

**Delft University of Technology** 

# A high resolution interferometric method to measure local swelling due to CO<sub>2</sub> exposure in coal and shale

Pluymakers, A.; Liu, J.; Kohler, F.; Renard, F.; Dysthe, DK

DOI 10.1016/j.coal.2018.01.007

**Publication date** 2018 **Document Version** Accepted author manuscript

Published in International Journal of Coal Geology

**Citation (APA)** Pluymakers, A., Liu, J., Kohler, F., Renard, F., & Dysthe, DK. (2018). A high resolution interferometric method to measure local swelling due to CO\_exposure in coal and shale. *International Journal of Coal Geology*, *187*, 131-142. https://doi.org/10.10<sup>4</sup>6/j.coal.2018.01.007

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

**Copyright** Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

#### Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# 1 A high resolution interferometric method to measure local swelling due to

# 2 CO<sub>2</sub> exposure in coal and shale

3 Pluymakers, A.<sup>1) 2)</sup>, Liu, J.<sup>3,4)</sup>, Kohler, F.<sup>1)</sup>, Renard, F.<sup>1,5)</sup>, Dysthe, D.<sup>1)</sup>

1) PGP, University of Oslo, postboks 1048, 0316 Oslo, Norway; 2) Now at Department of Geoscience
and Engineering, Delft University of Technology, 2128 CN Delft, Netherlands; 3) Department of
Mechanical Engineering, Eindhoven University of Technology, 5600 MB, Eindhoven, Netherlands; 4)
Department of Earth Sciences, Utrecht University, 3584 CD, Utrecht, Netherlands; 5) University
Grenoble Alpes & CNRS, ISTerre, Grenoble, France

#### 9 Abstract

10 We present an experimental method to study time-dependent, CO<sub>2</sub>-induced, local topography 11 changes in mm-sized composite samples, plus results showing heterogeneous swelling of coal 12 and shale on the nano- to micrometer scale. These results were obtained using high resolution 13 interferometry measurements of sample topography, combined with a new type of 14 experimental microfluidic device. This device is a custom-built pressure vessel, which can 15 contain any impermeable sample type and can be placed under any microscope. The pressure 16 vessel itself has been tested to handle pressures up to 100 bar at room temperature conditions. For the experiments reported here we used three sample types: i) epoxy and dolomite, ii) coal, 17 18 epoxy and dolomite and iii) shale. These model systems (thicknesses between 2-10 mm) were 19 exposed to pressurized  $CO_2$  (20-35 bars) and subsequently deformation over time was 20 monitored with a white light interferometer. This provided a lateral spatial resolution of 979 21 nm and a vertical spatial resolution of 200 nm, i.e. sufficient resolution so that coal and shale 22 constituents can be tracked individually. Within 72 hours epoxy swells homogeneously up to 23 11  $\mu$ m, coal swells 4±1  $\mu$ m and dolomite is unreactive with the dry CO<sub>2</sub> injected here, and as 24 such is used as a reference surface. The differential swelling of coal can be correlated in space with the macerals, where macerals with an initial higher topography (interpreted to be related 25 26 to hardness) swell more. The average or bulk swelling exhibits an approximate  $t^{1/2}$  relation, indicative of diffusion-controlled adsorption of CO<sub>2</sub> on the organic matter. Measurements of 27 28 the differential swelling of both shale samples enabled tracking of individual patches of 29 organic matter within the shale (max.  $20 \times 20 \ \mu$ m). These patches exhibit finite swelling of on 30 average 250 nm in 4 hours (in the Pomeranian shale) and 850 µm in 20 hours (in the Green 31 River shale), where total swelling is assumed to be related to the volume of the patches of 32 organic matter.

# 33 Keywords

- 34 Enhanced coal bed methane (ECBM); shale gas; CO<sub>2</sub> storage; heterogeneous swelling;
- 35 surface deformation; time-dependent deformation

# 36 Highlights

- Versatile method to study local and bulk swelling with nanometer height resolution
- 38 The same surface can be imaged with a multitude of microscope techniques
- Coal swelling is heterogeneous depending on location. Swelling can vary up to 25%
- 40 Finite swelling of local organic matter in shale creates <1 μm high asperities

#### 41 **1. Introduction**

42 Gases such as CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> lead to swelling of coal (e.g. Day et al., 2008; Hol and Spiers, 43 2012; Karacan, 2007, 2003; Liu et al., 2015) and shale and clay (e.g. Busch et al., 2016, 2008; 44 de Jong et al., 2014). The heterogeneous deformation of these materials can have opposite 45 effects. It may either inhibit the reactive transport as swelling clogs transport pathways, or 46 enhance reactive transport in those cases where shrinkage creates new transport pathways or 47 when heterogeneous swelling leads to microfracturing. To date, experimental work 48 investigating the permeability and permeability change of a porous medium relied on batch 49 reaction or flow-through set-ups (see Rohmer et al., 2016 for a review of different 50 experimental techniques). Reaction experiments are usually performed in closed pressure 51 vessels, with observation before and after the experiment, i.e. static measurements (for 52 example, Liu et al., 2012). Traditional flow-through experiments capture some of the 53 dynamics of reactive transport, usually by monitoring pressure and chemistry at the in- and 54 the outlet (for example, Bachaud et al., 2011; Edlmann et al., 2013; Elkhoury et al., 2013; 55 Ellis et al., 2011; Olabode and Radonjic, 2014). However, this type of measurements does not 56 provide information where liquid goes, nor on how it interacts with the solid. Over the years 57 different instruments have been used to monitor in-situ fluid-rock interaction using 58 pressurized fluids, such as an eddy current sensor (Hol and Spiers, 2012), in-situ X-ray 59 diffraction (Giesting et al., 2012), regular digital cameras (Day et al., 2008; Perrier et al., 60 2017), optical microscopy (van Bergen et al., 2009), secondary electron microscopy (Wang et 61 al., 2017), X-ray micro-tomography (Karacan, 2007, 2003; Kobchenko et al., 2011; Nguyen et al., 2013) and microfluidic devices (Neuville et al., 2016; Porter et al., 2015). All of these 62 63 experimental methods have their own advantages and disadvantages in terms of sample size, 64 resolution, and pressure/temperature/fluid conditions that can be obtained.

65 To image and quantify surface topography changes with submicrometer resolution, we 66 developed a new versatile micro-sized pressure chamber that is suitable for placement under a 67 microscope. The design of our device is such that any type of low permeability reactive 68 material can be inserted and different types of reactive liquids or gases can be used. 69 Moreover, the optical accessibility of the sample allows for observation using various 70 microscopy techniques, including white light interferometry. The latter technique is presented 71 here and it provides nanometer resolution topography measurements of rough surfaces (up to 72 tens of micrometers) by comparing the wavelength, and hence travel path, of a split light 73 beam. Additionally, we developed the accompanying data processing routine necessary to register and correct an image time series, which is affected by small lateral drifts of the system. The data processing technique also takes the pixels with incomplete height reconstruction into account. These in-situ white light interferometer measurements allow tracking of the differential surface deformation of various materials in time and space.

78 This new method can be a useful tool for future studies of local reactions that induce surface 79 changes in solid materials under high pressures. It may have impact on diverse industry-80 related problems, such as corrosion, mineral reactions and coal mining with economic and 81 social implications, especially combined with complementary techniques such as sorption 82 experiments (e.g. Lutyński et al., 2017) or small angle neutron or X-ray scattering 83 (SANS/SAXS) measurements (e.g. Radlinski et al., 2004). Specifically, industry practices 84 such as  $CO_2$  sequestration and/or enhanced hydrocarbon recovery inject high pressure  $CO_2$ , 85 captured at power plants, into the subsurface for geological storage and/or enhanced 86 hydrocarbon recovery. Aimed at improving our understanding of reactivity and dynamic flow 87 properties as a result of CO<sub>2</sub>-induced swelling in coal and shale gas reservoirs, we report here 88 the results of three types of experiments on materials that react with gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> at room 89 temperature conditions: i) samples with epoxy and dolomite, ii) samples with coal, epoxy and 90 dolomite and iii) samples with shale. These samples were exposed to pressurized CO<sub>2</sub> (20-35 91 bars) and subsequently deformation over time was monitored using white light interferometry.

92 In enhanced coal bed methane,  $CO_2$  is used to enhance methane production (e.g. Moore, 93 2012). In this technique, the coal bed permeability (as determined by the permeability of 94 cleats plus that of the bulk material) is the most important factor determining the ease and 95 efficiency of recovery. Bulk measurements of sorption of methane (e.g. Liu et al., 2017) and 96 ethane (Staib et al., 2014) versus bulk measurements of CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption (e.g. Hol and Spiers, 97 2012) show that if these processes occur in sequence, the competitive sorption will likely lead 98 to shrinkage followed by swelling (already proposed by Brochard et al., 2012). The difference 99 in acquired strain will determine the eventual permeability. Lin et al. (2017) showed that the 100 bulk strain resulting from sorption in a cm-sized core sample is for CO<sub>2</sub> twice that for CH<sub>4</sub>. 101 Moreover, bulk measurements have shown that the final swelling rate of different coal types 102 are correlated to maceral content, where vitrinite-rich coals exhibit slower bulk swelling rates 103 (Staib et al., 2014). Furthermore, exposure to  $CO_2$  has been shown to lead to microfracturing, 104 postulated to be related to the differential swelling of different macerals (Hol et al., 2012). 105 Therefore, a method that can successfully capture the dynamic behavior of local macerals 106 plays an important role in better quantifying permeability evolution during enhanced coal bed

107 methane recovery in coal seams. Measuring swelling through visualization of a coal surface 108 captures such local deformation. However, the existing methods have disadvantages, such as 109 low spatial and vertical resolution (10  $\mu$ m lateral resolution; Day et al., 2008), or the lack of a 100 possibility to image the exact same surface with other microstructural techniques (250  $\mu$ m 111 voxelsize; Karacan, 2007, 2003). Our technique has an unprecedented submicrometer lateral 112 resolution and vertical nanometer resolution, and the same surface can be imaged as well with 113 other optical microscopy techniques, and electron microscopy.

114 Shale is a strongly heterogeneous rock, with different components that can interact with CO<sub>2</sub> 115 with opposite results in terms of permeability. Individual clay minerals are capable of 116 incorporating CO<sub>2</sub> and thus exhibit CO<sub>2</sub>-induced swelling (Busch et al., 2016; de Jong et al., 117 2014), but it has also been hypothesized that shrinkage occurs if  $CO_2$  leads to dehydration of 118 the mineral structure. Both dehydration and swelling may lead to intercrystalline stresses and 119 hence microfractures (Busch et al., 2016; Ougier-Simonin et al., 2016). Moreover, shales 120 often contain organic matter. Given that coal, i.e. organic matter, exhibits strong swelling 121 upon CO<sub>2</sub> exposure (Hol and Spiers, 2012), CO<sub>2</sub> sorption to organic matter in shale may lead 122 to local swelling as well (cf. Busch et al., 2016). Because of the preferential sorption of CO<sub>2</sub> 123 to organic matter over methane, it has also been to proposed to use  $CO_2$  for enhanced gas 124 recovery in shale gas plays (Middleton et al., 2015). The competition between swelling, 125 fracturing and permanent  $CO_2$  storage determines the final suitability of a  $CO_2$  storage site 126 versus the use of CO<sub>2</sub> as a fracturing fluid. Note that the heterogeneous swelling behavior of 127 shale has been demonstrated using electron microscopy and exposure to different humidity 128 levels (Wang et al., 2017), but high resolution measurements using CO<sub>2</sub> are lacking.



Fig. 1. a) microfluidic sample assembly; b) pressure system, not to scale; c) top view of dolomite (D)/epoxy (E)/coal (C) sample (used for dolcoal1, 2 and 3), pen for scale; d) side view of c); e) assembled pressure device; f) assembly underneath the white light interferometer; g) assembly plus pressure vessel under the white light interferometer.

# 134 **2. Materials and Method**

We measure how sample topography changes over time using a white light interferometer with a new micro-pressure chamber designed and built at the University of Oslo. The preparation of the sample, device assembly, procedure and determination of the vertical resolution of the time series are described below.

#### 139 2.1 Sample preparation

140 The sample of choice is embedded into a 2-component Epofix epoxy. Using epoxy enables 141 attaching high pressure tubing to any type of sample. To construct the samples, we first 142 prepare a cylindrical epoxy base of 25 mm diameter and 5-10 mm height that contains the 143 mm-sized rock sample. Since the window size has a 5 mm diameter, if rock samples are 144 smaller than  $5 \times 5$  mm, there will be some reactive epoxy in the CO<sub>2</sub>-exposed area. For such 145 rock + epoxy samples, repeated use with  $CO_2$  may lead to rock fracture due to epoxy 146 swelling. After curing, the epoxy base with rock sample is polished until mirror-smooth, 147 going step-wise up to 4000 grit silicon carbide sandpaper, and finished with a polishing cloth

148 and diamond suspension (1 µm particles). Subsequently we drill the holes for the tubing into 149 the epoxy assembly in two phases: a small diameter (0.6 mm) hole on the sample side, and a 150 larger hole on the other side (1.5 mm; see Figs. 1c; 1d). Before further construction, this base 151 can be imaged with regular optical microscopy and/or electron microscopy (with or without 152 element determination with Energy-Dispersive X-ray Spectroscopy) if needed. After imaging, 153 a high pressure steel tube is roughened with 40 grit sandpaper, and glued in the 1.5 mm hole 154 using brush-on Loctite superglue. The pressure tubing is then fixed with a second epoxy layer, 155 giving a total sample height of 15-25 mm. An example sample containing dolomite and coal 156 in epoxy is shown in Fig. 1c.

#### 157 2.2 Pressure vessel construction

158 The sample is inserted in the brass pressure vessel (Figs. 1a; 1d), with a stainless steel lid with 159 six stainless steel sunken screws and a center hole with  $60^{\circ}$  edges for microscope access. 160 Below the sample is a soft, donut-shaped, silicone rubber plug (custom-made using Ecoflex 161 00-20, manufactured by Smooth-on), to ensure the sample straightens as the lid is screwed on. 162 On top of the sample rests a 2.45 mm thick donut-shaped brass spacer, encircling a 0.7 mm 163 high Teflon back-up ring and a  $5 \times 1$  mm Viton O-ring. The glass lid is microscope-quality 164 uncoated H-K9L glass, with a diameter of 15 mm, and a thickness of 1.75 mm. It fits the brass 165 spacer and rests on top of the O-ring which is supported by the Teflon back-up ring. The 166 Teflon back-up ring ensures there will be a micro-pressure chamber between the sample 167 surface and the glass once the O-ring is activated, which is approximately 700 µm high and 5 168 mm in diameter. Nitrile sleeves ensure that the spacer/glass/O-ring assembly remains centered 169 on the sample.

170 The pressure tubing is connected to a pressure system (Fig. 1b; 1f), constructed out of 1/8' 171 tubing, a cm-sized pressure chamber and a WIKA PT-30 pressure transducer. The entire 172 assembly is pressure-tested up to 100 bar using N<sub>2</sub> gas. Since the pressure system is much 173 bigger than the micro-pressure chamber, pressure equilibration between the micro-pressure 174 chamber and the pressure vessel will lead to a stable pressure close to the initial pressure of 175 the pressure vessel. These experiments can thus be performed without a pump. At the used 176 pressures the pressure drop when opening the tap between micro-pressure chamber and 177 pressure vessel is at maximum 1 bar. A potential and simple upgrade of the assembly would 178 be the addition of a pump to ensure a more stable pressure, avoiding the small pressure 179 differences present in our experiments, which were due to minor leakages and room 180 temperature changes.

#### 181 **2.3** Experimental procedure

182 Sample topography (i.e. pixel height z as a function of position x, y) was measured directly 183 through a glass window by use of a white light interferometer (Wyko NT1100; Fig. 2e; 2f)) 184 equipped with a Through Transmissive Media module (Fig. 2e) plus Veeco software. The 185 interferometer is placed on a damped table. Vertical nanometer resolution is achieved for 186 single measurements. In the cases presented here, scanning was performed in vertical 187 scanning mode, using a 20× Veeco objective and a field of view lens of 0.5. The manufacturer 188 specifies that this configuration allows for sampling distances (i.e. pixel size in the height 189 images) of 979 nm, where maximum field of view is  $626 \times 426 \mu m$ . Total scan length in 190 vertical scanning mode was up to 50 µm. The minimum time-lapse measurement interval for 191 this Wyko NT1100 interferometer is ~3 minutes. In the experiments presented here the exact 192 time intervals varied from experiment to experiment. They typically ranged from 3 to 5 193 minutes in the first hour of the experiment, to 10, 15 or 30 minutes during the remainder of 194 the experiment. Pressure was logged using the native pressure transducer WIKA software 195 with a 30 or 60 second interval.

196 Each experiment is preceded by calibration of the vertical scanning imaging mode of the 197 interferometer using a mirror with a 10 µm height step. The pressure reservoir is filled with 198 bottle pressure  $CO_2$  gas, and the desired pressure is obtained by stepwise escape of  $CO_2$ . The 199 brass base plus sample are fixed to the white light interferometer stage. A reference glass (10 200 mm diameter, 1.75 mm thickness) is placed in the Through Transmissive Media module, and 201 a duplicate zero measurement is taken whilst the sample is at ambient conditions. The 202 experiment starts by opening the tap between sample and reservoir, pressurizing the micro-203 pressure chamber between sample and glass.

Following adjustment of focus and stage tilt to achieve optimal imaging conditions, the timelapse imaging sequence is set. Due to drift during long duration experiments (12+ hours), occasionally tilt and/or focus need to be slightly readjusted. Experiments are halted by stopping time-lapse imaging and recording a dual measurement, before pressure is released and pressure logging halted. If desired, it is possible to also record the desorption process and associated shrinkage of the sample.

#### 210 2.4 Data processing

The raw topography data obtained from the white light interferometer is affected by missing height information, outliers and vertical and horizontal drift of the sample. To determine 213 spatially resolved swelling and shrinkage behavior of the material we developed a data 214 processing procedure, written in Matlab and schematically represented in Fig. 2. The results 215 of the intermediate steps are shown in Video V1 for experiment 3 dolcoal3. Some of the 216 materials observed in this experiment have micrometer topography on the observed interface, 217 such as fractures or pores, as well as a small percentage of dispersed grains with relatively 218 high reflectance. Moreover, the white light interferometer is limited to a certain range of 219 height variations and reflectance for one focal position and one acquisition moment of the 220 camera at the time. Together, this means that the resulting data sets contains pixels at which 221 the height information could not be obtained. As a first step, we dismissed the datasets with 222 more than 15% pixels without height data. The image registration is done in an interactive 223 semi-automated way. First, the datasets are brought to the same plane using the average of a 224 reference area, which is either an inert area (dolomite in our test cases), or the full sample 225 window, i.e. for single material samples (used for the shale samples). In the former case, zero 226 swelling means no reaction at all, i.e. as inert as dolomite is to dry CO<sub>2</sub> exposure. However, in 227 the latter case, only local variations in relative swelling or shrinkage can be distinguished, so 228 no uniform trend of the entire sample area coming up or down can be measured. In this case, 229 zero swelling means just as much reaction as the average surface area. Moreover, if the 230 surface overall swells, the areas that swell less than the average will appear to shrink instead. 231 Apparent shrinkage in such experiments can thus also mean less swelling than the average. 232 After all data is on the same plane, outliers of more than 3x the standard deviation of the 233 comparison of the topography with the median-filtered topography are removed. After 234 removal of tilts using fits to an averaged cross section in x and y directions, a fine tuning of 235 the vertical axis is performed using the same reference area as used to bring all measurements 236 to the same plane. Subsequently, an estimate of the missing and removed pixel values is 237 gained by interpolation. The lateral data registration is done by cross correlation with a 238 reference dataset taken, e.g., at the beginning of the measurement. Note that with the chosen 239 cross correlation function, cross correlation would be impossible if there was significant 240 lateral motion of the samples throughout the experiment. Finally, time-resolved variations in 241 the surface topography are obtained by subtraction of datasets at successive time steps. Given 242 the fine grain size of clays, for heterogeneous shale plotting the standard deviation of each 243 pixel through time allows determining areas with large relative movement as the areas of 244 interest. Subsequent use of a low-pass filter (with a filter kernel similar to either the grain size 245 of the larger clasts or of individual clay clusters) helps reducing noise and helps to distinguish 246 trends.

Selectio	n of useful datasets:
•	Filtering out incomplete datasets
	(more than 15% pixels without height information)
Correcti	on of drifts of the measurement system by 3D dataset registration:
•	Adjustment of average height (coarse, using reference area if available) - see image 2 in Video S1
•	Removing of outliers – see image 3 in Video S1
•	Tilt adjustment – see image 4 in Video S1
•	Adjustment of height (fine, using reference area if available)
•	Interpolation of missing and removed (outliers) pixels – see image 5 in Video S1
•	Lateral registration by cross correlation – see image 6 in Video S1
Detectio	n of local surface variations (deformation, swelling)
	Difference to reference data
٠	Removing of noise by spatial filtering considering the feature / grain size of the sample

247

Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the different steps of the data processing procedure. See
Video V1 for an example (dolcoal 3; the processed data are shown in Fig. 6) how the data
evolves with each processing step.

## 251 **2.4.1 Vertical resolution of a time-series**

252 Single measurements with this white light interferometer can reach nanometer height 253 resolution. However, given this nanometer resolution, the measurement of multiple datasets 254 over time means that small changes in the mechanical parts actually can lead to small drifts, 255 for example caused by variations in temperature and air currents in the room. The changes in 256 room temperature also cause small pressure changes in the CO<sub>2</sub> reservoir, leading to 257 additional movement due to the compressibility of the system. Apart from these reasons for 258 physical drift, there is also apparent drift due to the presence of unexposed areas. To 259 determine the combined effect of these factors, we performed a 24 hour measurement of an 260 inert reference mirror with a 10 µm height step at ambient conditions with time-lapse 261 imaging, as well as imaging over a dolomite/epoxy interface at ambient conditions. The part 262 of the mirror surface without steps is measured within nanometer resolution also over time 263 (Fig. 3a). Note that without topography, there is no possibility to account for any lateral drift, 264 since this will simply not be registered. Both the averages of the entire mirror (including the 265 10  $\mu$ m step) and the dolomite/epoxy interface show a variation of  $\pm 100$  nm over 24 hours 266 (Fig. 3b and 3c), due to lateral drift and the noise associated with topography. This means that 267 the measurement and data-processing procedures as presented here can pick up lateral 268 differences in swelling that exceed 200 nm. More stable systems and sophisticated analysis 269 methods (for example, cross-correlation which would allow for subpixel shifts) can further 270 increase the vertical resolution of this approach. The relatively large field of view  $(626 \times 426)$ 

µm) combined with the high vertical resolution provides a huge advantage in comparison to the current techniques which can measure dynamic surface processes. It combines the vertical resolution of SEM measurements of local swelling in mudrocks (Wang et al., 2017) and the large lateral extent of measurements of camera observations of coal swelling (Day et al., 2008).



276

Fig. 3. White light interferometer reference measurements of three different surfaces under ambient conditions. 'Swelling' versus time on the right and a map view of the starting topography on the left. 'Swelling' is in this case the average topography of the total sample at time  $t(Z_t)$  minus the average topography at the starting time ( $Z_0$ ) versus time, where an increase in height is defined positive (hence swelling); a) a smooth reference mirror (no steps); b) including one 10 µm step and c) of a dolomite/epoxy interface. The oscillations in b) are related to day-night variations in room temperature.

#### 284 2.5 Sample-specific methods

The experiments presented here are listed in Table 1. We used dolomite without visible porosity as an inert material for epoxy-swelling tests, i.e. the dolomite was completely surrounded by epoxy. Given that epoxy exhibits strong swelling upon  $CO_2$  exposure and that the geometry of these experiments was simple, they enabled us to develop the data processing routines, plus quantification of the swelling of epoxy. In the other demonstrations tests we used coal and shale as reactive geological materials. The following sample combinations were tested:

i) Dolomite / epoxy: not-swelling / large swelling. The dolomite is a pure natural dolomite,
obtained from the undeformed wall rock from the Foiana fault zone, Italy. See Fondriest et
al. (2015) for a description.

ii) Dolomite / coal / epoxy: not-swelling / intermediate, differential swelling / large
swelling. The coal sample used in this study was collected from the Brzeszcze mine 364,
Poland. It has a vitrinite reflectance of 0.77±0.05%, and is composed of vitrinite (60.1%),
liptinite (9.8%) and inertinite (30.1%); ash content is 5.2% (more details in Hol et al.,
2011). The coal sample was cut (details in Liu et al., 2016) and subsequently inserted such
that the images were taken of the bedding plane; any swelling would hence be
perpendicular to bedding.

302 iii) Shale only: heterogeneous behavior. We used two fine-grained, dark grey shale types,
303 both clay-rich and with < 10 % organic matter:</li>

- O Pomeranian shale, fragile core-material (4 km depth) from Poland; see Pluymakers et al. (2017) for a description. This is clay-rich material (50-70% clay + mica, no swelling clays), with up to 10% organic matter. Since this is dehydrated core material, in-situ porosity is impossible to estimate. The images are taken of the bedding plane; any swelling would hence be perpendicular to bedding.
- Green River shale, strong outcrop material from Utah, see Kobchenko et al. (2011)
   for a description. In terms of composition this is roughly similar to the Pomeranian
   shale. Porosity is 5% or less. The images are taken perpendicular to the bedding
   plane.

In the experiments presented here, we used  $CO_2$  gas at maximum 35 bar, since for higher pressures the refractive index changes substantially with pressure (Michels and Hamers, 1937). This means that to perform experiments at  $CO_2$  pressures above 35 bar the use of a 316 pump in the assembly is strongly recommended. Note that the procedure described here 317 would work using any medium (gas or liquid). Due to the non-reversible nature of the epoxy 318 swelling tests we used new samples in both tests focusing on epoxy (dol2 and dol5). Since 319 coal swelling upon  $CO_2$  adsorption is nearly reversible after first exposure (Day et al., 2008; 320 Hol et al., 2012), the coal swelling tests are performed with the same sample (in which the 321 coal is 3.6 mm thick), even though the epoxy is permanently deformed after the first run. 322 After each coal swelling experiment this sample is left in a vacuum chamber overnight. 323 Otherwise, in between runs the samples are kept at ambient conditions. To minimize the 324 leakage risk, all sample assemblies are pre-pressure tested with N<sub>2</sub> gas and subsequently the pressure is dropped down for 10-15 minutes using a Busch Zebra vacuum pump (model RH 325 0006 - 0030 A; pressure of  $2.0 \times 10^{-6}$  bar). 326

327 Table 1. Experimental conditions. All experiments are performed in a room with a controlled 328 temperature of  $20 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C.

Experiment	Sample	Sample type	Pressure1	duration	Pressure2	duration
name			(bars)	(hours)	(bars)	(hours)
Epoxy swelling						
dol2	Dol2	dolomite / epoxy	$34 \pm 1$	41		
dol5*	Dol5	dolomite / epoxy	$28\pm2$	1	$25 \pm 1.2$	17 h
Coal swelling						
DOLCOAL1*		Dolomite / epoxy / coalcube	27±1	2	20± 1	15
DOLCOAL2	Dolcoal1		$25.3 \pm 1.2$	22		
DOLCOAL3			33.3±1	66		
Shale swelling						
CO2_11	POM_12	Pomerianian shale	31±1	41		
CO2_12	GRS_2	Green River Shale	32±1	44		

329 \*These experiments experienced a pressure drop within a few hours after the experiment

330 started. The pressure steps and their duration are indicated.

## **331 3. Results on local swelling**

#### 332 **3.1** Swelling of epoxy with a dolomite reference: reference experiments

To test how measurable swelling is for a simple geometry and to develop the data-processing protocols, we performed epoxy swelling tests with dolomite as an inert sample (and thus providing a reference surface). Before  $CO_2$  exposure, the difference in height between dolomite and epoxy is 3 to 3.5 µm (Fig. 4). Exposure to  $CO_2$  leads to time-dependent nonuniform swelling of the epoxy (Video V2 on dol2). There is less swelling near the boundary between dolomite and epoxy because of a no slip boundary condition at this location. At the end of the experiment the discrete step between the dolomite and epoxy is gradual over 400 – 500 μm (Fig. 4). For dol2 the maximum average epoxy swelling is ~9 μm, and for dol5 this is ~6 μ (Fig. 5). The best fit to the average swelling curve is swelling ∝ t<sup>0.63</sup> for dol2 and swelling ∝ t<sup>0.42</sup> for dol5, where *t* is the time from CO<sub>2</sub> gas injection.

The time power law exponent close to 0.5 suggests the rate is controlled by Fickian diffusion of  $CO_2$  into epoxy. For dol5 we performed measurements up to 24 hours after dropping the  $CO_2$  pressure to atmospheric (not shown), which only showed about 2 µm recovery of the total swelling in this experiment, i.e. most of the deformation is permanent, fitting with previous polymer research (Busch and Gensterblum, 2011; Day et al., 2008; Wind et al., 2003).



350 Fig. 4. Epoxy swelling. See also Video V2, which shows how the surface dol2 swells through

- 351 *time; i.e. the equivalent of Fig. 4b. a) initial map of dolomite and epoxy for sample dol2; b)*
- 352 final differential map of dol2 showing  $\delta z$  (swelling, i.e. the change in surface topography),
- 353 after ~40 hours of exposure; c) initial map of dolomite and epoxy for sample dol5; d) final
- 354 differential map of dol5 showing  $\delta z$  (swelling), after ~16 hours of exposure. Squares indicate
- 355 areas from which averages are taken that are shown in Fig. 5.



356

Fig. 5. Average swelling δz (μm) versus time for dol2 and dol5; epoxy in black and dolomite
for reference in red. For dol5 there is a 3 bar pressure drop after the first hour (see Table 1),
which changed the slope of the swelling curve. The power law fit of the epoxy swelling is
shown in black, with the corresponding time exponents.

#### 361 3.2 Swelling of dolomite / coal / epoxy

362 We performed swelling experiments on Brzeszcze 364 coal samples to test the homogeneity 363 of CO<sub>2</sub>-induced swelling of this coal and how the local swelling is distributed in time and 364 space. This will help constrain the representability of bulk swelling measurements such as 365 those by Hol and Spiers (2012). The test assembly used here is a sample composed of 366 dolomite (as a reference), epoxy (glue) and a mm-sized cube of Brzeszcze 364 coal (the 367 example sample shown in Fig. 1; see also Fig. 6). In the studied area, the intermediate epoxy 368 is about 300 µm wide. In reflected light, the bedding plane of the coal contains irregularly 369 shaped structures, interpreted to be different macerals and minerals (Fig. 6a). This structure 370 creates an initial topography of about 1 µm (Fig. 6b-c). At the end of experiment dolcoal1, the 371 epoxy bulges out with a maximum height of 5-6 µm with respect to the dolomite and the coal 372 (Fig. 6b).

Exposure to  $CO_2$  leads to swelling of the epoxy and the coal (Fig. 6, Video V3 with the results of dolcoal3). The epoxy deformation is comparable to the results of dol2 and dol5. Depending on the experiment, the coal swells between 1.5 and 4.5 µm (always perpendicular to the bedding; see Fig. 7), and this swelling is fully reversible. Using the thickness of the inserted coal cube this corresponds to 0.041-0.125% swelling strain. The total swelling depends on pressure and experiment duration, and swelling exhibits a power law time-dependence with 379 exponents between 0.4 and 0.7, see Fig. 7. Studying the results of dolcoal3 in detail (Fig. 6), 380 there is micrometer variability in how much coal swells. This correlates to the initial 381 topography (as can be seen from comparison between Fig. 6c and Fig. 6e, and in Figure 6f), 382 and to the coal surface structure as visible in reflected light microscopy (Fig. 6a). This clearly 383 demonstrates the local heterogeneous swelling properties of mm-sized coal samples, to our 384 knowledge for the first time measured at sub-micrometer lateral resolution. The height 385 distributions (Fig. 7b) show an initial shrinkage of the coal after CO<sub>2</sub> introduction, related to 386 the thermo-elastic effects upon the pressure and temperature change. Taking the evolution of 387 standard deviation of the height with time as a proxy for the coal roughness, there is a slow 388 drop in the first 10 hours (i.e. the surface becomes smoother), after which it recovers (inset in 389 Fig. 7b).



390

- 392 time; i.e. the equivalent of Figs. 6d-f. All three experiments are performed on the same
- 393 sample. a) reflected light image taken with an optical microscope. There is an irregular
- 394 pattern in the coal sample of light and dark spots, interpreted to be related to the maceral and
- 395 *mineral content. The bright spots could be the inorganic material (ash content 5.2%). b);c)*
- initial map of dolomite and epoxy for experiment dolcoal3, with different vertical scales to
- 397 highlight the initial epoxy (b) and coal (c) topography. Coal topography is related to the

<sup>391</sup> Fig. 6. Coal swelling. See also Video V3, which shows the swelling of epoxy and coal through

- 398 *morphology visible in a) (interpreted to be the maceral and mineral distribution); d);e)*
- 399 differential map of dolcoal3 showing  $\delta z$  (swelling), after ~66 hours of exposure. Color scale
- 400 for  $\delta z$  in e) is adapted to show the heterogeneous swelling of individual coal macerals.
- 401 Squares on d) indicate areas from which averages are taken that are shown in Fig. 7. f)
- 402 Initially high macerals exhibit slightly more swelling, shown by the change in angle of the
- 403 *'tail' compared with the bulk of the data. Contourplots are added to guide the eye.*



405 Fig. 7. Average swelling (µm) versus time (hours) for experiments dolcoal1, dolcoal2 and 406 dolcoal3. These experiments were performed at different pressures, see Table 1. For dolcoal1 407 there was a 7 bar pressure drop after the first 2 hours (see Table 1), which changed the slope 408 of the swelling curve. The curve fit of the epoxy swelling is shown in black (time exponents 409 are indicated in the plot). The stars indicate the timing of the datasets for which the 410 histograms are shown in b); b) height distributions at different times. Note how the 411 introduction of  $CO_2$  causes an apparent initial shrinking of the coal. The inset shows how the 412 standard deviation (std) of the height distributions decreases in the first 10 hours which 413 indicates an initial smoothening of the surface after  $CO_2$  introduction.

#### 414 3.3 Swelling in shales

415 We also used our set-up to measure  $CO_2$ -induced deformation in the Pomeranian shale and in 416 the Green River shale (Table 1). In the interpretation of these multiple day exposure 417 experiments it should be taken into account that we are looking at surface effects of 3-D 418 samples, which are semi-confined as a result of the epoxy sample construction. Swelling is 419 hence only possible in the vertical direction. Before the experiments we scanned these 420 samples with SEM and EDS analysis was performed. For the experiments on Pomeranian 421 shale and on Green River Shale we have, respectively, a high (Fig. 8a) and a low resolution 422 (Fig. 9a) backscattered electron image of roughly the same area that is imaged with the white 423 light interferometer. These images are taken with a Hitachi TM3000 (table-top) electron 424 microscope with EDS capability, using a 15 kV acceleration voltage. This allowed 425 identification of an area rich in organic matter, indicated with circles throughout Figs. 8 and 426 10. Even though the samples were polished, the final roughness still contained micron-sized 427 differences between a few recognizable minerals, enabling correlation between the images 428 obtained with secondary electrons (composition, Figs. 8a, 10a) and the white light 429 interferometer (topography, Figs. 8b, 10b). Note that following the CO<sub>2</sub>-sorption results on shales with different OM and clay content of Lutyński et al. (2017), we can expect more 430 431 swelling of the organic matter than of the clay matrix.

432 To first focus on the Pomeranian shale, the total deformation is very small, and not significant 433 in a simple histogram of the topography at different times (Fig. 8c). Therefore, to first identify 434 if there are small-scale local areas with movement, the standard deviation over time for each 435 pixel is plotted in Fig. 8d. There are some mineral shaped areas with high standard deviation, 436 which means these either move up or down. Since each measurement is averaged over the 437 entire sample surface (Fig. 2), if the majority of the surface swells, then those areas that swell 438 less will appear as if they are moving down. Full sample-scale differential map-views of 439 deformation are noisy, due to the fine-grained nature of shale versus the pixel size of 979 nm, 440 i.e. one pixel usually covers multiple particles. Therefore, we focus on the area with organic 441 matter only (which also exhibits a high standard deviation), see Fig. 8e-g. A close-up of this 442 area (Fig. 8e) indicates that within this patch of organic matter + clay + pyrite there is one 20 443  $\times$  20 µm area that exhibits more swelling than the surrounding surface. The average swelling 444 of this area only (Fig. 8f) is compared with the average swelling of the area of Fig. 8e 445 (excluding the data of Fig. 8f) is shown in Fig. 8g. Within the first five hours this area swells 446 250-300 nm, i.e. just above the measurement resolution of a rough surface. After 5 hours 447 swelling stops and the height reaches a steady state value. Note also how the height of the 448 surrounding area exhibits very little change. To clarify the trend, we fitted a moving median

for which the time-window is determined through logarithmic binning (Fig. 8g). Fitting apower-law only to the moving median over the first 4 hours gives a time exponent of 0.37.



452 Fig. 8. Deformation of sample CO2\_11, Pomeranian shale. The circles indicates the location 453 of a patch of organic matter (black), interspersed with pyrite (bright), an area which is 454 investigated in greater detail in Fig. 8e-g); a) backscattered electron image taken before 455 completing sample assembly. The dotted rectangle indicates the approximate location of 456 topography measurements shown in b) where the initial topography is measured with the 457 white light interferometer; c) map with the standard deviation of each pixel through time. 458 *High numbers indicate the pixel moved in height during the experiment. In approximately the* 459 same location as where the organic matter was present in the SEM image (white circle) there

460 are measurable topography changes; d) histogram of the height data at different times. There 461 is a small change in the histogram shape, close to the measurement error of 200 nm. 8e-g) 462 Close-up of the area containing organic matter; e) a 20 x 20 µm region exhibits more 463 swelling than the surrounding shale surface. This is shown in further close-up in f). Note the 464 change in scale of the *z*-axis; g) mean swelling of the area without organic matter and the 465 smaller area with organic matter versus time. The solid line is the same data set smoothed 466 with a median filter with logarithmic binning of time. On average this patch swells about 250 467 nm in the first hours of the experiment.

468 For the Green River shale, the organic matter visible in the electron image (Fig. 9a) is clearly 469 visible in the topography as a slightly higher area (Fig. 9b). Given the visible organic matter 470 at the surface (and thus easy  $CO_2$  access), some swelling of this region would be expected. 471 However, this area does not exhibit significant swelling (Fig. 9c). This could be related to the 472 small lateral extent of this organic matter patch: it has a high aspect ratio and it is less than 10 473 pixels (or 9.79 µm) wide. This limited lateral extent might be indicative of low thickness, and 474 if the patch of organic matter is too thin there might not be sufficient sorption sites to lead to 475 measurable sorption (>200 nm). In contrast, an elongated patch on the right side of Fig. 9 476 does exhibit high standard deviations (indicated with the dotted box), even though there is no 477 organic matter visible at the surface (Figure 9a). The SEM images of these two shales show 478 that pyrite and organic matter are often found in close proximity (as is also visible in Fig. 8a). 479 This area contains some pyrite, i.e. this unidentified patch of high movement could be an 480 expression of organic matter present just below the surface. The white light interferometer 481 measures relative uplift of the surface only, which in effect is a sum of all processes occurring 482 in the total sample volume as CO<sub>2</sub> diffuses into it. Typical interaction volumes of secondary 483 electron microscopes are on the micrometer-scale, so if there is indeed organic matter below 484 the surface, the swelling force is sufficient to lift a micrometer or more of the shale without 485 fracturing it. A close-up of this area is shown in Fig. 9d-f. There is a small area of 486 approximately  $8 \times 20 \,\mu\text{m}$  that exhibits significant swelling (Fig. 9e; note the  $10 \times$  increase in 487 vertical scale compared to Fig. 9d). This area swells on average about 850 nm compared to its 488 surroundings (Fig. 9f), though locally it reaches  $3 \mu m$  (Fig. 9e). The total process takes about 489 10 hours, after which the topography reaches a steady height. Fitting a powerlaw to the entire recorded dataset gives a time-exponent of 0.51, but the fit is poor ( $R^2=0.61$ ). 490





with logarithmic binning of time. On average, this small patch swells about 850 nm in the first
20 hours.

#### 506 **4. Discussion**

#### 507 4.1 Advantages and limitations of the method

508 Using a novel experimental method we have measured swelling dynamics of a coal and two 509 shale samples upon  $CO_2$  exposure. By tracking the surface movement over time the use of the 510 interferometer provides precise, quantitative data on local deformation and/or how local 511 reactions lead to surface changes in solid materials. The method presented here can measure 512 bulk swelling through averaging over the entire surface, as well as measure local swelling of 513 individual components whilst exposed to a pressurized medium. It has sub-micron lateral and 514 vertical resolution, and the exact same surface can be imaged with a range of microscopes 515 before and after the experiments. Moreover, the current set-up allows the use of different 516 types of swelling-inducing media, provided they are transparent (i.e. different solutions and 517 gases). Finally, the demonstrated method can be applied to any type of composite or initially 518 permeable reactive sample which can be made impermeable with epoxy, a cheap material. 519 Overall, the presented procedure is an extremely versatile and low-cost method.

520 With the set-up presented here, the vertical resolution over time is 200 nm. The vertical 521 resolution is independent of the combination of lenses, and is higher than the resolution of 522 most micro-tomography methods (e.g. Karacan, 2007, 2003; Kobchenko et al., 2011; 523 Pluymakers et al., 2017; Renard et al., 2016). With the lens combination used here, the lateral 524 resolution was 979 nm, i.e. sufficient to identify and track individual minerals and macerals. 525 An obvious generic limitation of microscopy measurements is the trade-off between pixel size 526 and lateral extent of the measurement. The lateral extent of the measurement can be increased 527 by using stitching, the feasibility of which depends on the availability and precision of an 528 automated stage and the measurement speed of the interferometer versus desired sampling 529 rate. In the datasets presented here we used only single (i.e. non-stitched) measurements. 530 Assuming the surface can be imaged without a coating, electron microscopy provides equal or 531 higher lateral resolution (Wang et al., 2017), but it cannot provide high resolution data of any 532 vertical deformation and has more limitations for the type and pressure of swelling-inducing 533 medium to use. An easy improvement to the setup presented here would be the addition of 534 carefully volume-calibrated pressure vessels, include an access to vacuum, and add a precise 535 thermocouple. This would allow simultaneous measurements of bulk sorption and 536 deformation, similar to Karacan (2007, 2003). Since epoxy itself is a reactive material (to  $CO_2$ 

and acetone), for composite samples assembled using reactive epoxy interpretation might
prove complicated. The supplementary materials contain further tips and tricks on how to best
build and use this assembly.

#### 540 4.2 Dynamics of coal swelling

We have tracked the bulk swelling behavior of coal, and showed heterogeneity in the swelling 541 542 behavior of individual macerals. Similar local heterogeneous swelling behavior of coal was 543 also reported by Karacan (2007, 2003) in microtomography experiments with a 250 µm voxel 544 resolution. Note that in their experiments it was not possible to correlate the behavior of the 545 same location one-on-one with observation by other microscopy methods. Moreover, high 546 resolution swelling measurements so far have been mainly measured as bulk-swelling on mm-547 to cm-sized samples in the laboratory (e.g. Hol and Spiers, 2012). These previous studies 548 report the bulk swelling of a mm-sized Brzeszcze coal sample (i.e. the same coal as used here) 549 exposed to CO<sub>2</sub> at 40°C, using an eddy current sensor with a 50 nm resolution. Comparing 550 their bulk swelling measurements at 3 MPa CO<sub>2</sub> pressure to ours, the average swelling strain 551 experienced by our samples is smaller:  $\sim 0.4\%$  by Hol and Spiers vs. 0.13% here, though our 552 samples did not reach full equilibrium yet after 66 hours of CO<sub>2</sub> exposure. First, there is a 553 ~20°C temperature difference. A decrease in temperature would lead to a small increase in 554 swelling, i.e. opposite to what is observed. Second, in our experiments, there is only one 555 exposed face during the experiments. This increases the diffusion path length of CO<sub>2</sub> in the 556 sample. Combined with the passive confinement provided by the epoxy, this will lead to non-557 equilibrium swelling. Similar non-equilibrium swelling of Brzeszcze coal upon exposure to 558 CH<sub>4</sub> has also been reported by Liu et al. (2016). Third, the sample was kept at ambient 559 conditions in between experiments, and any residual water in coal samples (even atmospheric 560 water) strongly reduces sorption of CO<sub>2</sub> and the associated swelling (Busch and Gensterblum, 561 2011; Day et al., 2008). Even though the current results do not allow for exact determination 562 of the kinetics of swelling, all determined bulk rates all were close to a power law time 563 dependence with an exponent of ~0.5, which, assuming pure Fickian diffusion, would be 564 indicative of a swelling process controlled by the diffusion rate of CO<sub>2</sub> into the matrix. This is 565 in line with studies that show coal sorption and swelling rates to be dependent on sample size, 566 and which accordingly assume diffusion-controlled transport (Busch and Gensterblum, 2011; 567 Gruszkiewicz et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2016; Lutynski and González González, 2016; Staib et 568 al., 2014). The swelling curves presented here are the result of the diffusion of molecules into 569 the sorbent (i.e. the coal), and can be related to diffusive transport models that consider the

570 effects of reversible adsorption processes on the distribution of diffusing models, such as 571 Dumazer et al (2017). Dumazer et al. include an explicit formula for the adsorption flux at a 572 boundary, where the integrated flux would provide the number of molecules adsorbed by the 573 boundary, which should follow the same time-dependence as the swelling surface we 574 measured.

575 The 0.13% swelling strain experienced on average by our samples corresponds to an average 576 swelling of  $\sim 4 \mu m$ , where micrometer-sized patches exhibit up to 1  $\mu m$  differences. Local 577 swelling is thus up to  $\sim 25\%$  different compared to the average value. This laterally variable 578 swelling followed the geometrical pattern seen in the optical microscopy image (Fig. 6a) and 579 thetopography (Fig. 6b; c), which is interpreted to correspond to the composition of the 580 surface, i.e. the macerals and the minerals. Moreover, initially high areas swelled more (Fig, 581 6f). Such heterogeneous swelling supposedly will generate tensile stresses in the coal matrix, 582 and could lead to microcracking (as also postulated by Hol et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2017). This 583 type of microcracks will provide fast transport paths, and should thus accelerate the bulk 584 swelling rate of coal (cf. Hol et al., 2012).

#### 585 4.3 Dynamics of heterogeneous deformation in shale

586 We have measured differential swelling for two different shale types, the Pomeranian and the 587 Green River shales. This type of high resolution differential shale swelling experiments shows some similarity to the experiments performed by Wang et al. (2017). They investigated lateral 588 589 swelling in clay rocks as a result of exposure to varying air humidity using electron 590 microscopy. Qualitatively, they found similar results as we do: swelling is composition-591 dependent. In their experiments, water-induced swelling occurs mostly in the clay-rich areas, 592 and not so much in the (larger) carbonate, quartz and pyrite grains. There are two main 593 differences between these experiments. First, we investigated CO<sub>2</sub>-induced swelling in room 594 humidity samples, not humidity-induced swelling in perfectly dry samples. Even though CO<sub>2</sub>-595 induced clay swelling is expected (Busch et al., 2016; Lutyński et al., 2017), since our method 596 is geared towards measuring differential swelling and not bulk values, this is difficult to 597 capture in these experiments. We choose full shale windows (i.e. without reference surface 598 and epoxy) because of the choice to focus on the differential swelling behavior of organic 599 matter versus that of the clay particles. Second, in the experiments of Wang et al. (2017), 600 lateral movements were captured instead of vertical movement, since their samples were 601 unconfined. The epoxy provides a passive confinement, meaning that the swelling is driven 602 by the diffusion of  $CO_2$  into the matrix so motion here is perpendicular to the surface. That means that if any sorption-induced swelling leads to local surface deformation, ourexperimental setup can capture it.

605 The preferential CO<sub>2</sub>-sorption and associated swelling of patches of organic matter has been 606 hypothesized before, where the process of methane desorption and subsequent CO<sub>2</sub> sorption 607 could lead to enhanced shale gas recovery (cf. Middleton et al., 2015). To our knowledge, 608 these are the first results that capture the dynamics of the swelling process of micrometer-609 sized patches of organic matter compared to the matrix, for as little swelling as 250 nm. Note that with the current data it is not possible to estimate and compare the swelling strain of the 610 organic matter patches, since their thickness is unknown. We also capture measurable surface 611 612 deformation (a maximum average of 850 nm) of what supposedly is the expression of organic 613 matter swelling just below the surface. This type of data shows similarity to analogue surface 614 deformation experiments for intrusions, where rate and shape of surface deformation are 615 related to the shape of the intrusion (such as Guldstrand et al., 2017). Combining these 616 methods would enable the determination of the approximate 3D shape of the organic matter.

617 For both shale experiments, there was no clear time dependence for the swelling of the 618 organic matter. The total local swelling possible in shales is finite; in the Pomerianian shale 619 maximum swelling was reached within ~4 hours, and in the Green River shale in ~20 hours. 620 This is easily explained by the finite thickness of the scattered patches of organic matter, from 621 which logically follows there is thus a finite number of sorption sites within each patch of 622 organic matter. Now, assuming a scenario in which CO<sub>2</sub> is used as fracking fluid in shales (as 623 also suggested by Middleton et al., 2015), our results suggest CO<sub>2</sub> adsorption to organic 624 patches can create local, micrometer-sized asperities within the first few hours after opening 625 the fracture. Since these asperities are spatially related to the patches of organic matter, the 626 number and location will be related to the location of organic matter concentrations within the 627 matrix. Moreover, since these patches are limited in extent, the total swelling will be 628 determined to the thickness or size of each patch of organic matter, given that swelling is 629 finite, as also shown in the experiments (Figures 8 and 9). These local asperities might have 630 effects on crack propagation and therefore on the fluid dynamics in the narrow crack tip 631 within the first hours to days after CO<sub>2</sub> exposure. However, in our experiments we showed 632 less than 1 micrometer sized asperities. Sorption-induced swelling of organic matter can be 633 expected to be dependent on the pressure and temperature conditions of CO<sub>2</sub> (similar to coal). 634 However, since typical proppant sizes are up to 500 µm this process should be of minor 635 importance in propped fractures.

#### 636 **5. Conclusions**

We have built a working micro-pressure chamber that can hold pressures up to 100 bar, and we used a white light interferometer to directly measure  $CO_2$ -induced changes to surface topography for unreactive dolomite, and reactive coals and shale samples. This novel experimental approach allows measuring the dynamics of both bulk and local deformation of the sample while exposing it to fluids at high pressure. The advantages of this set-up lie in the unprecedented submicrometer spatial and vertical resolution, and the versatility with respect to sample and pressurizing medium.

644 We used the presented method successfully to monitor the swelling over time of 645 epoxy/dolomite, coal/epoxy/dolomite and shale samples. Our conclusions are the following:

Epoxy swelling is shown to be homogeneous and mostly permanent. It exhibits a standard
power law Fickian time-dependence with a time exponent of ~0.5.

- Swelling of a Brzeszcze coal sample is heterogeneous. Initially higher components exhibit
  up to 25% more swelling than the average, bulk swelling values. Bulk swelling also exhibits
  an approximate time-dependence of ~0.5.
- Measurements targeted at observing local swelling in shales indicate swelling of small, micrometer-sized, patches of organic matter. An average 250 nm swelling in 4 hours is recorded for a  $20 \times 20 \,\mu\text{m}$  patch of organic matter in the Pomeranian shale. For a Green River shale sample, for a  $8 \times 20 \,\mu\text{m}$  location, we record an average 850 nm swelling (though locally this can be 3 to 4  $\mu$ m) for what is interpreted to be organic matter just below the surface.

## 656 6. Acknowledgements

Anne Pluymakers, Dag Dysthe and François Renard are funded by ShaleSeq and the Norwegian Research Council [grant number POL-NOR 234198/100/2014]. Jinfeng Liu is funded by the Darcy Center for porous media research and technology. Felix Kohler is funded by the Norwegian Research Council [grant number 222386]. The funding sources did not influence the study design; the collection, analysis and interpretation of data; in the writing of the report; and the decision to submit the article for publication. We thank the Editor, Ralph Littke, and Sander Hol plus an anonymous reviewer for their comments.

#### 664 **Captions to the Videos**

#### 665 (see published version https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2018.01.007)

666 **V1** 

667 V1 shows how the topography data (height z as a function of location x, y) evolves as a result 668 from the data-processing routine, which is outlined in Figure 2. Panel 1 shows the raw 669 topography data. This data is not normalized, and there is a significant drift in the zero-plane 670 (hence the 60 µm scale bar for the height). Panel 2 shows the raw topography data after the 671 rough correction in height-scale, using the dolomite as a reference plane. Panel 3 shows the 672 topography data after the outliers have been removed; note the increase in black pixels. Panel 673 4 shows the topography data after the correction for any changes in tilt, and after fine-tuning 674 the height. Panel 5 shows the topography data after the interpolation routine, which filled in 675 all black pixels. Panel 6 shows the topography data after cross-correlation; note that the 676 visible area of the sample is now slightly decreased in size to only show the part for which we 677 obtained a consistent dataset (the drift in the experiments shown in this paper was less than 50 678 pixels). Panel 7 shows the evolution of average height (using the areas as outlined in Fig. 6) 679 with time for the different parts of the sample, i.e. the bulk swelling behavior.

680 **V2** 

V2 shows the evolution of dol2 over time; processed topography data only. The top left panel is the topography minus the initial topography, where swelling is defined as positive. The two arrows indicate where the profiles are located which are that are shown in the top right panel (parallel to the x-axis) and in the bottom left panel (parallel to the y-axis). The bottom right panel shows how the average swelling evolves with time for the areas as indicated in Figs. 4 and 5.

687 **V3** 

688 V3 shows the evolution of dolcoal3 over time; processed topography data only. The top 689 panels both contain the same results, the topography minus the initial topography, where 690 swelling is defined as positive. The top left panel contains a height-scale such that the epoxy 691 swelling is completely visible, whereas the top right panel is adjusted to focus on the height 692 evolution of the coal only. This highlights the differential swelling of the coal macerals. The 693 white arrow indicates where the profile shown in the bottom left panel is located; the profile is 694 parallel to the x-axis. The bottom right panel shows how the average swelling evolves with 695 time for the areas as indicated in Figs. 6 and 7.

696

# 697 **7. References**

- Bachaud, P., Berne, P., Renard, F., Sardin, M., Leclerc, J.P., 2011. Use of tracers to characterize the
  effects of a CO2-saturated brine on the petrophysical properties of a low permeability carbonate
  caprock. Chem. Eng. Res. Des. 89, 1817–1826. doi:10.1016/j.cherd.2010.11.004
- Brochard, L., Vandamme, M., Pellenq, R.J.-M., Fen-Chong, T., 2012. Adsorption-Induced
   Deformation of Microporous Materials: Coal Swelling Induced by CO 2 –CH 4 Competitive
- 703 Adsorption. Langmuir 28, 2659–2670. doi:10.1021/la204072d
- Busch, A., Bertier, P., Gensterblum, Y., Rother, G., Spiers, C.J., Zhang, M., Wentinck, H.M., 2016.
  On sorption and swelling of CO2 in clays. Geomech. Geophys. Geo-Energy Geo-Resources 2,
  111–130. doi:10.1007/s40948-016-0024-4
- Busch, A., Gensterblum, Y., 2011. CBM and CO2-ECBM related sorption processes in coal: A
  review. Int. J. Coal Geol. 87, 49–71. doi:10.1016/j.coal.2011.04.011
- Busch, A., Alles, S., Gensterblum, Y., Prinz, D., Dewhurst, D.N., Raven, M.D., Stanjek, H., Krooss,
  B.M., 2008. Carbon dioxide storage potential of shales. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 2, 297–308.
  doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2008.03.003
- Day, S., Fry, R., Sakurovs, R., 2008. Swelling of Australian coals in supercritical CO2. Int. J. Coal
  Geol. 74, 41–52. doi:10.1016/j.coal.2007.09.006
- de Jong, S.M., Spiers, C.J., Busch, A., 2014. Development of swelling strain in smectite clays through
  exposure to carbon dioxide. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 24, 149–161.
- 716 doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2014.03.010
- Dumazer, G., Flekkøy, E., Renard, F., Angheluta, L., 2017. Transient anomalous diffusion regimes in
  reversible adsorbing systems. Phys. Rev. E 96, 42106. doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.96.042106
- Edlmann, K., Haszeldine, S., McDermott, C.I., 2013. Experimental investigation into the sealing
  capability of naturally fractured shale caprocks to supercritical carbon dioxide flow. Environ.
  Earth Sci. 70, 3393–3409. doi:10.1007/s12665-013-2407-y
- Elkhoury, J.E., Ameli, P., Detwiler, R.L., 2013. Dissolution and deformation in fractured carbonates
  caused by flow of CO2-rich brine under reservoir conditions. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 16,
  S203–S215. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2013.02.023
- Ellis, B.R., Bromhal, G.S., McIntyre, D.L., Peters, C.A., 2011. Changes in caprock integrity due to
  vertical migration of CO2 -enriched brine. Energy Procedia 4, 5327–5334.
  doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2011.02.514

728 Fondriest, M., Aretusini, S., Di Toro, G., Smith, S.A.F., 2015. Fracturing and rock pulverization along 729 an exhumed seismogenic fault zone in dolostones: The Foiana Fault Zone (Southern Alps, Italy). 730 Tectonophysics 654, 56-74. doi:10.1016/j.tecto.2015.04.015 731 Giesting, P., Guggenheim, S., Koster van Groos, A.F., Busch, A., 2012. Interaction of carbon dioxide 732 with Na-exchanged montmorillonite at pressures to 640bars: Implications for CO2 sequestration. 733 Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 8, 73-81. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.01.011 734 Gruszkiewicz, M.S., Naney, M.T., Blencoe, J.G., Cole, D.R., Pashin, J.C., Carroll, R.E., 2009. 735 Adsorption kinetics of CO2, CH4, and their equimolar mixture on coal from the Black Warrior 736 Basin, West-Central Alabama. Int. J. Coal Geol. 77, 23-33. doi:10.1016/J.COAL.2008.09.005 737 Guldstrand, F., Burchardt, S., Hallot, E., Galland, O., 2017. Dynamics of Surface Deformation 738 Induced by Dikes and Cone Sheets in a Cohesive Coulomb Brittle Crust. J. Geophys. Res. Solid 739 Earth in press. doi:10.1002/2017JB014346 740 Hol, S., Peach, C.J., Spiers, C.J., 2011. Applied stress reduces the CO2 sorption capacity of coal. Int. 741 J. Coal Geol. 85, 128-142. doi:10.1016/J.COAL.2010.10.010 742 Hol, S., Spiers, C.J., 2012. Competition between adsorption-induced swelling and elastic compression 743 of coal at CO2 pressures up to 100MPa. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 60, 1862–1882. 744 doi:10.1016/j.jmps.2012.06.012 745 Hol, S., Spiers, C.J., Peach, C.J., 2012. Microfracturing of coal due to interaction with CO2 under 746 unconfined conditions. Fuel 97, 569-584. doi:10.1016/J.FUEL.2012.02.030 747 Hövelmann, J., Austrheim, H., Beinlich, A., Anne Munz, I., 2011. Experimental study of the 748 carbonation of partially serpentinized and weathered peridotites. Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta 75, 749 6760-6779. doi:10.1016/j.gca.2011.08.032 750 Karacan, C., 2007. Swelling-induced volumetric strains internal to a stressed coal associated with CO2 751 sorption. Int. J. Coal Geol. 72, 209-220. doi:10.1016/j.coal.2007.01.003 752 Karacan, C., 2003. Heterogeneous Sorption and Swelling in a Confined and Stressed Coal during CO2 753 Injection. doi:10.1021/EF0301349 754 Kobchenko, M., Panahi, H., Renard, F., Dysthe, D.K., Malthe-Sørenssen, A., Mazzini, A., Scheibert, 755 J., Jamtveit, B., Meakin, P., 2011. 4D imaging of fracturing in organic-rich shales during heating. 756 J. Geophys. Res. 116, B12201. doi:10.1029/2011JB008565 757 Lin, J., Ren, T., Wang, G., Booth, P., Nemcik, J., 2017. Experimental study of the adsorption-induced 758 coal matrix swelling and its impact on ECBM. J. Earth Sci. 28, 917-925. doi:10.1007/s12583759 017-0778-9

- Liu, F., Lu, P., Griffith, C., Hedges, S.W., Soong, Y., Hellevang, H., Zhu, C., 2012. CO2–brine–
  caprock interaction: Reactivity experiments on Eau Claire shale and a review of relevant
  literature. Int. J. Greenh. Gas Control 7, 153–167. doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2012.01.012
- Liu, J., Fokker, P.A., Spiers, C.J., 2017. Coupling of swelling, internal stress evolution, and diffusion
  in coal matrix material during exposure to methane. J. Geophys. Res. Solid Earth 122, 844–865.
  doi:10.1002/2016JB013322
- Liu, J., Peach, C.J., Spiers, C.J., 2016. Anisotropic swelling behaviour of coal matrix cubes exposed to
  water vapour: Effects of relative humidity and sample size. Int. J. Coal Geol. 167, 119–135.
  doi:10.1016/J.COAL.2016.09.011
- Liu, J., Peach, C.J., Zhou, H., Spiers, C.J., 2015. Thermodynamic models for swelling of unconfined
  coal due to adsorption of mixed gases. Fuel 157, 151–161. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2015.04.070
- Lutynski, M., González González, M., 2016. Characteristics of carbon dioxide sorption in coal and gas
  shale The effect of particle size. J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng. 28, 558–565.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jngse.2015.12.037
- Lutyński, M., Waszczuk, P., Słomski, P., Szczepański, J., 2017. CO2 sorption of Pomeranian gas
  bearing shales the effect of clay minerals. Energy Procedia 125, 457–466.
  doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2017.08.153
- Michels, A., Hamers, J., 1937. The effect of pressure on the refractive index of CO2: The LorentzLorenz formula. Physica 4, 995–1006. doi:10.1016/S0031-8914(37)80197-4
- 779 Middleton, R.S., Carey, J.W., Currier, R.P., Hyman, J.D., Kang, Q., Karra, S., Jiménez-Martínez, J.,
- 780 Porter, M.L., Viswanathan, H.S., 2015. Shale gas and non-aqueous fracturing fluids:
- 781 Opportunities and challenges for supercritical CO2. Appl. Energy 147, 500–509.
- 782 doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2015.03.023
- Moore, T.A., 2012. Coalbed methane: A review. Int. J. Coal Geol. 101, 36–81.
  doi:10.1016/j.coal.2012.05.011
- Neuville, A., RENAUD, L., Luu, T.T., Minde, M.W., Jettestuen, E., Vinningland, J.L., Hiorth, A.,
  Dysthe, D.K., 2016. Xurography for microfluidics on a reactive solid. Lab Chip.
  doi:10.1039/C6LC01253A
- Nguyen, P., Fadaei, H., Sinton, D., 2013. Microfluidics Underground: A Micro-Core Method for Pore
   Scale Analysis of Supercritical CO 2 Reactive Transport in Saline Aquifers. J. Fluids Eng. 135,

790 21203. doi:10.1115/1.4023644

- Olabode, A., Radonjic, M., 2014. Diagenetic Influence on Fracture Conductivity in Tight Shale and
   CO2 Sequestration. Energy Procedia 63, 5021–5031. doi:10.1016/j.egypro.2014.11.532
- Ougier-Simonin, A., Renard, F., Boehm, C., Vidal-Gilbert, S., 2016. Microfracturing and
  microporosity in shales. Earth-Science Rev. 162, 198–226. doi:10.1016/j.earscirev.2016.09.006
- Perrier, L., Plantier, F., Gregoire, D., 2017. A novel experimental setup for simultaneous adsorption
  and induced deformation measurements in microporous materials. Rev. Sci. Instrum. 88, 35104.
  doi:10.1063/1.4977595
- Pluymakers, A., Kobchenko, M., Renard, F., 2017. How microfracture roughness can be used to
  distinguish between exhumed cracks and in-situ flow paths in shales. J. Struct. Geol. 94, 87–97.
  doi:10.1016/j.jsg.2016.11.005
- Porter, M.L., Jiménez-Martínez, J., Martinez, R., McCulloch, Q., Carey, J.W., Viswanathan, H.S.,
  2015. Geo-material microfluidics at reservoir conditions for subsurface energy resource
  applications. Lab Chip 15, 4044–53. doi:10.1039/c5lc00704f
- Radlinski, A., Mastalerzb, M., Hinde, A., Hainbuchner, M., Rauch, H., Baron, M., Lin, J., Fan, L.,
  Thiyagarajan, P., 2004. Application of SAXS and SANS in evaluation of porosity, pore size
  distribution and surface area of coal. Int. J. Coal Geol. 59, 245–271.
- 807 doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coal.2004.03.002
- Renard, F., Cordonnier, B., Dysthe, D.K., Boller, E., Tafforeau, P., Rack, A., 2016. A deformation rig
  for synchrotron microtomography studies of geomaterials under conditions down to 10 km depth
  in the Earth. J. Synchrotron Radiat. 23, 1030–1034. doi:10.1107/S1600577516008730
- Rohmer, J., Pluymakers, A., Renard, F., 2016. Mechano-chemical interactions in sedimentary rocks in
  the context of CO2 storage: Weak acid, weak effects? Earth-Science Rev. 157, 86–110.
- 813 doi:10.1016/J.EARSCIREV.2016.03.009
- Staib, G., Sakurovs, R., Gray, E.M., 2014. Kinetics of coal swelling in gases: Influence of gas
  pressure, gas type and coal type. Int. J. Coal Geol. 132, 117–122. doi:10.1016/j.coal.2014.08.005
- van Bergen, F., Spiers, C., Floor, G., Bots, P., 2009. Strain development in unconfined coals exposed
  to CO2, CH4 and Ar: Effect of moisture. Int. J. Coal Geol. 77, 43–53.
  doi:10.1016/j.coal.2008.10.003
- Wang, L.L., Yang, R.W., Chanchole, S., Zhang, G.Q., 2017. The time-dependent swelling of
  argillaceous rock under resaturated conditions. Appl. Clay Sci. 146, 186–194.

821

- doi:10.1016/j.clay.2017.05.041
- Wind, J.D., Sirard, S.M., Paul, D.R., Green, P.F., Johnston, K.P., Koros, W.J., 2003. Relaxation
  Dynamics of CO2 Diffusion, Sorption, and Polymer Swelling for Plasticized Polyimide
  Membranes. Macromolecules 36. doi:10.1021/MA034359U
- 825 Supplementary Information

This document consists of two parts. Part S1 contains helpful tips, mostly regarding sample assembly. Part S2 contains a few adaptions we would consider changing if we would construct this pressure assembly again.

## 829 S1. Helpful tips to reproduce these experiments

- Before each experiment, clean the reference glass with a non-corrosive cleaner and
  blow off the liquid with pressurized air to avoid residual stains. The cleaner the glass
  (also the reference glass) the higher the data quality.
- 833 Change the Teflon backup rings before each experiment.
- The nitrile sleeves used here are the fingers of used lab gloves, size L.
- If you make the top epoxy + sample layer too thin (< ~ 5mm) then it becomes difficult</li>
  to drill two holes without breaking it. If you make it too thick (> ~ 15 mm) then the
  top layer is not strong enough to grip the pressure tubing and the assembly will leak
  along the tubing.
- B39 Do not apply any shear force on the pressure tubing, the grip between pressure tubing
  and epoxy is the weakest point of the assembly.
- If you make a window with only rock (such as the shale windows) the rock needs to be
  only a few mm thick, since it is difficult to drill through without creating
  microfractures. If fractured, the microfractures will provide an easy pathway out of the
  pressure chamber.
- Use a diamond drill to drill through rock samples.
- Whether the assembly holds pressure or not depends a lot on the exact thickness of the soft filler. First we did it by trial and error, but if the filler is too thick you either break the glass during tightening the lid, or you get creep and/or stick-slip behavior of the filler once the pressure is applied and the sample might drop out of focus. If the filler is not high enough, the O-ring is not properly activated once the lid is tight and the assembly leaks. The lengths of filler plus sample that worked for these assembly

- dimensions are 23 25 mm. Keep the correct length filler with each sample, to avoid
  searching for them every time.
- Note that the Ecoflex-fillers loose some liquid due to the tightening, making them a
  little stiffer each time you use them. Eventually they become a little too short, and they
  need replacing.
- 857 The filler edges do not need to be perfectly parallel.
- Short fillers (and thus long samples) work better than using long fillers (i.e. short
  samples), since it decreases the chance of filler creep.
- Pre-test each filler/sample combination using a non-reactive gas (in the cases
   presented here N<sub>2</sub>) to avoid starting the reaction with a leaking assembly.
- Ensure that the lid is straight using a level during tightening. Tighten opposite screws,
   moving either clockwise or anticlockwise. If not, the microscope stage has to be tilted
   too much and you run out of space when finding focus and/or you cannot get nice
   wide interference fringes.
- Fixing the sample vessel to the microscope stage was done with cross-linked doublesided tape, plus additional regular tape securing the edges. This worked as long as the
  distance between the pressure vessel and the stage was such that the tubing did not
  exert a pull or push on the sample assembly.
- If there is a push/pull from the pressure vessel or creep in the filler, it shows up when
  running a scan that uses the average of multiple scans to calculate pixel height. The
  resulting image will be fuzzy. If it is filler creep you can still run time-step scans (until
  the sample drops out of focus), just not averaged ones.
- The drift in the z-axis of the white light interferometer requires either a sample where
  the average height of the sample is not expected to change, or a reference which can
  be assumed to be always the same height.
- Data-processing: each experiment has a different measurement after which the
  pressure is stable enough to use the measured dataset as a reference. Adapt starting
  time.
- Keep the code for each experiment so you do not have the write down all parameters
  and you do not have to save the processed data (due to file-size).

# 882 S2. Things we would change if we would construct another device

883 - Use a slightly stiffer filler.

- Make the lid a little thinner, to allow a little tilt and/or filler creep without losing
  focus.
- Use a pump to keep pressure, since small leaks are difficult to avoid with the 1/16"
  tubing.
- Try to use 1/8" pressure tubing all over, though diameters of the holders need to be
  adjusted and the total volume in the assembly will increase substantially.
- If not using a pump, think about the geometry of the large pressure vessel. This long assembly was now made with the idea of being able to go to higher CO<sub>2</sub> pressures by cooling part of the assembly during CO<sub>2</sub> injection, and then slightly heating the entire assembly, following (Hövelmann et al., 2011). The current geometry of the pressure system was impractical to handle and carry around the lab.
- Bo not use Teflon as a back-up ring, since repeated assembly and de-assembly leads to
  slight widening of the inner diameter and insufficient compression of the O-ring.