

#### Merijn de Leur

## <u>Content</u>

Problem Statement and Research Question Methodology Analysis Results Design Study - Design Brief - Context - Concept - Design Explanation - Simulation and Optimisation **Final Remarks** 

## Problem Statement

## Problem Statement

### Practical mobility problem



## Problem Statement

## Social problem



## Research question

# Can the current social and practical problems be solved using a bridge and how can this bridge be **designed** and **optimised**?



## Methodology

## Methodology

#### **Guidelines and Demands**



## Methodology Design Study



## Analysis Results

## Analysis Results Urban Plans

- Focus on sustainable means of transport
- Easily accessible
- Attractive public spaces with interesting quays
- Pedestrians have priority on quays

## Analysis Results Visual Impact

- Bridge contributes to attractive public space
- Sightlines on skyline from bridge and quays
- Autonomic volume in greater ensemble
- Connection to current city bridges

## Analysis Results

#### Site specific



- River is ~390m to ~420m wide
- The Lloydkwartier (zone 3) is not suitable for a bridge landing
- The southern zone is free divisible



- The northern bank is entirely tangent to a main traffic route for public transport, cars, cyclists and pedestrians
- On the southern bank, all major traffic comes from the southeast

# Analysis Results Over 12m height Site specific Under 12 m height

- Ships under 12m height take northern route
- Ships over 12m height take southern route



## Design Study

Design Brief

Context

Concept

Design Explanation

## Design Study

Design Brief

Context

Concept

Design Explanation

## Design Brief

- Clearance: Erasmusbrug and Hef Normative
- Use/Traffic: Sustainable means of transport
- Aesthetics: Low visual obstruction, Light-weight and elegant

## Design Brief Clearance



- The bridge crosses: 390m to 420m
- Static span clearance:
- 200m x 12m (Erasmusbrug normative)
- Movable part clearance: 50m x 42m (De Hef normative)





#### • Main Focus on sustainable means of transport:

- Tram
- Cyclists
- Pedestrians
- Flexibility:
  - Possibility of cars in the future



#### Low visual obstruction:





#### Elegant/Light-weight: Connection to city bridges



VS





## Design Study

Design Brief

Context

Concept

Design Explanation

## Context Zones



Context Options





Context Option 1



Context Option 2



## Context Choice





## Context Choice





## Design Study

Design Brief

Context

Concept

Design Explanation

## Concept

- Use: Flexibility
- Super Structure: Light weight, elegant, transparent
- Moveable part: Uniform with the design
- Optimisation





• Split deck to offer flexibility whilst maintaining comfort



## <u>Concept</u>

## Superstructure



• Light-weight, elegant, transparent





• Uniform design



## <u>Concept</u> Optimisation





• Topology

#### Fitness Function



## Design Study

Design Brief

Context

Concept

Design Explanation




## Design Explanation Deck









## Design Explanation Deck



• Bending moments in deck





• Cable placement avoids tail-wagging effect



## Design Explanation Deck



• Exploded view



# Design Explanation Pylon



# **Design Explanation** Pylon

• Flow of forces







• Cable layout



# Design Explanation Pylon



• Cable angle



• Cable layout





• Cable layout



#### • Backstay Cable layout



## Design Explanation Pylon



• Tilted pylon reduces bending moment



• Thinking process



Pylon



• Chamfering the pylon



## Movable part



## Movable part



• Counterweight drops between decks



#### Movable part



Equilibrium:  $z_{w1} * a_1 = z_{d1} * b_1$   $z_{w2} * a_2 = z_{d2} * b_2$ 

C.o.g. on one line with virtual pivot point, so that:

 $\frac{z_{w1} * a_1}{z_{w2} * a_2} = \frac{z_{d1} * b_1}{z_{d2} * b_2}$ 



 $d = (\alpha / 360) * 2 \pi r$ 







#### • Main wind direction

## Movable part



• Wind force on roll bascule



Movable part



• Bending moments in roll bascule





#### • Thinking process

# Design Explanation Movable part



## Movable part



• Opening mechanism





• Locking mechanism

## Movable part





## Building sequence



Building sequence



• Pillars

Building sequence



• Landings

Building sequence



• Movable deck and first part of pylon

#### Building sequence



• Rest of movable part and main span one-by-one

### Building sequence



• Main span construction system



# Simulation and Optimisation
#### Process





### Boundary checks







• Slope check

#### • Clearance check



Deck



• Variables and Finite Element Model

Deck



• Variable adjustments



• Analysis results



Deck



• Analysis results

Deck



Collection of high displacement and tensile stress



Collection of low displacement and tensile stress

• Desired behaviour vs. undesirable behaviour



Deck



• Peak stresses in deck partition

Deck



• Bending moments in deck partition

Deck



• Bending moment in connection deck-cross beam



• Cross beam optimisation



• Deformed pylon with utilisation (Blue=Compression, Red= Tension)



• Bending moment in pylon



• Adjustment of section





• Adjustment of section

Cables



• Dead load deformation



Cables



• Dead load deformation after tensioning cables

Cables





• Deformation before and after tensioning cables





Cables



• Normal forces in system (Blue=Compression, Red=Tension)

### Movable part



• Counterweight calculation

## Final remarks

### Final remarks Conclusion

#### Rotterdam

- A new connection can improve the development of the area
- The location is very suitable for complementing the mobility plan
- The social cohesion within the city would benefit greatly from a new connection

### Parametric design

- Implementing a parametric model in the design process improves comprehension of consequences
- Design changes can easily be made
- Future problems can be avoided



### Final remarks Recommendations

- Material research
- Topology optimisation
- Large span movable bridges

# Questions?

