

Delft University of Technology

Letter to the Editor: Failure of Screw/Shell Interface in Trident II Acetabular System in Total Hip Arthroplasty

van der Lelij, Thies J.N.; Marang-van de Mheen, Perla J.; Kaptein, Bart L.; Nelissen, Rob G.H.H.

DOI 10.1016/j.artd.2024.101358

Publication date 2024 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in Arthroplasty Today

Citation (APA) van der Lelij, T. J. N., Marang-van de Mheen, P. J., Kaptein, B. L., & Nelissen, R. G. H. H. (2024). Letter to the Editor: Failure of Screw/Shell Interface in Trident II Acetabular System in Total Hip Arthroplasty. Arthroplasty Today, 27, Article 101358. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2024.101358

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Arthroplasty Today 27 (2024) 101358



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Arthroplasty Today

journal homepage: http://www.arthroplastytoday.org/

Letter to the Editor

Letter to the Editor: Failure of Screw/Shell Interface in Trident II Acetabular System in Total Hip Arthroplasty

We have read the paper "Failure of Screw/Shell Interface in Trident II Acetabular System in Total Hip Arthroplasty" by Ulrich et al. [1] with great interest. Critical postmarket evaluation of new orthopaedic implants is important, and reporting of in vivo cases of implant failure helps to raise awareness of potential complications and implant design flaws.

However, we would like to draw attention to the fact that the Trident II acetabular system (Stryker, USA) includes different subtypes, referred to as Trident II Tritanium and Trident II hydroxyapatite (HA) shells. The Trident II Tritanium has solidback, multihole, and clusterhole shells, and the Trident II HA clusterhole shells are offered as hemispherical or peripheral self-locking shell [2]. Where the Trident II Tritanium shells are 3D printed, the Trident II HA shells are manufactured by a different process of forging and machine finishing [3]. We recently performed a radiostereometric analysis study to assess the early migration pattern of the Trident II clusterhole HA acetabular shell. In our study, we explicitly caution against extrapolating results to other shells of the Trident II acetabular system, as small changes to an implant design or the manufacturing process may affect the implants' stability and clinical performance [3].

The authors report a case series of 2 patients with screw/shell interface failure in total hip arthroplasty and clearly describe that both patients received a Trident II clusterhole HA acetabular shell. These Trident II HA shells differ from previous Trident HA shells in having a plasma-sprayed rather than an arc-deposited commercially pure titanium surface; both are covered by PureFix HA coating [2,4]. In the discussion section of that paper, the authors imply that all Trident II shells are 3D printed, which is incorrect. Furthermore, the additive manufacturing process is discussed as a possible explanation for the observed screw/shell interface failure, as the latter fabrication process allows designs to have a thinner shell and a deeper recessed screw position, but the Trident II HA shells are produced by forging and machine finishing.

Recently, studies have drawn attention to the camouflage of true outcomes of total knee arthroplasty because of multiple variants and options within a knee brand portfolio [5,6]. We want to underline that one should also be aware of such a camouflage effect within total hip arthroplasty if only the implant brand names are used in comparisons, like in the Trident II example in this case. Not recognizing differences between subtypes within the same acetabular system may lead to an incorrect hypothesis of underlying failure mechanisms.

ARTHROPLASTY TODAY

AAHKS

We commend Ulrich et al. [1] for reporting the failure of the acetabular screw/shell interface in two of their patients, as early identification of in vivo failure of new implants can guide further research. We hope that this letter contributes to improving awareness about differences between implant subtypes within the same implant brand portfolio.

Conflicts of interest

B. Kaptein is a board member of the International Radiostereometry Society. All other authors declare no potential conflicts of interest.

For full disclosure statements refer to https://doi.org/10.1016/j. artd.2024.101358.

CRediT authorship contribution statement

Thies J.N. van der Lelij: Conceptualization, Writing – original draft. **Perla J. Marang-van de Mheen:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Bart L. Kaptein:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing. **Rob G.H.H. Nelissen:** Conceptualization, Writing – review & editing.

References

- Ulrich PA, Zondervan RL, Cochran JM. Failure of screw/shell interface in the trident II acetabular system in total hip arthroplasty. Arthroplast Today 2022;17:80–6.
- [2] Trident II acetabular system. https://www.stryker.com/at/en/joint-replacement/ products/trident-ii/index-eu.html:Stryker; 2022. [Accessed 15 January 2024].
- [3] van der Lelij TJN, Marang-van de Mheen PJ, Kaptein BL, Koster LA, Ljung P, Nelissen R, et al. Migration and clinical outcomes of a novel cementless hydroxyapatite-coated titanium acetabular shell: two-year follow-up of a randomized controlled trial using radiostereometric analysis. Bone Joint J 2024;106-B:136-43.
- [4] Trident acetabular shell systems. https://www.stryker.com/us/en/jointreplacement/products/trident.html:Stryker; 2021. [Accessed 15 January 2024].
- [5] Phillips JRA, Tucker K. Implant brand portfolios, the potential for camouflage of data, and the role of the orthopaedic data evaluation panel in total knee arthroplasty. Bone Joint J 2021;103-B:1555–60.
- [6] Wilton T, Skinner JA, Haddad FS. Camouflage uncovered: what should happen next? Bone Joint J 2023;105-B:221-6.

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2024.101358

DOI of original article: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.artd.2024.101367.

^{2352-3441/}Copyright © 2024 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. on behalf of The American Association of Hip and Knee Surgeons. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Thies J.N. van der Lelij, MD* Department of Orthopaedics Leiden University Medical Center Leiden, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

Perla J. Marang-van de Mheen, PhD Department of Orthopaedics Leiden University Medical Center Leiden, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

Safety & Security Science and Centre for Safety in Healthcare Delft University of Technology Delft, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

> Bart L. Kaptein, PhD Department of Orthopaedics Leiden University Medical Center Leiden, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

Rob G.H.H. Nelissen, MD, PhD Department of Orthopaedics Leiden University Medical Center Leiden, Zuid-Holland, The Netherlands

* Corresponding author. Department of Orthopaedics, Leiden University Medical Center, Albinusdreef 2, P.O. Box 9600, Leiden 2333 ZA, The Netherlands. Tel.: +31 0 71 5263161. *E-mail address*: T.J.N.van_der_lelij@lumc.nl (T.J.N. van der Lelij).

> 9 February 2024 Available online 24 April 2024