



Delft University of Technology

## Correction: CO residence time modulates multi-carbon formation rates in a zero-gap Cu based CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer

Subramanian, S.S.; Kok, J.J.; Gholkar, P.V.; Sajeev Kumar, A.; Iglesias van Montfort, H.P.; Kortlever, R.; Urakawa, A.; Dam, B.; Burdyny, T.E.

**DOI**

[10.1039/D5EE90059J](https://doi.org/10.1039/D5EE90059J)

**Publication date**

2025

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

Energy & Environmental Science

**Citation (APA)**

Subramanian, S. S., Kok, J. J., Gholkar, P. V., Sajeev Kumar, A., Iglesias van Montfort, H. P., Kortlever, R., Urakawa, A., Dam, B., & Burdyny, T. E. (2025). Correction: CO residence time modulates multi-carbon formation rates in a zero-gap Cu based CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer. *Energy & Environmental Science*, 18(12), 6307-6308. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D5EE90059J>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#)



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5ee90059j

## Correction: CO residence time modulates multi-carbon formation rates in a zero-gap Cu based CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer

Siddhartha Subramanian,<sup>\*a</sup> Jesse Kok,<sup>a</sup> Pratik Gholkar,<sup>c</sup> Asvin Sajeev Kumar,<sup>b</sup> Hugo-Pieter Iglesias van Montfort,<sup>a</sup> Ruud Kortlever,<sup>b</sup> Atsushi Urakawa,<sup>c</sup> Bernard Dam<sup>a</sup> and Thomas Burdyny<sup>\*a</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/d5ee90059j

Correction for 'CO residence time modulates multi-carbon formation rates in a zero-gap Cu based CO<sub>2</sub> electrolyzer' by Siddhartha Subramanian *et al.*, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2024, **17**, 6728–6738, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D4EE02004A>.

In Fig. 4(e) on page 6733 of this article, the legends in the graph for faradaic efficiency of CO and C<sub>2+</sub> were misplaced. The original figure should be replaced with an updated one. Note that this correction does not have any impact on the main idea and conclusion of this article. The updated Fig. 4 is as follows.


The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.



<sup>a</sup> Materials for Energy Conversion and Storage (MECS), Department of Chemical Engineering, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, van der Maasweg 9, Delft 2629 HZ, The Netherlands. E-mail: siddhartha.subramanian92@gmail.com, T.E.Burdyny@tudelft.nl

<sup>b</sup> Large Scale Energy Storage, Process and Energy Department, Faculty of Mechanical, Maritime and Materials Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft 2628 CB, The Netherlands

<sup>c</sup> Catalysis Engineering, Department of Chemical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, Delft 2629 HZ, The Netherlands



**Fig. 4** (a) Non-electrochemical negative tracer RTD results for serpentine and parallel flow fields at tracer flow rates of 50 sccm showing longer residence times for parallel flow fields as a result of lower convective forces. (b) A qualitative comparison of the RTD results as a function of flow rate and flow field. (c) A qualitative assessment of the CO pooling inside the catalyst layer during CO<sub>2</sub> electrolysis as a function of flow rate and flow field. (d) Faradaic efficiency of products obtained from ECO<sub>2</sub>R in an MEA cell at 10 sccm and 200 mA cm<sup>-2</sup> for serpentine, parallel and interdigitated flow fields. (e) Comparable CO and C<sub>2+</sub> product selectivity for varied flow rates and flow fields. The inset (red line) shows the calculated CO<sub>2</sub> utilization efficiencies. Error bars represent the mean and standard deviation of triplicate experiments.