

**On-site wind powered hydrogen refuelling stations
From national level to a case study in Germany**

Chrysochoidis-Antsos, Nikolaos; Liu, Changzhi; Van Wijk, Ad

DOI

[10.1109/SEST.2018.8495693](https://doi.org/10.1109/SEST.2018.8495693)

Publication date

2018

Document Version

Final published version

Published in

Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and Technologies (SEST 2018)

Citation (APA)

Chrysochoidis-Antsos, N., Liu, C., & Van Wijk, A. (2018). On-site wind powered hydrogen refuelling stations: From national level to a case study in Germany. In F. Rosa, H. A. Gabbar, & M. Lotfi (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2018 International Conference on Smart Energy Systems and Technologies (SEST 2018)* Article 8495693 IEEE. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SEST.2018.8495693>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository

'You share, we take care!' – Taverne project

<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

On-site wind powered hydrogen refuelling stations – From national level to a case study in Germany

Nikolaos Chrysochoidis-Antsos
Process&Energy Department
TU Delft

Delft, The Netherlands

N.ChrysochoidisAntsos@tudelft.nl

Changzhi Liu
Process&Energy department
TU Delft

Delft, The Netherlands

Ad van Wijk
Process&Energy department
TU Delft

Delft, The Netherlands

Abstract - Hydrogen refueling stations are an important part of the infrastructural development that should be developed in order to realize a 100% sustainable economy for the future. Most of the refueling stations are located within urban areas but there are many located outside urban areas or in remote areas. Hydrogen could either be transported to these sites or being locally produced with integrated sustainable energy systems. In this study the potential number for wind powered hydrogen refueling stations using GIS is determined. Furthermore the amount of hydrogen that could be produced and used is determined via energy system simulation. Finally the hydrogen production and dispensing costs are calculated

Keywords – Wind Turbines, GIS data, System Integration, Hydrogen refueling stations, Case study, On-site hydrogen production

I. INTRODUCTION (HOW COULD AN ON-SITE WIND POWERED HYDROGEN REFUELLING STATION LOOK LIKE?)

One of the many methods to make hydrogen is to utilize electricity from wind turbines and feed it in electrolyzer to produce “green” hydrogen. Having all the systems that contribute to hydrogen production within the administrative boundaries of the refueling station pose an interesting case with potential cost reduction due to the proximity and the elimination of expanding the interconnection to the existing electrical grid since all wind turbine electricity is being converted to hydrogen fuel and grid electricity will be used to refuel the cars and reduce the refueling uncertainty when tanks are full. From a technical perspective, a large wind turbine is connected with an inverter to a large scale electrolyzer who produces “green” hydrogen and through a low pressure compressor coupled with this system it pumps the produced hydrogen in 200 bar storage buffer tanks. A high pressure compressor is coupled with the existing interconnection to the local electricity grid in order to ensure at all times that there is possibility to refuel a hydrogen powered vehicle. This parallel system compresses hydrogen to the dispensing buffer of 900 bars. The excess hydrogen that is stored in the low pressure tanks is assumed to be sold to nearby urban or rural areas for various types of demand either with tube trailer transport or if there would be a hydrogen gas pipeline network to be fed in it.

The system described in Figure 1 will be modelled and assessed in the following paper through:

- a GIS (Geographics Information systems) study of the potential of existing fueling stations to be converted in Germany

- a case study in order to understand the system operational and economical ranges, of the system proposed in figure 1.




Figure 1 : System overview of on-site wind powered hydrogen refueling station

II. GIS STUDY (THE NATIONAL POTENTIAL FOR ON-SITE WIND POWERED HYDROGEN REFUELLING STATIONS IN GERMANY)

Many GIS studies have been made for wind energy assessment and hydrogen station networks like [29] [30] [31], but the study presented here includes as well and top-down approach from the nationwide potential to a case study. There are 10518 existing fuelling stations in Germany [5] as the following map indicates. However, not all of them could host a wind turbine system. For example, a large wind turbine cannot be installed within an urban city landscape or next to an airport. This study uses GIS big data for the country of Germany to examine how many of the existing stations could host a wind turbine close by, in order to economically utilize the electrical energy for hydrogen production.




Figure 2 : Map of Germany showing the petrol stations available (blue dots) and the existing road network

A. The GIS filters applied

Several databases have been used within a GIS software in order to determine the amount of stations that are suitable and have potential to utilize wind turbines to provide electricity to produce locally the “green hydrogen”. All the filters have been assessed through geoprocessing tools, linestring and polygon comparisons within QGIS programme. A buffer area around each existing fuelling station has been considered in order to filter out the fuelling stations that do not fulfil the following criteria:

- Setback distance from populated areas using EuroGeographics database [2] polygon and linestring data
 - 500m away from urbanized areas
 - 1500m away from small village centre-point
- Circular Buffer zone of 500m away from eco-protected areas which are represented with point observations through the LUCAS database (Land Use/Land Cover Area Frame Survey) [1]
- 2000m away from airports
- Avoidance of forest areas as categorized in the 2012 Corine Land Cover Database [3] (for example, coniferous forests, agro-forestry areas)
- Avoidance of areas with improper foundation conditions as categorized in the 2012 Corine Land Cover Database [3]
- Wind resource potential lower than the IEC (International Electrotechnical Commission) Class IV turbine based on wind speeds at hub height of 150m with data used from reanalysis datasets from the ECWMF (European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts) [6]

The following figure 3 is a good example of the filtering procedure. In the centre image the large grey area indicates the urbanized zone, the purple circles indicate the buffer zone around urbanized areas (settlements/villages) and the small green points indicate the fuelling stations. On the left part of the image you can observe the fuelling stations (green points) within the urban area (grey area), while in the right picture the filter has been applied.




Figure 3 : The filtering process

B. Results of the GIS study

Out of the 10.518 stations nearly 70% are located very close to inhabited areas making them unsuitable for wind turbine installation.

A further filtering on these 2998 stations based on ecological and airport limitations leaves us to 2577 stations.

After that the land cover filter for improper foundations and forests (high obstacles) leaves it to 525 stations are left.

The assessment results indicate that 3.6% (380) of all the refuelling stations in Germany (10500) could host a wind-powered hydrogen production and refuelling station and are in the IEC Class IV turbine classification [6] for hub height of 150m and the rest 1.4% are on the other classes.

A further post-analysis with respect to the road type proximity of the fuelling station leads to the following (some stations can fall in 2 categories than one)

- 195 stations on primary routes
- 133 stations on national motorways
- 68 stations in secondary routes
- 7 stations in local routes
- 165 stations in unclassified routes

This post-analysis indicates that most of the fueling stations are located on primary routes or national motorways. This is relevant for further considerations into the national road-mapping this particular system for hydrogen infrastructural development.

The main conclusion of this study is that there are 5% of fueling stations in Germany that are suitable for on-site wind powered hydrogen production and dispensing. Therefore this will lead us to the next chapter of designing a case study.




Figure 4 : Map of Germany with the filtered petrol stations that are suitable for wind turbine installation (blue dots)

III. A CASE STUDY FOR THE SYSTEM PROPOSED

The GIS study has led us into designing a case study for these fuelling stations in order to assess its technical and economical parameters. The location of the case study is the mainland of Germany with the following coordinates (50.0574N, 8.449E). The wind speed local climate characteristics are taken from the Climate Data Center of Deutscher Wetterdienst [7] from a local weather station (5km away) and have been corrected for the hub height and roughness of the fuelling station location. An energy system simulation with MATLAB has been performed to estimate the amount of hydrogen that could be generated with the proposed system.

A fully integrated system with the following components has been simulated:

- E-141 EP4 wind turbine 4.2 MW [9] [10]
- 3 units of the 1.25MW Silyzer 200 PEM electrolyzer with a rated output of 65kg/h [11],
- Two stationary low pressure hydrogen tanks at 200bars (400kg of hydrogen each) [12]
- One mobile backup tank at 200 bars (400kg of hydrogen)
- High pressure compressor (33.6kg/h based on an industrial product of Linde) [12] [13]
- Two dispensers (3.6kg/min based on an industrial product of Linde) [12]
- Cascade tank (100kg)
- A representative fuel cell vehicle hydrogen demand based on current fuel consumption data for normal petrol cars

The aforementioned system and all its components are visualized with the following figure 5:




Figure 5 : Modelled system

An important parameter of the modelling is the refueling demand of the future fuel cell electric passenger vehicles (FCEV) that will visit the fueling stations to fuel up with hydrogen. The following figure 6 represents the average annual hourly demand of hydrogen for the 330 kg/day fueling station to be designed (65 cars per day) [27]. This is determined from assuming a 20-40% FCEV drivetrain scenario for the future and an average visit of 200 vehicles per station [15].




Figure 6: Hourly hydrogen demand profile for an entire week




Figure 7: Control logic for the storage

In figure 7 above you can see the flow of electricity with blue color and the flow of hydrogen with green color. In the figure 8 below, you can see the flow of hydrogen from the storage tanks to the high pressure compressor with green color and the orange color indicates the hydrogen flow to the cascade system, responsible for the refueling of the FCEV tanks. The cascade is 30kg and it should always contain 25 kg in each time step in order to ensure the ability to refuel the maximum hourly demand of 5 cars per hour (25kg hydrogen).




Figure 8: Control logic for the refueling

A. Results of production possibilities

It was shown that in a continuous annual operation, the station can cover 92% (110 tons) of the car refueling demand with locally produced and stored hydrogen fuel and the rest 8% (10 tons) is covered by external hydrogen fuel purchases throughout a full year.




Figure 9 : Hydrogen demand and production system-related characteristics

As [28] suggest tube trailers could transport the hydrogen for the location of production to more demand centres. Moreover, the 60% (111 tons) of the wind powered hydrogen production is locally stored and dispensed while the rest 40% (66 tons) is being exported. The figure 10 below shows the frequency of tube trailer refill whether selling or buying hydrogen per month. An interesting study




Figure 10 : 400kg tube trailer refill frequency per month

B. Duration curves and capacity factors of the system

The wind turbine capacity factor is around 30% which is a typical value for an onshore wind turbine of this size and local wind energy potential in the mainland of Germany. The capacity factor is defined as the ratio of the wind turbine annual energy yield (MWh) divided with the product of 8760 hours of a year and the rated output of the wind turbine. Additionally the utilization factor of the electrolyzer is 32%. The utilization factor is defined as annual energy consumed by the electrolyzer (MWh) divided by the product of 8760 hours of a year and the rated power of the electrolyzer system. By observing the duration curve of the electrolyzer in figure 11 we see that

- 1200 hours of the year (14%) the electrolyzer operates above the 80% of the electrolyzer's rated capacity
- 2300 hours of the year (26%) the electrolyzer operates between 25-80% of the electrolyzer's rated capacity
- 5260 hours of the year (60%) the electrolyzer operated between 0-25% of the electrolyzer's rated capacity

These results indicate the need to size the systems in a way that the utilization factor of the electrolyzer can be increased so that the system economics are improved and the system is not oversized.




Figure 11: Duration curve of the PEM Electrolyzer

Finally, we can say that the aforementioned system can cover all the needed demand (60% of production) and export (40% of production) the excess hydrogen, giving large potential for being a hydrogen producing unit for the needs of other urban located fuelling stations.

IV. COST ANALYSIS OF THE PROPOSED SYSTEM

A techno-economic analysis has been made taking into account prices of all the components led to a cost determination of the hydrogen production [4] and dispensing. The price is expressed into (€/kg) terms taking into account a 20-year lifetime of the project and the WACC for the renewable energy investments in Europe [16].

In order to calculate the economic term of €/kg the total expenditures over the lifetime of the project had to be considered and were assumed from several references for 2 scenarios, a current one and one with future predictions.

The CAPEX and OPEX of all the systems and components have been summarized in the table I below with all prices assumed from the following references [17] [18] [19] [20] [21] [22] [23] [4].

In order to quantify the cost of the hydrogen produced the following terms were used. However, they do not include the services cost of the fuelling station (e.g. land leasing cost, personnel to operate the fuelling station etc.):

- LCOHP – The Levelized cost of hydrogen produced. Which takes into account the following cost components:
 - CAPEX and OPEX of wind turbine and electrolyzer systems
 - Annual Tap Water consumption

- Total Hydrogen produced throughout a year (kg)
- LCOHD – The Levelized cost of hydrogen dispensed. Which takes into account the following cost components:
 - CAPEX and OPEX of compressor, storage and dispensing equipment
 - Annual grid costs
 - Total Hydrogen produced throughout a year (kg)
- RCHD – Real Cost of Hydrogen Dispensed, which is the sum of the 2 aforementioned costs.

TABLE 1: CAPEX AND OPEX SUMMARY OF ALL SYSTEM COST COMPONENTS

System	Cost Summary				
	Capital		O&M		Comments
	Now	Future	Now	Future	
Wind Turbine	1100 €/kW	800 €/kW	60 €/kW/year	46 €/kW/year	Installed Capacity
Electrolyzer	1200 €/kW	250 €/kW	2.5%		Installed Capacity (15% of cost for 1 replacement)
Storage Tank	870 €/kg	500 €/kg	1%		
High pressure-tank (cascade)	1180 €/kg	610 €/kg	1%		
Low-pressure compressor	6500 €/kg H ₂ /h	3600 €/kg H ₂ /h	4%	2.5%	One replacement considered
High-pressure compressor	20800 €/kg H ₂ /h	9600 €/kg H ₂ /h	4%	2.5%	
Dispenser	130000 €/unit	104000 €/unit	1.2%		One replacement considered
Pre-cooling for refuelling	155000 €/kg H ₂ /h	124000 €/kg H ₂ /h	2%		One replacement considered

But before presenting the results of the hydrogen fuel, the annual capital breakdown is summarized in the following pie chart figure 12. The following remarks have been made:

- Current
 - 35% of costs come from Wind
 - 28% of costs come from the Electrolyzer
 - 30% of costs account for Compressor/Storage/Dispensing
- Future
 - 50% of costs come from wind
 - 10% come from Electrolyzer
 - 30% come from Compressor/Storage/Dispensing

It is very clear that the future forecasts of price reduction in Electrolyzer have a great impact into the annual capital breakdown.

The benefit in economic terms with installing a wind turbine in the vicinity of the fuelling station and utilizing the largest part for the hydrogen production is that grid interconnection costs are minimized and usually these take about 10% of a typical onshore installation.




Figure 12: Cost breakdown for current time (left) and future (right) for the on-site wind powered hydrogen refueling station

With present prices, hydrogen could be produced for 6.1 €/kg with dispensing costs of 4.8 €/kg while with future projected prices hydrogen could be produced at 2.4 €/kg and dispensed at 2.4 €/kg. This is a very important outcome if we compare Hyundai ix35 (2013 model) which has a combined New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) for of 6.8liters/100km [25] while it's nearly equivalent ix35 FCEV has 0.85kg/100km [24]. If we take into account current prices of petrol in Germany which are 1.2-1.7 €/liter of unleaded petrol fuel [26] it results into 8.5-12€/100km while the FCEV fuelled up at the on-site wind powered hydrogen fuelling station is at 11€ for 100km. This result gives us a very promising future scenario. However, we should note that the service costs of operating the fuelling stations have not been considered specifically in this study which could influence the price per kg, but are considered as part of the O&M costs of all the systems aforementioned. The concept proposes the retrofitting of a current fuelling station with hydrogen and therefore as well the service costs would be included within all refuelling station activities.

TABLE II: LEVELIZED COSTS OF HYDROGEN PRODUCTION (LCHOP), HYDROGEN DISPENSING (LCHOD) AND TOTAL (RHDC)

	Now	Future
LHOHP (€/kg)	6.1	2.6
LHOHD (€/kg)	4.8	2.4
RHDC (€/kg)	10.9	5

V. CONCLUSIONS-RECOMMENDATIONS

As highlights of this research subject, these are:

1. GIS data showed that there are 5-10% of fuelling stations that have a potential to be converted as on-site wind powered hydrogen refuelling stations in Germany
2. The current system design and sizing leads to 60% hydrogen consumed locally at the fuelling stations while the rest 40% is being exported. This creates two recommendations.
 - a. Investigate scenarios of importing this excess hydrogen to fuelling stations within the urbanized areas

- b. Design a sizing methodology and customize industrial products to match better demand without over-producing
3. The utilization factor of the electrolyzer is rather low at 30%, as well as the duration curve results indicate that the electrolyzer is over-sized leading to higher costs
4. In the present, hydrogen at these stations could be produced at 6.1 €/kg and dispensed at 4.8 €/kg with a total price of around 10.9 €/kg. This price is comparable in €/100km values with current unleaded fuelled drivetrains.
5. In the future, production could be as low as 2.6 €/kg, dispensing at 2.4 €/kg thereby resulting in a price of around 5 €/kg.

REFERENCES

- [1] EuroStat, [Online]. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/LUCAS_-_Land_use_and_land_cover_survey. [Accessed 2017].
- [2] EuroGeoGraphics, "EuroGlobalMap," [Online]. Available: <http://www.eurogeographics.org/products-and-services/euroglobalmap>. [Accessed 2017].
- [3] Copernicus Services, "CORINE Land Cover - CLC 2012," [Online]. Available: <https://land.copernicus.eu/pan-european/corine-land-cover/clc-2012/view>. [Accessed 2017].
- [4] V. Oldenbroek, L. A. Verhoef and A. van Wijk, "Fuel cell electric vehicle as a power plant: Fully renewable integrated transport and energy system design and analysis for smart city areas," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, vol. 42, no. 12, pp. 8166-8196, 2017.
- [5] "GPS POI for Germany," [Online]. Available: <https://poi.gps-data-team.com/germany/petrol/>. [Accessed 01 2018].
- [6] IEC, "IEC 61400-1 (Wind Turbines - Part 1: Design Requirements)," IEC, 2008.
- [7] "Public Datasets," ECWMF - European Center for Weather Medium Range Forecasts, [Online]. Available: <http://apps.ecmwf.int/datasets/>. [Accessed July 2017].
- [8] "Climate Data Center," Deutscher Wetterdienst, [Online]. Available: <https://www.dwd.de/EN/ourservices/cdcftp/cdcftp.html>. [Accessed June 2017].
- [9] "Enercon E-141," Enercom.com, [Online]. Available: <https://www.enercon.de/en/products/ep-4/e-141-ep4/>. [Accessed June 2017].
- [10] "Enercon E-141," wind-turbine-models.com, [Online]. Available: <https://en.wind-turbine-models.com/turbines/1297-enercon-e-141-ep4>. [Accessed June 2017].
- [11] Siemens, "Hydrogen@Siemens," [Online]. Available: http://www.utilities.nl/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/Siemens-FME-Industrie-en-Energie-2017_H2.pdf. [Accessed June 2017].
- [12] G. Parks, R. Boyd, J. Cornish and R. Remick, "Hydrogen Station Compression, Storage, and Dispensing Technical Status and Costs: Systems Integration," NREL, 2014.
- [13] Linde, "Linde - Hydrogen technologies - The ionic compressor IC90 (90MPa)," [Online]. Available: https://www.boconline.co.uk/internet.lg.lg.gbr/en/images/Datasheet_Ionic%20Fueller%20IC90410_410855.pdf?v=2.0. [Accessed June 2017].
- [14] M. Beckman, "Linder Hydrogen Fueling Overview," November 2014. [Online]. Available: https://www.hydrogen.energy.gov/pdfs/htac_nov14_9_beckman.pdf. [Accessed June 2017].
- [15] C. Tang-Ping, "Hydrogen Delivery Infrastructure Option Analysis," Nexant, 2008.
- [16] Ecofys, "Mapping the cost of capital for renewable energy investments in the EU," [Online]. Available: <http://www.ecofys.com/en/press/mapping-the-cost-of-capital-for-renewable-energy-investments-in-the-eu/>. [Accessed June 2017].
- [17] IRENA, "The Power to Change: Solar and Wind Cost Reduction Potential to 2025," IRENA, 2016.
- [18] T. Stehly, D. Heimiller and G. Scott, "2016 Cost of Wind Energy Review," NREL, 2016.
- [19] W. Colella, B. D. James, J. M. Moton, G. Saur and T. Ramsden, "Techno-economic analysis of PEM electrolysis for hydrogen production," [Online]. Available: https://www.energy.gov/sites/prod/files/2014/08/f18/cto_2014_electrolytic_h2_ws_hp_coella1.pdf. [Accessed June 2017].
- [20] T. Smolinka, "Cost break-down and analysis of PEM electrolysis systems for different industrial and gas applications," 2015.
- [21] W. Zhang, F. Ren, Z. Feng and J. Wang, "Manufacturing Cost Analysis of Novel Steel / Concrete Composite Vessel for Stationary Storage of High-Pressure Hydrogen," 2012.
- [22] J. Pratt, D. Terlip, C. Ainscough, J. Kurt and A. Elgowainy, "H2FIRST Reference Station Design Task Project," NREL, 2015.
- [23] A. Elgowainy, K. Reddi, M. Mintz and D. Brown, "H2A delivery scenario analysis model," NREL, 2015.
- [24] "Hyundai ix35 FCEV specifications," [Online]. Available: https://www.fuelcell.eu/downloads/pdf/ix35_Fuel_Fell_2013_Brochure.pdf. [Accessed June 2017].
- [25] "Automobile Catalog - Hyundai ix35 specifications," [Online]. Available: http://www.automobile-catalog.com/car/2013/1978835/hyundai_ix35_1_6_gdi_2wd.html. [Accessed February 2018].
- [26] "Chart of fuel prices in Germany - Price Statistics," [Online]. Available: <https://www.mylpg.eu/stations/germany/prices>. [Accessed April 2018].
- [27] T. Chen, "Hydrogen Delivery Infrastructure Options Analysis," Nexant Inc., 2008.
- [28] K. Reddi, A. Elgowainy, Sutherland and Erika, "Hydrogen refuelling station compression and storage optimization with tube-trailer deliveries," *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2014.
- [29] S. H. Siyal, U. Mortberg, D. Mentis, M. Welsch, I. Babelon and M. Howells, "Wind energy assessment considering geographic and environmental restrictions in Sweden: A GIS-based approach," *Energy*, vol. 83, 2015.
- [30] F. C. Fang and N. Torres, "Analysis of Hydrogen Station Network using Geographic Information Systems (GIS)," in *14th International IEEE Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, Washington DC, 2011.
- [31] S. Hussain Siyal, M. Hopper, A. Lefvert, D. Mentis, A. Korkovelos, O. Lopez De Briñas Gorosabel, C. Varela González and M. Howells, "GIS-based preliminary wind-hydrogen energy assessment: A case study for Pakistan," in *EGU2017*, Vienna, 2017.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work is being granted by OpenTechnologieProgramma DUCT4U project (12728) of STW (The Netherlands)