

Delft University of Technology

# First-Principles Study on the Elastic Mechanical Properties and Anisotropies of Gold-Copper Intermetallic Compounds

Wang, Jian; Qin, Hongbo; Chen, Junfu ; Yang, Daoguo; Zhang, Guoqi

DOI 10.3390/met12060959

Publication date 2022 **Document Version** Final published version Published in

Metals

# Citation (APA)

Wang, J., Qin, H., Chen, J., Yang, D., & Zhang, G. (2022). First-Principles Study on the Elastic Mechanical Properties and Anisotropies of Gold–Copper Intermetallic Compounds. *Metals*, *12*(6), 1-14. Article 959. https://doi.org/10.3390/met12060959

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.





Jian Wang <sup>1,2</sup>, Hongbo Qin <sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Junfu Chen <sup>3,\*</sup>, Daoguo Yang <sup>1,2</sup> and Guoqi Zhang <sup>4</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Engineering Research Center of Electronic Information Materials and Devices, Ministry of Education, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin 541004, China; 20012201037@mails.guet.edu.cn (J.W.); d.g.yang@guet.edu.cn (D.Y.)
- <sup>2</sup> Guangxi Key Laboratory of Manufacturing System and Advanced Manufacturing Technology, School of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Guilin University of Electronic Technology, Guilin 541004, China <sup>3</sup> Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Advanced Wolding Technology, China Ukraine Institute of Wolding
- Guangdong Provincial Key Laboratory of Advanced Welding Technology, China-Ukraine Institute of Welding, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510650, China
- <sup>4</sup> EEMCS Faculty, Delft University of Technology, 2628 Delft, The Netherlands; g.q.zhang@tudelft.nl
- \* Correspondence: qinhb@guet.edu.cn (H.Q.); chenjf@gwi.gd.cn (J.C.); Tel.: +86-773-229-0108 (H.Q.)

**Abstract:** In this study, first-principles calculations were utilized to investigate the lattice constants, elastic constants, and mechanical properties of gold–copper (Au–Cu) intermetallic compounds (IMCs), including AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu. We also verified the direction dependence of the Young's modulus, shear modulus, and Poisson's ratio of the compounds. The calculated lattice parameters agreed with the experimental data, and the single-crystal elastic constants, elastic modulus *E*, shear modulus *G*, bulk modulus *B*, and Poisson's ratio  $\nu$  were calculated. For the Young's and shear moduli, AuCu<sub>3</sub> showed the highest anisotropy, followed by AuCu and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu. The Poisson's ratios of AuCu<sub>3</sub> and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu crystals were isotropic on (100) and (111) crystal planes and anisotropic on the (110) crystal plane. However, the Poisson's ratio of the AuCu crystal was anisotropic on (100) and (111) crystal planes and isotropic on the (110) crystal plane.

Keywords: first-principles; Au-Cu intermetallic compounds; mechanical properties; anisotropy

# 1. Introduction

Gold-copper (Au-Cu) alloy systems are binary mixtures with high electrical and thermal conductivities and excellent mechanical strength and chemical stability, and they are widely used in catalysis, electronics industries, and biological materials [1,2]. There are three Au–Cu intermetallic compounds (IMCs), including AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu, in the Au–Cu alloy phase diagram reported by Okamoto et al. [3]. Janczak et al. [4] employed X-ray powder diffraction to study the composition and structure of Au-Cu IMCs during annealing and confirmed the presence of these three compounds. Ravi et al. [5] carried out mutual diffusion experiments of Au–Cu system at different temperatures. Singh et al. [6] examined the alloy behavior of Au-Cu via transmission electron microscopy and high-resolution phase-contrast microscopy. However, to date, the physical and mechanical properties of the three IMCs have not been determined due to the difficulty in obtaining pure samples of sufficient sizes. Several first-principles simulation studies on Au-Cu IMCs have been reported. Mohri et al. [7] conducted a complete phase stability analysis of Au-Cu IMCs. Xie et al. [8] calculated the potential energies, heat of formation, and critical temperatures of order-disorder transitions of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu IMCs and AuCu<sub>3</sub>-, AuCu-, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu-type ordered alloys with maximal ordering degrees. Ozolins et al. [9] investigated the phase stability, thermodynamic properties, and bond lengths of Au-Cu alloys. Hu et al. [10] studied the stability and thermal properties of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu and calculated the phonon spectrum and phonon density of states. Kong et al. [11] evaluated the structure, elasticity, and thermodynamic properties of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.



Citation: Wang, J.; Qin, H.; Chen, J.; Yang, D.; Zhang, G. First-Principles Study on the Elastic Mechanical Properties and Anisotropies of Gold–Copper Intermetallic Compounds. *Metals* **2022**, *12*, 959. https://doi.org/10.3390/ met12060959

Academic Editors: Yonghua Duan and Alain Pasturel

Received: 5 May 2022 Accepted: 31 May 2022 Published: 2 June 2022

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2022 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Although several studies on Au–Cu IMCs have been reported, most of them do not consider the anisotropy of Au–Cu IMCs. However, the abnormal growth of crystal grains, transformation and formation of material structure, and formation of microcracks are closely related to the anisotropy of the material [12,13]. There is, therefore, a need for further research on the elastic mechanical properties and anisotropy to increase the applications of Au–Cu IMC and improve the reliability and structure of designs. In this study, we calculated the elastic constants of monocrystalline AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu based on the first-principles method. Next, according to the Voigt–Reuss–Hill approximation, we obtained the Young's, bulk, and shear moduli and the Poisson's ratio of polycrystalline IMCs. Finally, we examined the directional dependence and anisotropic degree of IMCs.

#### 2. Methods and Computational Details

All first-principles calculations for Au–Cu IMCs were performed using the Cambridge Serial Total Energy Package (CASTEP) code based on the density functional theory (DFT) [14]. The generalized gradient approximation (GGA) with the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) functional [15] was selected to estimate the exchange-correlation energy for Au and Cu. The kinetic energy cutoff and self-consistent field tolerance for plane waves were set at 440 eV and  $1.0 \times 10^{-6}$  eV/atom, respectively [11]. For different Au–Cu alloy structures, different Monkhorst–Pack grids [16] were used to sample the Brillouin zone to produce different *k*-points in each structure. The *k*-point sampling in the first irreducible zones of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu was  $8 \times 8 \times 8$ ,  $9 \times 9 \times 7$ , and  $8 \times 8 \times 8$ , respectively. All *k*-point settings were convergent with respect to total energy (see Appendix A). The Broyden–Fletcher–Goldfarb–Shannon (BFGS) algorithm [17] was used to optimize the space group and lattice constants of the Au–Cu IMCs. The convergence tolerance of energy and maximum force were set at  $4.0 \times 10^{-6}$  eV/atom and 0.01 eV/Å. The maximum displacement was set at  $4.0 \times 10^{-4}$  Å. Figure 1 shows the unit cells of the three Au–Cu IMCs considered herein.



**Figure 1.** Crystal structures of gold–copper (Au–Cu) intermetallic compounds (IMCs): (**a**) AuCu<sub>3</sub>, (**b**) AuCu, and (**c**) Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.

### 3. Simulation Methods

3.1. Lattice Constants and Elastic Properties

AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu were investigated, and Table 1 lists the calculated and experimental lattice constants. The calculated values are consistent with the experimental results with an average deviation of less than 2%.

Phase	Ref.	Crystal System	Space Group	<i>k-</i> Points Mesh	a(Å)	c(Å)
AuCu <sub>3</sub>	This work Exp. [18] error/%	Cubic	Pm-3m	$8 \times 8 \times 8$	3.778589 3.747000 0.84	
AuCu	This work Exp. [19] error/%	Tetragonal	P4/mmm	9 imes 9 imes 7	2.840972 2.806000 1.25	3.708597 3.67000 1.05
Au <sub>3</sub> Cu	This work Exp. [20] error/%	Cubic	Pm-3m	8  imes 8  imes 8	4.042688 3.965000 1.96	

Table 1. Calculated and experimental lattice constants of Au-Cu IMCs.

Elastic constants are vital for crystals. They can correlate the microscopic properties of materials with macroscopic mechanical behaviors. Elastic constants can be calculated using Hooke's law based on the stress–strain relationship:

$$\sigma_{ij} = C_{ijkl} \varepsilon_{ij} \tag{1}$$

where  $C_{ijkl}$  represents the elastic constant, also known as the stiffness matrix. The stress-strain matrix can be written as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{1} \\ \sigma_{2} \\ \sigma_{3} \\ \tau_{1} \\ \tau_{2} \\ \tau_{3} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} C_{11} & C_{12} & C_{13} & C_{14} & C_{15} & C_{16} \\ & C_{22} & C_{23} & C_{24} & C_{25} & C_{26} \\ & & C_{33} & C_{34} & C_{35} & C_{36} \\ & & & C_{44} & C_{45} & C_{46} \\ & & & & C_{55} & C_{56} \\ & & & & & & C_{66} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{1} \\ \varepsilon_{2} \\ \varepsilon_{3} \\ \gamma_{1} \\ \gamma_{2} \\ \gamma_{3} \end{pmatrix}$$
(2)

where  $\sigma_i$ ,  $\tau_i$ ,  $\varepsilon_i$ , and  $\gamma_i$  are the normal stress, shear stress, normal strain, and shear strain, respectively. The elastic constants of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu calculated herein and the reported values are listed in Table 2. The two sets of data are in good agreement. The elastic flexibility matrix was obtained by inverting the elastic stiffness matrix (i.e.,  $S_{ij} = [C_{ij}]^{-1}$ ). According to the symmetry of Au–Cu IMCs, to express the elastic flexibility matrix, there are three  $S_{ij}$  (i.e.,  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$ , and  $S_{44}$ ) for AuCu<sub>3</sub> and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu and six  $S_{ij}$  (i.e.,  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$ ,  $S_{13}$ ,  $S_{33}$ ,  $S_{44}$ , and  $S_{66}$ ) for AuCu. Herein, the calculated values of  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$ , and  $S_{44}$  for AuCu<sub>3</sub> were 0.01430, -0.00597, and 0.015380, respectively, and those for Au<sub>3</sub>Cu are 0.02075, -0.00921, and 0.05313, respectively. For AuCu,  $S_{11}$ ,  $S_{12}$ ,  $S_{13}$ ,  $S_{33}$ ,  $S_{44}$ , and  $S_{66}$  were 0.00693, -0.00007, -0.00510, 0.01392, 0.02170, and 0.03424, respectively.

Table 2. Calculated elastic constants of Au-Cu IMCs (GPa).

Ref.	Phase	<i>C</i> <sub>11</sub>	C <sub>33</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>44</sub>	C <sub>66</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>12</sub>	<i>C</i> <sub>13</sub>
This work	AuCu <sub>3</sub>	173.9		65.0		124.6	
Ref. [10]	AuCu <sub>3</sub>	180.9		65.1		119.3	
This work	AuCu	231.7	158.1	46.1	29.2	89.0	117.6
Ref. [11]	AuCu	229.8	159.6	45.8	33.3	90.0	118.4
This work	Au <sub>3</sub> Cu	165.2		18.8		131.8	
Ref. [11]	Au <sub>3</sub> Cu	165.4		23.9		128.7	

Before calculating the Young's, shear, and bulk moduli and the Poisson's ratio of a lattice, it is important to examine its mechanical stability. For the cubic crystals,  $AuCu_3$  and  $Au_3Cu$ , the mechanical stability criteria are as follows [21]:

$$C_{11} - C_{12} > 0, \ C_{11} > 0, \ C_{44} > 0, \ C_{11} + 2C_{12} > 0$$
 (3)

For the tetragonal crystal AuCu, the criteria are [22]:

$$C_{11} > 0, C_{33} > 0, C_{44} > 0, C_{66} > 0, C_{11} - C_{12} > 0, C_{11} + C_{33} - 2C_{13} > 0, 2C_{11} + C_{33} + 2C_{12} + 4C_{13} > 0$$
(4)

According to the elastic constants listed in Table 2, the lattices of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu are stable. Moreover, the Young's, shear, and bulk moduli and the Poisson's ratio play decisive roles in evaluating the mechanical properties of the materials. Herein, the Voigt–Reuss–Hill (VRH) method [23] was employed to approximate the elastic moduli. The calculation of the elastic moduli based on the VRH approximation depends on the type of crystal. For the cubic crystals AuCu<sub>3</sub> and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu, the bulk moduli,  $B_V$  and  $B_R$ , and shear moduli,  $G_V$  and  $G_R$ , can be calculated using Equations (5)–(8), respectively [24]:

$$B_V = \frac{1}{3}(C_{11} + 2C_{12}) \tag{5}$$

$$G_V = \frac{1}{5}(C_{11} - C_{12} + 3C_{44}) \tag{6}$$

$$B_R = \frac{1}{3S_{11} + 6S_{12}} \tag{7}$$

$$G_R = \frac{15}{4S_{11} - 4S_{12} + 3S_{44}} \tag{8}$$

For the tetragonal crystal AuCu,  $B_V$ ,  $B_R$ ,  $G_V$ , and  $G_R$  can be calculated using Equations (9)–(13), respectively [25]:

$$B_V = \frac{1}{9}(2C_{11} + 2C_{12} + 4C_{13} + C_{33}) \tag{9}$$

$$B_R = \frac{C_{33}(C_{11} + C_{12}) - 2C_{13}^2}{C_{11} + C_{12} + 2C_{33} - 4C_{13}}$$
(10)

$$G_V = \frac{1}{15} (2C_{11} - C_{12} - 2C_{13} + C_{33} + 6C_{44} + 2C_{66}) \tag{11}$$

$$G_R = \frac{15}{18B_V/C^2 + 6/(C_{11} - C_{12}) + 6/S_{44} + 3/C_{66}}$$
(12)

$$C^{2} = (C_{11} + C_{12})C_{33} - 2C_{13}^{2}$$
(13)

where  $B_V$ ,  $B_R$ ,  $G_V$ , and  $G_R$  are the upper and lower limits of the polycrystalline bulk modulus *B* and shear modulus *G*, respectively. The calculated bulk and shear moduli are the arithmetic average of the two limits [26]. The bulk modulus *B* and shear modulus *G* are expressed as follows:

$$B = \frac{1}{2}(B_R + B_V) \tag{14}$$

$$G = \frac{1}{2}(G_R + G_V) \tag{15}$$

Next, Young's modulus E and Poisson's ratio v can be calculated from B and G as Equations (16) and (17), respectively:

$$E = \frac{9BG}{3B+G} \tag{16}$$

$$\nu = \frac{3B - E}{6B} \tag{17}$$

The calculated B, G, E, and v are listed in Table 3. The bulk modulus reflects the resistance of a material to external uniform compression in an elastic system and is related to the elasticity of the chemical bond. Herein, the bulk moduli of the three IMCs were similar. The magnitude of the Young's modulus indicates the stiffness of the material. The

higher the Young's modulus of a material, the less likely it is to deform. Table 3 shows that the Young's modulus of Au–Cu IMCs decreased with increasing Au content (from AuCu<sub>3</sub> to Au<sub>3</sub>Cu), and the shear modulus showed a similar trend. Poisson's ratio is an elastic constant that reflects the lateral deformation of a material. The calculated results show that Poisson's ratio increased with an increase in Au content in the three IMCs (Table 3). Vickers hardness  $H_V$  is calculated using Equation (18) [27]:

$$H_V = 0.92(G/B)^{1.3137} G^{0.708}$$
<sup>(18)</sup>

**Table 3.** Calculated bulk modulus *B*, shear modulus *G*, Young's modulus *E*, *B*/*G* ratio, Poisson ratio  $\nu$ , and Vickers hardness  $H_V$  of Au–Cu IMCs.

Phase	B (GPa)				G (GPa)		DIC.	$\Gamma(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{P}_{2})$		$H_V$
	$B_{\rm V}$	B <sub>R</sub>	В	$G_{\rm V}$	G <sub>R</sub>	G	B/G	E (GPa)	V	(GPa)
AuCu <sub>3</sub>	141.03	141.03	141.03	48.88	39.31	44.09	3.20	119.80	0.358	2.919
AuCu	141.08	138.37	139.73	44.10	38.94	41.52	3.37	113.34	0.365	2.611
Au <sub>3</sub> Cu	142.93	142.93	142.93	17.97	17.91	17.94	7.97	51.65	0.440	0.462

The calculated  $H_V$  is listed in Table 3. The Vickers hardness Hv and Young's modulus E of Au–Cu IMCs showed the same trend (i.e., AuCu<sub>3</sub> > AuCu > Au<sub>3</sub>Cu). Pugh [28] established a ductility index (B/G ratio) to evaluate the ductility of materials. High values of B/G indicate high ductility, and vice versa. If the ratio is higher than 1.75, the material is ductile; otherwise, it is brittle. Herein, the B/G ratios of the three Au–Cu IMCs were higher than 1.75, implying that they are ductile materials. The ductility increased with the Au content. The Poisson's ratio v is also related to B/G. A material with v greater than 0.26 is considered ductile [29]. For the Au–Cu IMCs, B/G was greater than 1.75, and v was greater than 0.26, confirming that they are ductile.

#### 3.2. Elastic Anisotropy

Elastic anisotropy determines many basic properties of materials and is important for predicting the fracture toughness of materials. The universal anisotropy index  $A^U$  and percent anisotropy indices of compression and shear ( $A_B$  and  $A_G$ ) are used to evaluate the elastic anisotropy of a material, and they are expressed as follows [11]:

$$A^{U} = 5\frac{G_{V}}{G_{B}} + \frac{B_{V}}{B_{R}} - 6 \tag{19}$$

$$A_B = \frac{B_V - B_R}{B_V + B_R} \times 100\% \tag{20}$$

$$A_G = \frac{G_V - G_R}{G_V + G_R} \times 100\% \tag{21}$$

For  $A^U$ ,  $A_B$ , and  $A_G$ , if the value is 0, the crystal is isotropic. The greater their deviation from 0, the higher the degree of anisotropy. Herein,  $A^U$  of AuCu<sub>3</sub> was 1.217, indicating that AuCu<sub>3</sub> is anisotropic. The  $A_B$  and  $A_G$  were 0 and 10.85, respectively, indicating that AuCu<sub>3</sub> has no compression but shear anisotropy. The  $A^U$ ,  $A_B$ , and  $A_G$  for AuCu were 0.682, 0.97, and 5.27, respectively, indicating that AuCu has lower universal, compression, and shear anisotropy. The  $A^U$  for Au<sub>3</sub>Cu was 0.017, indicating that the degree of universal anisotropy of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu is low. Also, the  $A_B$  and  $A_G$  for Au<sub>3</sub>Cu were 0 and 0.17, respectively, indicating that the compound has no compressive anisotropy and weakest shear anisotropy.

To further investigate the tangential anisotropy of Au–Cu IMCs, we employed the anisotropy factors  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$ . The index of  $A_1$  represented the shear anisotropy factor between [011] and [010] crystal orientations on the (100) crystal plane, Similarly,  $A_2$  was the shear anisotropy factor between [101] and [001] orientations on the (010) crystal plane,

and  $A_3$  was that between [110] and [010] orientations on the (001) crystal plane. For cubic crystals,  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  were expressed as follows [11]:

$$A_1 = A_2 = A_3 = \frac{4C_{44}}{C_{22} + C_{33} - 2C_{13}}$$
(22)

For tetragonal crystal [11]

$$A_1 = A_2 = \frac{4C_{44}}{C_{11} + C_{33} - 2C_{13}}, \ A_3 = \frac{4C_{66}}{2C_{11} - 2C_{12}}$$
(23)

The greater the difference between the anisotropy factors and 1, the higher the anisotropy of the crystal was. The calculated values are shown in Table 4. The  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  for AuCu<sub>3</sub> were 2.63, which is the highest deviation from 1, indicating that AuCu<sub>3</sub> exhibits the highest shear anisotropy. For Au<sub>3</sub>Cu, the  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$ , and  $A_3$  were 1.13, indicating negligible shear anisotropy. For AuCu, the  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  were 1.19, and  $A_3$  was 0.41, indicating that AuCu has mild shear anisotropy, between that of AuCu<sub>3</sub> and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.

Table 4. Calculated anisotropic index of Au–Cu IMCs.

Phase	$A^{U}$	$A_{\mathbf{B}}$	$A_{G}$	$A_1$	$A_2$	$A_3$
AuCu <sub>3</sub>	1.217	0	10.85	2.63	2.63	2.63
AuCu	0.682	0.97	5.27	1.19	1.19	0.41
Au <sub>3</sub> Cu	0.017	0	0.17	1.13	1.13	1.13

To further evaluate the anisotropy of Au–Cu IMCs, the Young's modulus in three dimensions was calculated. For the cubic crystals  $AuCu_3$  and  $Au_3Cu$ , the three-dimensional (3D) expression of *E* is given by Equation (24) [24]:

$$\frac{1}{E} = S_{11} - 2(S_{11} - S_{12} - 0.5S_{44}) \left( l_1^2 l_2^2 + l_2^2 l_3^2 + l_1^2 l_3^2 \right)$$
(24)

For the tetragonal crystal, AuCu [30]

$$\frac{1}{E} = S_{11} \left( l_1^4 + l_2^4 \right) + (2S_{13} + S_{44}) \left( l_1^2 l_3^2 + l_2^2 l_3^2 \right) + S_{33} l_3^4 + (2S_{12} + S_{66}) l_1^2 l_2^2$$
(25)

where  $l_1$ ,  $l_2$ , and  $l_3$  are the direction cosines of the a-, b-, and c-axes, respectively. Figures 2 and 3 show E for the 3D surface and cross-section of the IMCs. The degree of anisotropy depends on the deviation of a geometrical body from the spherical shape. If a geometrical body is a sphere, it exhibits isotropy. Thus, the Young's modulus of AuCu<sub>3</sub> showed the highest anisotropy, and that of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu showed the lowest anisotropy, as shown in Figure 2. The Young's modulus of AuCu<sub>3</sub> had a maximum value of 169.05 GPa in the <111> crystal directions and a minimum value of 69.94 GPa in the <100> directions. In comparison, Au<sub>3</sub>Cu had a maximum Young's modulus of 54.099 GPa in the <111> crystal orientation directions and a lowest Young's modulus of 48.19 GPa in the <100> crystallographic directions. The tetragonal AuCu had a maximum Young's modulus of 147.25 GPa in the [201], [021], [201], [021], [201], [021], [201], and [021] directions and a minimum Young's modulus of 71.85 GPa in the [001] and [00] directions. In directions perpendicular to the normal direction to the (001) plane, the bulk modulus had a maximum value of 568.86 GPa, whereas it was minimum in the [001] and [001] crystal directions (269.50 GPa). The maximum and minimum Young's moduli are listed in Table 5. The anisotropy ratio  $E_{max}/E_{min}$  was employed to quantify the degree of anisotropy of the Young's modulus, and the larger the anisotropy ratio, the higher the anisotropy was [31]. AuCu<sub>3</sub> had the highest  $E_{max}/E_{min}$  of 2.42, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu had the minimum value of 1.12.



**Figure 2.** Directional dependence of Young's moduli: (**a**) Young's modulus of AuCu<sub>3</sub>; (**b**) Young's modulus of AuCu; (**c**) Young's modulus of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.



**Figure 3.** Cross-sections of Young's modulus *E* on the (**a**) *yz*, (**b**) *xz*, and (**c**) *xy* planes of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.

Table 5. Anisotropy ratios and maximum and minimum Young's moduli *E* for AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.

	AuCu <sub>3</sub>				AuCu				Au <sub>3</sub> Cu			
	Whole	yz	xz	xy	Whole	yz	xz	xy	Whole	yz	xz	xy
$E_{\max}$ (GPa)	169.05	124.86	124.86	124.86	147.25	143.82	143.82	144.2	54.09	52.48	52.48	52.48
E <sub>min</sub> (GPa) Anisotropy ratios	69.94 2.42	69.94 1.79	69.94 1.79	69.94 1.79	71.85 2.05	71.85 2.00	71.85 2.00	83.41 1.73	48.19 1.12	48.19 1.09	48.19 1.09	48.19 1.09

Shear modulus *G* is related to l and n, which are mutually perpendicular vectors (Figure 4). A crystal shears at the plane perpendicular to the vector n. In a particular direction l, *G* changes with n, and it can be determined using Equation (26) [32].

$$\frac{1}{G}(\boldsymbol{l},\boldsymbol{n}) = 4[2S_{12} - (S_{11} + S_{22} - S_{66})]l_1n_1l_2n_2 + S_{66}(l_1n_2 - l_2n_1)^2 
+4(l_1n_2 + l_2n_1)[(S_{16} - S_{36})l_1n_1 + (S_{26} - S_{36})l_2n_2] + 4[2S_{23} - (S_{22} + S_{33} - S_{44})]l_2n_2l_3n_3 
+4(l_2n_3 + l_3n_2)[(S_{24} - S_{14})l_2n_2 + (S_{34} - S_{14})l_3n_3] + 4[2S_{31} - (S_{33} + S_{11} - S_{55})]l_3n_3l_1n_1 
+4(l_3n_1 + l_1n_3)[(S_{35} - S_{25})l_2n_3 + (S_{15} - S_{25})l_1n_1] + S_{44}(l_2n_3 - l_3n_2)^2 + S_{55}(l_3n_1 - l_1n_3)^2 
+2S_{45}(l_2n_3 + l_3n_2)(l_3n_1 + l_1n_3) + 2S_{56}(l_3n_1 + l_1n_3)(l_1n_2 + l_2n_1) + 2S_{64}(l_1n_2 + l_2n_1)(l_2n_3 + l_3n_2)$$
(26)



**Figure 4.** Spherical coordinate diagrams of shear moduli *G* with respect to perpendicular vectors, *l* and *n*.

*l* and *n* are related as follows:

$$n_1 l_1 + n_2 l_2 + n_3 l_3 = 0 \tag{27}$$

Usually, the maximum and minimum values in each direction are used to evaluate the shear modulus G. Figures 5 and 6 show the 3D shape and cross-section of the shear modulus for the three Au–Cu IMCs. The shear anisotropy of AuCu<sub>3</sub> was the highest, followed by that of AuCu and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu, which was consistent with  $A_{\rm G}$  in Table 4. Furthermore, the maximum shear modulus of AuCu<sub>3</sub> was maximum in directions perpendicular to the (001), (010), and (100) crystal planes and minimum in the <111> direction. On the other hand, the minimum shear modulus was maximum in the <110> direction and maximum in the <100> direction. Similar to AuCu<sub>3</sub>, the maximum shear modulus of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu was minimum in <111> and maximum in directions perpendicular to the (001), (010), and (100) crystal planes. Additionally, the minimum shear modulus of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu was maximum in the <100> direction and minimum in the <110> direction. As shown in Figure 5, the maximum shear modulus of AuCu was maximum in the [110], [110], [110], and [110] crystal directions and minimum in the [011], [101], [011], [011], [011], [101], [011], and [101] directions. On the other hand, the minimum shear modulus of AuCu was maximum in the [110], [110], [110], [110], [001], and [001] directions and minimum in the <111> and [100], [100], [010], and [010] crystal directions. The maximum and minimum values of the shear modulus G and the anisotropy ratio  $G_{max}/G_{min}$  for the compounds are listed in Table 6. AuCu<sub>3</sub> had the largest anisotropy ratio (2.63), and  $Au_3Cu$  had the lowest (1.13). For AuCu, the anisotropy ratio was 2.58 in all planes, and the anisotropy ratios of the yz and xz planes were the same (1.58), whereas that on the *xy* plane was 2.44.

Table 6. Anisotro	py ratios and max	mum and minimur	n share moduli G fe	or AuCu <sub>3</sub> , AuC	u, and Au <sub>3</sub> Cu.
	12			0,	, 0

		Au	Cu <sub>3</sub>		AuCu				Au <sub>3</sub> Cu			
	Whole	yz	xz	xy	Whole	yz	xz	xy	Whole	yz	xz	xy
G <sub>max</sub> (GPa)	65.02	65.02	65.02	65.02	71.31	46.10	46.10	71.31	18.82	18.82	18.82	18.82
G <sub>min</sub> (GPa)	24.68	24.68	24.68	24.68	27.69	29.24	29.24	29.24	16.69	16.69	16.69	16.69
Anisotropy ratios	2.63	2.63	2.63	2.63	2.58	1.58	1.58	2.44	1.13	1.13	1.13	1.13



**Figure 5.** Direction dependence of shear modulus: (**a**) maximum shear modulus of AuCu<sub>3</sub>; (**b**) minimum shear modulus of AuCu<sub>3</sub>; (**c**) maximum shear modulus of AuCu; (**d**) minimum shear modulus of AuCu; (**e**) maximum shear modulus of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu; and (**f**) minimum shear modulus of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.



**Figure 6.** Cross-sections of shear modulus *G* in the (**a**) *yz*, (**b**) *xz*, and (**c**) *xy* planes for AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.

Poisson's ratio is an important index of elastic constant reflecting the transverse deformation of materials. For the cubic crystals AuCu<sub>3</sub> and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu, the ratio is expressed as follows [33]:

$$v(hkl,\theta) = \left\{ S_{12} + \frac{S_0}{h^2 + k^2 + l^2} \left[ \left( \frac{h^2 l}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2} \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}} \cos \theta - \frac{hk}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2}} \sin \theta \right)^2 + \left( \frac{k^2 l}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2} \sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}} \cos \theta + \frac{hk}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2}} \sin \theta \right)^2 + \left( \frac{l\sqrt{h^2 + k^2}}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + l^2}} \cos \theta \right)^2 \right] \right\}$$
(28)  
$$/ \left[ -S_{11} + 2S_0 \frac{(hk)^2 + (hl)^2 + (lk)^2}{(h^2 + k^2 + l^2)^2} \right]$$

$$S_0 = S_{11} - S_{12} - \frac{1}{2}S_{44} \tag{29}$$

For the tetragonal crystal, AuCu [34]:

$$\begin{aligned} v(hkl,\theta) &= -\left\{\frac{S_{11}}{h^2 + k^2} \left[ \left(\frac{h^2 L}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}} \cos \theta - hk \sin \theta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{k^2 L}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}} \cos \theta + hk \sin \theta \right)^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{S_{12}}{h^2 + k^2} \left[ \left(\frac{hkl}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}} \cos \theta - k^2 \sin \theta \right)^2 + \left(\frac{hkL}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}} \cos \theta + h^2 \sin \theta \right)^2 \right] \\ &+ S_{13} \left[ (h^2 + k^2) \cos^2 \theta + L^2 \right] \\ &+ \frac{S_{66}}{h^2 + k^2} \left( \frac{hkl}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}} \cos \theta - k^2 \sin \theta \right) \left( \frac{hkL}{\sqrt{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}} \cos \theta + h^2 \sin \theta \right) \\ &+ (S_{33} - 2S_{13} - S_{44}) \frac{(h^2 + k^2) L^2}{h^2 + k^2 + L^2} \cos^2 \theta \\ &\times \frac{h^2 + k^2 + L^2}{S_{11} (h^4 + k^4) + (2S_{12} + S_{66}) h^2 k^2 + (2S_{13} + S_{44}) (h^2 + k^2) L^2 + S_{33} L^4} \end{aligned}$$
(30)

where *h*, *k*, and *l* are the Miller indices. L = (a/c)l, where *a* and *c* are the lattice constants of the tetragonal crystal. The Poisson's ratios of the three IMCs on three low-index crystal planes ((100), (110), and (111)) along different directions are shown in Figures 7–9. The Poisson's ratios of AuCu<sub>3</sub> on the (100) and (111) crystal planes were 0.417 and 0.300, respectively, and the shape was circular, indicating that it is isotropic. However, it showed high anisotropy on the (110) crystal plane, and the minimum and maximum values were 0.040 and 0.745 in [110] and [001] directions, respectively. For AuCu, the Poisson's ratio was circular on the (110) crystal plane with a value of 0.428, indicating no anisotropy. AuCu exhibited anisotropy on the (100) plane with Poisson's ratios of 0.019 and 0.736 for the [010] and [001] directions, respectively. AuCu also showed high anisotropy on the (111) plane with Poisson's ratios of 0.518 and 0.260 in [110] and [112] crystal directions, respectively. Further, the Poisson's ratio of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu on (100) and (111) crystal planes was 0.444 and 0.437, respectively, indicating no significant anisotropy on the planes. However, it exhibited anisotropy on the (110) crystal plane, where the minimum and maximum values were 0.39 and 0.48 in the [110] and [001] directions, respectively. In summary, for Poisson's ratio, Au<sub>3</sub>Cu and AuCu<sub>3</sub> crystals exhibited isotropy on the (100) and (111) crystal planes and anisotropy on the (110) crystal plane, whereas AuCu was anisotropic on the (100) and (111) crystal planes and isotropic on the (110) crystal plane.



**Figure 7.** Direction dependence of Poisson's ratio on low-index planes of AuCu<sub>3</sub>: (**a**) (100), (**b**) (110), and (**c**) (111).



**Figure 8.** Direction dependence of Poisson's ratio on low-index planes of AuCu: (**a**) (100), (**b**) (110), and (**c**) (111).



**Figure 9.** Direction dependence of Poisson's ratio on low-index planes of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu: (**a**) (100), (**b**) (110), and (**c**) (111).

## 4. Conclusions

In this study, we employed the first-principles method to extensively explore the elastic mechanical properties and anisotropy of Au–Cu IMCs, and the following conclusions were drawn from the results:

- 1. The Young's modulus, shear modulus, and Poisson's ratio increased with Au content (i.e., from AuCu<sub>3</sub> through AuCu to Au<sub>3</sub>Cu). However, the bulk moduli of the compounds were similar.
- 2. The Au–Cu IMCs exhibited excellent ductility in this order: Au<sub>3</sub>Cu > AuCu > AuCu<sub>3</sub>.
- 3. For the Young's modulus and shear modulus, the three Au–Cu IMCs were anisotropic, and among them, AuCu<sub>3</sub> showed the highest anisotropy.
- 4. The Poisson's ratios of Au<sub>3</sub>Cu and AuCu<sub>3</sub> were isotropic on the (100) and (111) crystal planes and anisotropic on the (110) crystal plane. However, the Poisson's ratio of the AuCu crystal was anisotropic on the (100) and (111) crystal planes and isotropic on the (110) crystal planes.

**Author Contributions:** J.W.: conceptualization, testing, software, writing—review and editing; J.C., D.Y. and G.Z.: supervision, visualization; H.Q.: data curation, writing—original draft and review. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was sponsored by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Qin H.: 52065015), Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (Qin H.: 2021 GXNSFAA075010), Director Fund Project of Guangxi Key Laboratory of Manufacturing System and Advanced Manufacturing Technology (Qin H.: 20-065-40-002Z), Self-Topic Fund of Engineering Research Center of Electronic Information Materials and Devices (Qin H: EIMD-AB202007) and Innovation Project of GUET Graduate Education (Qin H.: 2021YCXS006 and 2021YXW06).

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

#### Appendix A

The relationship between the *k*-point and total energy is presented in Figure A1. For AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu, the total energies began to converge when the *k*-points increased to  $3 \times 3 \times 3$ ,  $3 \times 3 \times 2$ , and  $3 \times 3 \times 3$ , respectively. The dependence between the *k*-point and elastic constants  $C_{ij}$  are shown in Figure A2. Similarly, the elastic constants of the three IMCs converged when the *k*-points rose to  $3 \times 3 \times 3$ ,  $3 \times 3 \times 2$ , and  $3 \times 3 \times 3$ , respectively. Therefore, the *k*-points of AuCu<sub>3</sub>, AuCu, and Au<sub>3</sub>Cu ( $8 \times 8 \times 8$ ,  $9 \times 9 \times 7$ , and  $8 \times 8 \times 8$ ) were convergent with respect to the elastic constant  $C_{ij}$ .



**Figure A1.** The relationship between *k*-point and total energy: (a) AuCu<sub>3</sub>, (b) AuCu, (c) Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.



**Figure A2.** The relationship between *k*-point and elastic constants  $C_{ij}$ : (a) AuCu<sub>3</sub>, (b) AuCu, (c) Au<sub>3</sub>Cu.

## References

- 1. Aish, M. Mechanical properties and sound velocity of gold copper (AuCu) II superlattice: 3D molecular dynamic (MD) simulation. *J. Theor. Appl. Mech.* **2020**, *58*, 901–909. [CrossRef]
- Huang, Y.; Liu, W.; Ma, Y.; Tang, S.; Wang, J.; Chen, B. A novel interface strengthening layer: Nanoscale AuCu super-structure formed during Au80Sn20/Cu rapid solidification soldering process. *Mater. Charact.* 2017, 135, 214–220. [CrossRef]
- 3. Okamoto, H.; Chakrabarti, D.J.; Laughlin, D.E.; Massalski, T.B. The Au–Cu (Gold-Copper) system. *J. Phase Equilib.* **1987**, *8*, 454–474. [CrossRef]
- 4. Janczak, J.; Kubiak, R. X-Ray Study of Annealing Process of Au3Cu, AuCu and AuCu3 at 270 °C in Air. *Mater. Sci. Forum* **1991**, 79, 567–574. [CrossRef]
- 5. Ravi, R.; Paul, A. Diffusion mechanism in the gold-copper system. J. Mater. Sci.-Mater. Electron. 2012, 23, 2152–2156. [CrossRef]
- Singh, M.K.; Chettri, P.; Basu, J.; Tripathi, A.; Mukherjee, B.; Tiwari, A.; Mandal, R.K. Synthesis of anisotropic Au–Cu alloy nanostructures and its application in SERS for detection of methylene blue. *Mater. Res. Express.* 2020, 7, 015–052. [CrossRef]
- 7. Mohri, T.; Terakura, K.; Takizawa, S.; Sanchez, M.J. First-principles study of short range order and instabilities in AuCu, AuAg and AuPd alloys. *Acta Metall. Mater.* **1991**, *39*, 493–501. [CrossRef]
- Xie, Y.Q.; Liu, X.B.; Li, X.B.; Peng, H.J.; Nie, Y.Z. Potential energies of characteristic atoms on basis of experimental heats of formation of AuCu and AuCu3 compounds (I). *Trans. Nonferrous Met. Soc. China* 2009, 19, 1243–1256. [CrossRef]
- Ozolins, V.; Wolverton, C.; Zunger, A. Cu-Au, Ag-Au, Cu-Ag and Ni-Au intermetallics: First-principles study of phase diagrams and structures. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* 1997, 57, 6427–6443. [CrossRef]
- 10. Hu, J.; Xie, M.; Zhang, J.; Yang, Y.; Liu, M.; Chen, Y.; Chen, S.; Wang, S.; Wang, S. First Principles Study of Stability and Thermal Properties of AuxCuy Compounds. *Rare Metal Mater. Eng.* **2015**, *44*, 2677–2682.
- 11. Kong, G.X.; Ma, X.J.; Liu, Q.J.; Yong, L.; Liu, Z.T. Structural stability, elastic and thermodynamic properties of Au–Cu alloys from first-principles calculations. *Phys. B Condens. Matter* **2018**, *533*, 58–62. [CrossRef]
- 12. Zhang, J.M.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, K.W. Dependence of stresses and strain energies on grain orientations in FCC metal films. *J. Cryst. Growth* **2005**, *285*, 427–435. [CrossRef]
- 13. Choi, J.H.; Kang, S.Y.; Dong, N.L. Relationship between deposition and recrystallization textures of copper and chromium electrodeposits. *J. Mater. Sci.* 2000, *35*, 4055–4066. [CrossRef]
- 14. Segall, M.D.; Lindan, P.J.D.; Probert, M.J.; Pickard, C.J.; Hasnip, P.J.; Clark, S.J.; Payne, M.C. First-principles simulation: Ideas, illustrations and the CASTEP code. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **2002**, *14*, 2717–2744. [CrossRef]
- 15. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *77*, 3865–3868. [CrossRef]
- 16. Monkhorst, H.J.; Pack, J.D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B Condens. Matter* **1976**, *13*, 5188–5192. [CrossRef]
- Pfrommer, B.G.; Cote, M.; Louie, S.G. Relaxation of crystals with the quasi-Newton method. J. Comput. Phys. 1997, 131, 233–240.
   [CrossRef]
- 18. Kubiak, R.; Janczak, J. X-ray study of ordered phase formation in Au31.6Cu68.4, Au50Cu50 and Au75Cu25. *J. Alloys Compd.* **1991**, 176, 133–140. [CrossRef]
- 19. Bjerkelund, E.; Pearson, W.B.; Selte, K.; Kjekshus, A.; Hagen, G. Lattice Parameters of the CuAu(I) Phase. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1967**, 21, 2900–2902. [CrossRef]
- 20. Flinn, P.A.; Mcmanus, G.M.; Rayne, J.A. Elastic constants of ordered and disordered Cu3Au from 4.2 to 300° K. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **1960**, *15*, 189–195. [CrossRef]
- 21. Nye, J.F. Physical Properties of Crystals: Their Representation by Tensors and Matrices; Oxford University Press: Oxford, UK, 1985.
- 22. Zhou, Y.X.; Yan, P.; Chong, X.Y.; Feng, J. Revealing the stability, elastic properties and electronic structures of Pd-V intermetallics via first principle calculations. *AIP Adv.* **2018**, *8*, 105132. [CrossRef]
- 23. Francis, G.P.; Payne, M.C. Finite basis set corrections to total energy pseudopotential calculations. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* **1990**, *2*, 4395. [CrossRef]
- 24. Luan, X.; Qin, H.; Liu, F.; Dai, Z.; Yi, Y.; Li, Q. The mechanical properties and elastic anisotropies of cubic Ni3Al from first principles calculations. *Crystals* **2018**, *8*, 307. [CrossRef]
- Wang, X.; Bao, L.; Wang, Y.; Wu, Y.; Duan, Y.; Peng, M. Explorations of electronic, elastic and thermal properties of tetragonal TM4N3 (TM= V, Nb and Ta) nitrides. *Mater. Today Commun.* 2021, 26, 101723. [CrossRef]
- 26. Hill, R. The elastic behaviour of a crystalline aggregate. Proc. Phys. Soc. Lond. Sect. A. 1952, 65, 349. [CrossRef]
- Tian, Y.; Xu, B.; Zhao, Z. Microscopic theory of hardness and design of novel superhard crystals. *Int. J. Refract. Met. Hard Mater* 2012, 33, 93–106. [CrossRef]
- 28. Pugh, S.F. XCII. Relations between the elastic moduli and the plastic properties of polycrystalline pure metals. *Philos. Mag.* **1954**, 45, 823–843. [CrossRef]
- 29. Zhang, X.; Jiang, W. First-principles investigation on the mechanical, vibrational and thermodynamics properties of AuCu3-type X3Sc (X= Al, Ga, In) intermetallic compounds. *Comput. Mater. Sci.* 2015, *106*, 38–44. [CrossRef]
- Li, R.-Y.; Duan, Y.-H. Anisotropic elastic properties of MB (M= Cr, Mo, W) monoborides: A first-principles investigation. *Philos.* Mag. 2016, 96, 972–990. [CrossRef]

- 31. Gomis, O.; Manjón, F.-J.; Rodríguez-Hernández, P.; Muñoz, A. Elastic and thermodynamic properties of α-Bi2O3 at high pressures: Study of mechanical and dynamical stability. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* **2019**, *124*, 111–120. [CrossRef]
- 32. Ting, T.C.T. On Anisotropic Elastic Materials for which Young's Modulus E (n) is Independent of n or the Shear Modulus G (n, m) is Independent of n and m. *J. Elast.* **2005**, *81*, 271–292. [CrossRef]
- Zhang, J.-M.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, K.-W.; Ji, V. Young's modulus surface and Poisson's ratio curve for cubic metals. J. Phys. Chem. Solids 2007, 68, 503–510. [CrossRef]
- 34. Zhang, J.-M.; Zhang, Y.; Xu, K.-W.; Ji, V. Young's modulus surface and Poisson's ratio curve for tetragonal crystals. *Chin. Phys. B* **2008**, *17*, 15–65.