Attenuating Vibrations with Negative Stiffness

Improving Active Vibration Isolation by Reducing Suspension Stiffness with a Negative Stiffness Mechanism

Jan Los



3ME Lecture Room C

Exam Comitee:

Final Thesis Presentation

Prof.ir. R.H. Munnig Schmidt Ir. J.W. Spronck Ir. N. Rijnveld Dr.ir. J.L. Herder

November 14, 2023



Improving Active Vibration Isolation by Reducing Suspension Stiffness with a Negative Stiffness Mechanism





suspension for vibration isolation

disturbing vibrations





Presentation Contents

- PART 1 Introduction to Vibration Isolation
- PART 2 The Kolibri Vibration Isolation System
- PART 3 Design of the Negative Stiffness Mechanism
- PART 4 Test Results
- PART 5 Conclusions and Recommendations







PART 1	Introduction to Vibration Isolation
PART 2	The Kolibri System Vibration Isolation System
PART 3	Design of the Negative Stiffness Mechanism
PART 4	Results
PART 5	Conclusions and Recommendations



Vibrations and Vibration Isolation





Sensitive Equipment and Instruments





PART 1 In	ntroduction to	Vibration Is	olation
-----------	----------------	--------------	---------

PART 2 The Kolibri System Vibration Isolation System

PART 3 Design of the Negative Stiffness Mechanism

PART 4 Test Results

PART 5 Conclusions and Recommendations





Vibration Isolation System





The Kolibri Table at TNO (2)







The Kolibri Table at TNO (4)

Vibration Isolation in 6 Degrees Of Freedom (DOFs):













The Research Problem

Kolibri Isolation Performance (at 1 Hz)



My Research Goal

- Find most limiting factor for the isolation performance. System Analysis
- Develop a solution for this limitation. Design





Total System Analysis - Results

3 main groups of limitations:

- Internal Resonances
- Cross Coupling between the 6 degrees of freedom
- Passive Suspension



Limitation 1

Internal Resonance modes







fifth resonance mode – 520 Hz (vibrations are exaggerated)

Conclusion:

Tabletop stiffness is too low and therefore limits control performance



Limitation 2

Cross-coupling behavior between the 6 DOFs





Conclusion:

Isolation Performance 6 DOF < 1 DOF



Limitation 3

The Passive Suspension





Natural frequency

- tabletop mass
- suspension stiffness





Limitations Analysis: Summary







Typical controller design problem
Separate research project started

3 Passive Suspension



- High performance improving potential

- Universal design solution



PART 1	Introduction to Vibration Isolation
PART 2	The Kolibri System Vibration Isolation System
PART 3	Design of the Negative Stiffness Mechanism
PART 4	Test Results
PART 5	Conclusions and Recommendations



Passive suspension design - Goal

Design a passive suspension with a Low natural frequency of 0.5 Hz in vertical degree of freedom.

10 Hz \rightarrow 0.5 Hz

Needed for Kolibri specifications

Most challenging direction

because: gravity compensation







Negative Stiffness Mechanism – Working Principle (1)





Negative Stiffness Mechanism – Working Principle (2)





Radial pretensioning members

• flexural hinges



Final radial flexure design

- 3 axi-symmetric members
- minimal vertical stiffness; maximal horizontal stiffness •



Completed Suspension







Introduction | Ko

Kolibri System

Negative Stiffness Mechanism

Results

Conclusions & Recommendations

November 14, 2023





Expected behavior (1)

Vertical Natural Frequency reduction in equilibrium position





UDelft

Expected behavior (2)

Stiffness **<u>around</u>** equilibrium position



Introduction

PART 1	Introduction	to Vibration	Isolation

PART 2 The Kolibri System Vibration Isolation System

PART 3 Design of the Negative Stiffness Mechanism

PART 4 Test Results

PART 5 Conclusions and Recommendations



Testing the NSM – Test set-up







Testing the NSM – Pretensioning behavior

Natural frequency in equilibrium position





Demo of Tabletop Motion

Frequency approx. 1 Hz







November 14, 2023

PART 1 Introduction to Vibration Isolation

PART 2 The Kolibri System Vibration Isolation System

PART 3 Design of the Negative Stiffness Mechanism

PART 4 Test Results

PART 5 Conclusions and Recommendations



Conclusions

• Vertical natural frequency is within the design specifications \rightarrow 0.31 Hz

If the passive suspension is the only limitation, this will result in a vibration isolation of 60 dB

• Pretension Force Accuracy is not sufficient and should be improved



Recommendations

• Use other gravity compensating spring

• Improve flexure design

Kolibri System

• Improve pretensioning force resolution

• Extend isolation for other degrees of freedom

Negative Stiffness Mechanism



UDelft

Introduction

Attenuating Vibrations with Negative Stiffness







Life after the presentation....



Koffie, Thee en Koek

Examen Uitslag

Borrel in *Confide**



14:45 - 16:00Coffee, thee and cake 16:00 - 16:15Announcement Grade

Borrel in Confide* 20:00 - ?

* Society Building of C.S.R., Oude Delft 9





Breadboard Honeycomb







Limitation 2 - Cross coupling Behavior







Parameter study for flexure hinges (1)





TUDelft

Parameter study for flexure hinges (2)





TUDelft

Recommendation 2

Reduce pretension locations from 3 to 1 Improve internal degrees of freedom

Vertical flexure hinges

extra thickness





0

original thickness



Pretensioning Force

0

Recommendation 3

Increase Pretensioning accuracy Eliminate play and friction







Recommendation 4: Extend the suspension with isolation in more DOFs







Breadboard thickness and natural frequency





TUDelft

Air mounts









Measurement:

2 equilibrium positions P > Pcr



