

## Exploring Multiple Perspectives in Citizenship Education with a Serious Game

Blokland, Erik; Cullinan, Caroline; Mulder, Doreen; Overman, Willie; Visscher, Marin; Zaidi, Amir; Bueno Pérez, Mijael R.; Bidarra, Rafael

**DOI**

[10.1007/978-3-030-92300-6\\_28](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92300-6_28)

**Publication date**

2021

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

Interactive Storytelling - 14th International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling, ICIDS 2021, Proceedings

**Citation (APA)**

Blokland, E., Cullinan, C., Mulder, D., Overman, W., Visscher, M., Zaidi, A., Bueno Pérez, M. R., & Bidarra, R. (2021). Exploring Multiple Perspectives in Citizenship Education with a Serious Game. In A. Mitchell, & M. Vosmeer (Eds.), *Interactive Storytelling - 14th International Conference on Interactive Digital Storytelling, ICIDS 2021, Proceedings* (pp. 293-306). (Lecture Notes in Computer Science (including subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics); Vol. 13138 LNCS). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92300-6\\_28](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-92300-6_28)

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

***Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository***

***'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project***

***<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>***

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



# Exploring Multiple Perspectives in Citizenship Education with a Serious Game

Erik Blokland, Caroline Cullinan, Doreen Mulder<sup>(✉)</sup>, Willie Overman,  
Marin Visscher, Amir Zaidi, Mijael R. Bueno Pérez, and Rafael Bidarra

Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands  
d.mulder@student.tudelft.nl, R.Bidarra@tudelft.nl

**Abstract.** Within citizenship education, a new focus is being laid upon what is expected of citizens within a diverse and lightning-fast society: more emphasis is placed on teaching students how to understand and respect other people's opinions, regardless of how they may contrast with one's own. However, learning to be tolerant with others' viewpoints comes with hurdles, as currently it is quite easy to become stuck within one's own worldview. We developed *Diermocratie*, an in-classroom game aimed at encouraging a more open conversation, which breaks through these hurdles and addresses key competencies such as empathy and argumentation. By role-playing metaphors that parallel real-world events, students explore their own predispositions, are made aware of the perspectives of others, and are enabled to discuss issues objectively. From a preliminary evaluation, most students could identify the parallelism between the in-game metaphor and real-world situations. They also indicated that the game motivates them to further talk to each other, approaching sensitive topics among them.

**Keywords:** Multiple perspectives · Citizenship education · Diversity · Serious games

## 1 Introduction

Traditionally, citizenship education has laid the focus on teaching students to become 'well-behaved' citizens. However, over the last decade or so, this paradigm has been shifting. In addition to highlighting which morals are expected from citizens, a new focus is being placed on shaping citizens who think critically and participate actively in society. This change in paradigm can be attributed, in part, to the fact that society has become more fast-paced than ever. It has never been easier to acquire information or connect with like-minded individuals that share common thoughts and opinions. While such advancements have brought our standard of living to a new high, there are some significant downsides to these developments as well. Polarization, the phenomenon where

opposing attitudes become increasingly divergent making it difficult for different groups of people to communicate effectively, has increased significantly over the last decades [27]. Through emphasizing the importance of critical thinking skills and opinion acceptance within citizenship education, new education efforts attempt to address early on issues such as polarization. With such an approach, students of varying levels can realize the value of different opinions, as well as learn to think critically about where their own opinions originate from. It is expected that this approach should lead to more open and participating citizens.

However, actually applying this strategy in the classroom has proven to be quite challenging [21, 22]. Topics that are both relevant to the students and useful in this context are often sensitive to discuss in class. In Dutch vocational college, for example, where classrooms are most diverse in terms of demographic background, vastly different opinions limit an open discussion. In such settings, teachers may not have the necessary skills and comfort to lead such complex discussion. Moreover, leading complex discussions becomes even more challenging as teachers recognize that their own point of view could also influence the debate.

In this paper we explore how some of these hurdles can be overcome through the use of serious gaming. By encouraging a fictional discussion in a multiplayer environment we investigate whether vocational college students are more open to discussion and self-reflection when faced with a metaphorical parallel of a real-life debate. The research question we try to answer with this paper is:

*How could a serious game provide a metaphorical analogy of a real-life scenario, which promotes open conversations about complex and sensitive topics among students in vocational education?*

Through this research, we contribute insights to the debate around polarization, and we demonstrate how the effects of clashing worldviews may be mitigated through the use of metaphorical gameplay. In acknowledging related work, we build upon existing research in the domain of education and critical discussion, and we explore the role of serious gaming in facilitating open discussion in an education context. We then introduce our game design, its prototype implementation, and its evaluation in serving as a tool for educators to encourage a multi-perspective approach to conversation in the classroom. Finally, we conclude with a summary of our findings and the implication that this research has for serious games in educational settings.

## 2 Related Work

Among the most notorious barriers to open conversation in the classroom are sensitive topics, groupthink, and clashing worldviews. We briefly survey existing research on these specific barriers of open conversation, as well as on how they can relate to citizenship education and serious games.

## 2.1 Barriers to Classroom Conversation

There is extensive research regarding the discussion of sensitive topics in the classroom. A good example is a 2016 paper by Kello [17], which notes that multiple factors ranging from fear of a backlash from their students to feeling restrained by their own belief, prevent teachers from leading classroom discussion about these sensitive topics. A disconnect between instructor and student culture can also lead to instructors feeling as if they do not possess the cultural literacy to approach sensitive social issues which affect students very differently. Because of this, instructors can often feel uncomfortable facilitating and leading conversation about sensitive topics. If classroom instructors lack the experience, skills, and confidence to facilitate open conversation about socially sensitive topics with students, then it is likely very difficult not only to ensure any deep conversation at all, but also to facilitate critical self-reflection and exploration of multiple perspectives.

The second barrier is groupthink, or the phenomenon of a group of people shifting towards a complacent decision that often disregards individual perspectives [15]. This is something that strongly applies to classroom dynamics. As indicated by Johnson & Weaver [16], “students rarely enter into lengthy conversations regarding course material with other students or teachers outside the classroom context. When such conversations do take place, it seems more common to hear a recitation of things heard in class rather than a disparaging or challenging of class statements or positions.” In order to avoid social exclusion or in an attempt not to offend others, groups of students might not accurately represent their range of opinions due to groupthink.

A person’s worldview is the way in which a person gives meaning to their surroundings through their own values and expectations. However, when different worldviews clash, this may form a barrier in the classroom. In a recent article by Brandt & Crawford [8], a clear overview is given on how through the protection of their own worldview, people are likely to reject conflicting worldviews. This study found that prejudice through worldview conflict is present in almost all worldviews, regardless of, for example, openness or cognitive ability. Since there are many different worldviews colliding within the multicultural classrooms of vocational college education, it may very well be that certain prejudices are expressed, or even formed, within this setting. This would greatly impact open conversation and truly understanding other people’s opinions.

## 2.2 Dutch Citizenship Education

In the Netherlands, a citizenship curriculum has been developed to cope with the challenges that students may encounter in current society. This curriculum is broken down into three themes: democracy, diversity, and globalization. Particularly, the theme of diversity is relevant to this research. The curricular theme of diversity is focused on a set of competencies that are to be developed by the students. Competencies like self-awareness, context, empathy, argumentation, and complexity of relations are critical for diversity education. These competencies

give us an understanding of what is expected of students in diversity education curricula. In the following subsection we will dive into how we can best achieve these goals through gameplay.

*Self-awareness*, or critically evaluating one's own actions and thoughts, is key to an open discussion. Benbassat & Bauml [7] discuss six teaching methods for enhancing self-awareness in medical students. Of these, two techniques appear to be applicable to our scenario: *classroom discussions* of emotionally challenging situations, and *small-group discussions* in which personal experiences are shared. In particular, the latter has been used to address prejudices in medicine, by asking questions to determine whether individual students have themselves felt prejudice against particular patients.

Understanding the *social context* of a certain discussion and its stakeholders, is also crucial for it to be open. Luckily, through peer-to-peer communication, people are able to develop implicit social inferences which serve as context [29]. This context encourages conversation, thus promoting social interaction [1]. Thus, in developing context through peer-to-peer interaction, students can learn to engage in conversation with one another, no matter the diversity and differences in their backgrounds.

*Empathy*, or the capacity to recognize the feelings of other people, needs to be present as well. Through group learning experiences, such as cooperative learning, vocational college students can develop empathy [4]. They can then use their developed empathy to inform knowledge and skills obtained in the classroom for conscious action [13].

*Argumentation* is a key competency in citizenship as well. Structuring arguments leads to a better understanding of your own rationale. A common argumentation framework is the Toulmin method, presenting a model in which arguments are broken down into six parts: claim, grounds, warrant, qualifier, rebuttal, and backing [18]. This method has been used in classroom exercises to build argumentation skills [10], and may be applicable to a serious game, should that game want to confront students with arguments that they need to analyze.

Finally, it must be understood by students that relations within a debate are inherently *complex*, especially those within a diverse educational setting. Disproportionate representation within a classroom, in combination with cultural misunderstandings, can strain relationships in multicultural education settings. Dynamic and engaging cooperative learning can encourage vocational college students to interact with one another and forge meaningful relations with each other, regardless of the complexity associated with diversity [14].

### 2.3 Serious Gaming in Social and Educational Contexts

Serious games have long been successfully designed and deployed to change, or at least influence, the mindset of players regarding very disparate and complex topics, from dealing with prejudices around home retrofitting [11] to raising understanding for the complexities of large infrastructure systems [3]. In educational contexts, there has also been increasing research into designing serious games that help students overcome known personal and social hurdles in their

student life, including overcoming obstacles to their personal productivity [24], providing early ice-breaking within newly-formed teams [26], and stimulating psychological safety among project colleagues [2].

Starks [28] provides a comprehensive overview of important elements of serious game design. One finding that stands out from her research is that students learn best when the information is presented in a real-world setting and when the information incorporated is of the students' interest. According to Starks, self-awareness seems to be promoted when the player experiences empathy during gameplay.

*Darfur is Dying* [25], a game world situated in Darfur, western Sudan, is an example of an empathy-provoking serious game. In this game, the player plays a refugee who has to find water for their village while not being captured by soldiers. The game attempts to let the player experience life as a Darfuri refugee and, as a result, to bring attention to the war situation by increasing empathy in the player.

Such empathy-provoking methods for game immersion can also ensure player engagement. As James Paul Gee puts it: "Games can show us how to get people to invest in new identities or roles, which can, in turn, become powerful motivators for new and deep learning in classrooms and workplaces" [12]. In addition, Starks [28] also describes two additional ways to create immersion: realistic graphics, and a first-person perspective where players can identify with the character they are playing. Aging simulations may also increase empathy with people who are older than the player [9].

Some studies suggest that video games designed to increase empathy do have positive effects on adolescent players [20]. While there was no evidence of group difference in behavioral change, participants who engaged more with the emotional aspects of gameplay in the empathy training game *Crystals of Kaydor* showed an increase in empathic accuracy. The authors note that this research is still in its early stages; however, they do mention that their results provide evidence that empathy-related brain functions can be improved in adolescents by using game mechanics that rely on empathy, such as perspective taking and emotional regulation. Additional research is needed to determine whether this kind of empathy training could lead to improvements in empathic behavior.

Belman & Flanagan [6] formulated four design principles for designing games to foster empathy. The first principle describes how players usually only empathize when they make an effort to do so at the beginning of the game - otherwise, people play "unempathically". The second principle recommends giving players recommendations about how their actions affect the issues in the game. The third principle describes how short bursts of emotional empathy work well if the desired outcome of playing the game does not require major shifts in the player's beliefs. Lastly, the fourth principle states that it is beneficial to put emphasis on points of similarity between the player and the people who the player is supposed to empathise with.

Serious games have also been used within a citizenship curriculum. The serious game *TimeMesh* [5], for example, is a collaborative multiplayer game

designed to teach students about significant events in Portuguese history. The game has a non-linear storyline, where players time travel to different periods in history to influence historic events and change the ‘present reality’. Lorenzini et al. created *LawVille* [23], a serious game designed to teach citizenship topics, primarily the Italian constitution and lawmaking process, to secondary school students. Like *TimeMesh*, *LawVille* contains collaborative aspects in which players may communicate with each other while playing the game, although there is no interaction within the game world itself.

### 3 Game Design

The goal of promoting open conversation around sensitive topics guided the design of *Diermocratie*. In order to achieve this goal, many game choices that were taken are directed towards avoiding groupthink and mitigating the influence of players’ predispositions.

#### 3.1 Game Synopsis

In an authoritarian farm regime, students play as different kinds of livestock that are presented with a dilemma imposed by the ruling farmers. The players get a small briefing about the dilemma and their species’ role in that dilemma. Subsequently, they may get to know the other species’ perspectives, by communicating with other players. Eventually, they will have to democratically decide on a solution to resolve the issue. In these scenarios, players have to role-play with an assigned opinion, which otherwise might not normally be theirs. Through player-to-player communication, a player’s opinion has the possibility of changing. After the final democratic vote, a debriefing session revisits the process and relates the events during the game to a real-world scenario.

This synopsis fits into the SPP framework for interactive digital narratives defined by Koenitz [19], which divides digital narratives into three parts: System, Process and Product. The *system* contains a collection of potential narratives, also known as proto-stories. In our case, one of such narratives is chosen by the teacher to match the topic of the current lecture. The *process* involves the players interacting with the system, in this case, the students participating in the anonymous debate on the dilemma that affects the farm and its population. During the process, the player will need to consider which strategies to use while interacting with the other animals on the farm, as their ability to persuade others will help determine the outcome of the story. According to Koenitz, this players’ need to consider their actions and the level of control they have on the narrative is a crucial component to an interactive digital narrative. Finally, the *product* is the instantiated narrative: which solution to the dilemma will the students pick? After the final decision has been made, the debriefing session aims to explore the process and product further, analyzing why the narrative has played out the way it did, giving the students a means to reflect on the actions of themselves and their peers.






Fig. 1. Illustration of the main game loop.

### 3.2 Game Mechanics

The above synopsis, together with the game loop of Fig. 1, reveal the more tangible ways in which the game is perceived by the players. However, below the surface there are some overarching mechanics that help this game reach its intended goals. In the following section we go into the metaphorical, motivational, and concluding aspects of our game. As a visual aid, we also provide a trailer<sup>1</sup> of *Diernocratie* sample gameplay (in Dutch).

**The Metaphor.** The key element in *Diernocratie* is the metaphor. Through the use of a metaphor, players are able to look at, and discuss, a given dilemma without any predispositions holding them back. The metaphor must still be relatable, though, as this will help the players get into character. The metaphor manifests itself in the game in multiple different ways: the setting, the character assignment, the briefing, and the headlines.

The game takes place in a farm setting, with the playable characters being farm animals. This environment was chosen because it is easy to relate to for almost anyone, as it creates an amenable role-playing atmosphere. This role-playing is necessary to stimulate empathy among the students, which is one of the citizenship competencies. A farm setting is also easier to translate dilemmas into, as most people are already familiar with anthropomorphizing animals. Furthermore, in assigning players to different animal groups, a ‘tribe mentality’ is induced, as players connect to their animal and its specific group, thus fueling the notion that multiple and diverse perspectives exist within a society.

The briefing is the most prominent manifestation of the metaphor. Mainly, the briefing sets the scene with game rules and potential game outcomes, and it also provides players with a short description of their assigned animal and its viewpoints. The briefing translates an existing societal dilemma or debate into a farm and animal context. With such a briefing, player immersion can be more easily and quickly facilitated. It also enables the player to understand the true context of the dilemma, which links to the key competencies of citizenship as well. Through the briefing, the translated societal concern should be unrecognizable as the specific dilemma on its own, however it should still be recognizable during the classroom debriefing session through guided discussion. An example

<sup>1</sup> <https://surfdrive.surf.nl/files/index.php/s/imRIGKfSrfLiLSZ>.

**From one day to the next the brand new chicken factory,  
that produced eggs for the whole farm, is shut down.  
Apparently, the sheep thought it produced too much stench.**

**Fig. 2.** Example briefing based upon the Dutch nitrogen debate.

briefing can be seen in Fig. 2. The briefing wording itself is not recognizable as the Dutch nitrogen debate, however the link to farmers being the chickens and environmentalists being the sheep can easily be made.

An important implementation aspect of our use of the metaphor is the anonymity afforded by a digital game. While many of the gameplay elements described could translate to a physical tabletop game, role-playing would become more difficult as there would be a clear relation between actual players and roles. Since *Diermocratie* is intended to be played among classmates, anonymizing players by giving them a virtual avatar both minimizes the effect of pre-existing relationships and enhances players' immersion into the game, improving the role-playing quality.

**Motivational Mechanics.** Next to creating an environment in which role-playing is encouraged, the players must also be motivated to actually play the game as intended. To do this, several motivational mechanics have been implemented, including: headlines, communication, time, and voting.

Each animal group receives a potentially biased headline from their local news source with species-exclusive coverage about the posed dilemma, as shown in Fig. 3. The headlines motivate the players to form a specific opinion based on their assigned species and on the news they have been provided. The headlines will often imply consequences for said species, and they encourage the players to move around the game world, and to communicate with players of other species, using a chat function, in order to spread their viewpoints and influence the final

Chicken News	Sheepy Times
<b>Sensitive sheep cause our factory to close. Huge layoffs imminent.</b>	<b>Factory closed! We can finally graze outside again without stuffing our noses with wool.</b>

**Fig. 3.** Example species exclusive headlines that are paired with the briefing from Fig. 2.

vote. The headlines and the consequent communication around them, facilitate multiple diverse perspectives and conversation surrounding a polarizing topic. It also connects to the *argumentation* competency of citizenship. After a set time for gameplay, the final vote is held, requiring the players to act immediately as time is a limited resource. With the final vote and the subsequent outcome announcement, the players are informed of the results of their discussion. The actual tally is not that important, as the game revolves mostly about getting an understanding of the democratic process as a whole. The results can, however, be used as input to the final debriefing between teacher and students.

**Debriefing the Students.** Following gameplay, students engage in an instructor-lead debriefing session where they have the opportunity to exchange their thoughts and experiences about the game session. Through this debriefing, students are particularly encouraged to translate game scenarios into real-world situations. In exploring the parallels between the metaphorical game scenarios and real life, discussion is encouraged about the students' experiences with polarizing topics and the diverse opinions of others. In this debriefing, self-awareness amongst students should be fostered, and the complexity of relations explored.

### 3.3 Implementation Aspects

In implementing this game, a communicative multi-player approach has been chosen. It deploys a server for handling all players in a session, and transparently manages all chat functionality for player-to-player communication, easily ensuring that players' diverse perspectives can be exchanged and explored. As more players participate in the game, more conversation can emerge. Additionally, because all chat content is made visible to everybody in the game world, every player is able to see the opinions, questions and objections stated by all others. As a result, pursuing further conversation is strongly stimulated.

## 4 Game Evaluation

### 4.1 Method

In order to evaluate the extent to which the game was effective in its purpose to promote an open conversation about difficult topics, multiple play sessions were held with vocational college classes of approximately 20 students each. Due to current societal constraints, play sessions varied between taking place fully online, entirely on location or in a half-and-half setting. During each session, students were given a short presentation to get familiar with the functionality of the game. After this brief introduction, the students were asked to play the game.

In order to give students an opportunity to debrief and voice their experiences and concerns, after each playing round they were divided into smaller focus groups of approximately five students, in which their views could be further discussed with their instructor. Because of the subjective nature of the topic, conversation was initially centered around evaluative topics of game concept, engagement, goal achievement and how comfortable they felt in expressing their opinions. Eventually, debriefing conversation was directed towards exploring parallel real-world dilemmas, as students were encouraged to discuss how elements of the game dilemma transpire in society. In exploring parallels between the game world and the real world, students were led by instructors in discussion about other sensitive and societal relevant topics.

Finally, to assess the impact of this prototype game and subsequent debriefing on the perceptions of the students, they were asked to fill out a survey.

4.2 Results and Discussion

It was noticeable that students were more involved in the in-person sessions than when they played online. Debriefing sessions were also more actively attended, allowing for better discussion on societal issues to match the game purpose. The survey was filled in by a total of 60 students.

Regarding the communication among players (see Fig. 4), about half of the players report they were motivated to talk to other players, as can be seen in Fig. 4a. That said, Fig. 4b indicates that 45% of the players talked to less than 2 people, which is a clear concern. During the debriefing sessions it came forward that excessive spamming was one of the major reasons players were less motivated to talk to each other. Because of this, especially dyslexic people were having a hard time to keep up with the conversation. Measures should be taken to prevent spamming and improve the UI so the chat is more organized, for example by displaying the chat in a sidebar. With these improvements in





Fig. 4. Survey results regarding player communication (N = 60)



**Fig. 5.** Survey results regarding game mechanics, topic and purpose (N = 60)

mind, we believe it is perfectly achievable to have each player talk to at least two people.

Although not every student took the game seriously, the topic and purpose of the game seem to have been clear for the large majority (see Fig. 5). Questions like “What was the game about?”, Fig. 5a, were mostly answered with mentions to debates (20), racial inequality (18), and discrimination (12). Likewise, students’ perception of the goal of the game was to practice discussions (16), improving communication between people (15), facilitate talking about harder topics (14), and talking about and listening to others’ opinions (14) (see Fig. 5b). These are quite promising results, since they show that the purpose of the game is clearly conveyed, even when gameplay was not optimally experienced.

Finally, 45% of the participants reported that their own species had an effect on their opinion, indicating that a considerable amount of people were influenced by the role-playing aspect of the game, as shown in Fig. 5c.

### 4.3 Limitations

Here we identify some limitations present in conducting the evaluation of this research. Firstly, limited playtesting sessions and student interaction during game development undoubtedly and inevitably impacted the preliminary evaluation of this game. Moreover, during game development, interaction was limited to only a few educational facilities, and only a small range of course materials could be considered in the scope of this project. The current scope of this research is therefore very focused, and a long term evaluative effort is much recommended, in order to extend the range of topics in the game and improve its impact and effectiveness.

On another dimension, and as a result of the large diversity of subcultures present, we realized that the metaphors used in the game, including *animal metaphors*, can hold very different connotations to situations or activities for diverse groups of people. As a result, different players are likely to interpret very differently the game setting, specific characters, and the presented game scenario. While this challenge was currently experienced as a limitation, future development scenarios for this game could use this feature, if managed correctly, as an advantage for fueling diversity of perspectives about sensitive topics.

## 5 Conclusion

Citizenship education is increasingly regarded as an important pillar in vocational education. However, many educational institutions face important challenges to adapt to modern demands in this domain.

We designed and developed *Diermocratie*, an in-classroom game aimed at promoting open conversations within diverse classes among vocational college students. The game empowers students to grow in diversity-related competences, including self-awareness, empathy and argumentation. By means of role-playing metaphors, players successfully explore their predispositions, becoming aware of each other's perspectives. This progress is further supported by a debriefing session, led by an instructor. As a result of a preliminary evaluation, we have concluded that the game motivated students to discuss with their colleagues different perspectives on a complex scenario.

Compared to other serious games around citizenship topics, as e.g. *TimeMesh* [5] and *LawVille* [23], *Diermocratie* stands out in both the flexibility for potential discussion topics and the crucial role of player interaction in achieving the game goals. *Diermocratie* was not designed to teach a fact-based curriculum, but rather to promote open conversations around sensitive topics. Conversations between players, therefore, take a much more central role, and shape the outcome of the story rather than being simply a tool for players to progress through a story.

We believe that *Diermocratie* provides a valuable and effective assistance to vocational college instructors in challenging citizenship education topics. We therefore expect the game to continue being further developed, extended with carefully designed scenarios for more specific topics, and rolled out in more educational institutions.

**Acknowledgments.** We thank Anouck Wolf and her team at Critical Mass for the inspiring discussions and feedback throughout this project.

## References

1. Abowd, G.D., Dey, A.K., Brown, P.J., Davies, N., Smith, M., Steggles, P.: Towards a better understanding of context and context-awareness. In: Gellersen, H.-W. (ed.) HUC 1999. LNCS, vol. 1707, pp. 304–307. Springer, Heidelberg (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48157-5\\_29](https://doi.org/10.1007/3-540-48157-5_29)
2. Alaka, S., Cunha, M.L., Vermeer, J., Salamon, N.Z., Balint, J.T., Bidarra, R.: Stimulating ideation in new teams with the mobile game Grapplenauts. *Int. J. Serious Games* **6**(4), 87–101 (2019)
3. Alderliesten, D., Valečkaitė, K., Salamon, N.Z., Timothy Balint, J., Bidarra, R.: MainTrain: a serious game on the complexities of rail maintenance. In: Gentile, M., Allegra, M., Söbke, H. (eds.) GALA 2018. LNCS, vol. 11385, pp. 82–89. Springer, Cham (2019). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11548-7\\_8](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11548-7_8)
4. Aronson, E.: Building empathy, compassion, and achievement in the jigsaw classroom. In: *Improving Academic Achievement*, pp. 209–225. Elsevier (2002)
5. Baptista, R., Carvalho, C.V.d.: TimeMesh - a serious game for European citizenship. *EAI Endorsed Trans. Game-Based Learn.* **1**(1), e2 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.4108/trans.gbl.01-06.2013.e2>
6. Belman, J., Flanagan, M.: Designing games to foster empathy. *Int. J. Cogn. Technol.* **15**(1), 11 (2010)
7. Benbassat, J., Bauml, R.: Enhancing self-awareness in medical students: an overview of teaching approaches. *Acad. Med.* **80**(2), 156–161 (2005)
8. Brandt, M.J., Crawford, J.T.: Worldview conflict and prejudice. In: *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology*, vol. 61, pp. 1–66. Elsevier (2020)
9. Chen, A.M., Kiersma, M.E., Yehle, K.S., Plake, K.S.: Impact of the geriatric medication game® on nursing students' empathy and attitudes toward older adults. *Nurse Educ. Today* **35**(1), 38–43 (2015)
10. Dawson, V.M., Venville, G.: Teaching strategies for developing students' argumentation skills about socioscientific issues in high school genetics. *Res. Sci. Educ.* **40**(2), 133–148 (2010). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11165-008-9104-y>
11. Dikken, O., et al.: A serious game for changing mindsets about loans for home retrofitting. In: Marfisi-Schottman, I., Bellotti, F., Hamon, L., Klemke, R. (eds.) GALA 2020. LNCS, vol. 12517, pp. 347–361. Springer, Cham (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63464-3\\_33](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63464-3_33)
12. Gee, J.P.: What video games have to teach us about learning and literacy. *Comput. Entertain.* (CIE) **1**(1), 20–20 (2003)
13. Gerdes, K.E., Segal, E.A., Jackson, K.F., Mullins, J.L.: Teaching empathy: a framework rooted in social cognitive neuroscience and social justice. *J. Soc. Work Educ.* **47**(1), 109–131 (2011)
14. Irvine, J.J.: Complex relationships between multicultural education and special education: an African American perspective. *J. Teach. Educ.* **63**(4), 268–274 (2012)
15. Janis, I.L.: Groupthink. *IEEE Eng. Manag. Rev.* **36**(1), 36 (2008)
16. Johnson, S.D., Weaver, R.L., II., et al.: Groupthink and the classroom: changing familiar patterns to encourage critical thought. *J. Instr. Psychol.* **19**(2), 99 (1992)
17. Kello, K.: Sensitive and controversial issues in the classroom: teaching history in a divided society. *Teach. Teach.* **22**(1), 35–53 (2016)

18. Kneupper, C.W.: Teaching argument: an introduction to the toulmin model. *Coll. Compos. Commun.* **29**(3), 237–241 (1978)
19. Koenitz, H.: Towards a theoretical framework for interactive digital narrative. In: Aylett, R., Lim, M.Y., Louchart, S., Petta, P., Riedl, M. (eds.) *ICIDS 2010. LNCS*, vol. 6432, pp. 176–185. Springer, Heidelberg (2010). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16638-9\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-16638-9_22)
20. Kral, T.R., et al.: Neural correlates of video game empathy training in adolescents: a randomized trial. *npj Sci. Learn.* **3**(1), 1–10 (2018)
21. Leeman, Y., Nieveen, N., de Beer, F., Van der Steen, J.: Teachers as curriculum-makers: the case of citizenship education in Dutch schools. *Curric. J.* **31**(3), 495–516 (2020)
22. Leeman, Y., Pels, T.: Citizenship education in the Dutch multiethnic context. *Eur. Educ.* **38**(2), 64–75 (2006)
23. Lorenzini, C., Brondi, R., Carrozzino, M., Nistico, M., Evangelista, C., Tecchia, F.: LawVille: a collaborative serious game for citizenship education. In: 2014 6th International Conference on Games and Virtual Worlds for Serious Applications (VS-GAMES). IEEE, September 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1109/VS-Games.2014.7012163>
24. Raateland, W., et al.: A serious game for students to acquire productivity habits. In: Marfisi-Schottman, I., Bellotti, F., Hamon, L., Klemke, R. (eds.) *GALA 2020. LNCS*, vol. 12517, pp. 335–346. Springer, Cham (2020). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63464-3\\_32](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63464-3_32)
25. Ruiz, S.: Take action games: Darfur is dying. <https://susanaruiz.org/takeactiongames-darfurisdying>
26. Sjölund, A., et al.: Misusing mobile phones to break the ice: the tabletop game Maze Maestro. In: *Proceedings of FDG 2020 - International Conference on the Foundations of Digital Games* (2020)
27. Spohr, D.: Fake news and ideological polarization: filter bubbles and selective exposure on social media. *Bus. Inf. Rev.* **34**(3), 150–160 (2017)
28. Starks, K.: Cognitive behavioral game design: a unified model for designing serious games. *Front. Psychol.* **5**, 28 (2014)
29. Uleman, J.S., Adil Saribay, S., Gonzalez, C.M.: Spontaneous inferences, implicit impressions, and implicit theories. *Annu. Rev. Psychol.* **59**, 329–360 (2008)