

Accretion-erosion conversion in the subaqueous Yangtze Delta in response to fluvial sediment decline

Luan, Hua Long; Ding, Ping Xing; Yang, Shi Lun; Wang, Zheng Bing

DOI

[10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107680](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107680)

Publication date

2021

Document Version

Accepted author manuscript

Published in

Geomorphology

Citation (APA)

Luan, H. L., Ding, P. X., Yang, S. L., & Wang, Z. B. (2021). Accretion-erosion conversion in the subaqueous Yangtze Delta in response to fluvial sediment decline. *Geomorphology*, 382, 1-10. Article 107680. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomorph.2021.107680>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

17 **Highlights**

18 ✓ Overall accretion-erosion has occurred in the subaqueous Yangtze Delta in response to
19 fluvial sediment decline

20 ✓ The accretion-erosion conversion in the mouth bar area was the latest among portions of
21 the delta

22 ✓ Estuarine engineering projects complicated the spatial variations of the accretion-erosion
23 conversion

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Identifying the pattern of delta morphological change under decreasing sediment flux due to
27 dam construction is essential for sustainable management in such densely populated coastal
28 areas. In this study, we investigated the morphological processes of the Yangtze mouth bar and
29 prodelta based on bathymetric data on a decadal-interannual scale (1958, 1978, 1997, 2002,
30 2007, 2010, 2013 and 2015). We found that strong accretion ($205.1 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) occurred during
31 1958–1978, when a high sediment load (465 Mt yr^{-1}) was supplied by the Yangtze. Afterwards,
32 the net accumulation rate decreased to $31.9 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 1978–1997 and $114.6 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in
33 1997–2002 as a result of riverine sediment loads decreasing to 390 Mt yr^{-1} and 314 Mt yr^{-1} ,
34 respectively. Surprisingly, the net accumulation rate increased to $130.8 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2002–2007,
35 though the sediment load sharply decreased to 177 Mt yr^{-1} . This anomaly was attributed to the
36 construction of training walls within the mouth bar area, which induced significant accretion in
37 groyne-sheltered areas and nearby regions. Along with a further decrease in sediment load, the
38 entire study area converted to net erosion of $-200.4 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2007–2010 and -152.2 Mm^3
39 yr^{-1} in 2010–2013. Stronger erosion in the former period was partly caused by intensive
40 dredging activities in the mouth bar area. The critical sediment discharge for the Yangtze mouth
41 bar and prodelta to retain net accretion was estimated to be ca. 218 Mt yr^{-1} . If deducting the
42 impacts of estuarine engineering projects on accretion/erosion during 1997–2010, the critical
43 sediment discharge is adjusted to ca. 234 Mt yr^{-1} . In combination with previously reported
44 accretion-erosion conversion elsewhere in the Yangtze Delta, we inferred that most portion of
45 the subaqueous delta has most likely converted from net accretion to net erosion in response to
46 fluvial sediment decline, and the mouth bar area showed the latest conversion among portions

47 of the delta. Integrated assessment and adaptive strategies are urgently required for the Yangtze
48 Delta to survive the coming erosional stage.

49 **Key words:** Accretion-erosion conversion; Fluvial sediment decline; Estuarine engineering
50 projects; Yangtze Delta

51

52 **1. Introduction**

53 River deltas hold both social-economic and environmental significance due to the dense
54 population and productive ecosystems within these dynamic systems ([Syvitski and Saito, 2007](#)).

55 As the river-ocean interface, terrestrially derived sediments accumulate at river mouths,
56 forming one of the most active deposition sites on Earth ([Wright, 1977](#)). Morphodynamics of
57 river mouths are of high importance in terms of infrastructure safety, resource utilization,
58 navigation maintenance and ecological service function. However, most of the world's river
59 mouths are at risk of or currently suffering from erosion and flooding due to insufficient
60 sediment supply and relative sea-level rise ([Syvitski et al., 2009](#)). To cope with this risk,
61 understanding morphological patterns of river mouths in response to fluvial sediment decline
62 and the evolution trends has recently become an issue of global concern ([Giosan et al., 2014](#);
63 [Tessler et al., 2015](#); [Day et al., 2016](#)).

64 Previous studies have widely identified river delta degradation under diminishing
65 sediment supply in terms of shoreline recession ([White and El Asmar, 1999](#); [Chu et al., 2006](#);
66 [Anthony et al., 2015](#)) and intertidal wetland loss ([Morton et al., 2005](#); [Yang et al., 2005](#)),
67 whereas knowledge on the morphological response of mouth bar areas and subaqueous deltas
68 is limited. Because of different natural conditions and human interventions, river deltas may

69 show diverse morphological patterns under fluvial sediment decline. For instance, sediment
70 trapping in the Mississippi River basin has induced severe drowning of the delta plain and
71 subaqueous delta retrogradation (Blum and Roberts, 2012; Maloney et al., 2018), while the
72 Danube delta and São Francisco delta switched from seaward expansion to downdrift migration
73 with substantial decline of depositional rates after sediment entrapment in upstream reservoirs
74 (Bittencourt et al., 2007; Preoteasa et al., 2016). Large river deltas in China also showed various
75 patterns under human interventions. For instance, both the Yellow River delta and the Pearl
76 River delta converted to net erosion at the subaqueous deltas due to insufficient sediment supply
77 (Jiang et al. 2017; Wu et al., 2018), while land reclamation played an important role in
78 subaqueous topographic changes in the Qiantang Estuary (Xie et al., 2017). Therefore, a
79 number of case studies of river mouth bars and subaqueous deltas are required to better
80 understand the processes of morphological evolution under fluvial sediment decline.

81 As one of the world's most important social-economic centers, the large-scale Yangtze
82 Delta experienced a sharp reduction in river sediment discharge in recent decades, particularly
83 after the closure of the Three Gorges Dam (TGD) in 2003 (Yang et al., 2015). Thus, this area
84 provides a typical example to address this issue (Fig. 1). Several studies have revealed
85 accretion-erosion conversion of the mouth bar area and subaqueous delta due to fluvial
86 sediment decline. For instance, Yang et al. (2011) and Du et al. (2016) demonstrated that a
87 rectangular portion (~1800 km²) of the subaqueous delta had converted from accretion to
88 erosion since 2000, and Luo et al. (2017) found delta recession within a domain of less than
89 1000 km² at the northernmost outlet of the delta. Because the Yangtze mouth bar area and
90 subaqueous delta have an area of nearly 10,000 km² (Chen et al., 1985), the study areas

91 considered above are too small to represent the overall pattern of the Yangtze Delta. Although
92 [Dai et al. \(2014\)](#) defined a larger study area spanning from the mouth bar to the adjacent
93 subaqueous delta, they used only the bathymetric dataset before 2009 and concluded that the
94 Yangtze mouth bar retained high accumulation until 2009. The amount of sediment
95 accumulation in 2002–2009 was much higher than the river sediment discharge (SD), whereas
96 the provenance of the excess sediment remained unknown. Therefore, it is imperative to further
97 investigate the morphological response of a larger domain and use up-to-date data.

98 Our previous study on decadal morphological evolution of the Yangtze Estuary indicated
99 that the mouth bar area was still characterized by net accretion in 1997–2010 ([Luan et al., 2016](#)).
100 However, the morphological processes during this 13-year period was less revealed. Notably,
101 the Deep Navigation Channel Project (DNCP), as one of the largest estuarine engineering
102 projects in the world, was just implemented along the North Passage (NP) in this period ([Fig.](#)
103 [1b](#)). The superimposed impacts of fluvial sediment decline and large-scale estuarine
104 engineering projects in the mouth bar area may complicate the morphological pattern at an
105 interannual scale, which have been investigated in many recent studies using multiple
106 approaches. [Dai et al. \(2013\)](#) used a multivariate technique to analyze bathymetric changes of
107 the NP during 1998–2011 and found that the dredging-induced deepening of the thalweg and
108 the construction of the T-shaped groin fields along the NP to improve and maintain the
109 navigation channel was the predominant cause (85%) of bathymetric changes within the NP.
110 By coupling GIS, geostatistics and remote sensing techniques, [Li et al. \(2016\)](#) demonstrated
111 that the DNCP had substantial effects on the geometry of the adjacent Jiuduansha Shoal (JS).
112 [Wei et al. \(2016\)](#) analyzed morphological evolution of the JS in 1998–2014, which showed that

113 the DNCP induced continuous northward shoal expansion. [Wei et al. \(2017, 2019\)](#) also pointed
114 out that the retreat in the north and progradation around the cusp of the East Nanhui Mudflat
115 (ENM) resulted from the DNCP-induced increase in ebb flow intensity in the South Passage
116 (SP). Besides, [Zhu et al. \(2016\)](#) set up a hydrodynamic model and indicated that the recent
117 erosion of the southern subaqueous delta can be related to the DNCP. Previously, we conducted
118 a process-based morphological modeling study and identified the physical mechanism between
119 the DNCP and the accretion/erosion patterns at adjacent shoals and the subaqueous delta ([Luan
120 et al., 2017, 2018](#)). A recent study by [Zhu et al. \(2019\)](#) examined the morphological changes of
121 the East Hengsha Shoal (EHS) and JS in the mouth bar area and suggested that the
122 morphodynamic response time of the mouth bar area to fluvial sediment decline was over 30
123 years (starting from the mid-1980s). They argued that the study area can retain net accretion
124 under fluvial sediment decline since the observed accretion rate in 2013-2016 was at the same
125 level with that in the 1980s, and attributed erosion in 2007-2013 to dredging activities and the
126 construction of the training walls. This viewpoint was probably tenable for the shoals rather
127 than the entire mouth bar area. Furthermore, the dredging amount in 2002-2013 only accounted
128 to limited proportion of observed erosion volumes as showed by [Zhu et al. \(2019\)](#). These
129 previous studies indicated that human interventions resulted in highly variable morphological
130 patterns within different parts of the mouth bar area ([Supplementary Fig. S1, online](#)), whereas
131 the accretion/erosion status of the major mouth bar system and different sensitivities within the
132 entire subaqueous delta to fluvial sediment decline were poorly investigated. Moreover, rare
133 studies have quantitatively separated the interference of estuarine engineering projects from
134 observed morphological pattern, particularly in terms of the morphological tipping point of the

135 mouth bar system.

136 This study analyzes the bathymetric data at a decadal-interannual scale (1958, 1978, 1997,
137 2002, 2007, 2010, 2013 and 2015) covering the major Yangtze mouth bar and prodelta with a
138 total area of over 4900 km². Our major objective is to determine whether the delta has converted
139 from net accretion to net erosion on a large spatial scale, and to quantify the relationship
140 between the net accumulation rate and SD with/without the impacts of estuarine engineering
141 projects. The critical SD for the accretion-erosion conversion is estimated and discussed.
142 Understanding the patterns of morphological evolution of the Yangtze Delta provides not only
143 scientific support for the sustainable management of this large-scale dynamic system, but can
144 also shed light on the evolutionary mechanisms of other tidal-influenced river deltas under
145 changing fluvial sediment supplies.

146

147 **2. Yangtze Delta and its mouth bar area**

148 The Yangtze River, which is the third largest river in the world (Milliman and Farnsworth,
149 2011), reaches its mouth near Shanghai City and enters the inner shelf of the East China Sea.
150 The Yangtze Delta is an actively depositional and progradational system that has had an
151 abundant fluvial fine sediment supply over the past 5000 years (Hori et al., 2001), resulting in
152 the present large-scale deltaic system (Fig. 1a). Currently, the Yangtze Delta is approximately
153 90 km wide spanning from the North Branch to the SP and extends seaward for nearly 100 km
154 to the ancient valley (~50 m) with a slope of the delta front of 0.6‰–1.0‰ (Hori et al., 2001).
155 The mouth bar area, which is characterized by straight and wide channels adjacent to extensive
156 intertidal flats, connects to the subaqueous delta from the channel outlets (Fig. 1b). The mean

157 depth of the mouth bar crests is approximately 6 m, which is shallower than those of both the
158 upstream and downstream channels (Chen et al., 1999). The seabed in the mouth bar area is
159 dominated by cohesive mud, which is frequently resuspended by tidal currents (Liu et al., 2010;
160 Luo et al., 2012). This area behaves as both the estuarine turbidity maxima and the depocenter
161 of the river mouth (Chen et al., 1985; Dai et al., 2014).

162 Prior to the 1970s, huge amounts of fresh water ($900 \text{ km}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) and fluvial sediment (470
163 Mt yr^{-1}) reached the Yangtze Delta, ranking 4th and 5th around the world in these two
164 categories, respectively (Milliman and Farnsworth, 2011). No significant variation trend has
165 been observed for the annual water runoff in the past half-century, while the annual sediment
166 load has gradually decreased since the 1970s (Fig. 2). The mean SD during the first decade after
167 the closure of the TGD in 2003 dropped to a relatively low level (145 Mt yr^{-1}), which was only
168 approximately 30% of the value in 1950–1968 (Yang et al., 2015). The river water and sediment
169 discharge showed strong seasonal variations, with approximately 71% of the annual runoff and
170 87% of the annual sediment load delivered to the mouth during the flood season from May to
171 October (Chen et al., 2007). The astronomical tide around the Yangtze mouth bar area is
172 irregular and semidiurnal, with mean and maximum tidal ranges of 2.66 and 4.62 m,
173 respectively (Yun, 2004). The mean height of wind-induced waves around the mouth bar area
174 is 0.9 m under normal weather conditions. Fluvial sediment mainly includes fine suspensions,
175 with a median grain size of $\sim 10 \mu\text{m}$ (Yang et al., 2018a).

176 Morphodynamics of the Yangtze Delta are primarily controlled by combined river and
177 tidal forcing (Guo, 2014), while wind waves only seasonally shape the morphology of shallow
178 shoals at the delta front (Zhang et al., 2018). Although the Yangtze Delta has maintained its

179 three-level bifurcation and four-outlet configuration under fluvial sediment decline since the
180 1950s, remarkable erosion and accretion occurred in the bifurcation channels and intertidal flats
181 (Yun, 2004; Yang et al., 2005; Luan et al., 2016). Human interventions, including altering river
182 water and sediment discharge in the catchment and constructing engineering projects in the
183 estuary, have played an increasingly important role in recent decades (De Vriend et al., 2011;
184 Wang et al., 2015). One of the largest estuarine engineering projects is the DNCP, which was
185 constructed along the NP to improve the navigation capacity (Fig. 1b). The DNCP was
186 implemented from 1998 to 2010 including three phases and involved the construction of twin
187 dikes and 19 perpendicular groynes with a total length of 100.7 km. The upstream half of the
188 training walls were constructed along the NP in Phase I (1998–2001) and then extended to the
189 present configuration in Phase II (2002–2005). Intensive dredging was carried out to deepen
190 the navigation channel from 6.5 m in 1997 to 8.5 m in 2001, to 10 m in 2005 and finally to 12.5
191 m in 2011. Thus, the mouth bar in the NP was cut through artificially. Other engineering
192 projects within the mouth bar area include land reclamation at the EHS and the ENM
193 (Supplementary Fig. S2, online).

194

195 3. Methods

196 Bathymetric data observed in various years (1958, 1978, 1997, 2002, 2007, 2010, 2013
197 and 2015) were collected (Supplementary Table S1, online). Though the measuring time was
198 1976~1978 for the bathymetry map 1978, most of the study domain was recorded in 1978 and
199 the periods 1958-1978 and 1978-1997 were much longer than the following periods. The
200 soundings of each year, referenced to the theoretical lowest-tide datum at Wusong, were

201 interpolated into a grid through the Surfer mapping software package. Subsequently, a digital
202 elevation model (DEM) was generated for each year of bathymetric data ([Supplementary Fig.
203 S3, online](#)). The erosion/deposition patterns were obtained by subtracting a later DEM from an
204 earlier one. Since the North Branch received less than 5% of river discharge and sediment load
205 in the study period, and bathymetric data at the mouth of the North Branch before the 1990s
206 was unavailable, the North Branch was excluded in the present study. A polygonal domain was
207 defined as the study area for erosion/deposition calculations ([Fig. 1b](#)). The concerned domain
208 covers the mouth bar area and prodelta, which were bounded by the 10 m isobath in 1997 and
209 analyzed separately. Changes in sediment volume and thickness were calculated based on the
210 differences between DEMs. Three typical sections were extracted from the DEM to describe
211 the amplitudes of bed-level changes at subaqueous slopes.

212 The calculation error is primarily determined by the measurement accuracy and density,
213 grid size and interpolation method ([Duan, 2012](#)). The bathymetry measurements were
214 implemented by echo sounder with a vertical error of approximately 0.1 m. The sounding
215 positions were recorded by a theodolite for the 1958 and 1978 charts and a GPS (Trimble
216 Navigation Limited, California, USA) for the remaining years, and the corresponding errors
217 were 50 m and <1 m, respectively. Generally, these errors are acceptable for calculating erosion
218 and accretion volume because of significant bed-level changes over decadal time scales ([Luan
219 et al., 2016](#)). The bathymetry map scales range from 1:10,000 to 1:130,000 ([Supplementary
220 Table S1, online](#)) and are mostly higher than 1:50,000. The density of depth samples is
221 sufficient for the calculation of morphological changes ([Dai et al., 2014](#); [Luo et al., 2017](#)). The
222 Kriging interpolation was applied in Surfer. Many previous studies have verified that this

223 method was optimal among all other methods and this method has been widely used for
224 calculating morphological evolution of deltas and estuarine regions (Van der Wal et al., 2002;
225 Blott et al., 2006; Jaffe et al., 2007). The grid size should be smaller than the distance of adjacent
226 depth points, and a 50×50 m grid was chosen after a series of interpolation tests. Because of
227 land reclamation at the EHS and ENM, areas with available bathymetric data vary from 4904
228 km² in 1958 to 4574 km² in 2013 (Supplementary Fig. S3, Table S2, online). The total area for
229 the period of 2007–2013 was the smallest which was only ~6.7% lower than the largest area in
230 1958–1997. Most of decreased area were intertidal flats (EHS and JS), and bed-level changes
231 at these flats were usually slow. Therefore, it is suggested that the impact of the area difference
232 on the analysis of sediment volume changes and morphological patterns is negligible. Due to
233 the data availability, the area of the bathymetry data in 2015 was only 62% of that in 2013, and
234 sediment volume change in 2013-2015 was not compared with others.

235

236 **4. Results**

237 4.1 Erosion/deposition patterns during 1958–2015

238 The study area includes three outlets, viz. North Channel, NP and SP, and adjacent
239 intertidal mudflats, viz. East Chongming Mudflat (ECM), EHS, JS and ENM (Fig. 1b). The
240 morphology characterized by channels and intertidal shoals/mudflats in the Yangtze mouth bar
241 area has been maintained since 1958, although local changes were remarkable (Supplementary
242 Fig. S3, Table S2, online). Land reclamation at the ECM, EHS and ENM significantly
243 decreased the intertidal areas within the mouth bar area. A deep navigation channel along the
244 NP was formed after 2002 due to the construction of training walls and intensive dredging

245 activities.

246 The erosion/deposition patterns during 1958–2013 indicate that the Yangtze mouth bar
247 and delta-front system show apparent accretion-erosion conversion (Fig. 3). Under high river
248 sediment discharge in 1958–1978, strong deposition occurred in the shallow shoals and the
249 subaqueous delta, while erosion was only found in limited areas (Fig. 3a). The pattern in
250 1978–1997 featured distinct spatial variations (Fig. 3b). The intertidal shoals (ECM, EHS and
251 JS) and the subaqueous delta near the EHS continued to accrete, whereas the main channels and
252 the northern subaqueous delta experienced erosion. The evolution pattern in 1997–2013 was
253 produced to retain the decadal timescale as the first two periods. The pattern showed that
254 significant accretion occurred in the groyne-sheltered areas and on the intertidal flats, while
255 erosion was found at the subaqueous delta along the delta front (Fig. 3c). The main contributor
256 for these evolution features is the construction of training walls overlapping fluvial sediment
257 decline (Luan et al., 2018).

258 Considering the construction phases of the DNCP, the decadal period 1997–2015 was
259 divided into five short periods to capture the engineering-induced interferences on the medium-
260 term bed-level changes. In 1997–2002, the upper part of both the NP and SP showed erosion
261 as the first phase of the DNCP was completed in 2001 (Fig. 3d). Meanwhile, the northern
262 subaqueous delta rebounded to accretion, and the southern area was under a state of transition
263 with slight erosion (Fig. 3d). As the training walls were extended to the present location in 2005
264 in the second phase, severe deposition occurred in groyne-sheltered areas along both sides of
265 the NP in 2002–2007 (Fig. 3e). Accretion at the EHS peaked in 2002–2007 and decreased
266 afterwards. Intensive dredging activities in the third phase induced remarkable deepening along

267 the navigation channel as shown in the evolution pattern during 2007–2010 (Fig. 3f). The area
268 experiencing erosion showed a gradual increase after 1997. The mouth bar area and prodelta
269 have been dominated by overall erosion since 2007 (Fig. 3f-h).

270 Three typical sections, as shown in Fig. 1b, represent the subaqueous slopes at the EHS
271 (Sec. N), the ENM and SP (Sec. S) and the delta front (Sec. H). Variations of Sec. N and Sec.
272 H indicate that the EHS grew higher during 1997–2013 (Fig. 4a, c), and the depths at its center
273 area and southeast end decreased by approximately 2 m and 3.5 m, respectively (Fig. 4d). The
274 variation of Sec. S indicates that the high intertidal flat at the ENM (< 2 m) accreted
275 continuously, while its lower part (2–6 m) converted from accretion to erosion after 2010 (Fig.
276 4b). The variation of Sec. H indicates that the navigation channel at the mouth of the NP was
277 deepened by more than 5 m from 1997 to 2013 (Fig. 4c, d). The subaqueous delta at the mouths
278 of both the North Channel and SP underwent erosion, and the water depths increased by nearly
279 2 m in the northern area and 2.5 m in the southern area (Fig. 4d).

280 4.2 Sediment accumulation rates

281 Sediment accumulation rates within the study area can provide a quantitative assessment
282 of morphological changes. The calculation result of the Yangtze mouth bar and prodelta as
283 defined in Fig. 1b was $205.1 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 1958–1978, which was the highest during the study
284 period (Fig. 5a; Supplementary Table S2, online). In the following periods, the accumulation
285 rate decreased sharply to $31.9 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 1978–1997 and $16.8 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 1997–2013. During
286 the four short periods after 1997, the accumulation rate increased to $114.6 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in
287 1997–2002 and $130.8 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ 2002–2007. Afterwards, the entire study area converted to
288 strong erosion with the sediment accumulation rate of $-200.4 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2007–2010 and –

289 152.2 Mm³ yr⁻¹ in 2010–2013 as the SD decreased to a low level (141 Mt yr⁻¹ in 2007–2010
290 and 134 Mt yr⁻¹ in 2010–2013). In 2013–2015, the SD further decreased to 118 Mt yr⁻¹, and
291 62% of the entire study area still showed net erosion (–64.4 Mm³ yr⁻¹). Thus, though the entire
292 study area was under a status of net sediment accumulation at a decadal interval (1997–2013),
293 the accretion-erosion conversion has already occurred since 2007. Notably, relative sea-level
294 rise since the 1950s at the Yangtze Delta ranged from 4.8 mm/a to 6.5 mm/a after synthesizing
295 published China Sea Level Bulletin and previous studies on land subsidence and sea-level rise
296 (Wu et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2012). This range only accounted to 3.6%~9.6% of mean erosion
297 thickness and 3.9%~9.1% of mean deposition thickness (Supplementary Table S2). It is
298 suggested that relative sea-level rise cannot produce substantial effect on the accuracy of results.

299 Considering the strong spatial variation of the morphological changes, the study area was
300 divided into the mouth bar area and adjacent subaqueous delta by the 10 m isobath (Fig. 1b).
301 The mouth bar area experienced gradual decrease in net accretion rate at a decadal timescale
302 during 1958–2013 (Fig. 5b), which was consistent with the variation trend of the entire study
303 area. The accumulation rate in 1958–1978 was 125.5 Mm³ yr⁻¹, accounting for over 61% of the
304 net accretion volume of the entire study area. Afterwards, the accumulation rate dropped to 46.0
305 Mm³ yr⁻¹ in 1978–1997 and 21.7 Mm³ yr⁻¹ in 1997–2013. In shorter time spans after 1997, the
306 mouth bar area was nearly at an equilibrium status (0.5 Mm³ yr⁻¹) in 1997–2002, and then
307 rebounded to strong accretion (171.0 Mm³ yr⁻¹) in 2002–2007. Over 95% of accretion occurred
308 in the groyne-sheltered areas within the NP (94.1 Mm³ yr⁻¹) and at the EHS (68.7 Mm³ yr⁻¹)
309 due to the construction of training walls (Fig. 3e). Overall erosion occurred in the mouth bar
310 area after 2007 with the net accumulation rate of –139.9 Mm³ yr⁻¹ in 2007–2010 and –64.0

311 $\text{Mm}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$ in 2010–2013. Intensive dredging activities induced $-43.0 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$ of erosion in
312 2007–2010 along the navigation channel, and the latter partly explained the higher net erosion
313 rate in 2007–2010 than that in the latter period.

314 Adjacent subaqueous delta also showed strong accretion in 1958–1978 ($79.6 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$),
315 and converted to slight erosion in 1978–1997 and 1997–2013 (Fig. 5b). Notably, remarkable
316 net accretion occurred in 1997–2002. , Afterwards, the adjacent subaqueous delta experienced
317 increasing erosion under low fluvial sediment supply. The net erosion rate was $40.3 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$
318 in 2002–2007 and increased to $60.5 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$ in 2007–2010 and $88.2 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{yr}^{-1}$ in 2010–2013.
319 Besides, the net erosion thickness of the adjacent subaqueous delta was larger than the value of
320 the mouth bar area in 2007–2010 and 2010–2013 (Supplementary Table S2, online), suggesting
321 stronger erosion intensity of the subaqueous delta than the mouth bar area. The above results
322 also indicate that the adjacent subaqueous delta converted from net accretion to erosion around
323 2002, which was earlier than the conversion of the mouth bar area (Fig. 5b).

324

325 5. Discussion

326 5.1 Primary cause of the accretion-erosion conversion in the Yangtze mouth bar area

327 Our results support previous studies in that the accumulation rate in the Yangtze
328 subaqueous delta decreased during 1977–1997 (Yang et al., 2003) and the accretion-erosion
329 conversion occurred after the closure of the TGD in 2003 (Yang et al., 2011; Du et al., 2016).
330 Moreover, we find that the major mouth bar and prodelta (nearly 5000 km^2 in area) converted
331 from net accretion to net erosion since 2007. Several abnormal changes in sediment
332 accumulation rates were identified in the accretion-erosion conversion process. For instance,

333 the accumulation rate in 1978–1997 was lower than that of the following period (1997–2002).
334 This was probably attributable to the typhoon event in 1997 (Dai et al., 2014), since the
335 bathymetric data of 1997 was observed by the end of the year and recorded the morphological
336 impacts of the No. 9711 typhoon, which passed through the Yangtze Delta in Aug. 1997. The
337 adjacent subaqueous delta rebounded to intensive net accretion in 1997–2002, and this was
338 probably because of the rapid recovery from typhoon-induced erosion. Although the study area
339 showed net sediment accumulation during 1997–2013, the accretion mainly occurred in
340 2002–2007 immediately after the construction of training walls. As the morphological impacts
341 of training walls on the local and adjacent areas gradually diminished after 2007, erosion
342 became dominant (Fig. 3f, g) under low fluvial sediment supply ($<150 \text{ Mt yr}^{-1}$). The overall
343 erosion in the entire study area was unlikely to reflect a short-term adjustment process.

344 Generally, progradation or regression of river deltas depends on the sediment budget
345 between the riverine supply and offshore dispersal (Syvitski and Saito, 2007; Canestrelli et al.,
346 2010). Previous studies based on seismic profiles and sediment cores have demonstrated that
347 the Yangtze mouth bar and delta-front system was a sediment accumulation area in the
348 Holocene (Stanley and Chen, 1993; Liu et al., 2007). A recent observation-based study by Deng
349 et al. (2017) suggested that the coastal currents passing through the Yangtze Delta are estimated
350 to deliver approximately 270 Mt yr^{-1} of sediment southward, which was much higher than the
351 present SD. Tidal currents at the delta front produced higher bed shear stress during peak tidal
352 phases than the critical shear stress required for surficial sediment erosion, resulting in an
353 erodible seabed (Yang et al., 2017). The deposition flux decreased with decreasing suspended
354 sediment concentration due to fluvial sediment decline, and the deposition flux was lower than

355 the erosion flux that initiated delta erosion. A recent study by [Yang et al. \(2020\)](#) also
356 demonstrated delta-front erosion in 2003–2013 in terms of cross-shore elevation profiles and
357 sediment budget in the Yangtze Delta, and they found that eroded sediment from the delta front
358 compensated the effects of fluvial sediment decline on salt marshes. Therefore, it can be
359 concluded that the primary cause of accretion-erosion conversion is that fluvial sediment
360 supplied to the mouth bar area decreased to below the amount of sediment carried away by
361 coastal currents. Erosion of the major Yangtze mouth bar and prodelta seems to be an inevitable
362 tendency.

363 5.2 Interference of estuarine engineering projects

364 Large-scale estuarine engineering projects within the mouth bar area, i.e. the DNCP,
365 affected morphological changes in both local and adjacent areas ([Luan et al., 2016](#)). Accretion
366 within the groyne-sheltered areas along both sides of the NP was clearly due to the construction
367 of the training walls, which induced a significant decrease in flow velocity and subsequent
368 sediment settling during 2002–2007 ([Fig. 3e](#)). Afterwards, accretion within all groyne-sheltered
369 areas was significantly decreased or even vanished in some areas, as shown in the
370 erosion/deposition patterns in 2007–2010 and 2010–2013 ([Fig. 3f, g](#)). Therefore, the accretion
371 amount within the groyne-sheltered areas in 2002–2007 ($94.1 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) is considered as the
372 DNCP-induced ([Fig. 5b](#)). Meanwhile, intensive dredging activities induced $-9.9 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ of
373 erosion in 2002–2007 and $-43.0 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ of erosion in 2007–2010 along the navigation
374 channel ([Fig. 5b](#)).

375 The adjacent intertidal shoals and the subaqueous delta were also heavily impacted by the
376 DNCP. The nearest intertidal shoals to the training walls are the EHS and JS in the northern

377 and southern sides, respectively. Before 2002, most seaward parts of both the EHS and JS
378 involved erosion (Fig. 3d). During 2002–2007, the training walls were extended to the present
379 configuration and remarkable accretion occurred at the EHS and JS (Fig. 3e). After 2007, both
380 shoals converted back to erosion-dominant (Fig. 3f). Continuous northward expanding of the
381 JS was also induced by the DNCP (Wei et al., 2016), which has already been included in the
382 groyne-sheltered areas. The retreat in the north and progradation around the cusp of the ENM
383 resulted from the DNCP-induced increase in ebb flow intensity (Wei et al., 2017, 2019).
384 Previous studies by Zhu et al. (2016) revealed that the presence of the training walls accelerated
385 erosion at the southern subaqueous delta. Therefore, morphological impacts of the DNCP on
386 adjacent areas in 2002–2007 included the EHS, JS, ENM and adjacent subaqueous delta.
387 Quantification the DNCP-induced accretion/erosion refers to the difference of bathymetry
388 changes with and without training walls. Based on the above analysis of observed
389 morphological changes, the process-based numerical model (Delft3D) was applied to simulate
390 morphological changes of the Yangtze Estuary, and details of the model setup have been
391 described in our recent research (Luan et al., 2018). According to the model results for the Phase
392 II of the DNCP (2002–2007), the DNCP-induced accretion volumes at the EHS, JS and ENM
393 were calculated as $25.7 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, $13.6 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $12.2 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively, and the
394 DNCP-induced erosion volume at southern adjacent subaqueous delta was calculated as -20.7
395 $\text{Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5b). The morphological impact of the training walls constructed during the Phase
396 I (1997–2002) was simulated additionally. Model results showed that the training walls mainly
397 enhanced accretion in the entrance of the NP and erosion in the entrance of the SP. The net
398 volume difference was calculated as $19.9 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ (Fig. 5b).

399 One important issue for delta protection and restoration is to characterize the
400 morphological tipping points to avoid unfavorable changes in advance (Renaud et al., 2013).
401 Here, we estimate the critical SD of the Yangtze mouth bar and prodelta to retain net accretion
402 based on the relationship between the net accumulation rate and the SD at Datong station (the
403 tidal limit). Considering the data in all study periods, a logarithmic fitting line was produced
404 (correlation coefficient $R^2 = 0.58$), and the critical SD corresponding to neither accretion nor
405 erosion was ca. 218 Mt yr^{-1} (Fig. 6). If deducting the DNCP-induced accretion/erosion
406 (including dredging) in 1997–2010 as calculated above, the net accumulation rates of the entire
407 study area in 1997–2002, 2002–2007 and 2007–2010 would be changed to $94.7 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, 15.7
408 $\text{Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ and $-157.4 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$, respectively. Another logarithmic fitting line with an R^2 of 0.81
409 was derived using the modified data (Fig. 6), and the critical SD was adjusted to ca. 234 Mt
410 yr^{-1} . It is suggested that estuarine engineering projects increased the resistance of the Yangtze
411 mouth bar and prodelta against erosion under fluvial sediment decline. Therefore, the
412 interference of estuarine engineering projects on delta response to fluvial sediment decline was
413 quantified in terms of the occurrence time and the critical SD of accretion-erosion conversion.

414 A series of land reclamation projects had been carried out at the ENM and EHS since 1997
415 (Supplementary Fig. S2b, online). The accumulated sediment in reclaimed intertidal areas was
416 not considered due to a lack of bathymetric data in these areas (Supplementary Fig. S3, online).
417 Liu and Cui (2019) calculated the total siltation volume at the ENM, i.e., 60 Mm^3 in 2002–2007
418 and 34.9 Mm^3 in 2007–2013, and approximately 150 Mm^3 of dredged sediment was used
419 through pipelines for the siltation promotion project in the EHS in 2007–2010. Besides, a basic
420 assumption of the above estimation is that fluvial sediment behaves as the major source for

421 accretion of the Yangtze mouth area and prodelta. However, based on a hydrodynamic
422 modeling study, [Zhu et al. \(2016\)](#) indicated that the sediment required for the accretion in the
423 mouth bar area may partly originate from the offshore muddy area. [Luan et al. \(2018\)](#) applied
424 a process-based morphodynamic model and further confirmed that the presence of training
425 walls enhanced the sediment transport from the subaqueous delta to the NP. It is suggested that
426 the estimated critical SD could be even lower when taking land reclamation and offshore
427 sediment supply into account.

428 5.3 Overall accretion-erosion conversion in the subaqueous Yangtze Delta

429 Previous studies reported accretion-erosion conversion in other areas of the subaqueous
430 Yangtze delta. Specifically, accretion-erosion has occurred in the inner Yangtze Estuary
431 including the South Branch, the South Channel and the upper North Channel ([Luan et al., 2016](#)),
432 in the delta front out of the North Channel, North Passage and South Passage ([Yang et al.,](#)
433 [2011](#)), in the North Branch and adjacent continental shelf ([Dai et al., 2016](#); [Luo et al., 2017](#);
434 [Yang et al., 2020](#)), in the outer margin of the subaqueous Yangtze Delta ([Luo et al, 2012](#)), and
435 in the southern area off the South Passage and the northern Hangzhou Bay ([Yang et al., 2018b](#)).
436 Together with our findings from the mouth bar areas of the North Channel, North Passage and
437 South Passage ([Fig. 5](#)), we conclude that overall accretion-erosion conversion has occurred in
438 the subaqueous delta in response to fluvial sediment decline. Our conclusion is supported by
439 observations that in recent years the amount of sediment transport away from the subaqueous
440 Yangtze Delta by longshore currents (ca. 270 Mt yr⁻¹) was much greater than the sediment
441 discharge from the Yangtze River (<150 Mt yr⁻¹) ([Deng et al., 2017](#); [Jia et al., 2018](#)).

442 5.4 Causes of later accretion-erosion conversion in the mouth bar area than in other portions of

443 the delta

444 Accretion-erosion conversion firstly occurred in the inner estuary in the 1980s (Luan et
445 al., 2016), followed by the outer subaqueous delta off the North Branch since 1997 (Luo et al.,
446 2017) and the delta front out of the North Channel, North Passage and South Passage around
447 the year 2000 (Yang et al., 2011). This study has demonstrated that the mouth bar area
448 converted from net accretion to net erosion after 2007. It is suggested that the sensitivity of the
449 Yangtze Delta to fluvial sediment decline varies from the upstream to the outer delta. The
450 lowest sensitivity of the mouth bar area to fluvial sediment decline among the entire delta is
451 primarily determined by its intrinsic characteristics as a sediment accumulating site. Generally,
452 a mouth bar area is located in the transition zone from fluvial-dominance to marine-dominance
453 and from predominantly confined channels to receiving open waters. The expansion of flow
454 and decrease in jet momentum flux lead to the deposition of sediment-laden channelized flow
455 and consequently the formation of mouth bars (Wright and Coleman, 1974; Edmonds and
456 Slingerland, 2007). Sediment trapping due to gravitational circulation, sediment resuspension,
457 stratification-induced turbulence suppression and associated processes occur at the mouth area,
458 forming the estuarine turbidity maxima (Jay and Musiak, 1994; Liu et al., 2010). The
459 morphological pattern of the Yangtze Delta under decreasing sediment supply is representative
460 of other marine-influenced river deltas. If the river-tidal dynamics and sediment properties of a
461 deltaic system is similar to those of the Yangtze Delta, accretion-erosion conversion is most
462 likely to occur in the inner tidal channel, the outer subaqueous delta and the mouth bar area in
463 sequence.

464 5.5 Implication for future evolution trends and delta management

465 The morphological evolution of the Yangtze Delta has been influenced by both fluvial
466 sediment decline and estuarine engineering projects, particularly since 1997. On the one hand,
467 the Yangtze Delta showed a rapid morphological response to estuarine engineering projects
468 (Dai et al., 2013; Luan et al., 2016; Wei et al., 2017). Most of the groyne-sheltered areas have
469 accreted at bed-levels above the theoretical lowest-tide datum, and the highest bed-level even
470 exceeds the mean sea level. The remaining space for sediment deposition is becoming limited,
471 suggesting that the morphological response to training walls is approaching equilibrium. With
472 new land reclamation projects being implemented at the EHS and ENM in the future, sediment
473 from the mouth bar area and subaqueous delta is likely to be continuously used for the creation
474 of new land through further erosion. On the other hand, the decreasing SD has successively
475 induced the erosion of the inner estuary (Luan et al., 2016), the subaqueous delta (Yang et al.,
476 2011) and the mouth bar area (this study). Considering the integrated effects of the TGD, the
477 Cascade Reservoirs in the upper Yangtze River Basin (Fig. 1a), the South-to North Water
478 Diversion project and the riverbed erosion of the lower Yangtze River, the SD may further
479 decrease to $\sim 110 \text{ Mt yr}^{-1}$ in future decades (Yang et al., 2014). Yang et al. (2017) found that
480 the uppermost 10-20 m of deposits in the subaqueous delta are relatively homogenous with
481 nearly constant critical bed shear stress for erosion, and that these deposits can be erodible under
482 peak tidal flows. The present study indicates that the largest erosion thickness of the mouth bar
483 area and subaqueous delta is only ~ 2 m. Therefore, erosion of the Yangtze Delta, especially the
484 subaqueous muddy area, is likely to continue in the coming decades until a dynamic equilibrium
485 is reached. The erosion limit and timescale for approaching equilibrium are determined by the
486 balance between the decreasing erosional ability of tidal currents due to continuous deepening

487 and the increasing anti-erosional ability of the seabed due to armoring and sediment
488 consolidation. Protection and sustainable management of the Yangtze Delta call for close
489 attention to the threat posed by delta erosion to the safety of engineering facilities and
490 ecosystems, as well as adaptive strategies.

491

492 **6. Conclusions**

493 The major Yangtze mouth bar and prodelta have converted from net accretion to net
494 erosion since 2007 in response to fluvial sediment decline. Strong accretion ($205.1 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$)
495 occurred in 1958–1978 under a high sediment load (465 Mt yr^{-1}). Along with the decrease in
496 SD after 1978, the accumulation rate decreased to $31.9 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 1978–1997 and then
497 increased to $114.6 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 1997–2002 and $130.8 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2002–2007. Under a low
498 sediment supply ($<150 \text{ Mt yr}^{-1}$), net erosion was initiated after 2007 with an accumulation rate
499 of $-200.4 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2007–2010 and $-200.4 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2010–2013. The mouth bar area
500 showed a gradual decrease in the accumulation rate during 1958–2002. Only $0.5 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ of
501 sediment was accumulated in 1997–2002, implying that the mouth bar area was close to the
502 tipping point. However, the mouth bar area rebounded to strong accretion ($171.0 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) in
503 2002–2007, which was also the main contributor to high accretion in the entire study area. Over
504 95% of the accretion occurred within the groyne-sheltered areas and at the EHS due to the
505 construction of the training walls along the NP. The mouth bar area converted to net erosion
506 after 2007, and the net accumulation rates were $-139.9 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in 2007–2010 and -64.0 Mm^3
507 yr^{-1} in 2010–2013. Stronger erosion in the former period can be partly explained by intensive
508 dredging ($-43.0 \text{ Mm}^3 \text{ yr}^{-1}$) along the navigation channel. Based on the relationship between the

509 net accumulation rates and the SD at Datong station, a critical SD to retain net accretion in the
510 Yangtze mouth bar and prodelta was estimated to be ca. 218 Mt yr⁻¹. Deducing the
511 morphological impacts of estuarine engineering projects, the critical SD was adjusted to ca. 234
512 Mt yr⁻¹. To synthesize the observed patterns of morphological evolution from the inner estuary
513 to the outer subaqueous delta, it can be inferred that most portion of the subaqueous delta has
514 most likely experienced accretion-erosion conversion. The mouth bar area showed the lowest
515 sensitivity to fluvial sediment decline among portions of the delta due to its intrinsic
516 characteristics as a sediment accumulating site. With a likely further decrease in SD and new
517 land reclamation projects planned within the mouth bar area, delta erosion is likely to continue
518 in the future. Adaptive strategies to counter these threats to estuarine ecosystems and
519 engineering facilities are thus urgently needed for delta sustainability.

520

521 **Acknowledgments**

522 This paper is a product of the project “Coping with deltas in transition” within the Programme
523 of Strategic Scientific Alliances between China and The Netherlands (PSA), financed by the
524 Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology (MOST), Project no. 2016YFE0133700 and
525 Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences (KNAW), Project no. PSA-SA-E-02. This
526 study was also financed by the Ministry of Science and Technology of China
527 (2016YFA0600903), the Open Research Fund of State Key Laboratory of Estuarine and Coastal
528 Research (SKLEC-PGKF201905), the National Natural Science Foundation of China
529 (42006156, 52009008), the Fundamental Research Funds for Central Public Welfare Research
530 Institutes (CKSF2019167/HL), the Key Project of the Shanghai Science & Technology

531 Committee (17DJ14003) and the Natural Science Foundation of China-Shandong Joint Fund
532 for Marine Science Research Centers (U1606401) . The bathymetry data used in this study are
533 gratefully provided by Navigation Guarantee Department of the Chinese Navy Headquarters,
534 Shanghai Waterway Bureau of Ministry of Transport and Yangtze Estuary Waterway
535 Administration Bureau of Ministry of Transport. The authors are grateful to the editor and four
536 anonymous reviewers for their thoughtful and constructive comments and suggestions.

537

538 **Appendix A. Supplementary material**

539 Supplementary material to this article can be found online.

540

541 **Figure captions**

542 Fig. 1. (a) Map of the Yangtze River Basin and the location of the Yangtze Estuary (rectangle);
543 (b) map of the Yangtze Estuary with bathymetry observed in 2010. The study area is divided
544 into the mouth bar area (MBA) and adjacent subaqueous delta (ASD) by the 10 m isobath.
545 TGD, XJD, XD, BD and WD represent the Three Gorges, Xiangjiaba, Xiluodu, Baihetan, and
546 Wudongde dams, respectively; ECM: East Chongming Mudflat; EHS: East Hengsha Shoal; JS:
547 Jiuduansha Shoal; ENM: East Nanhui Mudflat; CX: Changxing Island; HS: Hengsha Island;
548 QCSR: Qingcaosha Reservoir; and EHLR: East Hengsha Land Reclamation.

549 Fig. 2. Variations in annual river runoff (black line) and sediment load (red line) at Datong
550 station (tidal limit) since 1950. The shaded area denotes the period since the closure of the Three
551 Gorges Dam (TGD) in 2003.

552 Fig. 3. Erosion/deposition patterns of the Yangtze mouth bar area and subaqueous delta in

553 different periods during 1958-2015. The isobaths in the latter year are presented in each panel.
554 Fig. 4. (a-c) Variations in three typical sections from 1997 to 2013; (d) differences in the
555 sections between 1997 and 2013. The locations of the sections are shown in Fig. 1b. Sec. N and
556 Sec. S are heading seaward and Sec. H is heading southward. The depth refers to the theoretical
557 lowest tidal datum. Positive values represent accretion, and negative values represent erosion
558 in (d).

559 Fig. 5. (a) Annual-mean sediment load at Datong station and net accumulation rates of the entire
560 study area as shown in Fig. 1b; (b) net accumulation rates of the mouth bar area (MBA) and
561 adjacent subaqueous delta (ASD) as shown in Fig. 1b.

562 Fig. 6. Relationship between net accumulation rate of the entire study area (defined in Fig. 1b)
563 and sediment discharge at Datong. Red dots represent the net accumulation rates without the
564 impacts of estuarine engineering projects in 1997-2002, 2002-2007 and 2007-2010. The black
565 and red dash fitting lines are derived by data with and without the impacts of estuarine
566 engineering projects, respectively.

567

568 **References**

- 569 Anthony, E.J., Brunier, G., Besset, M., Goichot, M., Dussouillez, P., Nguyen, V.L., 2015.
570 Linking rapid erosion of the Mekong River delta to human activities. *Sci. Rep.* 5, 14745.
- 571 Bittencourt, A.C.D.S., Dominguez, J.M.L., Fontes, L.C.S., Sousa, D.L., Silva, I.R., Da Silva,
572 F.R., 2007. Wave Refraction, River Damming, and Episodes of Severe Shoreline Erosion:
573 The São Francisco River Mouth, Northeastern Brazil. *J Coastal Res.* 23(4), 930–938.
- 574 Blott, S.J., Pye, K., van der Wal, D., Neal, A., 2006. Long-term morphological change and its
575 causes in the Mersey Estuary, NW England. *Geomorphology* 81, 185–206.

576 Blum, M.D., Roberts, H.H., 2012. The Mississippi delta region: past, present, and future. *Annu.*
577 *Rev Earth Pl. Sc.* 40, 655–683.

578 Canestrelli, A., Fagherazzi, S., Defina, A., Lanzoni, S., 2010. Tidal hydrodynamics and
579 erosional power in the Fly River delta, Papua New Guinea. *Journal of Geophysical Research:*
580 *Earth Surface* 115, F4033.

581 Chen, J., Li, D., Chen, B., Hu, F., Zhu, H., Liu, C., 1999. The processes of dynamic
582 sedimentation in the Changjiang Estuary. *J Sea Res.* 41, 129–140.

583 Chen, J., Zhu, H., Dong, Y., Sun, J., 1985. Development of the Changjiang estuary and its
584 submerged delta. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 4, 47–56.

585 Chen, Z.Y., Xu, K., Watanabe, M., 2007. Dynamic hydrology and geomorphology of the
586 Yangtze River, in: Gupta, A. (Ed.), *Large Rivers: Geomorphology and Management*. Wiley,
587 England, pp. 457–469.

588 Chu, Z.X., Sun, X.G., Zhai, S.K., Xu, K.H., 2006. Changing pattern of accretion/erosion of the
589 modern Yellow River (Huanghe) subaerial delta, China: Based on remote sensing images.
590 *Mar. Geol.* 227, 13–30.

591 Dai, Z., Liu, J.T., Fu, G., Xie, H., 2013. A thirteen-year record of bathymetric changes in the
592 North Passage, Changjiang (Yangtze) estuary. *Geomorphology* 187, 101–107.

593 Dai, Z., Liu, J.T., Wei, W., Chen, J., 2014. Detection of the Three Gorges Dam influence on
594 the Changjiang (Yangtze River) submerged delta. *Sci. Rep.* 4, 6600.

595 Dai, Z., Fagherazzi, S., Mei, X., Chen, J. & Meng, Y. Linking the infilling of the North Branch
596 in the Changjiang (Yangtze) estuary to anthropogenic activities from 1958 to 2013. *Marine*
597 *Geology* 379, 1–12 (2016).

598 Day, J.W., Agboola, J., Chen, Z., D Elia, C., Forbes, D.L., Giosan, L., Kemp, P., Kuenzer, C.,
599 Lane, R.R., Ramachandran, R., Syvitski, J., Yañez-Arancibia, A., 2016. Approaches to
600 defining deltaic sustainability in the 21st century. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 183,
601 Part B, 275–291.

602 De Vriend, H., Wang, Z., Ysebaert, T., Herman, P.J., Ding, P., 2011. Eco-Morphological
603 Problems in the Yangtze Estuary and the Western Scheldt. *Wetlands* 31, 1033–1042.

604 Deng, B., Wu, H., Yang, S., Zhang, J., 2017. Longshore suspended sediment transport and its
605 implications for submarine erosion off the Yangtze River Estuary. *Estuarine, Coastal and*
606 *Shelf Science* 190, 1–10.

607 Du, J., Yang, S., Feng, H., 2016. Recent human impacts on the morphological evolution of the
608 Yangtze River delta foreland: A review and new perspectives. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf*
609 *Science*.

610 Duan, G.L., 2012. Study on the different computation patterns on riverbed erosion and
611 deposition amount, Wuhan University, Wuhan.

612 Edmonds, D.A. and Slingerland, R.L., 2007. Mechanics of river mouth bar formation:
613 Implications for the morphodynamics of delta distributary networks. *Journal of Geophysical*
614 *Research*, 112(F2).

615 Giosan, L., Syvitski, J., Constantinescu, S., Day, J., 2014. Climate change: protect the world's
616 deltas. *Nature* 516, 31–33.

617 Guo, L.C., 2014. Modeling estuarine morphodynamics under combined river and tidal forcing,
618 UNESCO-IHE, Delft.

619 Hori, K., Saito, Y., Zhao, Q., Cheng, X., Wang, P., Sato, Y., Li, C., 2001. Sedimentary facies

620 and Holocene progradation rates of the Changjiang (Yangtze) delta, China. *Geomorphology*
621 41, 233–248.

622 Jaffe, B.E., Smith, R.E., Foxgrover, A.C., 2007. Anthropogenic influence on sedimentation and
623 intertidal mudflat change in San Pablo Bay, California: 1856 – 1983. *Estuarine, Coastal and*
624 *Shelf Science* 73, 175–187.

625 Jay, D.A. and Musiak, J.D., 1994. Particle trapping in estuarine tidal flows. *Journal of*
626 *Geophysical Research: Oceans*, 99(C10): 20445-20461.

627 Jia, J., Gao, J., Cai, T., Li, Y., Yang, Y., Wang, Y.P., Xia, X., Li, J., Wang, A., Gao, S., 2018.
628 Sediment accumulation and retention of the Changjiang (Yangtze River) subaqueous delta
629 and its distal muds over the last century. *Mar. Geol.* 401, 2–16.

630 Jiang, C., Pan, S., Chen, S., 2017. Recent morphological changes of the Yellow River
631 (Huanghe) submerged delta: Causes and environmental implications. *Geomorphology*, 293:
632 93–107.

633 Li, X., Liu, J.P., Tian, B., 2016. Evolution of the Jiuduansha wetland and the impact of
634 navigation works in the Yangtze Estuary, China. *Geomorphology* 253, 328–339.

635 Liu, X., Cui, D., 2019. Study on deposition characteristics of promoting silting project in the
636 Yangtze Estuary. *Journal of Sediment Research* 44(1): 24-30. (in Chinese)

637 Liu, H., He, Q., Wang, Z., Weltje, G.J., Zhang, J., 2010. Dynamics and spatial variability of
638 near-bottom sediment exchange in the Yangtze Estuary, China. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf*
639 *Science* 86, 322–330.

640 Liu, J.P., Xu, K.H., Li, A.C., Milliman, J.D., Velozzi, D.M., Xiao, S.B., Yang, Z.S., 2007. Flux
641 and fate of Yangtze River sediment delivered to the East China Sea. *Geomorphology* 85,

642 208–224.

643 Luan, H.L., Ding, P.X., Wang, Z.B., Ge, J.Z., Yang, S.L., 2016. Decadal morphological
644 evolution of the Yangtze Estuary in response to river input changes and estuarine engineering
645 projects. *Geomorphology* 265, 12–23.

646 Luan, H.L., Ding, P.X., Wang, Z.B., Ge, J.Z., 2017. Process-based morphodynamic modeling
647 of the Yangtze Estuary at a decadal timescale: Controls on estuarine evolution and future
648 trends. *Geomorphology* 290, 347–364.

649 Luan, H.L., Ding, P.X., Wang, Z.B., Yang, S.L., Lu, J.Y., 2018. Morphodynamic impacts of
650 large-scale engineering projects in the Yangtze River delta. *Coast Eng.* 141, 1–11.

651 Luo, X.X., Yang, S.L., Wang, R.S., Zhang, C.Y., Li, P., 2017. New evidence of Yangtze delta
652 recession after closing of the Three Gorges Dam. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 41735.

653 Luo, X.X., Yang, S.L., Zhang, J., 2012. The impact of the Three Gorges Dam on the
654 downstream distribution and texture of sediments along the middle and lower Yangtze River
655 (Changjiang) and its estuary, and subsequent sediment dispersal in the East China Sea.
656 *Geomorphology* 179, 126–140.

657 Maloney, J.M., Bentley, S.J., Xu, K., Obelcz, J., Georgiou, I.Y., Miner, M.D., 2018. Mississippi
658 River subaqueous delta is entering a stage of retrogradation. *Mar. Geol.* 400, 12–23.

659 Milliman, J.D., Farnsworth, K.L., 2011. *River discharge to the coastal ocean: a global synthesis.*
660 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

661 Morton, R.A., Bernier, J.C., Barras, J.A., Ferina, N.F., 2005. Rapid subsidence and historical
662 wetland loss in the Mississippi delta plain: likely causes and future implications, *US Geol.*
663 *Surv.*, Washington, DC.

664 Preoteasa, L., Vespremeanu-Stroe, A., Tătui, F., Zăinescu, F., Alida, T., Cîrdan, I., 2016. The
665 evolution of an asymmetric deltaic lobe (Sf. Gheorghe, Danube) in association with cyclic
666 development of the river-mouth bar: Long-term pattern and present adaptations to human-
667 induced sediment depletion. *Geomorphology*, 253: 59-73.

668 Renaud, F.G., Syvitski, J.P., Sebesvari, Z., Werners, S.E., Kremer, H., Kuenzer, C., Ramesh,
669 R., Jeuken, A., Friedrich, J., 2013. Tipping from the Holocene to the Anthropocene: How
670 threatened are major world deltas? *Curr. Opin. Env. Sust.* 5, 644–654.

671 Stanley, D.J., Chen, Z., 1993. Yangtze delta, eastern China: 1. Geometry and subsidence of
672 Holocene depocenter. *Mar. Geol.* 112, 1–11.

673 Syvitski, J.P.M., Kettner, A.J., Overeem, I., Hutton, E.W.H., Hannon, M.T., Brakenridge, G.R.,
674 Day, J., Vorosmarty, C., Saito, Y., Giosan, L., Nicholls, R.J., 2009. Sinking deltas due to
675 human activities. *Nat. Geosci.* 2, 681–686.

676 Syvitski, J.P.M., Saito, Y., 2007. Morphodynamics of deltas under the influence of humans.
677 *Global Planet Change* 57, 261–282.

678 Tessler, Z.D., Vörösmarty, C.J., Grossberg, M., Gladkova, I., Aizenman, H., Syvitski, J.P.M.,
679 Fofoula-Georgiou, E., 2015. Profiling risk and sustainability in coastal deltas of the world.
680 *Science* 349, 638–643.

681 Van der Wal, D., Pye, K., Neal, A., 2002. Long-term morphological change in the Ribble
682 Estuary, northwest England. *Mar. Geol.* 189, 249–266.

683 Wang, J., Gao, W., Xu, S., et al., 2012. Evaluation of the combined risk of sea level rise, land
684 subsidence, and storm surges on the coastal areas of Shanghai, China. *Climatic Change*,
685 115(3-4): 537-558.

686 Wang, Z.B., Van Maren, D.S., Ding, P.X., Yang, S.L., Van Prooijen, B.C., De Vet, P.L.M.,
687 Winterwerp, J.C., De Vriend, H.J., Stive, M.J.F., He, Q., 2015. Human impacts on
688 morphodynamic thresholds in estuarine systems. *Cont. Shelf Res.*, R3681.

689 Wei, W., Mei, X., Dai, Z., Tang, Z., 2016. Recent morphodynamic evolution of the largest
690 uninhibited island in the Yangtze (Changjiang) estuary during 1998–2014: Influence of the
691 anthropogenic interference. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 124, 83–94.

692 Wei, W., Dai, Z., Mei, X., Liu, J.P., Gao, S., Li, S., 2017. Shoal morphodynamics of the
693 Changjiang (Yangtze) estuary: Influences from river damming, estuarine hydraulic
694 engineering and reclamation projects. *Mar. Geol.* 386, 32–43.

695 Wei, W., Dai, Z., Mei, X., Gao, S., Liu, J.P., 2019. Multi-decadal morpho-sedimentary
696 dynamics of the largest Changjiang estuarine marginal shoal: Causes and implications. *Land*
697 *Degrad. Dev.* 30, 2048–2063.

698 White, K., El Asmar, H.M., 1999. Monitoring changing position of coastlines using Thematic
699 Mapper imagery, an example from the Nile Delta. *Geomorphology* 29, 93–105.

700 Wright, L.D., 1977. Sediment transport and deposition at river mouths: a synthesis. *Geol. Soc.*
701 *Am. Bull.* 88, 857–868.

702 Wright, L.D. and Coleman, J.M., 1974. Mississippi River Mouth Processes: Effluent Dynamics
703 and Morphologic Development. *The Journal of Geology*, 82(6): 751-778.

704 Wu, Z., Li, Z., Zhao, M., 2003. The process and prediction of sea level change of China offshore
705 waters in 50 years. *Hydrographic surveying and charting*, 23(2): 17-19. (in Chinese)

706 Wu, Z., Milliman, J.D., Zhao, D., et al., 2018. Geomorphologic changes in the lower Pearl
707 River Delta, 1850–2015, largely due to human activity. *Geomorphology*, 314: 42–54.

708 Xie, D., Pan, C., Wu, X., et al., 2017. Local human activities overwhelm decreased sediment
709 supply from the Changjiang River: Continued rapid accumulation in the Hangzhou Bay-
710 Qiantang Estuary system. *Marine Geology*, 392: 66–77.

711 Yang, H.F., Yang, S.L., Xu, K.H., Milliman, J.D., Wang, H., Yang, Z., Chen, Z., Zhang, C.Y.,
712 2018a. Human impacts on sediment in the Yangtze River: A review and new perspectives.
713 *Global Planet Change* 162, 8–17.

714 Yang, H.F., S.L. Yang, Y. Meng, K.H. Xu, X.X. Luo, C.S. Wu, B.W. Shi, 2018b. Recent
715 coarsening of sediments on the southern Yangtze subaqueous delta front: A response to river
716 damming. *Continental Shelf Research* 155, 45-51.

717 Yang, H.F., Yang, S.L., Xu, K.H., Wu, H., Shi, B.W., 2017. Erosion potential of the Yangtze
718 Delta under sediment starvation and climate change. *Sci. Rep.* 7, 10535.

719 Yang, S.L., Belkin, I.M., Belkina, A.I., Zhao, Q.Y., Zhu, J., Ding, P.X., 2003. Delta response
720 to decline in sediment supply from the Yangtze River: evidence of the recent four decades
721 and expectations for the next half-century. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science* 57, 689–
722 699.

723 Yang, S.L., Milliman, J.D., Li, P., Xu, K., 2011. 50,000 dams later: Erosion of the Yangtze
724 River and its delta. *Global Planet Change* 75, 14–20.

725 Yang, S.L., Milliman, J.D., Xu, K.H., Deng, B., Zhang, X.Y., Luo, X.X., 2014. Downstream
726 sedimentary and geomorphic impacts of the Three Gorges Dam on the Yangtze River. *Earth-*
727 *Sci. Rev.* 138, 469–486.

728 Yang, S.L., Xu, K.H., Milliman, J.D., Yang, H.F., Wu, C.S., 2015. Decline of Yangtze River
729 water and sediment discharge: Impact from natural and anthropogenic changes. *Sci. Rep.* 5,

730 12581.

731 Yang, S.L., Zhang, J., Zhu, J., Smith, J.P., Dai, S.B., Gao, A., Li, P., 2005. Impact of dams on
732 Yangtze River sediment supply to the sea and delta intertidal wetland response. *Journal of*
733 *Geophysical Research: Earth Surface* 110, F3006.

734 Yang, S.L., Luo, X., Temmerman, S., Kirwan, M., Bouma, T., Xu, K., Zhang, S., Fan, J., Shi,
735 B., Yang, H., Wang, Y.P., Shi, X., Gao, S., 2020. Role of delta-front erosion in sustaining
736 salt marshes under sea-level rise and fluvial sediment decline. *Limnology and Oceanography*
737 9999, 1–20.

738 Yun, C., 2004. Recent evolution of Yangtze Estuary and its mechanisms. China Ocean Press,
739 Beijing, China (in Chinese).


740 Zhang, M., Townend, I., Cai, H., He, J., Mei, X., 2018. The influence of seasonal climate on
741 the morphology of the mouth-bar in the Yangtze Estuary, China. *Cont. Shelf Res.* 153, 30–
742 49.

743 Zhu, C., Guo, L., Maren, D.S., Tian, B., Wang, X., He, Q., Wang, Z.B., 2019. Decadal
744 morphological evolution of the mouth zone of the Yangtze Estuary in response to human
745 interventions. *Earth Surf Proc Land* 44, 2319–2332.

746 Zhu, L., He, Q., Shen, J., Wang, Y., 2016. The influence of human activities on
747 morphodynamics and alteration of sediment source and sink in the Changjiang Estuary.
748 *Geomorphology* 273, 52–62.


749

750 **Figures**



751


752 Fig. 1.



753

754 Fig. 2.


755



756

757 Fig. 3.


758



759

760 Fig. 4.

761




762

763

764 Fig. 5.

765



766

767 Fig. 6.

768


769 **Supplementary information for:**

770 **Accretion-erosion conversion in the subaqueous Yangtze Delta in response to fluvial**

771 **sediment decline**

772 **Hua Long Luan, Ping Xing Ding, Shi Lun Yang, Zheng Bing Wang**

773




774

775 Fig. S1. Study domains of present and previous studies on morphological evolution of the

776 Yangtze Delta.

777




778

779 Fig. S2. Siltation promotion projects and land reclamation at the East Hengsha Shoal (b) and

780 East Nanhui Mudflat (c).

781



782

783 Fig. S3. Observed bathymetry of the Yangtze mouth bar area and subaqueous delta from 1958

784 to 2015 (referenced to the theoretical lowest-tide datum).

785

786 Table S1. Collected bathymetry maps and navigational charts used in this study

| Year | Map Title | Scale | Sources | Survey |
|------|--------------------------------------|-----------|--|-----------|
| 1958 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:100,000 | Navigation Guarantee Department of the Chinese Navy Headquarters (NGDCNH) | 1958 |
| | Qiyao Harbor to Baimao | 1:25,000 | Navigation Measurement Department of the Chinese Navy Headquarters | 1958 |
| 1978 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:120,000 | NGDCNH | 1976~1978 |
| | Wusong to Xuliujing | 1:50,000 | Shanghai Dredging Corporation Ltd. | 1977 |
| 1997 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:50,000 | Yangtze Estuary Waterway Administration Bureau, Ministry of Transport, PRC (YEWAB) | 1997.12 |
| 2002 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:25,000 | YEWAB | 2002.12 |
| | Southern part of Changjiang Estuary | 1:130,000 | NGDCNH | 2001~2002 |
| 2007 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:50,000 | YEWAB | 2007.08 |
| | Southern part of Changjiang Estuary | 1:130,000 | NGDCNH | 2007~2008 |
| 2010 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:50,000 | YEWAB | 2010.08 |
| 2013 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:10,000 | YEWAB | 2013.08 |
| 2015 | Changjiang Estuary and adjacent area | 1:10,000 | YEWAB | 2015.08 |

787

788 Table S2. Statistics of the erosion/deposition areas, volumes and net accretion rates in different areas and the annual-mean sediment discharge (SD) at Datong
 789 Station (See Fig. 1b for the domains of the study areas. Positive values represent accretion, and negative values represent erosion).

| | | 1958-1978 | 1978-1997 | 1997-2013 | 1997-2002 | 2002-2007 | 2007-2010 | 2010-2013 | 2013-2015 | |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|--|--------------|--------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| entire study area | Annual-mean SD at Datong | (Mt yr ⁻¹) | 465 | 390 | 203 | 314 | 177 | 141 | 134 | 118 |
| | Total area | (km ²) | 4904 | 4904 | 4604 | 4846 | 4718 | 4625 | 4574 | 2852 |
| | Erosion | Area (%) | 24 | 47 | 48 | 40 | 46 | 61 | 63 | 55 |
| | Accretion | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | -58.9 | -110.3 | -155.8 | -253.7 | -241.9 | -478.8 | -408.0 | -282.3 |
| | | Area (%) | 74 | 53 | 52 | 60 | 54 | 39 | 37 | 45 |
| | Net | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | 264.0 | 142.2 | 172.6 | 368.3 | 372.7 | 278.4 | 255.8 | 218.0 |
| | | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | 205.1 | 31.9 | 16.8 | 114.6 | 130.8 | -200.4 | -152.2 | -64.4 |
| | | Thickness (mm yr ⁻¹) | 41.8 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 23.6 | 27.7 | -43.3 | -33.3 | -22.6 |
| | Total area | (km ²) | 3690 | 3690 | 3354 | 3582 | 3456 | 3343 | 3324 | - |
| | Erosion | Area (%) | 25 | 43 | 47 | 46 | 41 | 57 | 58 | - |
| mouth bar area | Accretion | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | -52.5 | -83.4 | -131.2 | -237.5 | -166.0 | -398.8 | -283.1 | - |
| | | Area (%) | 73 | 57 | 53 | 54 | 59 | 43 | 42 | - |
| | Net | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | 178.1 | 129.4 | 153.0 | 238.0 | 337.0 | 258.9 | 219.1 | - |
| | | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | 125.5 | 46.0 | 21.7 | 0.5 | 171.0 | -139.9 | -64.0 | - |
| | | Thickness (mm yr ⁻¹) | 34.0 | 12.5 | 6.5 | 0.1 | 49.5 | -41.9 | -19.2 | - |
| | Total area | (km ²) | 1214 | 1214 | 1249 | 1265 | 1261 | 1282 | 1249 | - |
| adjacent subaqueous delta | Erosion | Area (%) | 21 | 58 | 49 | 24 | 60 | 71 | 78 | - |
| | | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | -6.3 | -27.0 | -24.6 | -16.2 | -75.9 | -80.0 | -124.9 | - |
| | Accretion | Area (%) | 79 | 42 | 51 | 76 | 40 | 29 | 22 | - |
| | | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | 85.9 | 12.9 | 19.7 | 130.3 | 35.7 | 19.5 | 36.7 | - |
| | Net | Volume (Mm ³ yr ⁻¹) | 79.6 | -14.1 | -4.9 | 114.1 | -40.3 | -60.5 | -88.2 | - |
| | | Thickness (mm yr ⁻¹) | 65.5 | -11.6 | -3.9 | 90.2 | -31.9 | -47.2 | -70.6 | - |

790