Research Plan Abstract-Ronnie Tao 5516447 Project Name: Cooking Architecture for Food-A Romantic Encounter of Food Activities

1 Project Introduction

"Public condenser" can be understood as condensing activities in a container. This is similar to cooking, the chef condenses ingredients in a vessel, which is suitable for the local taste, climate, and culture. In the light of definition, this project tries to research how to translate gastronomy into architecture. It tries to combine a chain of food space to see potential chemical reactions. This includes three themes, nurturing(farming, recycling, cook campus, food study), sharing(cooking, eating, selling, storage), and physical activities(planting, growing, picking, running). In addition, some other in-between spaces can be used for flexible functions, like cinema, exhibition, game, and so forth.

2 Project Significance and Problem Statement

"Common" space can be understood as an in-between space, where the public can collectively participate in and constantly make. According to the site survey and interviews, it is found that there is a lack of food space nearby and people still have a great demand for that. Creating a loop of food space is trying to produce a cultural community center, where the public can socialize and be educated, while it has ecological values and can boost consumption as these cases shown below. This project is not a one-off design, but a new prototype, which conforms to the "Public condenser" concept of condensing all kinds of food activities and also the "Common" concept that people can constantly participate in. The generality of this project lies in the design methodology from gastronomy to architecture, and the theme of food activities. Its specificity lies in the site limitation and city culture. If it can be used in other locations, it is possible to balance these two parts.

3 Research Question and Methodology

The research question of this project is how to translate gastronomy into architecture, and then use it to combine different food spaces. The further research will roughly go into three steps. Firstly, different food cultures should be studied through literature reviews and social media. It is more about the ingredients, the cooking process, and the dining experience. After that, different food space designs should be studied through case studies and literature. It is more about how to design them and how they work together. Finally, the design translation method should be addressed through literature reviews, site surveys, observation, and behavior drawings. It is more about how to find or produce a recipe or a cooking principle that conforms to the local context, which can be then used in space operations through drawing, narrating, and writing.

4 Final Products

There are three expected final products. First, it will produce a design methodology that translates gastronomy into architectural space language. Second, it will produce a project scheme method of combining food activities. Third, it will produce a space prototype of the food theme.

5 Discipline Contributions

There are three expected discipline contributions. First, the design translation methodology will be an attempt across two disciplines. Second, the project scheme will serve as a useful

model for real estate marketing. Third, the final space prototype is a design pattern that can be promoted.

References

Alexander, C. (1977). A pattern language: towns, buildings, construction. Oxford university press.

Anh-Linh Ngo et al. (eds.), An Atlas of • Commoning. Places of Collective Production.

Barbara, A., & Perliss, A. (2006). Invisibile Architecure. Experiencing Places through the Sense of Smell. Skira.

Beatley, T. (2011). Biophilic cities: integrating nature into urban design and planning. Island Press.

Bellotti, G. (2018). Between birds and humans: the design of the encounter (Doctoral dissertation,

Massachusetts Institute of Technology).

Boeri, S., & Xu, Y. (2015). Biodiversity Vertical Forest in Milan.

Boie, G., De Vylder, J., Vinck, I., Taillieu, J., Roose, H., De Cauter, L., ... & Decroos, B. (2018). Unless Ever People . Flanders Architecture Institute; Antwerp.

Braungart, M., & McDonough, W. (2009). Cradle to cradle. Random House.

Cabannes, Y., & Marocchino, C. (Eds.). (2018). Integrating food into urban planning. UCL Press.

Farr, D. (2011). Sustainable urbanism: Urban design with nature. John Wiley & Sons.

Fisker, A. M., & Olsen, T. D. (2013). Food, architecture and experience design. NA, 20(1).

Daniilidis, A. (2016). Urban drifting: an approach to city comprehension and mapping. Sociology Study, 6(7), 417-435.

Gehl, J. (1987). Life between buildings (Vol. 23). New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.

Gehl, J., & Svarre, B. (2013). How to study public life (Vol. 2). Washington, DC: Island press.

Girardet, H. (2004). Cities, people, planet. In Globalization, Globalism, Environments, and Environmentalism. Oxford University Press.

Graham, R. (2010). Feeding the city: From street market to liberal reform in Salvador, Brazil, 1780–1860. University of Texas Press.

Gunnell, K., Williams, C., & Murphy, B. (2019). Design for biodiversity: A technical guide for new and existing buildings. Routledge.

Hodgson, P. H., & Toyka, R. (Eds.). (2007). The architect, the cook and good taste. Walter de Gruyter.

Hollyer, J., Castro, L., Salgado, C., Cox, L., Hodgson, A., Thom, W., ... & Kwok, M. (2000). Some Costs and Considerations for Establishing an Entrepreneurial Community Shared-use Kitchen or" Test-kitchen Incubator"; the Examples of the Hamakua Incubator Kitchen & Crafts and the Honokaa Ohana Kitchen Project.

Hunt, K. J. (2018). Hella Jongerius: Breathing Colour. The Senses and Society, 13(2), 244-249.

Lefebvre, H., & Nicholson-Smith, D. (1991). The production of space (Vol. 142). Blackwell: Oxford.

Lefebvre, H. (2014). The critique of everyday life: The one-volume edition. Verso Books.

Mallgrave, H. F. (2018). From object to experience: The new culture of architectural design. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Martin-McAuliffe, S. L. (Ed.). (2016). Food and Architecture: At the Table. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Maslow, A. H. (1943). A theory of human motivation. Psychological review, 50(4), 370.

Merleau-Ponty, M. (2013). Phenomenology of perception. Routledge.

Moore, A. (2004). Hedonism.

Nijaguna, B. T. (2006). Biogas technology. New Age International.

Pallasmaa, J. (1994). An architecture of the seven senses. ARCHITECTURE AND URBANISM-TOKYO-, 27-38.

Parham, S. (2015). Food and urbanism: The convivial city and a sustainable future. Bloomsbury Publishing.

Philips, A. (2013). Designing urban agriculture: a complete guide to the planning, design, construction, maintenance and management of edible landscapes. John Wiley & Sons.

Potteiger, M. (2013). Eating places food systems, narratives, networks, and spaces. Landscape Journal, 32(2), 261-275.

Rhys-Taylor, A. (2013). The essences of multiculture: A sensory exploration of an inner-city street market. Identities, 20(4), 393-406.

Rinaldi, A., Tosi, F., & Ricci, D. B. (2016). From "Liquid Kitchen" to "Shared Kitchen": Human-centred design for innovative services of social inclusion in food consumption. In Advances in design for inclusion (pp. 13-25). Springer, Cham.

Rudofsky, B. (1987). Architecture without architects: a short introduction to non-pedigreed architecture. UNM Press.

Safont-Tria, J., Kwinter, S., & Holl, S. (2012). Steven Holl: Color, Light, Time. Lars Mueller Publishers. Spence, C. (2020). Senses of place: architectural design for the multisensory mind. Cognitive Research:

Principles and Implications, 5(1), 1-26.

Stavrides, S. (2016). Common space: The city as commons. Bloomsbury Publishing. Wheatley, J. (2007). The sound of architecture. Tempo, 61(242), 11-19. Wolch, J., & Owens, M. (2017). Animals in contemporary architecture and design. Humanimalia, 8(2), 1-26. Zumthor, P. (2006). Atmospheres. In Atmosphères. Birkhäuser.