



Delft University of Technology

## Integrating Foam Fractionation into the Activated Sludge Process for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Removal from Landfill Leachate

### An Energy-Efficient Approach

Smith, Sanne Julie; Van Ruiten, Josephine; de Kreuk, Merle; Schmidt, Kay

#### Publication date

2025

#### Document Version

Final published version

#### Citation (APA)

Smith, S. J., Van Ruiten, J., de Kreuk, M., & Schmidt, K. (2025). *Integrating Foam Fractionation into the Activated Sludge Process for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Removal from Landfill Leachate: An Energy-Efficient Approach*. 861-862. Abstract from SETAC Europe 35th Annual Meeting, Vienna, Austria.

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).

Please check the document version above.

#### Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

#### Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Green Open Access added to [TU Delft Institutional Repository](#)  
as part of the Taverne amendment.**

More information about this copyright law amendment  
can be found at <https://www.openaccess.nl>.

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section:  
the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the  
author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.

#### **4.07.A.T-04 Integrating Foam Fractionation into the Activated Sludge Process for Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Removal from Landfill Leachate: An Energy-Efficient Approach** *Sanne Julie Smith<sup>1</sup>, Josephine van Ruiten<sup>1</sup>, Merle de Kreuk<sup>1</sup> and Kay Schmidt<sup>2</sup>, (1)Delft University of Technology (TU Delft), Netherlands, (2)Royal Haskoning DHV, Netherlands*

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) are synthetic chemicals known for their persistence, bioaccumulation, and toxicity. Landfill leachate is a major source of PFAS contamination in the environment. However, conventional wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs) are not designed to effectively remove PFAS, highlighting the need for specialized treatment technologies. Foam fractionation (FF) has shown promise in removing PFAS from landfill leachate, achieving removal efficiencies up to 60%. FF is considered a sustainable method, as it does not require chemical reagents or adsorbents. However, energy for aeration impacts its cost and energy efficiency.

This study investigates integrating FF into the activated sludge (AS) process, offering a more energy- and cost-efficient approach to mitigate PFAS emissions. AS processes already rely on aeration to treat nutrients and organic matter, and foam formation frequently occurs due to the presence of surfactants and filamentous bacteria. While foam formation is typically suppressed to avoid operational challenges, this study investigates its potential use for PFAS removal.

Laboratory experiments were conducted using a bench-scale activated sludge reactor treating landfill leachate. In the first phase, foam formation was suppressed with an antifoam agent to mimic conventional operations. This ensured that PFAS removal could occur solely through sorption to sludge. In the second phase, no antifoam was added, allowing natural foam formation and its subsequent removal. The analysis involved the general chemistry and the concentrations of 32 different types of targeted PFAS, in the

influent, effluent, and foam. The PFAS analyses were performed using Solid Phase Extraction (SPE) followed by LC-MS/MS.

Results indicated negligible PFAS removal during the first phase, while the second phase achieved removal efficiencies comparable to standalone foam fractionation. However, also solids were enriched in the foam, potentially impacting the microbial population in the bulk solution. Preliminary observations suggest no significant difference in biological performance between the two phases. These findings offer promising insights for WWTP operators seeking cost-effective strategies to mitigate PFAS emissions. Given the widespread use of activated sludge reactors in municipal and industrial wastewater treatment plants, integrating foam fractionation into these processes presents a potential scalable solution.