



Delft University of Technology

Sensitive Data Donation

Reframing Intimate Data Practices through Design

Gomez Ortega, A.

DOI

[10.4233/uuid:94933b7f-9106-498d-aaac-ff6f0a41e46c](https://doi.org/10.4233/uuid:94933b7f-9106-498d-aaac-ff6f0a41e46c)

Publication date

2024

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Gomez Ortega, A. (2024). *Sensitive Data Donation: Reframing Intimate Data Practices through Design*. [Dissertation (TU Delft), Delft University of Technology]. <https://doi.org/10.4233/uuid:94933b7f-9106-498d-aaac-ff6f0a41e46c>

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Propositions

Accompanying the Dissertation

Sensitive Data Donation

Reframing Intimate Data Practices through Design

by

Alejandra Gómez Ortega

1. All data about or generated by people are contextually sensitive data. [This thesis]
2. The collection and use of personal digital-trace data for research purposes entail power and knowledge differentials. [This thesis]
3. The opaque nature of personal digital-trace data is a key challenge in data donation research. [This thesis]
4. Active participation from interested individuals and communities is beneficial to scientific research. [This thesis]
5. Academic conferences aspiring to be more inclusive and diverse must realistically account for the timeline of visa application procedures and income differentials around the world.
6. It is a fallacy that senior researchers are protecting junior researchers from the “real world” by being harsh.
7. Essential to completing a doctoral program is building and maintaining a community of peers who can support each other.
8. Claiming that “anything men can do, women can do bleeding” limits an important conversation around diverse menstrual experiences and menstrual pain and how debilitating these can be.
9. Everyone should do physical activity.
10. A PhD is not a sprint but it is also not a marathon.

These propositions are regarded as opposable and defensible, and have been approved as such by the promotor prof. dr. G.W. Kortuem and the copromotor dr. J. Bourgeois.