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## A B S T R A C T

A signed graph is a pair $(G, \Sigma)$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph (in which parallel edges are permitted, but loops are not) with $V=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $\Sigma \subseteq E$. The edges in $\Sigma$ are called odd and the other edges of $E$ even. If there are parallel edges, then only two edges in each parallel class are permitted, one of which is even and one of which is odd. By $S(G, \Sigma)$ we denote the set of all symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ with $a_{i, j}<0$ if $i$ and $j$ are connected by an even edge, $a_{i, j}>0$ if $i$ and $j$ are connected by an odd edge, $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}$ if $i$ and $j$ are connected by both an even and an odd edge, $a_{i, j}=0$ if $i \neq j$ and $i$ and $j$ are non-adjacent, and $a_{i, i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all vertices $i$.
The maximum nullity $M(G, \Sigma)$ of a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ is the maximum nullity attained by any $A \in S(G, \Sigma)$. Arav et al. gave a combinatorial characterization of 2-connected signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. In this paper, we give a complete combinatorial characterization of the signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
© 2023 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

A signed graph is a pair $(G, \Sigma)$, where $G=(V, E)$ is a graph (in which parallel edges are permitted, but loops are not) and $\Sigma \subseteq E$. (We refer to [6] for the notions and concepts in graph theory.) The edges in $\Sigma$ are called odd and the other edges even. If there are parallel edges, then only two edges in each parallel class are permitted, one of which is even and one of which is odd. If $V=\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$, we denote by $S(G, \Sigma)$ the set of all real symmetric $n \times n$ matrices $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ with

- $a_{i, j}<0$ if $i$ and $j$ are connected by an even edge,
- $a_{i, j}>0$ if $i$ and $j$ are connected by an odd edge,
- $a_{i, j} \in \mathbb{R}$ if $i$ and $j$ are connected by both an odd and an even edge,
- $a_{i, j}=0$ if $i \neq j$ and $i$ and $j$ are non-adjacent, and
- $a_{i, i} \in \mathbb{R}$ for all vertices $i$.

For a signed graph $(G, \Sigma), M(G, \Sigma)$ is the maximum of the nullities of the matrices in $S(G, \Sigma)$. The signed graph parameter $M(G, \Sigma)$ generalizes the graph parameter $M(G)$ in the sense that $M(G)=\max _{\Sigma \subseteq E} M(G, \Sigma)$. See Fallat and Hogben [7] for a survey on the graph parameter $M(G)$. A matrix $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right] \in S(G, \Sigma)$ has the SAP if $X=0$ is the only symmetric matrix $X=\left[x_{i, j}\right]$ such that $x_{i, j}=0$ if $i$ and $j$ are adjacent vertices or $i=j$, and $A X=0$. The parameter $\xi$ of a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ is defined as the largest nullity of any matrix $A \in S(G, \Sigma)$ satisfying the SAP. It is clear that $\xi(G, \Sigma) \leq M(G, \Sigma)$ for any signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$. This signed graph parameter $\xi$ is analogous to the parameter $\xi$ for simple graphs introduced by Barioli, Fallat, and Hogben [5].

If $G=(V, E)$ is a graph and $U \subseteq V, \delta(U)$ denotes the set of edges of $G$ that have exactly one end in $U$. The symmetric difference of two sets $A$ and $B$ is the set $A \Delta B=A \backslash B \cup B \backslash A$. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a signed graph and $U \subseteq V(G)$, we say that $(G, \Sigma)$ and $(G, \Sigma \Delta \delta(U))$ are sign-equivalent and call the operation $\Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma \Delta \delta(U)$ re-signing on $U$. Re-signing on $U$ amounts to performing a diagonal similarity on the matrices in $S(G, \Sigma)$, and hence it does not affect $M(G, \Sigma)$ and $\xi(G, \Sigma)$.

Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph. If $H$ is a subgraph of $G$, then we say that $H$ is odd if $\Sigma \cap E(H)$ has an odd number of elements, otherwise we call $H$ even. Zaslavsky showed in [11] that two signed graphs are sign-equivalent if and only if they have the same set of odd cycles. Thus, two signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ and $\left(G, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ that have the same set of odd cycles have $M(G, \Sigma)=M\left(G, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ and $\xi(G, \Sigma)=\xi\left(G, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$.

Contracting an edge $e$ with ends $u$ and $v$ in a graph $G$ means deleting $e$ and identifying the vertices $u$ and $v$. A graph $H$ is a minor of $G$ if $H$ can be obtained from a subgraph of $G$ by contracting edges. If $H$ is isomorphic to a minor of $G$, we also write that $G$ has an $H$-minor. A signed graph $(H, \Gamma)$ is a weak minor of a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ if $(H, \Gamma)$ can be obtained from $(G, \Sigma)$ by deleting edges and vertices, contracting edges, and resigning around vertices. We use weak minor to distinguish it from minor in which only even edges are allowed to be contracted (possibly after re-signing around vertices). The
parameter $\xi$ has the nice property that if $(H, \Gamma)$ is a weak minor of the signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$, then $\xi(H, \Gamma) \leq \xi(G, \Sigma)$.

In [8], Fiedler showed that the paths are the only graphs $G$ for which $M(G) \leq 1$. Johnson et al. [9] characterized all graphs $G$ with $M(G) \leq 2$. Barioli et al. [5] characterized the class of graph $G$ with $\xi(G)$, and Hogen and van der Holst characterized the class of graphs $G$ with $\xi(G) \leq 2$.

For a graph $G=(V, E)$ and a subset $S \subseteq V, G-S$ denotes the graph obtained by deleting all vertices in $S$; we write $G-v$ for $G-\{v\}$. A graph $G$ is connected if for every two vertices $u$ and $v$ of $G$ are connected by a path. A graph $G=(V, E)$ is 2-connected if $|V|>2$ and $G-v$ is connected for every $v \in V$. Any 2 -connected graph contains a cycle.

In [3], Arav et al. showed that a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ has $M(G, \Sigma) \leq 1$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ is sign-equivalent to a signed graph $(H, \emptyset)$, where $H$ is a path. Furthermore, they showed that a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ has $\xi(G, \Sigma) \leq 1$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ is sign-equivalent to a signed graph $(H, \emptyset)$, where $H$ is a disjoint union of paths. Observe that in case the signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ is connected, $M(G, \Sigma) \leq 1$ if and only if $\xi(G, \Sigma) \leq 1$. In [2], Arav et al. characterized combinatorially the class of 2-connected signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$, which coincides with the class of 2 -connected signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $\xi(G, \Sigma)=2$. In [1], Arav et al. characterized combinatorially the signed graphs ( $G, \Sigma$ ) with $\xi(G, \Sigma) \leq 2$. In this paper, we provide a combinatorial characterization of the signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

## 2. Global structure signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$

In this section, we provide a global structure of signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma) \leq$ 2. In the following sections, we then provide the exact structure.

Lemma 1. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a disjoint union of $\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, \Sigma_{2}\right)$. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=$ $M\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)+M\left(G_{2}, \Sigma_{2}\right)$.

Lemma 2. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a disconnected signed graph with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. Then $G$ consists of two components, each of which is a path.

The proof of the following lemma follows Formulas 1 and 2 in Arav et al. [4].
Lemma 3. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a 1-sum of $\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, \Sigma_{2}\right)$ at vertex s. Let $\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ be obtained from $\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(G_{2}, \Sigma_{2}\right)$, respectively, by deleting vertex s. Then

$$
M(G, \Sigma)=\max \left\{M\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)+M\left(G_{2}, \Sigma_{2}\right)-1, M\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)+M\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)-1\right\}
$$

If $\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ are signed graph, then by attaching $\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ to $\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$ we mean identifying a vertex of $\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$ with a vertex of $\left(H_{1}, \Omega\right)$. Furthermore, if $P$ is a path with at least one edge, we mean by attaching a pendant path $P$ at vertex $v$ to
$\left(H_{1}, \Omega\right)$ identifying an end of $P$ with $v$. Here, we assume that all edges of $P$ are even. Observe that attaching a path (without the adjective pendant) to ( $H_{1}, \Omega_{1}$ ) allows an internal vertex of the path to be identified with a vertex of $\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$.

The following lemma follows immediately from Lemma 3.
Lemma 4. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from a signed graph $\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right)$ by attaching a pendant path at vertex $v$, then

$$
M(G, \Sigma)=\max \left\{M\left(G_{1}, \Sigma_{1}\right), M\left(G_{1}-v, E\left(G_{1}-v\right) \cap \Sigma_{1}\right)\right\}
$$

In particular,

$$
M(G, \Sigma) \geq M\left(G_{1}, E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap \Sigma\right)
$$

Lemma 5. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a connected signed graph containing a cycle. If $M(G, \Sigma)=2$, then

1. $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from a 2-connected signed graph $(H, \Omega)$ with $M(H, \Omega)=2$ by attaching pendant paths at vertices of $(H, \Omega)$; or
2. $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from an odd cycle with two edges by attaching pendant paths at vertices of this odd cycle.

Furthermore, at each vertex of $H$ at most two pendant paths can be attached.
Proof. Suppose, for a contradiction, that $(G, \Sigma)$ is a 1-sum of $\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)$, where both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ contain a cycle. Since $M\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right) \geq \xi\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right) \geq 2$ and $M\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right) \geq \xi\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right) \geq 2$, we obtain, by Lemma 3, that

$$
M(G, \Sigma) \geq M\left(H_{1}, \Omega_{1}\right)+M\left(H_{2}, \Omega_{2}\right)-1 \geq 2+2-1=3
$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from either a 2-connected signed graph $(H, \Omega)$ by attaching trees to some vertices of $H$ or from an odd cycle $(H, \Omega)$ with two edges by attaching trees to some vertices.

If $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from a 2-connected signed graph $(H, \Omega)$ with $M(H, \Omega) \geq 3$, then $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Thus, $M(H, \Omega)=2$ in this case.

Let $v$ be a vertex of $H$ that has an attached tree $T$. If $T$ contain a vertex of degree $\geq 3$, then $M(T, \Sigma \cap E(T)) \geq 2$, and hence

$$
M(G, \Sigma) \geq M(H, \Omega)+M(T, \Sigma \cap E(T))-1 \geq 2+2-1=3
$$

Therefore, $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $(H, \Omega)$ by attaching paths to vertices of $H$. Furthermore, at each vertex at most two paths can be attached.

In the next section, we study the structure of 2-connected signed graphs $(H, \Omega)$ with $M(H, \Omega)=2$.

## 3. Wide partial 2-paths

In this section, we first make some definitions; see [2].
By $K_{4}^{i}$ we denote the signed graph $\left(K_{4},\{e\}\right)$, where $e$ is an edge of $K_{4}$. A pair $\{e, f\}$ of nonadjacent edges in $K_{4}^{i}$ is called split if both $e$ and $f$ belong to an even and an odd triangle.

A sided wide 2-path $[(G, \Sigma), \mathcal{F}]$ is defined recursively as follows:

1. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be an even or odd cycle or a $K_{4}^{i}$. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a cycle, let $\mathcal{F}$ be two distinct edges in this cycle. If $(G, \Sigma)=K_{4}^{i}$, let $\mathcal{F}$ be a split pair of edges in $K_{4}^{i}$. Then $[(G, \Sigma), \mathcal{F}]$ is a sided wide 2-path.
2. Let $[(G, \Sigma), \mathcal{F}]$ be a sided wide 2-path and let $e$ and $f$ be distinct edges in an even or odd cycle $C$. If $(H, \Omega)$ is obtained from $(G, \Sigma)$ by identifying the edge $f$ of $C$ with an edge $h$ in $\mathcal{F}$, then $[(H, \Omega),(\mathcal{F} \backslash\{h\}) \cup\{e\}]$ is a sided wide 2-path.
3. Let $[(G, \Sigma), \mathcal{F}]$ be a sided wide 2-path and let $\{e, f\}$ be a split pair of edges in $K_{4}^{i}$. If $(H, \Omega)$ is obtained from $(G, \Sigma)$ by identifying the edge $f$ of $K_{4}^{i}$ with an edge $h$ in $\mathcal{F}$, then $[(H, \Omega),(\mathcal{F} \backslash\{h\}) \cup\{e\}]$ is a sided wide 2-path.

The edges in $\mathcal{F}$ are called the sides of the sided wide 2-path. A wide 2-path is a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ for which there exists a set $\mathcal{F}$ of two distinct edges of $(G, \Sigma)$ such that $[(G, \Sigma), \mathcal{F}]$ is a sided wide 2-path. A signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ is a partial wide 2-path if it is a spanning subgraph of a wide 2-path. Observe that if $G$ is a partial 2-path, then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a partial wide 2-path for any $\Sigma \subseteq E(G)$.

Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph. A pair $\left[G_{1}, G_{2}\right]$ of subgraphs of $G$ is a wide separation of $(G, \Sigma)$ if there exists an odd 4-cycle $C_{4}$ such that $G_{1} \cup C_{4} \cup G_{2}=G, E\left(G_{1}\right) \cap E\left(C_{4}\right)=\emptyset$, $E\left(G_{2}\right) \cap E\left(C_{4}\right)=\emptyset, V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(G_{2}\right)=\emptyset, V\left(G_{1}\right) \cap V\left(C_{4}\right)=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $V\left(G_{2}\right) \cap V\left(C_{4}\right)=$ $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$, where $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ are nonadjacent vertices of $C_{4}$ and $s_{1}$ and $s_{2}$ are nonadjacent vertices of $C_{4}$. We call $r_{1}, r_{2}$ the vertices of attachment of $G_{1}$ and $s_{1}, s_{2}$ the vertices of attachment of $G_{2}$ in the wide separation. In the definition of sided wide 2-path, we allow the sided wide 2-path be built up from even and odd cycle, and $K_{4}^{i}$; the $K_{4}^{i}$,s might yield wide separations in a 2 -connected partial wide 2-path.

By $K_{n}^{e}$ and $K_{n}^{o}$ we denote the signed graphs $\left(K_{n}, \emptyset\right)$ and $\left(K_{n}, E\left(K_{n}\right)\right)$, respectively. By $K_{n}^{=}$we denote the signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$, where $G$ is the graph obtained from $K_{n}$ by adding to each edge an edge in parallel, and where $\Sigma$ is the set of edges of $K_{n}$. (It is will be clear from the context whether we mean the graph $K_{3}^{=}$or the signed graph $K_{3}^{=}$.) By $K_{2,3}^{e}$, we denote the signed graphs $\left(K_{2,3}, \emptyset\right)$.

By $W_{4}$ we denote the graph obtained from $C_{4}$ by adding a new vertex $v$, called the $h u b$, and connecting it to each vertex of $C_{4}$. The subgraph $C_{4}$ in $W_{4}$ is called the rim of $W_{4}$. Any edge between $v$ and a vertex of the rim of $W_{4}$ is called a spoke. Let $e_{1}, e_{2}$ be two


Fig. 1. The signed four-wheel.
nonadjacent edges of the $C_{4}$ in $W_{4}$. By $W_{4}^{o}$, we denote the signed graph ( $W_{4},\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ ). See Fig. 1 for a picture of $W_{4}^{o}$; here a bold edge is an odd edge and a thin edge an even edge. This signed graph appears as a special case in the characterization of 2-connected signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

In [2], Arav et al. proved the following theorem.
Theorem 6. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a 2-connected signed graph. Then the following are equivalent:
(i) $M(G, \Sigma)=2$,
(ii) $\xi(G, \Sigma)=2$,
(iii) $(G, \Sigma)$ has no weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}, K_{4}^{e}, K_{4}^{o}$, or $K_{2,3}^{e}$.
(iv) $(G, \Sigma)$ is a partial wide 2-path or is isomorphic to $W_{4}^{o}$.

In the next section, we prove that if $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $W_{4}^{o}$ by attaching single pendant paths to some of its vertices, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. In Section 6, we will study the cases where $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from a partial wide 2 -path by adding pendant paths.

## 4. Pendant paths on an odd 4 -wheel

Lemma 7. Let $S$ be a subset of the vertex set of the signed graph $W_{4}^{o}$. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $W_{4}^{o}$ by attaching single pendant paths to all the vertices of $S$, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $W_{4}^{o}$ by attaching pendant paths to all vertices of $S$ and some of the vertices have two or more pendant paths, then $M(G, \Sigma)>2$.

Proof. Suppose first that $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $W_{4}^{o}$ by attaching single pendant paths to the vertices of $S$. Let

$$
\mathcal{G}:=\left\{W_{4}^{o}-R: R \subseteq S\right\}
$$

Then

$$
M(G, \Sigma)=\max \{M(H, \Omega):(H, \Omega) \in \mathcal{G}\}
$$

As $M(H, \Omega) \leq 2$ for all $(H, \Omega) \in \mathcal{G}, M(G, \Sigma) \leq 2$. Since $(G, \Sigma)$ has a cycle, $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.


Fig. 2. The $K_{3}^{=}$-family.

Suppose next that $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $W_{4}^{o}$ by attaching pendant paths to all the vertices of $S$ and there is a vertex $s \in S$ that has two or more attached pendant paths. Then, as the signed graph obtained from $(G, \Sigma)$ by deleting vertex $s$ contains a cycle and two or more paths, $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$.

## 5. Signed graphs of the $K_{3}^{=}$-family

A triangle in a graph is a subgraph isomorphic to $K_{3}$. A $\Delta Y$-transformation on a triangle $T$ of a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ means deleting the edges $T$, adding a new vertex $v$, and connecting $v$ with the vertices of the triangle with edges, giving these new edges any sign. The $K_{3}^{=}$-family is the family of signed graphs obtained from $K_{3}^{=}$by repeatedly subdividing one edge in a parallel class, and then applying a $\Delta Y$-transformation on the resulting triangle. See Fig. 2; here, a solid line is an even edge, a dotted line is an odd edge, and a dashed line is either an even or an odd edge.

Lemma 8. [1] Every member $(G, \Sigma)$ of the $K_{3}^{=}$-family has $\xi(G, \Sigma)=3$.
Hence, if a signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to a signed graph in the $K_{3}^{=}$-family, then $M(G, \Sigma) \geq \xi(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$.

## 6. Pendant paths on 2-connected partial wide 2-paths

### 6.1. Partial wide 2-paths with two wide separations

Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2 connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$. Suppose $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]$ and $\left[H_{3}, H_{4}\right]$ are distinct wide separations of $(H, \Omega)$ such that $H_{1} \subseteq H_{3}$ and $H_{4} \subseteq H_{2}$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{2}$ and let $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{3}$, and let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be vertex-disjoint paths between $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$, where $P_{i}$ connects $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$. If $P$ is a path in $H$, we denote by $l(P)$ the length of $P$. We call $(G, \Sigma)$ a $S T$-graph if the following holds:

1. no vertex of $H$ is the end of two or more pendant paths,
2. $l\left(P_{1}\right)+l\left(P_{2}\right) \leq 1$, and
(a) if $l\left(P_{1}\right)+l\left(P_{2}\right)=1$, then both $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are disconnected,
(b) if $l\left(P_{1}\right)+l\left(P_{2}\right)=0$, then exactly one of $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ is disconnected and the other one is a path $Q$, and if $Q$ has length $\geq 2$, then there is at most one pendant path incident with an end of $Q$, and there are no pendant paths incident with an internal vertex of $Q$, and
3. exactly one pendant path is incident with a vertex of $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$.

We allow edges between the vertices $r_{1}, r_{2}$ and between the vertices $s_{1}, s_{2}$.
A path in a graph $G=(V, E)$ is induced if it is of the form $G[S]$ for some $S \subseteq V$. The path cover number of a graph $G$, denoted $P(G)$, is the minimum number of vertexdisjoint induced paths covering all vertices of $G$. In the proof of Lemma 10, we use the following result of Sinkovic [10].

Theorem 9. If $G$ is a partial 2-path, then $M(G)=P(G)$.
Lemma 10. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a ST-graph, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
Proof. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a ST-graph. Let $P$ be the pendant path incident with a vertex $p$ of $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. Let $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V(P-p)$ and let $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V(P)$.

As $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a 2 -connected partial wide 2 -path, $M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)=2$, and, as $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has path cover number $2, M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$, by Theorem 9. By Lemma $4, M(G, \Sigma)=$ $\max \left\{M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right), M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}=2$.

Lemma 11. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2-connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with at least two wide separations. If there is a vertex with at least two pendant paths attached, then $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$.

Proof. Let $v$ be the vertex of $H$ to which at least two paths are attached. If $H-v$ has a component containing a cycle, then, as two pendant paths are attached to $v$, $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. If $H-v$ has no component containing a cycle, then one component of $H-v$ has a vertex of degree four. Also in this case $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$.

Lemma 12. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2-connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$. Let $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]$ and $\left[H_{3}, H_{4}\right]$ be distinct wide separations of $(H, \Omega)$ such that $H_{1} \subseteq H_{3}$ and $H_{4} \subseteq H_{2}$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{2}$ and let $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{3}$, and let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be vertex-disjoint paths between $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$, where $P_{i}$ connects $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$. Suppose a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ is a ST-graph.

Proof. Suppose $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
Since $\xi(G, \Sigma) \leq M(G, \Sigma)$, we obtain by Lemma 8 that $(G, \Sigma)$ has no weak minor isomorphic to a signed graph in the $K_{3}^{=}$-family.

By Lemma 11, at most one pendant path can be incident with each vertex of $H$.
Suppose next that a pendant path is incident with an internal vertex of $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$. We may therefore assume that every pendant path that is incident with a vertex of $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$ is incident with an end of $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$.

We next prove that

$$
l\left(P_{1}\right)+l\left(P_{2}\right) \leq 1 .
$$

By symmetry, we may assume that a pendant path is incident with an end of $P_{1}$. If $P_{1}$ has at least two edges, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$. Hence $P_{1}$ has at most one edge. If $P_{2}$ has at least two edges, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$. Hence $P_{2}$ has at most one edge. If both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ have exactly one edge, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$. Hence $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$ has length zero.

Suppose first that $l\left(P_{1}\right)+l\left(P_{2}\right)=1$. By symmetry, we may assume that $l\left(P_{1}\right)=1$ and $l\left(P_{2}\right)=0$. If $H_{1}$ or $H_{4}$ is connected, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$ or $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$. Hence both $H_{1}$ and $H_{4}$ are disconnected. Suppose now to the contrary that more than one pendant path is incident with vertices of $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. Let ( $G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}$ ) be obtained from $(G, \Sigma)$ be removing these pendant paths and their vertices of attachment. Then, as $M(G, \Sigma) \geq M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$, and $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ has path cover number $\geq 3$, we obtain that $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$; a contradiction. Hence at most one pendant path is incident with $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a ST-graph.

Suppose next that $l\left(P_{1}\right)=l\left(P_{2}\right)=0$. Then $r_{1}=s_{1}$ and $r_{2}=s_{2}$. If $H_{1}$ and $H_{4}$ are connected, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$. If $H_{1}$ and $H_{4}$ are disconnected, then the removal of the pendant path with its vertex of attachment yields a signed graph $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ with $M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. Hence $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$; a contradiction. By symmetry, we may therefore assume that $H_{1}$ is disconnected and $H_{4}$ is connected. In the same way as above, there is exactly one pendant path incident with $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$. By symmetry, we may assume that $(G, \Sigma)$ has a pendant path $P$ incident with $P_{1}$.

If $H_{4}$ contains a cycle, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$. Hence, $H_{4}$ has no cycle. Let $Q$ be the path in $H_{4}$ connecting the vertices of attachment in the wide separation $\left[H_{3}, H_{4}\right]$. If $(G, \Sigma)$ has a pendant path incident with an internal vertex of $Q$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$. Hence any pendant path incident with $H_{4}$ is incident with one of the vertices of attachment of $H_{4}$ in the wide separation $\left[H_{3}, H_{4}\right]$. If $Q$ has length $\geq 2$ and $(G, \Sigma)$ has pendant paths incident with both ends of $Q$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak minor isomorphic to $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$. Hence either $Q$ has length 1 or $(G, \Sigma)$ has only a pendant path attached to one of the ends of $Q$, if any. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a ST-graph.

The converse implication follows from Lemma 10.

A signed graph has two parallel paths if there exist two pairs of vertices $u_{1}, u_{2}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2}$ such that $(G, \Sigma)$ is a spanning subgraph of a sided wide 2-path with sides $u_{1} u_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}$, and there exist two disjoint paths connecting $u_{1}$ and $v_{1}$, and $u_{2}$ and $v_{2}$, respectively.

Lemma 13. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph with two parallel paths. Then $M(G, \Sigma) \leq 2$.
Proof. The signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ is a spanning subgraph of a sided wide 2-path with sides $u_{1} u_{2}$ and $v_{1} v_{2}$. A zero forcing argument starting with the vertex-set $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, similar as done in [2], shows that $M(G, \Sigma) \leq 2$.

Lemma 14. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2-connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with at least two distinct wide separations. Then, $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a ST-graph.

Proof. Suppose $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. Let $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]$ and $\left[H_{3}, H_{4}\right]$ be distinct wide separations of $(H, \Omega)$ such that $H_{1} \subseteq H_{3}$ and $H_{4} \subseteq H_{2}$; we take [ $H_{1}, H_{2}$ ] in $(H, \Omega)$ such that there is no wide separation $\left[H_{1}^{\prime}, H_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ with $H_{1}^{\prime}$ a proper subgraph of $H_{1}$, and similar, we take [ $H_{3}, H_{4}$ ] in $(H, \Omega)$ such that there is no wide separation $\left[H_{3}^{\prime}, H_{4}^{\prime}\right]$ such that $H_{4}^{\prime}$ is a proper subgraph of $H_{4}$. Let $r_{1}, r_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{2}$ and let $s_{1}, s_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{3}$, and let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be vertex-disjoint paths between $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}\right\}$, where $P_{i}$ connects $r_{i}$ and $s_{i}$. By Lemma 12, we may assume that no pendant path is incident with a vertex of $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$, for otherwise we obtain a ST-graph. Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{1}$. By Lemma 11, no two pendant paths of $G$ are incident with a vertex of $H$.

Suppose $H_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$; we may assume that $C$ is at the end of the partial wide 2-path $H$, that is, there is a 2 -separation $(C, F)$ of $H$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}:=V(C) \cap V(F)$. Let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ be two vertex-disjoint paths between $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, with $Q_{i}$ connecting $v_{i}$ and $u_{i}$. If a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $Q_{1}-v_{1}$ or $Q_{2}-v_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$-minor. Let $P$ be the path obtained from $C$ by removing any edge between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. If there are two pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices of $P$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. Hence, at most two pendant paths are incident with vertices of $P$, and if two pendant paths are incident with vertices of $P$, then these vertices are adjacent in $P$.

If $H_{1}$ contains no cycle, but $H_{1}$ is connected, let $P$ be the path in $H_{1}$ connecting $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. If there are two pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices of $P$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. Hence, at most two pendant paths are incident with vertices of $P$, and if two pendant paths are incident with vertices of $P$, then these vertices are adjacent in $P$.

We do the same on $H_{4}$ if $H_{4}$ is connected.
If $H_{1}$ and $H_{4}$ are connected, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. Similarly, if at least one of $H_{1}$ and $H_{4}$ is disconnected, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

We next prove the converse. If there is a pendant path incident with a vertex of $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$, then the result follows from Lemma 12. If no pendant path is incident with a
vertex of $P_{1} \cup P_{2}$, then by the previous lemma $M(G, \Sigma) \leq 2$. Since $H$ is 2 -connected, $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

### 6.2. Partial wide 2-paths with one wide separation

Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with exactly one wide separation $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right.$ ]. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{1}$ and let $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{2}$. We call $(G, \Sigma)$ a $S A$-graph if the following holds:
(a) no vertex of $H$ is the end of two or more pendant paths;
(b) $H_{2}$ is a path, and no pendant path is incident with interior vertices of $H_{2}$;
(c) the removal of any edge between $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ from $H_{1}$, if any, yields a path $P$ with length $\geq 2$; if a pendant path is incident with an internal vertex of $P$, then $P$ has length two;
(d) there is one pendant path incident with $u_{1}$ and one pendant path incident with $u_{2}$;
(e) if $H_{2}$ has an internal vertex and pendant paths are incident with $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, then no pendant path is incident with an internal vertex of $P$.

Lemma 15. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a $S A$-graph, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

Proof. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be the pendant paths incident with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, respectively. Let $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(P_{1}\right)$ and let $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(P_{1}-u_{1}\right)$. Since $G^{\prime}$ is a partial 2-path with path cover number $2, M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \leq M\left(G^{\prime}\right)=2$.

Suppose first that $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has a pendant path $Q$ incident with one of the vertices in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$; let $w$ be the vertex to which $Q$ is incident. Let $(H, \Omega):=\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)-$ $V(Q)$ and let $\left(H^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}\right):=\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)-V(Q-w)$. Since $(H, \Omega)$ is a partial 2-path with path cover number $2, M(H, \Omega)=2$, by Theorem 9 . A zero forcing argument shows that $M\left(H^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$. Since $M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\max \left\{M(H, \Omega), M\left(H^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, we obtain that $M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=2$. Since $M(G, \Sigma)=\max \left\{M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right), M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$, we see that $M(G, \Sigma)=$ 2 if $(G, \Sigma)$ has a pendant path $Q$ incident with one of the vertices in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$.

We may therefore assume that $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$ has no pendant paths incident with any vertex in $\left\{w_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$. Then a zero forcing argument shows that $M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 2$. Since $M(G, \Sigma)=\max \left\{M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right), M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$, we see that $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

Lemma 16. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2-connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with exactly one wide separation $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]$. Suppose $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are connected. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SA-graph.

Proof. Suppose that $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

If there is a vertex $s$ of $H$ such that in $(G, \Sigma)$ at least two pendant paths $R_{1}, R_{2}$ are incident with $s$, let $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(R_{1}\right)$. Then $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ consists of at least two components, one of which contains a cycle, so $M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$. By Lemma $3, M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence, there is no vertex $s$ of $H$ such that in $(G, \Sigma)$ at least two pendant paths $R_{1}, R_{2}$ are incident with $s$.

Let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{1}$, and let $w_{1}, w_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{2}$. Suppose first that $H_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$. We may assume that $C$ is at the end of the partial wide 2-path $H$, that is, there is a 2 -separation $(C, F)$ of $H$. Let $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}:=V(C) \cap V(F)$. Let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ be two vertex-disjoint paths between $\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. If a pendant path of $(G, \Sigma)$ is incident with $H_{1}$, but not with a vertex of $C$, then the pendant path is incident with a vertex of $Q_{1}-v_{1}$ or a vertex of $Q_{2}-v_{2}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$-minor, so $M(G, \Sigma) \geq \nu(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$, a contradiction. Hence any pendant path that is incident with a vertex of $H_{1}$ is incident with a vertex of $C$. Let $P_{1}$ be the path obtained from $C$ by removing any edge between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. If there are two pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices on $P_{1}$ and one is not incident
 the following holds:

- at most two pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with vertices of $P_{1}$, and if two pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with vertices of $P_{1}$, then these vertices are adjacent,
- three pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with vertices of $P_{1}, P_{1}$ has length 2 , and the ends of $P_{1}$ are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, or
- two pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with the ends of $P_{1}$ and the ends are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.

If $H_{1}$ has no cycles, then $H_{1}$ is a path $P_{1}$ connecting $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. If there are two pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices on $P_{1}$ and one is not incident with an end of $P_{1}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor, a contradiction. Hence, one of the following holds:

- at most two pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with vertices of $P_{1}$, and if two pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with vertices of $P_{1}$, then these vertices are adjacent,
- three pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with vertices of $P_{1}, P_{1}$ has length 2 , and the ends of $P_{1}$ are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, or
- two pendant paths of $(G, \Sigma)$ are incident with the ends of $P_{1}$ and the ends are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$.

In the same way, we do the above for $H_{2}$.
Suppose that, for $i=1,2$, there are at most two pendant paths incident with vertices of $P_{i}$ and if two pendant paths are incident with vertices of $P_{i}$, then these vertices are
adjacent in $P_{i}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. Hence, we may assume that either pendant paths are incident with both ends of $P_{1}$, the ends of $P_{1}$ are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and $P_{1}$ has length $\geq 2$, or pendant paths are incident with both ends of $P_{2}$, the ends of $P_{2}$ are $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, and $P_{2}$ has length $\geq 2$. By symmetry, we may assume that pendant paths are incident with both ends of $P_{1}$, the ends of $P_{1}$ are $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, and $P_{1}$ has length $\geq 2$. Then $H_{2}$ contains no cycle, for otherwise $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. So $H_{2}$ is a path connecting $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$.

If a pendant path of $(G, \Sigma)$ is incident with an internal vertex of $P_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor, a contradiction. Hence, no pendant path of $(G, \Sigma)$ is incident with an internal vertex of $P_{2}$. If $P_{1}$ has length $>2$, then no pendant path of $(G, \Sigma)$ is incident with an internal vertex of $P_{1}$. If $P_{1}$ has length 2 , a pendant path of $(G, \Sigma)$ is incident with an internal vertex of $P_{1}$, and $P_{2}$ has length $\geq 2$, then no pendant path is incident with at least one of the vertices $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$, for otherwise $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. Thus, $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SA-graph.

For the converse, use Lemmas 13 and 15 .

Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2 connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with exactly one wide separation [ $H_{1}, H_{2}$ ]. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{1}$, suppose the vertices $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are connected by a path of length $\geq 2$ in $H_{1}$, and suppose $H_{2}$ is disconnected. We call $(G, \Sigma)$ a $M K$ graph if each of the following hold:

1. no vertex is the end of two or more pendant paths;
2. there is a pendant path at $u_{1}$, and no pendant path at $u_{2}$;
3. $H_{1}-u_{2}$ is a path;
4. each pendant path $P$ incident with a vertex of the path $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is incident with an end of $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, and if $P$ is incident with the end of $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ adjacent to $u_{1}$, then no edge connects an internal vertex of $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ with $u_{2}$.

Lemma 17. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MK-graph, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
Proof. Let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{2}$. Let $P$ be the pendant path at $u_{1}$ and let $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(P-u_{1}\right)$, and let $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V(P)$. By Lemma 4, $M(G, \Sigma)=\max \left\{M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right), M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$. Since $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a signed graph with two parallel paths, $M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \leq 2$, and hence we may assume that $M(G, \Sigma)=M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$. In $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)$, there are two pendant paths attached to $u_{2}$. Let $Q$ be one of them. Let $(F, \Psi):=\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)-V\left(Q-u_{2}\right)$ and let $\left(F^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right):=\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)-V(Q)$. Since $\left(F^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)$ consists of two disjoint paths, $M\left(F^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)=2$. Since $(F, \Psi)$ is a signed graph with two parallel paths, $M(F, \Psi) \leq 2$. By Lemma $4, M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)=\max \left\{M(F, \Psi), M\left(F^{\prime}, \Psi^{\prime}\right)\right\}=2$.

Lemma 18. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2-connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with exactly one wide separation $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]$. Suppose
that $H_{2}$ is disconnected and $H_{1}$ is connected. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MK-graph.

Proof. Suppose that $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. Since $M(G, \Sigma) \geq \xi(G, \Sigma),(G, \Sigma)$ has no weak minor isomorphic to a graph in the $K_{3}^{=}$-family.

Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{1}$. Suppose first that $H_{1}$ contains a cycle $C$. Let $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ be vertex-disjoint path between $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and $V(C)$; let $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ be the vertices of $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ on $C$, respectively.

We first assume that a pendant path incident with a vertex of $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$.
If a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$-minor. Hence, any pendant path of $(G, \Sigma)$ incident with a vertex of $Q_{1} \cup Q_{2}-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$ is incident with $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. By symmetry, we may assume that a pendant path $P_{1}$ of $(G, \Sigma)$ is incident with $u_{1}$. Then $Q_{1}$ has length $\geq 1$. Then $P_{1}$ is the only pendant path incident with $u_{1}$, for otherwise $G-V\left(P_{1}\right)$ consists of at least two component, one of which contains a cycle. If $Q_{2}$ has length $\geq 1$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. Hence, $Q_{2}$ has length 0 , and therefore, $H_{1}-u_{2}$ has no cycle. If a pendant path is incident with $u_{2}$, then, as $M(G, \Sigma) \geq M\left(G-V\left(P_{1}\right), E\left(G-V\left(P_{1}\right)\right) \cap \Sigma\right)$ and $G-V\left(P_{1}\right)$ is a tree with path cover number $\geq 3, M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence, no pendant path is incident with $u_{2}$. If a pendant path is incident with an internal vertex of the path $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ contains a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. Hence any pendant path incident with a vertex of the path $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is incident with an end of $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. If an edge connects an internal vertex of $H_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ with $u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$-minor. Hence $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MK-graph.

We may therefore assume that each pendant path incident with a vertex of $H_{1}$ is incident with a vertex of $C$. Let $P$ be the path obtained from $C$ by removing all edges between $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$. If two pendant paths are incident with nonadjacent vertices on $P$ and one is not incident with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. If $u_{1}=v_{1}$ and $u_{2}=v_{2}$, and pendant paths are incident with $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, let $P_{1}$ be the pendant path incident with $u_{1}$. If $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(P_{1}\right)$, then $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a tree with path cover number $\geq 3$, and hence $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence, there are at most two pendant paths incident with vertices of $P$ and these vertices are adjacent in $P_{1}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

We may therefore assume that $H_{1}$ has no cycle. Then $H_{1}$ is a path $P$ connecting $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$. Suppose that there are pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices on $P$. Then the length of $P$ is at least 2 . Suppose a pendant path is incident with $u_{1}$. If there is a pendant path at $u_{2}$, then, as $M(G, \Sigma) \geq M\left(G-V\left(P_{1}\right), E\left(G-V\left(P_{1}\right)\right) \cap \Sigma\right)$ and $G-V\left(P_{1}\right)$ is a tree with path cover number $\geq 3, M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence, no pendant path is incident with $u_{2}$. If a pendant path is incident with an internal vertex of $P-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ contains a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. Hence, any pendant path is incident with an end of $P-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. If a pendant path is incident with the end of $P-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ adjacent to $u_{1}$ and an edge connects an internal vertex of $P-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ with $u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$-minor. Thus, $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MK-graph. Hence, we may assume that no pendant
path is incident with $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor, a contradiction. Hence, we may assume at most two pendant paths are incident with vertices of $P$ and these vertices are adjacent in $P$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

For the converse, use Lemmas 13 and 17.

Lemma 19. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2connected partial wide 2-path $(H, \Omega)$ with exactly one wide separation. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths, $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SA-graph, or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MKgraph.

Proof. Let $\left[H_{1}, H_{2}\right]$ be the wide separation of $(G, \Sigma)$. Suppose that $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. If $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are connected, then, by Lemma 16, either $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SA-graph. Suppose next that $H_{1}$ or $H_{2}$ is disconnected; we may assume that $H_{2}$ is disconnected. Let $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ be the vertices of attachment of $H_{1}$. If $H_{1}$ has a path of length $\geq 2$, then, by Lemma 18 , either $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MK-graph. We may therefore assume that either $H_{1}$ consists of only one edge connecting $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, or $H_{1}$ is disconnected. In both cases, $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

The converse follows from Lemmas 13, 15, and 17.

### 6.3. Partial wide 2-paths with no wide separations

In [9], Johnson et al. characterized the class of graphs $G$ with $M(G)=2$. For signed graphs $(G, \Sigma)$ such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2 -connected partial 2path with no wide separation, the characterization when $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ is similar to their result.

Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2 connected partial 2-path $(H, \Omega)$. Suppose $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are distinct cycles in $H$ such that there exist 2 -separations $\left(C_{1}, H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, H_{2}\right)$ of $H$. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be vertex-disjoint paths between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, and let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the ends of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively, on $C_{1}$, and let $v_{1}, v_{2}$ be the ends of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively, on $C_{2}$. We call $(G, \Sigma)$ a $S H$-graph if $l\left(P_{1}\right)=0$ and $l\left(P_{2}\right) \leq 1$, and

1. if $l\left(P_{1}\right)=0$ (so $u_{1}=v_{1}$ ) and $l\left(P_{2}\right)=1$, then

- there is a single pendant path incident with each end of the paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$;
- if the path $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ has length 2 , then at most one pendant path is incident with the internal vertex of $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$, and if the path $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ has length $\geq 3$, then no pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$;
- if the path $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ has length 2 , then at most one pendant path is incident with the internal vertex of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$, and if the path $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ has length $\geq 3$, then no pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$;

2. if $l\left(P_{1}\right)=l\left(P_{2}\right)=0$, then

- there is a single pendant path incident with each end of the paths $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$;
- the path $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ has length 3 , and single pendant paths are incident with the internal vertices of $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$;
- if the path $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ has length 2 , then at most one pendant path is incident with the internal vertex of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$, and if the path $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ has length $\geq 3$, then no pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$.

Lemma 20. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SH-graph, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
Proof. Let $P$ be the pendant path incident with $u_{1}$. Let $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V(P)$ and let $\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(P-u_{1}\right)$. By Lemma $4, M(G, \Sigma)=\max \left\{M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right), M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}$. Since $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is a tree with path cover number $2, M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)=2$, and since ( $G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}$ ) has two parallel paths, $M\left(G^{\prime \prime}, \Sigma^{\prime \prime}\right) \leq 2$. Thus, $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

We call the signed graph obtained from a signed 5 -cycle by attaching pendant paths to each of its vertices a SF-graph.

The following lemma can be proved in the same way as Lemma 20.
Lemma 21. If $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SF-graph, then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
Lemma 22. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph such that the removal of pendant paths yields a 2connected partial 2-path $(H, \Omega)$. Suppose $(H, \Omega)$ has no wide separation. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=$ 2 if and only if $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths, $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SH-graph, or $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SFgraph.

Proof. Suppose $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
We first assume that there are at least two distinct cycles in $H$. Let $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ be distinct cycles such that there exist 2-separations $\left(C_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ and $\left(C_{2}, L_{2}\right)$ of $H$. Let $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ be vertex-disjoint paths between $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, and let $u_{1}, u_{2}$ be the ends of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively, on $C_{1}$, and let $v_{1}, v_{2}$ be the ends of $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, respectively, on $C_{2}$.

If a pendant path of $G$ is incident with an internal vertex of $P_{1}$ or $P_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)$-minor, contradicting that $M(G, \Sigma)=2$. Hence any pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}$ or $C_{2}$. If there are no pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices of $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$, and there are no pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. We may therefore assume that there are pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices of $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$, or that there are pendant paths incident with nonadjacent vertices of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$. We assume the former, and let $w_{1}, w_{2}$ be the vertices on $C_{1}$ to which the pendant paths $R_{1}, R_{2}$, respectively, are incident; we take $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ such that the distance between $w_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ in $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ is maximum. We may assume that $w_{1}$ coincides with $u_{1}$ or is between $u_{1}$ and $w_{2}$ on $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$. If $w_{1} \neq v_{1}$ and $w_{2} \neq v_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. Therefore, $w_{1}=v_{1}$ or $w_{2}=v_{2}$; we may assume that $w_{1}=v_{1}$. Then $u_{1}=w_{1}=v_{1}$.

Exactly one pendant path is incident with $u_{1}$. For if at least two pendant paths, $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$, are incident with $u_{1}$, let $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right):=(G, \Sigma)-V\left(T_{1}\right)$. Then $\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ consists of
at least two components, one of which is a tree with path cover number $\geq 2$, and hence $M(G, \Sigma) \geq M\left(G^{\prime}, \Sigma^{\prime}\right) \geq 3$, a contradiction.

Suppose now first that the length of $P_{2}$ is at least one.
Suppose a pendant path $Q_{2}$ is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$. If $R_{2}$ is not incident with $u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. Hence $R_{2}$ is incident with $u_{2}$. In the same way, $Q_{2}$ is incident with $v_{2}$. Then the length of $P_{1}$ is one, for otherwise $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. If $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ has length $\geq 3$ and a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has either a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$ - or a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. In the same way, if $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ has length $\geq 3$, then no pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a Sea Horse. We may therefore assume that if a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-v_{1}$, then it is incident with the vertex adjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$.

If a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ that is nonadjacent to $w_{2}$ in $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{2}$-minor. Hence, any pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, w_{2}\right\}$ is incident with a vertex adjacent to $w_{2}$. If a pendant path is incident with the vertex adjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. If no pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-u_{1}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

Suppose next that the path $P_{2}$ has length 0 ; then $u_{2}=v_{2}$. If no pendant path is incident with $u_{2}$ and a pendant path is incident with a vertex $v \neq v_{2}$ of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor (as $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are at distance $\geq 2$ on $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ ). If no pendant path is incident with $u_{2}$ and all pendant paths incident with vertices of $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$ are adjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{2}-v_{1} v_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

We may therefore assume that a pendant path is incident with $u_{1}$ and a pendant path is incident with $u_{2}$. If a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ in $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. Therefore, any pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ in $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$. In the same way, any pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ is adjacent to $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$ in $C_{2}-u_{1} u_{2}$. If $Q_{1}$ is a pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$, and $Q_{2}$ is a pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{1}$ in $C_{2}-u_{1} u_{2}$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$ minor. In the same way, there are no pendant paths $Q_{1}$ and $Q_{2}$ with $Q_{1}$ incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{2}$ in $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ and $Q_{2}$ incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ that is nonadjacent to $u_{2}$ in $C_{2}-u_{1} u_{2}$. Hence, if there are two vertices of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ with pendant paths attached to them, then $C_{1}-u_{1} u_{2}$ has length three, and if in addition there is a pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$, then $C_{2}-u_{1} u_{2}$ has length two. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a Seahorse. The case where there are two vertices of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ with pendant paths attached to them is similar.

We may therefore assume that at most one pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ and at most one pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. By symmetry, we may assume that if a pendant path is incident with a vertex of $C_{1}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$,
then this vertex is adjacent to $u_{1}$. Then any pendant path incident with a vertex of $C_{2}-\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$ that is adjacent to $u_{2}$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

We may therefore assume that $H$ contains at most one cycle. As $H$ is 2-connected, $H$ is a cycle with size $\geq 3$. If a vertex on $H$ has more than two pendant paths attached to it, then, by Lemma $4, M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence, we may assume that any vertex on $H$ has at most two pendant paths attached to it. Suppose next that there are two pendant paths attached to a vertex $v$. If a pendant path is incident with vertex that is not adjacent to $v$, then, by Lemma $4, M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence, any pendant path is either incident with $v$ or incident with a vertex adjacent to $v$. If there is a vertex adjacent to $v$ with more than one pendant path attached, then, by Lemma $4, M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Hence to any vertex adjacent to $v$ at most one pendant path is attached. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. Therefore, we may assume that at most one pendant path is incident with each vertex of $H$.

Let $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ be the pendant paths attached to $H$, where we assume that $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{k}$ are in this order around $H$. If $k \geq 6$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. If $k=5$ and there are pendant path $P_{i}$ and $P_{i+1}$ (index modulo $k$ ) that are at distance $\geq 2$ on $H$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. If $k=5$ and there are no consecutive pendant paths at distance $\geq 2$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SF-graph. If $k=4$ and there is a pendant path that is at distance $\geq 2$ from the other pedant paths, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. If $k=4$ and for all pendant paths, there is a distinct pendant path at distance 1 , then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. If $k=3$ and the pendant paths in each pair of pendant path are at distance $\geq 2$ on $H$ from one another, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has a weak $K_{3}^{=}(\Delta Y)^{3}$-minor. If $k=3$ and two pendant paths at distance 1 on $H$, then $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths. If $k \leq 2$, then, clearly, $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths.

## 7. The main result

We now provide a combinatorial characterization of signed graph $(G, \Sigma)$ with $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.

Theorem 23. Let $(G, \Sigma)$ be a signed graph. Then $M(G, \Sigma)=2$ if and only if one of the following holds:

1. $(G, \Sigma)$ has two parallel paths, but $G$ is not a path;
2. $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SH-graph;
3. $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SF-graph;
4. $(G, \Sigma)$ is a SA-graph;
5. $(G, \Sigma)$ is a MK-graph;
6. $(G, \Sigma)$ is a ST-graph; or
7. $(G, \Sigma)$ is obtained from $W_{4}^{o}$ by attached single pendant paths at some of the vertices of $W_{4}^{o}$.

Proof. The "if" statement is clear.
We now prove the "only if" statement. Suppose $M(G, \Sigma)=2$.
If $G$ is disconnected, then $G$ has exactly two components, for otherwise $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Furthermore, each component is a path, for otherwise $M(G, \Sigma) \geq 3$. Then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a signed graph with two parallel paths.

We may therefore assume that $G$ is connected. If $G$ has no cycle, then $G$ is a tree. Since $M(G, \Sigma)=M(G)$ when $G$ is a forest, $G$ has path cover number 2 . Then $(G, \Sigma)$ is a signed graph with two parallel paths. We may therefore assume that $G$ has a cycle. By Lemma 5, $(G, \Sigma)$ can be obtained from a 2-connected signed graph $(H, \Omega)$ with $M(H, \Omega)=2$ or from an odd cycle with two edges by attaching pendant paths. Furthermore, at each vertex of $H$ at most two pendant paths can be attached. In the latter case, $(G, \Sigma)$ is a signed graph with two parallel paths. We may assume that the former case holds. By Theorem $6,(H, \Omega)$ is either a partial wide 2-path or is isomorphic to $W_{4}^{o}$. If $(H, \Omega)$ is isomorphic to $W_{4}^{o}$, then, by Lemma 7, only single pendant paths can be attached at vertices of $W_{4}^{o}$. We may therefore assume that $(H, \Omega)$ is a partial wide 2-path. Then the statement follows from Lemmas 14, 19, and 22.
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