

Delft University of Technology

#### The ART of Literature Studies and Reviews An attempt to create order in chaos

Saunders, Gillian

**Publication date** 2019 **Document Version** Final published version

#### Citation (APA)

Saunders, G. (2019). *The ART of Literature Studies and Reviews: An attempt to create order in chaos.* The art of literature studies and reviews Workshop at the 7th Annual Conference of the UK and Ireland Engineering Education Research Network, Warwick, United Kingdom.

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

**Copyright** Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

This work is downloaded from Delft University of Technology. For technical reasons the number of authors shown on this cover page is limited to a maximum of 10.

# The **ART** of Literature Studies & Reviews

An attempt to create order in chaos

Dr. ir. Gillian Saunders-Smits Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology G.N.Saunders@tudelft.nl







# Today's Programme

- **09.30** Introduction and creation of groups round table
- **09.40** Literature Study & Reviews: What are they? Why do they exist?
- **09.50** Introduction to Literature Strategies
- **10.10** Explanation of exercise?
- **10.20** In Groups: Suitable review type for chosen research questions
- **10.40** Feedback to plenary per group
- **10.55** Closing and Main Takeaways

**ŤU**Delft

### Why this workshop?

Literature Study Part of Research is often:



Cumbersome





Confusing



## What I won't be talking about...



# How and Where to Search for Literature... That is a workshop in itself



# Phase 1: Laying the foundations





[Image by Peggy und Marco Lachmann-Anke from Pixabay]

## Literature Study vs. Literature Review

**Engineering Style: Venn Diagram** 



## **Background of Study**

#### What does it do?

- Provides context for study
- Introduction to research problem
- Highlights why of the study

#### Where and how?

, UDelft

- Found in Introduction section
- Narrows down to topic
- Also non-scientific sources
- Is short and concise (1-2 p)



### Example phrasing:

It is widely reported (Diddly Squad, 2005) that PhD students are lonely. This shows that there is a real need for measures to combat loneliness



### Literature Review



#### What does it do?

- Critical analysis of existing literature
- Evaluates current state-of-the-art
- Identifies gaps in knowledge

#### Where and how?

- After introduction and research problem formulation
- Research question led
- Scientific literature only
- Lengthy and detailed (10 p or more)

### Example phrasing:

Sharon & Tracy et al. (2019) investigated the effect of XXX on YYY using research methods Alpha and Bravo. Their findings were that the answer is Charlie.



## **Theory / Methodology Justification**

#### What does it do?

- Explains where your chosen methods and theories come from
- Explains your research design& analysis choices
- Validation & Verification: Allows for traceability and reproducibility of your work

#### Where and how?

Delft

- In theory or methods section
- Scientific literature & textbooks
- References used as justification



### Example phrasing:

The questionnaire was field tested as recommended by Field and Hole (2003) ..



# Research Outcome Justification



Delft

#### What does it do?

- Adds meaning and context to your findings
- Validation & Verification of your results
- Highlights what is special about your outcome

#### Where and how?

- In discussion of results section
- Scientific literature and textbooks
- Generally, no new literature introduced but links back to earlier references used
- Can be lengthy, depending on findings

#### Example phrasing:

When computing Cronbach's alpha for our scale, using SPSS™, a value of 0.85 was found. According to Field (2009), this indicates that the scale is reliable.



# Phase 2: the building blocks





[Image by Peggy und Marco Lachmann-Anke from Pixabay]



# Literature Review Types

#### Based on:

A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methologies

By: Maria J. Grant and Andrew Booth

In: Health Information & Libraries Journal, July 2009.

DOI:10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x

Note: This is still a field of study in development

**ŤU**Delft



## 14 Lit. Review Types

# Approach Grant and Booth (see hand out)

- Using process of literary warrant to determine prevalent terminology
- All found review types were identified and mapped against **SALSA** Framework:
  - **SEARCH**
  - APPRAISAL
  - **SYNTHESIS**
  - ANALYSIS
- Characteristics described
- Perceived strength & weaknesses outlined
- Illustrated each by a relevant example from the field of health
  UDelft

# Phase 3: Making choices using the SALSA framework





# **SEARCH**

#### Is about the method used to search for literature:

- Non-Systematic, Comprehensive, Exhaustive (search criteria do not have to be reported) 1, 2, 6, 7
  - Historic Time Constraint (only looking at a certain timespan) 10
- Systematic (Search criteria defined) 4, 5, 11, 12, 14
  - Delivery Time Constraint (final product must be delivered within a timespan) 3, 8
  - Scoped (limited to certain areas/topics/time periods) 3, 9



# **APPRAISAL**

#### Decision on what to include

• No Formal Quality Assessment – 1, 2, 3, 6, 9, 10, 12, 13

(inclusion is up to the researcher, no formal criteria)

• Formal Quality Assessment – 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 14

(such as inclusion/exclusion criteria and/or sensitivity analyses, may be timelimited)



# **SYNTHESIS**

#### **Presenting of findings**

- Narrative (e.g. conceptual, chronological, qualitative) 1, 2, 6, 7, 10
- Tabular with narrative 5, 6, 8
  - Little narrative 9, 12
  - Tabular accompaniment 10, 11, 13
- Tabular and Graphical (e.g. mapping) with narrative 3, 4, 5, 14



# ANALYSIS

#### How is it analyzed?

- Chronological, conceptual, thematic 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
- Quantity & quality of literature/evidence 3, 8, 9
- Numerically 4, 5

#### To what purpose?

- Derive new model/theory or hypothesis 1, 7
- Identify need for primary and secondary research 3
- Identify previous accomplishments 2, 4, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
- Identify gaps, omissions and limitations 2, 3, 5, 8, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14
- Specify a viable review 9

# **ŤU**Delft



# Assignment:

In groups use the **SALSA** framework to design a literature review

- 1. Choose your research question
- 2. Select your **SALSA** methods
- 3. If time allows, check what type of review it is from hand out

You have 20 minutes





# **Report Back**

Which **SALSA** options did you choose & why?

Which of the review methods as listed by Booth & Grant (2009) would best fit?

*f***U**Delft

# Main Takeaways & Further Research





[Image by Peggy und Marco Lachmann-Anke from Pixabay]

Main Takeaways

What will you take home from this?

What would did you miss?



# Do these 14 types also exist in engineering & education research?

Or are there more or less?

Anyone interested in collaborating to create an overview as in Booth & Grant (2009) specifically for engineering education?





**ŤU**Delft