

A comparison of 3-D electrical resistivity models from different numerical solvers

Rigaud, Rafael; Comeau, Matthew J.; Kruglyakov, Mikhail ; Becken, Michael; Kuvshinov, Alexey

Publication date

2024

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Rigaud, R., Comeau, M. J., Kruglyakov, M., Becken, M., & Kuvshinov, A. (2024). *A comparison of 3-D electrical resistivity models from different numerical solvers*. Abstract from 26th International Electromagnetic Induction Workshop 2024, Beppu, Japan.

https://www.emiw.org/emiw2024/abstracts/abstract-listing/pmfe-abstract-details?tx_powermail_pi2%5Baction%5D=show&tx_powermail_pi2%5Bcontroller%5D=Output&tx_powermail_pi2%5Bmail%5D=3901&cHash=f379ad94ac64a33b751eed42239dba

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

A comparison of 3-D electrical resistivity models from different numerical solvers

Rafael Rigaud¹, Matthew J. Comeau², Mikhail Kruglyakov³, Michael Becken⁴, and Alexey Kuvshinov¹

¹ ETH Zürich, Switzerland, Rafael.Rigaud@erdw.ethz.ch, Kuvshinov@erdw.ethz.ch

² Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands, M.J.Comeau@tudelft.nl

³ University of Otago, New Zealand, Mikhail.Kruglyakov@otago.ac.nz

⁴ Universität Münster, Germany, Michael.Becken@uni-muenster.de

SUMMARY

Three-dimensional (3-D) modelling of magnetotelluric (MT) data is standard practice nowadays, with various 3D inverse solvers being available for commercial and scientific usage. Three approaches are commonly used to numerically solve Maxwell's equations in practice: finite-differences, finite elements, and volume integral equations. Most standard forward and inverse MT solvers/approaches have been benchmarked against each other and tested on several synthetic data/models. However, there has been few comparisons of the electrical conductivity models recovered by different solvers from real datasets.

In this presentation, we tackle this issue by generating inverse models from MT impedances taken from a subset of a large regional array in Central Mongolia using different codes: MODEM, based on finite differences; GEMMIE, based on integral equations; and FEMALY, a solver based on finite elements. In addition, we compare the recovered models with a published model, which was obtained by the finite elements code GOFEM. We will discuss the obtained models considering the underlying fundamentals of each method, the different inversion strategies, and the corresponding inversion parameters used, such as mesh discretization and regularization.

Keywords: Magnetotellurics; Electrical Resistivity; Inversion
