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit .260220402.
- Lin, P. Y., L. M. Whang, Y. R. Wu, W. J. Ren, C. J. Hsiao, S. L. Li, and J. S. Chang. 2007. "Biological hydrogen production of the genus *Clostridium*: Metabolic study and mathematical model simulation." *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 32 (12): 1728–1735. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .ijhydene.2006.12.009.

- Marang, L., Y. Jiang, M. C. M. van Loosdrecht, and R. Kleerebezem. 2013. "Butyrate as preferred substrate for polyhydroxybutyrate production." *Bioresour. Technol.* 142 (Aug): 232–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j .biortech.2013.05.031.
- Paikt, H. D., and B. A. Glatz. 1997. "Enhanced bacteriocin production by *Propionibacterium thoenii* in fed-batch fermentation." J. Food Prot. 60 (12): 1529–1533. https://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-60.12.1529.
- Pokusaeva, K., G. F. Fitzgerald, and D. Van Sinderen. 2011. "Carbohydrate metabolism in Bifidobacteria." *Genes Nutr.* 6 (3): 285–306. https://doi .org/10.1007/s12263-010-0206-6.
- Pronk, M., M. K. de Kreuk, B. de Bruin, P. Kamminga, R. Kleerebezem, and M. C. M. van Loosdrecht. 2015. "Full scale performance of the aerobic granular sludge process for sewage treatment." *Water Res.* 84 (Nov): 207–217.
- Rittmann, B. E., L. Crawford, and C. K. Tuck. 1986. "In situ determination of kinetic parameters for biofilms: Isolation and characterization of oligotrophic biofilms." *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 28 (11): 1753–1760.
- Rombouts, J. L., G. Mos, D. G. Weissbrodt, R. Kleerebezem, and M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht. 2019. "Diversity and metabolism of xylose and glucose fermenting microbial communities in sequencing batch or continuous culturing." *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 95 (2): 1–12. https://doi.org/10 .1093/femsec/fiy233.
- Tamis, J., B. M. Joosse, M. C. M. van Loosdrecht, and R. Kleerebezem. 2015. "High-rate volatile fatty acid (VFA) production by a granular sludge process at low pH." *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 112 (11): 2248–2255. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit.25640.
- Tamis, J., K. Lužkov, Y. Jiang, M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht, and R. Kleerebezem. 2014. "Enrichment of plasticicumulans acidivorans at pilot-scale for PHA production on industrial wastewater." *J. Biotechnol.* 192 (Dec): 161–169. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2014.10.022.
- Tamis, J., M. Mulders, H. Dijkman, R. Rozendal, M. C. M. van Loosdrecht, and R. Kleerebezem. 2018. "Pilot-scale polyhydroxyalkanoate production from paper mill wastewater: Process characteristics and identification of bottlenecks for full-scale implementation." *J. Environ. Eng.* 144 (10): 04018107. https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)EE.1943-7870 .0001444.
- Temudo, M. F., R. Kleerebezem, and M. van Loosdrecht. 2007. "Influence of the pH on (open) mixed culture fermentation of glucose: A chemostat study." *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* 98 (1): 69–79. https://doi.org/10.1002/bit .21412.
- Temudo, M. F., G. Muyzer, R. Kleerebezem, and M. C. M. Van Loosdrecht. 2008. "Diversity of microbial communities in open mixed culture fermentations: Impact of the pH and carbon source." *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 80 (6): 1121–1130. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-008 -1669-x.
- Ventura, M., S. Delgado, C. Milani, A. O'callaghan, and D. Van Sinderen. 2016. "Bifidobacteria and their role as members of the human gut microbiota." *Front. Microbiol.* 1 (Jun): 925.