An Activity-Based Multimodal Model Structure to assess Transportation Management Strategies for Urban Emergencies Jeroen P.T. van der Gun, Adam J. Pel, Bart van Arem

1993 Maas flooding – picture © Rijkswaterstaat



Introduction

Urban emergencies

Urban emergencies

Floods, hurricanes, wildfires, tsunamis, large-scale traffic accidents, airplane crashes, industrial accidents, nuclear disasters, terrorist attacks, etc.

- Observed characteristics (one or more):
 - Substantial delays for everyday traffic
 - Presence of evacuation traffic
 - Emergency services trying to reach the disaster site
- Urban transportation system is easily overloaded



Introduction

How to control

- Transportation authorities need a strategy
- Candidate strategies need assessment
- Assessment requires a simulation model
- Assessment allows for optimisation

Three issues regarding assessment:

- Interface with transportation management problem
- Travel choices of affected population
- Network performance and travel times



Overview





Decision variables 1/2

Decision variables

- Operational
 - Traffic light and ramp metering settings, dynamic speed limits, peak-hour and contraflow lanes, dynamic route information, public transport announcements, traffic regulators, emergency services, ...
- Tactical and strategic
 - Departure advice, mode advice, destination advice, route advice, roadblocks, contraflow roads, temporary road construction, public transport, public shelters, ...



Decision variables 2/2

• Adaptiveness: take uncertainty into account

- Operational variables: frequent real-time changes
- Tactical variables: infrequent changes
- Strategic variables: no changes

• However, the disaster plan must be unambiguous

- Since assessment must be possible
- For changable variables, the decision process must be codified
 - E.g. simple decision rules, model-predictive control, ...



Objective and constraints

Objective

- Two main categories:
 - Non-evacuations: minimise delays
 - Evacuations: maximise evacuation effectiveness
- Robustness: evaluate goal function for multiple scenarios to account for uncertainty
 - Prevents creation of overly optimistic disaster plans

Constraints

- Limitations of traffic management options
- Quality/safety of rescue operations
- Ethics



Simulation model components





Travel choice modelling

Why and how

Used to determine expected loads on transportation system

- Used to see how authorities can influence these
- Activity-based
 - Generates a synthetic population with activity-travel schedules
 - Considers intra-household relationships
 - Important for evacuations
 - Continuously tracks location of individuals and vehicles
 - Can explicitly include relation between normal day and emergency situation
- Dynamic
 - Can study dynamic development of emergency situation
 - Can consider information availability



Travel choice modelling

Escalation model for household





Travel choice modelling

Example implementation





Simulating network performance

Why and how

• Used to determine congestion levels and travel times

- Dynamic
 - Can study dynamic development of emergency situation
- Multimodal
 - Should be as multimodal as urban regions
 - Public transport could be effective means of evacuation
- Macroscopic (rather than microscopic)
 - Can be more parsimonious
 - Can be calibrated on macroscopic level
 - Is computationally more efficient



Simulating network performance

Choice component interaction





Conclusion

Assess effectiveness of emergency transportation management strategy, by using a simulation model

- Allows *robust* testing of *adaptive* disaster plan
- Activity-based and dynamic choice model
- Dynamic, multimodal and macroscopic network performance model

What's next?

- Model implementation (already started)
- Model calibration
 - Stated preference survey for choice model
- Coupling with optimisation module

