Vario-scale visualization of the AHN2 point cloud

P5 presentation

JDelft

Student: Jippe van der Maaden Main mentor: Prof.dr.ir. P.J.M. van Oosterom Second mentor: Dr.ir. B.M. Meijers Examiner: Dr. H.M.H. van der Heijden

• Collection of geo-referenced points

- Collection of geo-referenced points
- Collected using LiDAR (Light Detection and Ranging)

TUDelft

 \bullet ●

● ●

●

9

Thesis goal

• From discrete visualisation of massive point clouds, to the continious visualization of massive point clouds.

What is vario-scale visualization?

Vario-scale visualization

Discrete Continuous

Discrete visualization

Continuous visualization

Top-down data set

Top-down camera angle

Perspective

- Introduction
- Research
- Theory
- Implementation

• Introduction

- Research
- Theory
- Implementation

Density jumps in web based point cloud visualization

Density function

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

• In different media these density jumps manifest themselves differently

• Single frame perspective

- Single frame perspective
- 30 fps web visualization

What is the problem?

- Single frame perspective
- 30 fps popping
- VR inconsistent loading

JDelft

What is the problem?

What is the solution?

What is the solution?

Vario-scale visualization

What is the solution?

 \widetilde{T} UDelft

 $\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}$ UDelft

45

 $\widetilde{\mathsf{T}}$ UDelft

Density function

Density function

- Introduction
- Research
- Theory
- Implementation

Research objective

• To create a vario-scale visualization method for the AHN2 point cloud

Research question

To what extend can a vario-scale visualization method be created that eliminates density jumps from the webbased visualization of the AHN2 point cloud?

1. To what extent is the current body of research done on the vario-scale visualization of vector data sets relevant for the vario-scale visualization of point cloud data sets?

2. To what extend can a theoretical postprocessing approach be created for vario-scale visualization of point cloud data sets?

3. Which point-cloud processing framework is best suited to create a proof-of-concept vario-scale visualization platform for the AHN2 point cloud?

4. To what extend can the theoretical approach be implemented in an existing point cloud web visualization framework?

Presentation

- Introduction
- Research
- Theory
- Implementation

1. To what extent is the current body of research done on the vario-scale visualization of vector data sets relevant for the vario-scale visualization of point cloud data sets?

2002 – Adaptive zooming (Cecconi and Galanda)

Figure 1: Principle of adaptive zooming and web map generation based on LoD and on-the-fly generalization (Source: Cecconi and Galanda [14]).

2005 – Variable scale (van Oosterom)

2005 – Variable scale (van Oosterom)

Figure 5. The classic GAP tree rewritten as the GAP-face tree (with a new object Id whenever a face changes and the old object Id appearing in a small font to the upper right of a node). The class is shown in brackets after the object Id.

2005 – Variable scale (van Oosterom)

Figure 6. GAP-edge forest (with important ranges). Note that the edges shown in bold and the underlined letters k, q, e, p, l, and n are the roots of the different GAP-edge trees.

2013 – Variable scale (Suba)

1. To what extent is the current body of research done on the vario-scale visualization of vector data sets relevant for the vario-scale visualization of point cloud data sets?

- 2002: Simplification by semantics
- 2005: Simplification by attributes (faces & edges)
- 2013: Continuous simplification in 3 dimensions (X, Y, Scale)

- 2002: Simplification by semantics
- 2005: Simplification by attributes (faces & edges)
- 2013: Continuous simplification in 3 dimensions (X, Y, Scale)

- 2002: Simplification by semantics
- 2005: Simplification by attributes (faces & edges)
- 2013: Continuous simplification in 3 dimensions (X, Y, Scale)

- 2002: Simplification by semantics
- 2005: Simplification by attributes (faces & edges)
- 2013: Continuous simplification in 3 dimensions (X, Y, Scale)

Simplification in 3 dimensions (X, Y, camera distance) using the density formula

• Camera origin

- Camera origin
- Point distance from camera

- Camera origin
- Point distance from camera

• Perform a per-point evaluation to determine whether the point should be rendered or not

• Perform a per-point evaluation

• Perform a per-point evaluation

Theory – density function

• We know what we want to keep and what we want to remove

Theory – density function

- We know what we want to keep and what we want to remove
- How do we know the density function?

Theory – basic density function

• Lets start with a basic example

Theory – basic density function

• Lets start with a basic example

Theory – basic density function

(a) 2D spatial extent of LiDAR data set, (b) 2D spatial extents, with a density of 3 with density grid points per $n * n$ units

• The current situation

• The current situation

(a) 2D spatial extents, overlayed with an (b) 2D spatial extents, with an increased Octree selection grid density closer to the camera

(c) Perspective translation of point cloud (d) User's visualization of the point using octree selection grid cloud

(a) 2D spatial extents, overlayed with an (b) 2D spatial extents, with an increased Octree selection grid density closer to the camera

(c) Perspective translation of point cloud (d) User's visualization of the point using octree selection grid cloud

• The desired situation

• The desired situation

(a) 2D spatial extents, overlayed with the (b) 2D spatial extents, with the inverse inverse density grid density grid filled with points

- The desired situation
- A global vario-scale function

- The desired situation
- A global vario-scale function
- Dependant on a translation through the perspective matrix

- The desired situation
- A global vario-scale function
- Dependant on a translation through the perspective matrix
- Dependant on
	- Near-plane
	- Far-plane
	- Field of View (FoV)

93

• Finding how the density is transformed through the perspective translation matrix is out of the scope of this thesis

- Finding how the density is transformed through the perspective translation matrix is out of the scope of this thesis
- The results presented are created by using a function specific to each frame

Theory

- We know the density function
- We know what to keep and what to remove
- How do we do this?

Supporting research question

2. To what extend can a theoretical postprocessing approach be created for vario-scale visualization of point cloud data sets?

Different approaches

- Random point removal
- Filtering bands point removal
- Circle packing point removal

• Determine random value per point

• Determine random value per point

 Ω 5 10

> 0 200 400 600 800 1000 Camera distance

Density function

20

• Assed to threshold value

Algorithm 1 Random removal

import numpy as np import random import scipy

def random_removal(points, camera_parameters, density_function):

 \overline{u} \overline{u} \overline{u}

 $camera_origin = camera_parameters$. origin $selected_points = set()$ $kdtree = scipy.split. KDTree(points)$

for point in points: $d = distance_{from_camera(point, camera_{origin})$ $r = random(0, 1)$ $local-density = len(kdtree, query-ball-point(point, 0.5642))$ $t = density_function(d) / local-density$

 $if: r \Rightarrow t$: continue if $r < t$: selected_points.add(point)

return selected_points

103

Algorithm 1 Random removal

import numpy as np import random import scipy

def random_removal(points, camera_parameters, density_function):

 \overline{u} \overline{u} \overline{u}

 $camera_origin = camera_parameters$. origin $selected_points = set()$ $kdtree = scipy.split. KDTree(points)$

for point in points: $d = distance_{from_camera(point, camera_{origin})$ $r = random(0, 1)$ $local-density = len(kdtree, query-ball-point(point, 0.5642))$ $t = density_function(d) / local-density$

return selected_points

Algorithm 1 Random removal

import numpy as np import random import scipy

def random_removal(points, camera_parameters, density_function):

 \overline{u} \overline{u} \overline{u}

 $camera_origin = camera_parameters$. origin $selected_points = set()$ $kdtree = scipy.split. KDTree(points)$

for point in points: $d = distance_{from_camera(point, camera_{origin})$ $r = random(0, 1)$ $local-density = len(kdtree, query-ball-point(point, 0.5642))$ $t = density_function(d) / local-density$

 $if: r \Rightarrow t:$ continue $if(r < t:$ selected_points.add(point)

return selected_points

Random point removal - advantage

• Random selection

Random point removal - disadvantage

• Creation of global kd-tree to compute local density

Random point removal - disadvantage

- Creation of global kd-tree to compute local density
- Computationally heavy because of per point computations:
	- Distance to the camera
	- Random value
	- Local density
	- Threshold

• Create bands extending outward from camera origin

• Create bands extending outward from camera origin

- Create bands extending outwards from camera origin
- Filter points on local density

Algorithm 2 Filtering bands

```
def filtering_bands(points,
                  camera_parameters,
                  density_function,
                  width = 1.0:
 n \, n \, nn \, n \, ndistance = camera_parameters.distance
 origin = camera_parameters.origin
 selected\_points = set()for i in range (distance / width):
     band_radius_center = i * width + 0.5 * widthlocal\_points_array = points_in_band (origin,band_radius_center,
                                           points)
     allowed_points = density_function(band_radius_center)
     selected_points.add(local_points_array[allowed_points]
 return selected_points
```


Filtering bands - advantage

• No local density calculation per point

Filtering bands - advantage

- No local density calculation per point
- Per band density calculation

Filtering bands - advantage

- No local density calculation per point
- Per band density calculation
- No global computation needed such as kd-tree building

Filtering bands - disadvantage

• (Small) discrete steps

Filtering bands - disadvantage

- (Small) discrete steps
- Requires band creation

Filtering bands - disadvantage

- (Small) discrete steps
- Requires band creation
- Per band clipping operation

selected_points.add(local_points_array[allowed_points]

return selected_points

• Determine region in which no two points can exist

• Determine region in which no two points can exist

- Determine region in which no two points can exist
- Discard all neighbours in that region as potention points

- Determine region in which no two points can exist
- Discard all neighbours in that region as potential points to evaluate
- Based on the circle packing study of arrangement of circles in a given surface, so that no overlap occurs

• Based on the circle packing study of arrangement of circles in a given surface, so that no overlap occurs

Algorithm 3 Circle packing

import scipy

def circle_packing(points, camera_parameters, density_function):

 $n \, n \, n$

used_points = $[False] * len(points)$ $selected_points = set()$

 $kdtree = scipy.split.l.KDTree(points)$

for j, point in enumerate (points): if $used(j) == True$: continue

d = distance_from_camera(point, camera_parameters) $r = radius_function (density_function(d))$ $nn = kdtree. query_ball-point(point, r)$

for i in nn: $used[i] = True$

selected_points.add(point)

return selected_points

Circle packing - advantage

• Only computations needed for accepted points

Circle packing - advantage

• Only computations needed for accepted points

```
for i, point in enumerate (points):
 if used(i) == True:
     continue
d = distance from camera(point, camera_parameters)r = radius_function (density_function (d))
nn = kdtree. query_ball\_point(point, r)for i in nn:
     used [i] = Trueselected_points.add(point)
```
return selected_points

Circle packing - disadvantage

• Requires the creation of a kd-tree for fast nn-search

Theoretic summary

- Determine the density function
- Eliminate points according to this density function
- Using one of the three described methods

Presentation

- Introduction
- Research
- Theory
- Implementation

Supporting research question

3. Which point-cloud processing framework is best suited to create a proof-of-concept vario-scale visualization platform for the AHN2 point cloud?

How does web based point cloud visualization currently work?

Storage

Chosen framework

Chosen framework

Chosen framework

Supporting research questions

4. To what extent cant the theoretical approach be implemented in an existing point cloud web visualization framework?

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

Where is the solution?

Why not here?

• Current octree index most efficient for web based querying

Why not here?

- Current octree index most efficient for web based querying
- Creating a new indexing method for vario-scale visualization of point clouds is outside of the scope of this thesis

Python implementation

• Circle packing method

Python implementation

- Circle packing method
- Density function to match the frame's density jumps

Python implementation

- Circle packing method
- Density function to match the frame's density jumps
- Density formula = 1/(0,7*(0,005*camera_distance)^3)

 Density '************** 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 Camera distance

Density function

Results – top-down

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

Results - top-down camera frustum

Results - top-down camera frustum

Results - density

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

Results - density camera frustum

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{f}}$ UDelft

Results – perspective original

Results - perspective vario-scale

Results – analysis

 $\widetilde{\mathbf{T}}$ UDelft

 Density *************** 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800 900 Camera distance

Density function

Results – analysis

Results – analysis

Results - analysis

 $\tilde{1}$ UDelft

Results - perspective original

Results - perspective vario-scale

Results – removed points

Findings

• The theoretical importance of the perspective transformation matrix for vario-scale visualization

Findings

- The theoretical importance of the perspective transformation matrix for vario-scale visualization
- Circle packing method to set the upper limit for density in a data set, dependant on the distance from the camera origin

Findings

- The theoretical importance of the perspective transformation matrix for vario-scale visualization
- Circle packing method to set the upper limit for density in a data set, dependant on the distance from the camera origin
- Improvements in processing speed are needed for 30fps support

Future work

• 4D index implementation

Future work

- 4D index implementation
- Determine a global density formula relationship

Future work

- 4D index implementation
- Determine a global density formula relationship
- Practical implementation improvements
	- Implementation in Greyhound
	- GPU calculations
	- Addition in stead of subtraction
	- Process only affected octree blocks, not all

Conclusion

• Cicle packing method is used to enforce the density formula for vario-scale visualization

Conclusion

- Cicle packing method is used to enforce the density formula for vario-scale visualization
- It is possible to visualize the AHN2 data set in a vario-scale manner with existing web viewer architecture

Conclusion

- Cicle packing method is used to enforce the density formula for vario-scale visualization
- It is possible to visualize the AHN2 data set in a vario-scale manner with existing web viewer architecture
- The current solution is not fast enough for 30+ fps performance

P5 presentation

Student: Jippe van der Maaden Main mentor: Prof.dr.ir. P.J.M. van Oosterom Second mentor: Dr.ir. B.M. Meijers Examiner: Dr. H.M.H. van der Heijden

