ChatGPT and Ideation

Study on the effect on design student's creativity and creative process.

Creativity is vital in design, driving innovative problemsolving. While ChatGPT was not specifically designed to foster creativity, its growing use in creative contexts raises concerns about fixation effects, reduced creative abilities, and homogenized outputs. This study aims to explore ChatGPT's impact on designers' workflows and creativity, seeking to balance human originality with machine-assisted innovation.



Key findings

ChatGPT proved helpful in kickstarting ideation and refining ideas, providing quick access to concrete and detailed inspiration. However, its use often discouraged analog and diverging methods, integral to creative exploration. Students frequently fell into copy-paste behavior due to closely related outputs, and the requirement for specific prompts forced convergent thinking, misaligned with the goal of generating diverse ideas.

approach ideation and inspiration search with ChatGPT? ChatGPT influence designers' creative confidence, exploration, and outcomes?

ChatGPT affect designers' critical appraisal of ideas?

Methodology

Participants



While ChatGPT enabled rapid generation of abundant ideas and provided technical and contextual reminders, the results often lacked novelty and constrained the creative freedom typical of early ideation. The detailed, closely-related outputs reduced creative thinking, flow, and energy, and significantly hindered problem space exploration. Students took a more passive role, feeling less engaged and less ownership of their outcomes, which eroded their creative confidence. Despite perceiving ChatGPT as thorough, they rated it less useful due to struggles with achieving desired outputs, and there was no significant difference in the creativity of concepts generated with or without ChatGPT.

Within-group experiment

Experiment included two conditions: ideation with no GenAl use and using ChatGPT. The data collected per participant included three design concepts per condition, a pre-experiment questionnaire and one postreflection questionnaire per condition and their chatGPT transcript. Lastly, 5 students that volunteered were interviewed. There was no significant difference in students' reported critical appraisal of ideas between conditions, though their familiarity with the problem and ChatGPT influenced their evaluations. A notable tendency for students to confidently share ideas with ChatGPT indicated a lack of awareness about data use and ownership concerns. These findings suggest the need for more education on AI tools to ensure students critically engage with and understand the implications of their interactions.

Mixed-methods approach

Quantitative analysis

The study first quantitatively analyzed the creative quality of concepts and the pre/post questionnaires by comparing results from the two experimental conditions.

Quantitative analysis

The study first quantitatively
analyzed the creative quality
of concepts and the pre/post
questionnaires by comparing
results from the two
experimental conditions.

General recommendations

To enhance critical engagement and creativity, this study recommends balancing AI with traditional ideation methods, fostering intentional use of AI, and promoting broader exploration. Educators should teach prompt design and encourage active manipulation of AI outputs, while

products can integrate features like visual translations, adjustable abstraction levels, and interactive prompts to support creativity. Designers are urged to use AI outputs as starting points, maintaining active engagement and reflecting on their alignment with creative goals to ensure originality and deeper exploration.

Validate and expands finding

Delft University of Technology

December 2024

TUDelft

Master thesis

Faculty Industrial Design Engineering Master Design for Interaction

ChatGPT and ideation: a study on the effect of the use of the tool on design student's creativity and creative process.

Supervisory team

M. Guerreiro Gonçalves, PhD (chair) P. Wang, PhD (mentor) Alice Schut (THUAS collaborator)

L. Fernandes Krajcer