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#### Abstract

Shortest distance queries over large-scale graphs bring great benefits to various applications, i.e., save planning time and travelling expenses. To protect the sensitive nodes and edges in the graph, a user outsources an encrypted graph to an untrusted server without losing the query ability. However, no prior work has considered the user requirement of the shortest path with $k$ unsorted nodes. In particular, we are concerned with how to securely find the shortest path by passing $k$ nodes that do not have a fixed traverse order. To solve the problems, we propose Gespun (stands for Graph encryption for shortest path queries with $k$ unordered nodes). It includes an oracle encryption scheme that is provably secure against the semi-honest server. Specifically, we compute the shortest paths and distances for all nodes locally to obtain path-distance oracles. We transform the shortest paths to a sequence of secure codes by using a pseudo-random permutation to protect the structure privacy. We encrypt the shortest distance by using additively homomorphic encryption. Second, we pack the oracles in link-list nodes and store them in an array-based dictionary after another permutation. Next, we construct a search graph to compute the shortest path while guaranteeing that the path passes the required $k$ nodes. We formally prove that Gespun is adaptively semanticallysecure in the random oracle. We implement a prototype of Gespun and evaluate its performance. Experiments results demonstrate that Gespun is efficient, e.g., a query over 6301 nodes, 20777 edges, and 5 unsorted nodes only needs 483 ms to get queried results. We believe that our research problem span new research that soon promotes a new line of graph encryption schemes.


Index Terms-Graph encryption, Shortest distance query, Unsorted nodes, Security

## I. Introduction

## A. Background

Graphs databases are utilized in various applications, including world-wide web, online social networks, and communication networks. For instance, LinkedIn has a social graph consisting of more than 8.1 million users [1]. These applications facilitate querying and analyzing largescale graphs in an efficient way.

[^0]With the ubiquity of cloud computing [2], [3], users, including companies and individuals, are encouraged to outsource their graph databases to a remote cloud server. By doing so, their local management costs are reduced [4]-[6]. However, outsourcing graph databases containing sensitive information (e.g., how users are connected in a social network and which roads users visit) to the untrusted cloud server will not only lead to users' loss of data control, but also raise their security concerns [7]-[11]. The concerns promote new methods to safeguard security. Data encryption is a straightforward method, but complicate data analysis.

## B. Related Work

To solve the problem above, Chase and Kamara proposed the idea of structured encryption [12]. Generally speaking, a graph encryption scheme encrypts a data structure while allowing users to query it privately. Graph encryption is a special case of structured encryption and there are several prominent works. Meng et al. [13] proposed a graph encryption scheme GRECS to answer shortest distance queries approximately by computing encrypted distance oracles and using somewhat homomorphic encryption [14], Pseudo-Random Permutation (PRP), and Pseudo-Random Function (PRF). Wu et al. [15] presented a multi-round navigation protocol on city streets to enable shortest path queries. They compressed the next-hop matrices for road networks to realize fully private shortest-path computation in road networks and combined garbled circuits [16] to obtain a fully-private navigation protocol. Wang et al. [17] designed SecGDB to answer shortest distance queries over an encrypted graph database by leveraging additively homomorphic encryption [18], PRP, and PRF. SecGDB executes the Dijkstra's algorithm [19] with a Fibonacci heap to compute the shortest path. Ghosh et al. [20] proposed the first graph encryption scheme for single-pair shortest path queries based on SP-matrix $M$ [21]. Given two nodes $v_{i}$ and $v_{j}$, they used a recursive algorithm to
look up the first node on the shortest path $v_{o}=M[i, j]$ and recurses on the pair $\left(v_{o}, v_{j}\right)$. Shortest path queries are one fundamental function of many graph algorithms [15], [17], [20], [22] and they are quite practical in daily lives. Example applications among location-based services [23], [24] include smart parking [25], navigation [26], and user matching in ride-hailing [27]. Some commercial systems include OrientDB [28], Neo4j [29], and Titan [30]. In this work, we focus on the shortest path that has a more practical use.

## C. Motivation

Our motivation arises from designing a graph encryption scheme that supports an important graph operation: finding the shortest path between two nodes while passing $k$ unsorted nodes. Such an operation echoes a common user requirement in real-world applications. For example, as illustrated in Fig. 1, a user Alice opens the Google Maps app on her smartphone to (1) find the shortest path from a source (green node) to a destination (red node), and (2) this path has to pass through three other locations (blue nodes), i.e., her workplace, a specific gym, and a specific pizza shop. Meanwhile, Alice does not require the order of visiting the three locations. We call them unsorted nodes. Besides the three unsorted nodes, there are also normal nodes (black nodes) along the shortest path, e.g., crossroads.


Fig. 1. Motivation: Find the Shortest Path with 3 Unsorted Nodes.

## D. Technical Challenges and Proposed Solutions

To contribute to the graph encryption and break through the limitations of existing work, we propose Gespun: Graph encryption for shortest path queries with $k$ unordered nodes. Specifically, our construction addresses three technical challenges. First, how to design an appropriate oracle that lays the foundation of our shortest path queries under restricted conditions. Existing work either neglect the shortest path [13] or only consider the neighboring information [17]. Intuitively, a path-distance oracle is preferable. Second, how to protect structure privacy when designing the abovementioned oracle? On one hand, we need to prepare the novel oracle to compute the shortest path. On the other hand, providing too much path information will result in violation on the graph structure, i.e., how nodes are connected to each other. Third, how to answer
the shortest path queries with $k$ unsorted nodes? Unlike the classic Travelling Salesman Problem (TSP) [31], [32], which is NP-hard, or the Könisberg bridge problem [33], our requirement is a general case of TSP (which has the same source and destination) and it should be solved in a secure manner.
To tackle the challenges, first we compute the shortest paths and distances for all nodes locally by using the FloydWarshall algorithm [34] on the original graph to obtain path-distance oracles. We encrypt the shortest distance by using additively homomorphic encryption. Second, we transform the shortest paths to a sequence of secure codes by using a Pseudo-Random Permutation (PRP) and $n$ secrets to protect the structure privacy. Third, for each node, we store their oracles in linked-list nodes, which are permutated in an array. The first nodes of all arrays are then permuted by using the PRP and a different secret key in a dictionary as separate entries. We construct a search graph based on a source, a destination, and $k$ unsorted nodes to find the shortest path over the encrypted graph while guaranteeing that the path passes the $k$ nodes. Briefly, our main contributions are summarized as follows.

- To the best of our knowledge, this is the first work to address the shortest path queries with $k$ unsorted nodes for graph encryption and we propose a novel graph encryption scheme named Gespun.
- We achieve the new requirement under the graph encryption framework by designing a path-distance oracle, leveraging homomorphic encryption and permutation, and constructing a search graph.
- We formally define security of Gespun and prove that it is adaptively semantically-secure in the random oracle. We develop a prototype of Gespun and conduct extensive experiments to demonstrate its efficiency and practicability.


## E. Paper Organization

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the problem formulation including system model, threat model, and design goals. Section 3 revisits some preliminaries. In section 4, we present the details of Gespun. In section 5, we formally prove the security of Gespun. Section 6 conducts the performance evaluation. Finally, we conclude this paper in Section 7.

## II. Problem Formulation

In this work, we consider the problem of designing a graph encryption scheme that supports the shortest path queries with $k$ unsorted nodes over an encrypted graph stored on a remote cloud server. Before we dive into the technical details, we elaborate on the system model and security model in this section.

## A. System Model

At a high level, as shown in Fig. 2, our system contains two entities, namely the user $U$ and the cloud server $C S$.


Fig. 2. System Model of Gespun.

In the Setup stage, the user $U$ processes the original graph $\mathcal{G}$ to obtain path-distance oracles of nodes and an encrypted graph $\mathcal{E G}$. $U$ outsources the $\mathcal{E G}$ to the cloud server $C S$. Next, holding a shortest path query $q$ with $k$ unsorted nodes over the $\mathcal{E G}, U$ generates a query token $t_{q}$ based on $q$ and sends it to the $C S$. The $C S$ searches $\mathcal{E G}$ by using $t_{q}$ and returns an encrypted shortest path $s p$ along with the shortest distance $s d$ to the $U$. We list key notations of Gespun in Table I.

Formally, the core functionalities of our scheme are listed as below.
Definition 1 (Oracle Encryption): An oracle encryption scheme $\Pi=$ (Setup, PathQuery, Dec) supporting the shortest path query with $k$ unsorted nodes consists of the following three probabilistic polynomial-time algorithms:

- $\operatorname{Setup}\left(1^{\lambda}, \mathcal{G}\right) \rightarrow(s k, \mathcal{E G})$ : is a probabilistic key generation algorithm executed by the user. It takes a security parameter $\lambda$ and a graph $\mathcal{G}$ as input, and outputs a secret key $s k$ and an encrypted graph $\mathcal{E G}$.
- PathQuery $(s k, q ; \mathcal{E G}) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ : is a two-party protocol between the user and the cloud server. The user takes a secret key $s k$ and a shortest path query $q$ as input. The cloud server takes a query token $t_{q}$ and an encrypted graph $\mathcal{E G}$ as input. During the protocol, the user computes a query token $t_{q}$ based on $q$ and sends it to the cloud server. After the protocol, the user receives outputs a result set $\mathcal{R}$.
- $\operatorname{Dec}(s k, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow(s p, s d)$ : is a deterministic algorithm executed by the user. It takes a secret key $s k$ and an encrypted result set $\mathcal{R}$, and outputs the shortest path $s p$ as well as its shortest distance $s d$.


## B. Security Model

At a high level, the security protection we expect from an oracle encryption scheme is that: (1) given an encrypted oracle, no adversary can acquire any useful information about the underlying oracle; and (2) given the PathQuery execution of a polynomial number of adaptively chosen shortest path queries $Q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{o}\right)$, no adversary can acquire any useful information about $\mathcal{G}$ or $Q$. We adapt the notion of adaptive semantic security [4], [12], [17], [20], [35] to our distance oracle encryption.
Definition 2 (Adaptive Semantic Security): Let $\Pi=$ (Setup, PathQuery, Dec) be an oracle encryption scheme and consider the following two probabilistic experiments with a semi-honest adversary $\mathcal{A}$, a challenger $\mathcal{C}$, a simulator $\mathcal{S}$, and two (stateful) leakage functions $\mathcal{L}_{1}$, and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ :
$\operatorname{Real}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(1^{\lambda}\right):$

- $\mathcal{A}$ outputs an orcale $\mathcal{G}$.
- $\mathcal{C}$ calculates $(s k, \mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}) \leftarrow \operatorname{Setup}\left(1^{\lambda}, \mathcal{G}\right)$ and sends $\mathcal{E G}$ to $\mathcal{A}$.
- $\mathcal{A}$ generates a polynomial number of adaptively chosen shortest path queries $\mathcal{Q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{o}\right)$. For each query $q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq o), \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ execute PathQuery $\left(s k, q_{i} ; \mathcal{E G}\right) . \mathcal{C}$ acts as a user and $\mathcal{A}$ acts as a cloud server.
- $\mathcal{A}$ computes a bit $b$ that is output by the experiment. IDeal $_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}}\left(1^{\lambda}\right)$ :
- $\mathcal{A}$ outputs an oracle $\mathcal{G}$.
- Given $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{S}$ sends an encrypted graph $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}$ to $\mathcal{A}$.
- $\mathcal{A}$ generates a polynomial number of adaptively chosen shortest path queries $\mathcal{Q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{o}\right)$. For each $q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq o), \mathcal{S}$ is given $\mathcal{L}_{2} . \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{S}$ execute a simulation of PathQuery. $\mathcal{S}$ acts as a user and $\mathcal{A}$ acts as a cloud server.
- $\mathcal{A}$ computes a bit $b$ that is output by the experiment.

We say that $\Pi$ is adaptively $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ - semantically secure if for all Probabilistic Polynomial-Time (PPT) adversaries $\mathcal{A}$, there exists a PPT simulator $\mathcal{S}$ such that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mid \operatorname{Pr}\left[\operatorname{Real}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(1^{\lambda}\right)=1\right]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[\text { Ideal }_{\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{S}}\left(1^{\lambda}\right)=1\right] \mid \leq \operatorname{negl}(\lambda) . \\
\text { III. PRELIMINARIES }
\end{gathered}
$$

In this section, we revisit some preliminaries that lay the foundation for the proposed Gespun, namely homomorphic encryption, pseudo-random function, and pseudo-random permutation.

## A. Homomorphic Encryption

A public-key encryption scheme $\Omega=$ (Gen, Enc, Dec) is homomorphic if it has an evaluation algorithm Eval that takes a function $E$ and a set of ciphertexts $\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots\right.$ $\left.\cdot, c_{n}\right)$ where $c_{i}=\operatorname{Enc}_{p k}\left(m_{i}\right)$ as input, and outputs a ciphertext $c$ such that $\operatorname{Dec}_{s k}(c)=E\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \cdots\right.$ $\left.\cdot, m_{n}\right)$. If $E$ supports addition: $\operatorname{Enc}_{p k}\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)=$ $\operatorname{Eval}\left(+, \operatorname{Enc}_{p k}\left(m_{1}\right), \operatorname{Enc}_{p k}\left(m_{2}\right)\right), \Omega$ is called additive homomorphic encryption. Concrete instantiations of homomorphic encryption schemes include BGN [14] and Paillier cryptosystem [18].

## B. Pseudo-Random Function and Pseudo-Random Permu-

 tationLet $F:\{0,1\}^{*} \times\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{*}$ be a PRF, which is a polynomial-time computable function. The output of a PRF cannot be distinguished from the output of a random function by any PPT distinguisher, i.e., $\mid \operatorname{Pr}\left[D^{F_{k}(\cdot)}\left(1^{n}\right)=\right.$ $1]-\operatorname{Pr}\left[D^{f(\cdot)}\left(1^{n}\right)=1\right] \mid \leq \operatorname{negl}(\lambda)$, where a key $k$ is chosen uniformly from $\{0,1\}^{n}$. In other words, $D$ is given access to an oracle $\mathcal{O}$ which is either $F$ or $f . D$ can query $\mathcal{O}$ at any point $i$ to receive $\mathcal{O}(i)$. For every $D$ that receives a description of $F$ outputs 1 with almost the same probability as when it receives a description of a random function [36]. A PRF is assumed to be a PRP when it is bijective. We refer the interested readers to [36] for detailed information.

TABLE I
Key Notations of Gespun

| Notation | Definition | Notation | Definition |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $U$ | User | $\mathcal{V}$ | Node set |
| $C S$ | Cloud server | $\mathcal{E}$ | Edge set |
| $\mathcal{G}$ | Graph | $v$ | Node |
| $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}$ | Encrypted graph | $w$ | Edge weight |
| $s$ | Source | $n$ | Number of nodes |
| $d$ | Destination | $\pi$ | Path-distance oracle |
| $q$ | Query | Arr | Permutation |
| $q_{t}$ | Query token | Array |  |
| $s p$ | Shortest path | $E s p$ | Dictionary |
| $s d$ | Shortest distance | Encrypted shortest path |  |
| $k$ | Number of unsorted nodes | $E s d$ | Encrypted shortest distance |
| $v$ | Node | $p q$ | Permuted query |
| $K, s k$ | Secret key | $\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}$ | Leakage function |
| $\mathcal{R}$ | Encrypted result set | $Q P, O P$ | Query/oracle pattern |

## IV. Proposed Scheme

In this section, we present our proposed graph encryption scheme Gespun that supports the private shortest path query with $k$ unsorted nodes.

## A. Overview

The user has an original graph $\mathcal{G}=\{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\}$. During the setup phase, the user computes a path-distance oracle for each node in $\mathcal{V}$. The path-distance oracle of a node $v$ contains the shortest path from $v$ to other nodes as well as its shortest distance. The shortest path is a sequence of nodes starting from a source $s$ and leading to a destination $d$. We order the shortest path via PRP and adopt a public-key homomorphic encryption scheme to encrypt the shortest distance of each shortest path. All the path-distance oracles are further permuted and stored in an array for the cloud server to search. In the shortest path query phase, we build a search graph to look through all possible shortest pathes from the source to the destination while passing the $k$ unsorted nodes.

## B. Setup

Our scheme $\Pi=$ (Setup, PathQuery, Dec) makes use of a public-key homomorphic encryption scheme $\Omega=$ (Gen, Enc, Dec), a PRP $P$, a PRF $F$, a random oracle $H$, and a collision-resistant hash function $h$. We use the Paillier cryptosystem as $\Omega$ and generate a key pair $\left(s k_{u}, p k_{u}\right) \leftarrow$ $\{0,1\}^{\lambda} . P$ is defined as $\{0,1\}^{\lambda} \times\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{*}$ and $F$ is defined as $\{0,1\}^{\lambda} \times\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{\lambda} . H$ is defined as $H:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{*}$. A collision-resistant hash function $h$ is modeled as a random function [13]. An original graph is defined as $\mathcal{G}=\{\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}\}$, where $\mathcal{V}=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, \cdots, v_{n}\right\}$ is the node set and $\mathcal{E}$ is a set of triads. For example, $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, w_{i j}\right)$ indicates that there is an edge from node $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$ and the edge weight is $w_{i j}$. Each edge $\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, w_{i j}\right) \in \mathcal{E}$ linking a node $v_{i} \in \mathcal{V}$ to a node $v_{j} \in \mathcal{V}$ is associated with a nonnegative weight $w\left(v_{i}, v_{j}\right)$ The user $U$ executes the Setup algorithm that works as follows.

- $U$ generates two secret keys $K_{1}, K_{2}$, and a key pair $\left(s k_{u}, p k_{u}\right) . U$ sets $s k=\left(K_{1}, K_{2}, s k_{u}, p k_{u}\right)$.
- $U$ computes the shortest paths and distances for all nodes by using the Floyd-Warshall algorithm [34] on $\mathcal{G}$ to obtain path-distance oracles $\left\{\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v_{i}}\right\}$. For example, as portrayed in Fig. 3, there is a shortest path $s p_{v_{i}, v_{j}}$ from node $v_{i}$ to node $v_{j}$ and the shortest distance is $s d_{v_{i}, v_{j}}$. $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v_{i j}}^{v_{j}}=\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, s d_{v_{i} v_{j}}, v_{i j}^{1}, v_{i j}^{2}, \cdots, v_{i j}^{(i j)}\right)$ and $\left(v_{i j}^{1}, v_{i j}^{2}, \cdots\right.$ $\left.\cdot, v_{i j}^{(i j)}\right)$ is the sequence of nodes that $s p_{v_{i}, v_{j}}$ traverses by from $v_{i}$ to $v_{j}$. Then, $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v_{i}}=\left\{\mathcal{P D}_{v_{i}}^{v_{j}}\right\}_{\left(v_{j} \in \mathcal{V}, v_{j} \neq v_{i}\right)}$.
- $U$ samples a random permutation $\pi$ over $\left[\sum_{v_{i}}\left|\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{i}\right|\right]$, i.e., the total number of path-distance oracles, creates a counter num $=1$ for $\pi$, and initializes an array Arr of size $\sum_{v_{i} \in \mathcal{V}}\left|\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v_{i}}\right|$.
- $U$ encrypts the path-distance oracle for each $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v_{i}}$ as follows.
- $U$ chooses two random secrets $K_{v_{i}}, K_{v_{i}}^{*}$ for $\mathcal{P D}_{v_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. For each node $v_{j}(j \neq i), U$ creates a linked-list node $N_{o} \quad=\quad h\left(v_{j}\right)\left\|\operatorname{Enc}_{p k_{v_{i}}}\left(s d_{v_{i}, v_{j}}\right)\right\| P_{K_{v_{i}}^{*}}\left(v_{i j}^{1}\right)$ $\left\|P_{K_{v_{i}}^{*}}\left(v_{i j}^{2}\right)\right\| \cdots\left\|P_{K_{v_{i}}^{*}}\left(v_{i j}^{(i j)}\right)\right\| \pi(n u m+1)$ chooses a random number $r_{o}$, and stores $\left(N_{o} \oplus H\left(K_{v_{i}} \| r_{o}\right), r_{o}\right)$ at location $\operatorname{Arr}[\pi(n u m)]$. For the last pair, $U$ sets the add part as NULL and increments num. We denote $P_{K_{v_{i}}^{*}}\left(v_{i j}^{1}\right)\left\|P_{K_{v_{i}}^{*}}\left(v_{i j}^{2}\right)\right\| \cdots \| P_{K_{v_{i}}^{*}}\left(v_{i j}^{(i j)}\right)$ by $E s p_{v_{i}, v_{j}}$. The reason that we use $n$ secrets $\left\{K_{v_{i}}\right\}_{v_{i} \in \mathcal{V}}$ and a different key $K_{1}$ is because we deliberately permute each path sequence Esp for each node to look different from the permutation in the dictionary explained later. By doing so, we can protect the structure privacy.
- $U$ creates a dictionary $D X$ to store the pairs $\left(P_{K_{2}}\left(v_{i}\right), a d d_{v_{i}}^{1} \| K_{v_{i}} \oplus F_{K_{1}}\right)$ for all $v_{i} \in \mathcal{V}$, where add $d_{v_{i}}^{1}$ is the location in Arr of the head of $v_{i}$ 's linkedlist.
- $U$ sends the encrypted graph $\mathcal{E G}=(D X, A r r)$ to the CS.


Fig. 3. An Example of Encrypting and Storing $\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v_{i}}$.

## C. Shortest Path Query

There are two types of queries that we should consider. The first one is the normal query where a user does not need to have a specific requirement on the nodes between the source $s$ and the destination $d$. The second type is the special query where the user has $k$ unsorted nodes to pass through between $s$ and $d$.

1) Query with no unsorted nodes.: Given $s$ and $d, U$ generates a query token $q$ as follows.

- $U$ computes $q_{1}=P_{K_{2}}(s), q_{2}=F_{K_{1}}(s)$, and $q_{3}=$ $h(d)$.
- $U$ sends the query token $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ to the $C S$.

Given $q, C S$ finds the shortest path and distance for $U$ as follows.

- $C S$ uses retrieves $\alpha=D X\left[q_{1}\right]$ and computes $\beta=$ $\alpha \oplus q_{2}=\left(\gamma_{1}| | K_{s}\right)$.
- $C S$ recovers the lists pointed to by $a d d_{s}^{1}$. Specifically, starting with $i=1$ it parses $\operatorname{Arr}\left[\gamma_{1}\right]$ as $\left(x_{s}, y_{s}\right) . C S$ decrypts $x_{s}$ by computing $\left(h_{i}\left\|s d_{i}\right\| s p_{i} \| a d d_{i+1}\right)=x_{s} \oplus$ $H\left(K_{s} \| r_{s}\right)$.
- If $h_{i} \neq q_{3}, C S$ continues to retrieve the value in the next location by using $a d d_{i+1}$ until a match is found. Finally, $C S$ returns the query result set $\mathcal{R}=$ $\left(\operatorname{Enc}_{p k_{u}}\left(s d_{s, d}\right), E s p_{s, d}\right)$ to $U$. If $a d d$ is NULL, then there is no path between $s$ and $d, C S$ returns NULL.
Upon receiving $\mathcal{R}, U$ decrypts $\mathrm{Enc}_{p k_{u}}\left(s d_{s, d}\right)$ by using $s k_{u}$ to obtain the shortest distance $s d_{s, d}$ and checks the local record to recover the shortest path $s p_{s, d}$ by using Esp.

2) Query with $k$ unsorted nodes.: Given $s, d$, and $k$ unsorted nodes $\left(V_{1}, V_{2}, \cdots, V_{k}\right), U$ generates a query token $q$ as follows.

- $U$ computes $q_{1}=\left(P_{K_{2}}(s), P_{K_{2}}\left(V_{1}\right), P_{K_{2}}\left(V_{2}\right),\right.$. $\left.\cdot, P_{K_{2}}\left(V_{k}\right)\right), \quad q_{2}=\left(F_{K_{1}}(s), \quad F_{K_{1}}\left(V_{1}\right), F_{K_{1}}\left(V_{2}\right), \cdots\right.$ , $\left.F_{K_{1}}\left(V_{k}\right)\right), q_{3}=\left(h\left(V_{1}\right), h\left(V_{2}\right), \cdots, h\left(V_{k}\right), h(d)\right)$.
- $U$ sends the query token $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ to the $C S$.

Given $q, C S$ finds the shortest path and distance for $U$ as follows.

- $C S$ initiates a shortest path $\mathcal{S P}$ and a shortest distance $\mathcal{S D}=\left\{R s d_{1}, R d s_{2}, \cdots, R s d_{k!}\right\}=\{1,1, \cdots, 1\}$.
- Given $q, C S$ computes the $k$ ! permuted queries $\left(p q_{1}, p q_{2}, \cdots, p q_{k!}\right)$ according to the full permutation of the $k$ unsorted nodes.
- For each $p q_{i}=\left(p q_{i}^{1}, p q_{i}^{2}, p q_{i}^{3}\right), 1 \leq i \leq k!, C S$ computes the encrypted shortest path $E s p_{i}$ and the encrypted shortest distance $E s d_{i}$, and updated $\mathcal{S P}$ and $\mathcal{S D}$.
- We take the initial query $p q_{1}$, which is also one of the permuted queries, as an example. $C S$ initializes $E s p_{1}=\{ \}, E s d_{1}=1$, and obtains Esp and Esd by invoking the search process of the normal query on $\left(P_{K_{2}}(s), F_{K_{1}}(s), h\left(V_{1}\right)\right)$. Next, $C S$ appends $P_{K_{2}}\left(V_{1}\right)$ to $R s p_{1}$ and computes $R s d_{1}=R s d_{1} * E s d$. $C S$ continues the search until the last sub-path $V_{k}-d$ is processed. Till here, the first path $s-V_{1}-V_{2}-\cdots-V_{k}-d$ is complete, $C S$ inserts $R s p_{1}$ to $\mathcal{S P}$ and inserts $E s d_{1}$ to $\mathcal{S D} . C S$ continues to process the remaining paths to update $\mathcal{S P}$ and $\mathcal{S D}$.
- $C S$ returns $\mathcal{R}=\{\mathcal{S P}, \mathcal{S D}\}$ to $U$.

Upon receiving $\mathcal{R}, U$ decrypts each $E s d_{i}$ to obtain the shortest distance $s d_{s, d}$ and checks the local record to recover the shortest path $s p_{s, d}$ by using Esp.

## V. Security Analysis

The graph encryption scheme leaks information to the cloud server, which we allow to trade for efficiency. Next, we provide a formal description of the two leakage functions $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ as below.

- $\quad \mathcal{L}_{1}(\mathcal{G})$ : Given a graph $\mathcal{G}, \quad \mathcal{L}_{1}(\mathcal{G}) \quad=$ $\left(n, S D_{\text {max }}, S P_{\text {max }}, P D_{\text {sum }}\right)$, where $S P_{\text {max }}=\max _{v_{i} \in \mathcal{V}}$ $\left\{\max _{\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, s d_{v_{i} v_{j}}, v_{i j}^{1}, v_{i j}^{2}, \cdots, v_{i j}^{(i j)}\right) \in \mathcal{P D}_{v_{i}}}\left|s p_{v_{i} v_{j}}\right|\right\}, S D_{\text {max }}=$ $\max _{v_{i} \in \mathcal{V}}\left\{\max _{\left(v_{i}, v_{j}, s d_{v_{i} v_{j}}, v_{i j}^{1}, v_{i j}^{2}, \cdots, v_{i j}^{(i j)}\right) \in \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_{v_{i}}}} S d_{v_{i} v_{j}}\right\}$, and $P D_{\text {sum }}=\sum_{v \in \mathcal{V}}\left|\mathcal{P} \mathcal{D}_{v}\right| . \mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}, q)$ : Given an encrypted graph $\mathcal{E G}$ and a shortest path query $q$,
$\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{G}, q)=(Q P(\mathcal{E G}, q), Q P(\mathcal{E G}, q))$, where $Q P(\mathcal{E G}, q)$ is the query pattern and $\operatorname{OP}(\mathcal{E G}, q)$ is the oracle pattern (similar to sketch pattern [13]).
Definition 3 (Query Pattern): The query pattern reveals whether the nodes in the query have appeared before. For two queries $q_{0}, q_{1}$, define $\operatorname{sim}\left(q_{0}, q_{1}\right)=\left(s_{0}=s_{1}, d_{0}=\right.$ $\left.d_{1}, V_{01}=V_{11}, V_{02}=V_{12}, \cdots, V_{0 k}=V_{1 k}\right)$, i.e., whether each of the nodes $q=\left(s_{0}, d_{0}, V_{01}, V_{02}, \cdots, V_{0 k}\right)$ matches each of the nodes of $q=\left(s_{1}, d_{1}, V_{11}, V_{12}, \cdots, V_{1 k}\right)$. Let $\mathbf{q}=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, \cdots, q_{z}\right)$ be a non-empty sequence of queries. $\mathcal{L}_{1}(\mathbf{q})$ outputs a $z \times z$ matrix $Z$ with $Z_{i j}=\operatorname{sim}\left(q_{i}, q_{j}\right)$.
Definition 4 (Oracle Pattern): For an encrypted graph $\mathcal{E G}$ and a shortest path query $q$, the oracle pattern is defined as $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{E G}, q)=\{i d(\mathcal{R})\}$, where $i d(\mathcal{R})$ is the identifiers of nodes in the encrypted result set $\mathcal{R}$.
Theorem 1: If $P$ and $F$ are pseudo-random, and $\Omega$ is CPA-secure, Gespun is adaptively $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ semantically secure in the random oracle model, where $\mathcal{L}_{1}(\mathcal{G})=\left(n, S P_{\text {max }}, S D_{\text {max }}, P D_{\text {sum }}\right)$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}, g)=$ $(Q P(\mathcal{E G}, q), O P(\mathcal{E G}, q))$.

Now we construct a simulator $\mathcal{S}$ as below.

- Given $\mathcal{L}_{1}(\mathcal{G})=\left(n, S P_{\max }, S D_{\max }, P D_{\text {sum }}\right)$, for all $1 \leq i \leq P D_{\text {sum }}, \mathcal{S}$ samples $\delta_{i} \quad \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}\{0,1\}^{|h|+\mid \text { Enc } \mid+ \text { rand }|P|+\log P D_{\text {sum }}+\lambda}$. Here $|h|$, $\mid$ Enc|, rand $|P|, \log P D_{\text {sum }}$, and $\lambda$ correspond to the bit length of the random function, ciphertext, nodes on the shortest path (excluding $s$ and $d$ ), number of all oracles, and security parameter, respectively. rand is chosen from [ $\left.0, S P_{\text {max }}\right]$ randomly, where 0 refers to a direct and shortest path from $s$ to $d$. The shortest distance is chosen from $\left(0, S D_{\text {max }}\right]$. $\mathcal{S}$ stores $\left\{\delta_{i}\right\}$ in an array $A r r^{*}$ of size $P D_{\text {sum }}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n, \mathcal{S}$ samples $d x_{i} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}\{0,1\}^{\log n}$ and sets $D X^{*}\left[d x_{i}\right] \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}\{0,1\}^{\log P D_{\text {sum }}+\lambda}$. Finally, $\mathcal{S}$ outputs $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{G}^{*}=\left(D X^{*}, A r r^{*}\right)$.
- Given $\mathcal{L}_{2}(\mathcal{E G}, g)=(Q P(\mathcal{E G}, q), Q P(\mathcal{E G}, q)), \mathcal{S}$ checks whether $q$ has been queries before. If so, $\mathcal{S}$ returns the previously token; otherwise, $\mathcal{S}$ samples an non-negative integer $k$ in PPT.
- If $k=0, \mathcal{S}$ sets $q_{1}^{*}=d x_{i}$, samples an unused add $\in P D_{\text {sum }}$ and a key $K_{s} \stackrel{\$}{\leftarrow}\{0,1\}^{\lambda}$, sets $q_{2}^{*}=$ $D X\left[d x_{i}\right] \oplus a d d \| K_{s}$, and generates a random value from $\{0,1\}^{|h|}$ as $q_{3}^{*}$. $\mathcal{S}$ records $\left(d x_{i}, a d d, K_{s}\right)$. To simulate $H, \mathcal{S}$ checks whether (1) $K$ has been queried in $H$ and (2) if any location in $\operatorname{Arr}$ stores $\left(N^{\prime}, r\right)$ where $N^{\prime}$ is a $|h|+|\mathrm{Enc}|+\operatorname{rand}|P|+\log P D_{\text {sum }^{-}}$ bit string. If $K$ is new, $\mathcal{S}$ initiates num $=0$. If there is an appropriate entry $\left(N^{\prime}, r\right)$ in $\operatorname{Arr}, \mathcal{S}$ outputs $N^{\prime} \oplus\left(e, \operatorname{Enc}_{p k_{u}}(0), a d d\right)$, where $e$ is the $n u m^{t h}$ element of $\pi\left(P D_{\text {sum }}\right)$ and $a d d$ is a random and unused address in Arr or NULL if num $=|P D|$, where $|P D|$ is the path-distance oracle size associated with $K$.
- If $k>0, \mathcal{S}$ simulates the query token $q^{*}$ similar to the case of $k=0$ where $q=\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$. In specific, there are $k+1$ items in $q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq 3)$ and $\mathcal{S}$ processes each $\operatorname{triad}\left(q_{1 j}, q_{2 j}, q_{3 j}\right)(1 \leq j \leq k+1)$, separately. Given
$\left(q_{1 j}, q_{2 j}, q_{3 j}\right), \mathcal{S}$ considers it as a individual normal query that asks a shortest path from $s$ under $q_{1 j}$ to $d$ under $q_{3 j}$. By following the operations in the above step, the $\mathcal{S}$ acquires a simulated query $\left(q_{1 j}^{*}, q_{2 j}^{*}, q_{3 j}^{*}\right)$. After processing all $q_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k+1), \mathcal{S}$ has the complete simulated query token $q^{*}=\left(q_{1}^{*}, q_{2}^{*}, q_{3}^{*}\right)=$ $\left(\left(q_{11}^{*}, q_{12}^{*}, \cdots, q_{1 k+1}^{*}\right),\left(q_{21}^{*}, q_{22}^{*}, \cdots, q_{2 k+1}^{*}\right),\left(q_{31}^{*}, q_{32}^{*}, \cdot \cdot\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\cdot, q_{3 k+1}^{*}\right)\right)$.
The simulated view and the real view are indistinguishable by $\mathcal{A}$ such that Gespun is adaptively $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \mathcal{L}_{2}\right)$ semantically secure in the random oracle model against an adaptive adversary, i.e., we deduce the correctness of Theorem 1 from the pseudo-randomness of $P$ and $F$ and the CPA-security of $\Omega$. We complete the proof.

Regarding the structure privacy, we use $n$ different secrets in the path permutations for $n$ nodes and a different secret key $K_{1}$ in the dictionary permutation. By doing so, the cloud server cannot differentiate (1) the nodes on the shortest path for a node from the nodes on the shortest path of any other nodes and (2) the nodes in $D X$ from the nodes in the Arr. Therefore, the structure privacy is preserved.

## VI. Performance Evaluation

In this section, we evaluate the performance of the Gespun in terms of computational costs and communication overhead.

## A. Experimental Settings

Datasets. We use three real-world graph datasets available from the Stanford SNAP (https://snap.stanford.edu/data): email-Eu-core, an Email network, soc-sign-bitcoin-alpha, a Bitcoin Alpha web of trust network, and $p 2 p$-Gnutella08, a Gnutella peer to peer network, where the numbers of their total nodes are 1005,3783 , and 6301.

We list the key features of the three datasets in Table II. We upload all source codes and an instruction file to https://github.com/UbiPLab/Gespun.

Parameters. We choose the SHA256 and the SHA512 to construct $h$ and $H$, respectively. The length of secret key $K$ and random number $r$ is 1024 bits. The number of unsorted nodes $k$ is drawn from 0 to 5 . We list the detailed parameters in Table III.

Metrics. We measure the time of graph encryption, token generation, and result search, and evaluate the communication overhead of encrypted graph, token, and result set. We conduct each set of experiment twenty times.Communication overhead is computed by measuring the size of the transmitted messages.

Setup. We use JPBC library [37] to implement the basic cryptographic primitives. We use the Paillier cryptosystem as the public-key encryption scheme. We implement Gespun in Java and conducted experiments on a PC server running on Windows 10 Professional with a $3.5 \mathrm{GHz}-11$ th Gen $\operatorname{Intel}(\mathrm{R})$ Core(TM) i9-11900K x64 processor and 64 GB RAM.

TABLE II
Experimental Parameters

| Dataset | Node | Edge | Size $(\mathbf{G B})^{1}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| email-Eu-core | 1,005 | 25,571 | 0.0475 |
| soc-sign-bitcoin-alpha | 3,783 | 24,186 | 0.7242 |
| p2p-Gnutella08 | 6,301 | 20,777 | 1.2512 |
| 1: Size after preprocessing the original dataset <br> with the Floyd-Warshall algorithm. |  |  |  |

TABLE III
Experimental Parameters

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| $n$ | $\{1005,3783,6301\}$ |
| $k$ | $[0,5]$ |
| $\mathcal{E}$ | $\{25571,24186,20777\}$ |
| $s k_{u}, p k_{u}$ | 406,929 |
| $\|K\|,\|r\|$ | 1024 |
| $h ; H$ | SHA256; SHA512 |
| $\|P\|,\|F\|$ | 32 |

## B. Computational Costs and Communication Overhead

We first calculate the shortest paths and distances for all nodes at the user side by using the Floyd-Warshall algorithm [34] on the original graph to obtain path-distance oracles. For example, the time cost is only 4.89 seconds when $n=1005$ and it is 16.85 minutes when $n=6301$. This step is a one-time cost and does not impact the overall efficiency greatly. Next, we text the cost of the shortest path queries for the cases where $k=0$ and $k>0$. We conduct each set of experiments ten times to draw the average values in Fig. 4, Fig. 5, and Fig. 6.

Graph encryption. After acquiring the distance-path oracle, we begin to encrypt the processed graph. As shown in Fig. 4, it is obvious that the computational cost and communication overhead increase with $n$. When $n=6301$, the encryption time is 29.9 hours and the encrypted graph is 23 GB . Again, this step is also an one-time cost.


Fig. 4. Performance of Graph Encryption.
Token generation. The cost of token generation increases with $k$. Recall that the query $q$ with a $k>0$ is in the form of a triad $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$. A larger $k$ will lead to more computations on $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right)$ as well as their size. The results are shown in Fig. 5. When $n=6301$ and $k=5$, the token generation time is only 0.175 ms . The token size of the three datasets at the same $k$ are almost the same because the token stays unchanged.

(a) Computational Cost

(b) Communication Overhead

Fig. 5. Performance of Token Generation By Varying $k$.

Shortest path query. As shown in Fig. 6, the cloud server spends little time in computing the shortest path when $k=0$ due to our special design of the distancepath oracle. Given a source, a destination, and 0 unsorted node, the cloud server can immediately find the shortest path. When $k$ increases, the search time increases because the cloud server has to check each possible path according to the $k$ ! permutations. The search time varies for the three datasets at the same $k$ because their distance-path oracles are different. The reason that the size of the returned results does not strictly follow the $k$-fold relationship is because the length of the obtained shortest paths does not follow the relationship when $k$ is incremented by 1 every time. After receiving the query results, the user recovers the shortest paths locally by first decrypting the distance ciphertext and recovering the path of the smallest distance. The time costs are $134 \mathrm{~ms}, 149 \mathrm{~ms}$, and 188 ms for the three datasets when $k=5$. The time cost for the three datasets are different because different $k$ unsorted nodes lead to different lookup time for the cloud server. The result size for the three datasets are different because different $k$ unsorted nodes end up with different shortest paths.


Fig. 6. Performance of the Shortest Path Query By Varying $k$.

## VII. Conclusions

In this work, we first put forth the problem of finding the shortest path in a graph while passing $k$ nodes with no specific order requirements. To protect security in solving the problem, we propose a novel graph encryption scheme Gespun to support the shortest path queries with $k$ unsorted nodes. In our scheme, we design a new distance-path oracle
and encrypt the original graph by using homomorphic encryption, hash function, PRP, and PRF. On top of this structure, we realize the shortest distance queries with $k$ unsorted nodes. We formally prove that Gespun is adaptively semantically-secure in the random oracle model. We implement Gespun and evaluate its efficiency on three realworld datasets, showing that our design is efficient and practical for large-scale graph datasets.
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