

**Including policy and management in socio-hydrology models  
initial conceptualizations**

Hermans, Leon; Korbee, Dorien

**Publication date**

2017

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

Geophysical Research Abstracts (online)

**Citation (APA)**

Hermans, L., & Korbee, D. (2017). Including policy and management in socio-hydrology models: initial conceptualizations. *Geophysical Research Abstracts (online)*, 19, Article EGU2017-18599.

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## **Including policy and management in socio-hydrology models: initial conceptualizations**

Leon Hermans and Dorien Korbee

Delft University of Technology, Faculty of Technology, Policy and Management, Delft, Netherlands (l.m.hermans@tudelft.nl)

Socio-hydrology studies the interactions in coupled human-water systems. So far, the use of dynamic models that capture the direct feedback between societal and hydrological systems has been dominant. What has not yet been included with any particular emphasis, is the policy or management layer, which is a central element in for instance integrated water resources management (IWRM) or adaptive delta management (ADM). Studying the direct interactions between human-water systems generates knowledges that eventually helps influence these interactions in ways that may ensure better outcomes – for society and for the health and sustainability of water systems. This influence sometimes occurs through spontaneous emergence, uncoordinated by societal agents – private sector, citizens, consumers, water users. However, the term ‘management’ in IWRM and ADM also implies an additional coordinated attempt through various public actors.

This contribution is a call to include the policy and management dimension more prominently into the research focus of the socio-hydrology field, and offers first conceptual variables that should be considered in attempts to include this policy or management layer in socio-hydrology models. This is done by drawing on existing frameworks to study policy processes throughout both planning and implementation phases. These include frameworks such as the advocacy coalition framework, collective learning and policy arrangements, which all emphasize longer-term dynamics and feedbacks between actor coalitions in strategic planning and implementation processes. A case about longer-term dynamics in the management of the Haringvliet in the Netherlands is used to illustrate the paper.