

## A new small-scale test rig for the wheel-rail contact studies

Naeimi, Meysam; Li, Zili; Dollevoet, Rolf

**Publication date**

2016

**Document Version**

Submitted manuscript

**Citation (APA)**

Naeimi, M., Li, Z., & Dollevoet, R. (2016). *A new small-scale test rig for the wheel-rail contact studies*. Poster session presented at Joint Rail Conference, Columbia, United States.

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# A new small-scale test rig for the wheel-rail contact studies

Meysam Naeimi, Zili Li, Rolf Dollevoet

Railway Engineering Group, Faculty of Civil Engineering, Delft University of Technology

Emails: [m.naeimi@tudelft.nl](mailto:m.naeimi@tudelft.nl)

[z.li@tudelft.nl](mailto:z.li@tudelft.nl)

[r.p.b.j.dollevoet@tudelft.nl](mailto:r.p.b.j.dollevoet@tudelft.nl)

## Motivations to build a new rig

- High costs of the rolling contact fatigue problem in the wheel and rail materials
- Unclear root causes of rail squats
- Uncertain relations between the microstructural and mechanical effects
- Difficulties in field tests/uncertainties in numerical/theoretical modelling
- Lack of similarity of tests to the reality
- No available test setup to simulate the impact-induced RCF



From the field to the Lab

## Design process of the new setup

Step1: Literature survey on available setups for wheel-rail contact experiments

Step2: Determine the operational mechanism of the new test rig by evaluating various methods


Step3: Perform a dimensional analysis and determine the proper scaling strategy for the new rig

Step4: Finite element analysis of the downscale test rig to finalize the concept design and dimensions

Step5: Compare fatigue mechanism and cyclic behaviour of the new test rig with the real system

Step6: Perform the detailed mechanical and electrical design of the setup → manufacture the new test rig



## Step1: Literature survey on existing rigs




Examples for the Full-scale test rigs

Examples for the Small-scale rigs

## Step2: Which operational mechanism?




## Step3: Dimensional/scale analysis



Variables/parameters	Variable notation	Units	Scale notation	Izumi (1998)	Chollet (1986)	Jaschinski (1999)	Current study
Distance	L	m	$\phi_r$	1/N	1/N	1/N	1/N
Contact width	A	m	$\phi_r$	1/N	1/N	1/N	1/N
Volume	Vol.	m <sup>3</sup>	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>3</sup>	1/N <sup>3</sup>	1/N <sup>3</sup>	1/N <sup>3</sup>
Moment of inertia	I	m <sup>4</sup>	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>4</sup>	1/N <sup>4</sup>	1/N <sup>4</sup>	1/N <sup>4</sup>
Mass	M	kg	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>3</sup>	1/N <sup>3</sup>	2/N <sup>3</sup>	1/N <sup>3</sup>
Force	F	N	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N <sup>2</sup>	2/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N <sup>2</sup>
Moment	T	Nm	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N <sup>2</sup>	2/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N <sup>2</sup>
Stress	$\sigma$	N/m <sup>2</sup>	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>2</sup>	1	2/N	1
Strain	$\epsilon$	None	$\phi_r$	1/N	1	1	1
Stiffness	K	N/m	$\phi_r$	1/N	1/N	2/N	1/N
Damping	C	Ns/m	$\phi_r$	1/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N <sup>2</sup>	2/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N <sup>2</sup>
Frequency	f	Hz	$\phi_r$	1	N	N <sup>2</sup>	N
Time	t	s	$\phi_r$	1	1/N	1/N <sup>2</sup>	1/N
Velocity	V	m/s	$\phi_r$	1/N	1	1/N <sup>1/2</sup>	1

## Step4: Finite element modelling




Static models

Dynamic models

Some results

## Step5: Fatigue similarity analysis




Test duration with the test rig (hours)	1	6	12	24	48	72	96	120
Number of wheel cycles	69,198	415,186	830,373	1,660,746	3,321,491	4,982,237	6,642,982	8,303,728
Number of rail contact cycles	12,732	76,394	152,789	305,577	611,154	916,732	1,222,309	1,527,886
Eq. tonnage (MGt)	0.25	1.53	3.06	6.11	12.22	18.33	24.45	30.56

## Step6: Finalizing the concept design


- A spinning frame structure on a ring track bed fixed on the ground
- Including multiple (four) wheel components
- Having a rail-track ring with all components
- Flexible scale from 1/5 (basic) to 1/7
- Similarity in dynamic and impact loading conditions and rolling contact behaviours
- Similarity in stress-strain behaviours by using real materials of the wheel and rail
- Adjustable loading conditions, driving and braking behaviours
- Flexible rolling angle and creep forces

## From concept design to the real setup



The final concept design

Detailed design of the new setup



The final built setup in the Lab, TU Delft