

Microstructural evolution of white and brown etching layers in pearlitic rail steels

Kumar, Ankit; Agarwal, G.; Petrov, R.; Goto, S.; Sietsma, J.; Herbig, M.

DOI 10.1016/j.actamat.2019.04.012

Publication date 2019 Document Version Accepted author manuscript

Published in Acta Materialia

Citation (APA)

Kumar, À., Agarwal, G., Petrov, R., Goto, S., Sietsma, J., & Herbig, M. (2019). Microstructural evolution of white and brown etching layers in pearlitic rail steels. *Acta Materialia*, *171*, 48-64. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actamat.2019.04.012

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Microstructural evolution of white and brown etching layers in pearlitic rail steels

A. Kumar^{a,b*}, G. Agarwal^a, R. Petrov^{a,c}, S. Goto^d, J. Sietsma^{a,c}, M. Herbig^{b*}

^a Delft University of Technology, Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Mekelweg 2, 2628CD Delft, The Netherlands

^b Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung GmbH, Max-Planck-Str. 1, 40237 Düsseldorf, Germany

^c Ghent University, Department of Electrical Energy, Metals, Mechanical Constructions & Systems, Technologiepark 903 Ghent, Belgium

^dAkita University, Tegata Gakuencho, Akita 010-8502, Japan

* Correspondence to – <u>A.Kumar-2@tudelft.nl</u>, <u>M.Herbig@mpie.de</u>

Abstract

The formation of White (WEL) and Brown Etching Layers (BEL) on rail raceways during service causes the initiation of microcracks which finally leads to failure. Detailed characterization of the WEL and the BEL in a pearlitic rail steel is carried out from micrometer to atomic scale to understand their microstructural evolution. A microstructural gradient is observed along the rail depth including martensite, austenite and partially dissolved parent cementite in the WEL and tempered martensite, ultrafine/nanocrystalline martensite/austenite, carbon saturated ferrite and partially dissolved parent cementite in the BEL. Plastic deformation in combination with a temperature rise during wheel-rail contact was found to be responsible for the initial formation and further microstructural evolution of these layers. The presence of austenite in the WEL/BEL proves experimentally that temperatures rise into the austenite range during wheel-rail contact. This is in agreement with finite element modelling results. Each wheel-rail contact must be considered as an individual short but intense deformation and heat treatment cycle that cumulatively forms the final microstructure, as shown by diffusion length calculations of C and Mn. The presence of secondary carbides in the BEL indicates that the temperature in the BEL during individual loading cycles reaches levels where martensite tempering occurs. Partially fragmented primary cementite laths, enriched in Mn, depleted in Si, and surrounded by a C-gradient and dislocations were found in the BEL. The initial step in the formation of BEL and WEL is the defect- and diffusion-assisted decomposition of the original microstructure.

^{© 2019} Manuscript version made available under CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/

Keywords: White etching layer (WEL) and Brown etching layer (BEL); Pearlitic rail steels; Electron channeling contrast imaging (ECCI); Electron Backscatter Diffraction (EBSD); Atom Probe tomography (APT).

1. Introduction

The loading conditions in curved tracks and switches of rails are more severe than in straight tracks, causing more irregular wear, severe rail corrugation and accumulated plastic deformation, which lead to in-service defects of these highly loaded parts [1,2]. Therefore, for the curved tracks, pearlitic steels with fine cementite laths (20-40 nm) and small interlamellar spacing (150-200 nm), also known as "heat treated pearlitic steels" or R350HT, are used. These steels possess mechanical properties that are superior over the conventional pearlitic steels (R260), which are used in straight tracks [3]. One of the main causes of microcracking in the rails of pearlitic steel is the formation of White Etching Layers (WEL) at the rail raceways [4–7]. Research shows that the microcracks are generally initiated because of the brittle fracture or delamination of these layers [2,4–7]. The WELs in curved tracks are 3-4 times thicker (100-120 μ m) than the ones in the straight tracks (25-40 μ m) [8,9]. Consequently, cracks in the WEL of curved tracks are deeper with correspondingly higher local stress intensity factors and faster fatigue crack propagation, as compared to the case of straight tracks.

In the past, studies have been carried out for understanding the formation mechanism of WEL in conventional pearlitic steels employed for straight tracks [8–17]. Some of these studies [8,9,12,16] concluded that WEL form due to the austenitization under rapid frictional heating and subsequent fast cooling, leading to martensite formation. In contradiction with the above mechanism, some studies [10,11,17] claim that heavy plastic deformation is responsible for the formation of the WEL. According to this proposed mechanism, the plastic deformation causes stress-assisted cementite dissolution leading to the formation of nanocrystalline ferrite in the WEL microstructure. Pyzalla *et al.* [13] and Wang *et al.* [14] found strong texture components in martensite and austenite in the WEL and proposed rapid heating with subsequent cooling in combination with heavy loading as the formation and residual stress measurements at the rail surface and do not provide in depth microstructural analysis of the evolution of the WELs. Zhang *et al.* [15] showed the formation of nanocrystalline martensite, severely deformed pearlite lamellae, austenite and cementite in the WEL on the

rail surface. Al-Juboori *et al.* [2] found two different WELs, one containing fine martensite and the other having austenite and martensite. The existence of the former WEL was explained due to severe plastic deformation while the presence of latter was attributed to either thermal or thermomechanical conditions. Ahlström *et al.* [18] argued that the cooling rates in the WEL can allow the formation of fresh pearlite from austenite and ferrite precipitation at the grain boundaries. Thus, the microstructural evolution and formation mechanism of WEL are still a matter of debate and hence the topic requires further investigation. Moreover, most of the aforementioned studies of WEL focus on the microstructural alterations at the rail surface only. Additionally, the particularities of the formation of the WEL in R350HT steels have so far not been investigated.

Another microstructural alteration called the Brown Etching Layers (BEL) in the pearlitic steels was first reported by Li *et al.* [19] in corrugated rails of straight tracks close to the rail raceway. The BEL and the WEL show brown and white contrast, respectively, in optical microscopy after etching with 2-4 vol.% Nital. Li *et al.* [19] proposed that the BELs primarily consists of tempered martensite and form after the WELs formation. Contrary to this, Al-Juboori *et al.* [20] proposed that the BELs are the precursor stage of the WELs. Another study by Messaadi *et al.* [21] claimed the formation of globular bainite in the BEL microstructure. Thus, there exists a discrepancy in the understanding of the microstructural evolution of the BELs.

Studies have shown that the formation of microstructures identical to those observed in WEL can be simulated using laboratory experiments such as rapid heating and cooling, machining, dry sliding wear testing, cold rolling in combination with heating with laser pulses and hard tuning [12,22–24]. Carroll *et al.* [6] demonstrated the formation of the WEL using spot welding and twin disk testing. However, these simulated microstructures are significantly different from the WEL microstructure formed on rail raceways. Therefore, there is a need to study the formation mechanism of WEL directly from the in-field loaded rails.

The current work aims at contributing to a better understanding of the microstructural evolution and formation mechanisms of the WEL and the BEL in rails in general, with a focus on the particularities of the R350HT alloy employed in curved tracks. We use experimental techniques such as Electron Channelling Contrast Imaging (ECCI), Electron Back Scatter Diffraction (EBSD), Atom Probe Tomography (APT), Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) and Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) to investigate the microstructure of the WEL and the BEL in terms of crystallography and chemistry. The results are compared with

the thermodynamic and Finite Element Method (FEM) simulations. Based on this, the formation steps of the WEL and the BEL are reconstructed, which is summarized in schematic drawings.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Material

R350HT pearlitic rail steels were produced by hot rolling the steel slabs at 1000 °C into the shape of a rail, followed by annealing at 900 °C for 3 hours and cooling in accelerated air flow which yields a fine pearlitic microstructure. A specimen of R350HT pearlitic steel containing the WEL patches was cut from a curved track with 400 m radius that was exposed to service conditions in the Netherlands. The approximate load passage was 200 Mt with an axial load ranging from 12 t to 18 t. The exact loading history is unknown because of a large number of undefined variables that can vary with each train or wagon such as speed, acceleration, sinusoidal motion of wheel, wheel profile, lubrication conditions, and axle loads, etc.[8]. The chemical composition of the R350HT steel studied here is Fe-0.72C-1.1Mn-0.56Si-0.11Cr (in wt.%), or Fe-3.23C-1.09Si-1.1Mn-0.11Cr (in at.%). Fig. 1(a) shows a photograph of the sample extracted from the curved track with WEL patches on the raceway after etching with 2 vol.% Nital. The specimen on which the characterization of the WEL and the BEL was performed was extracted at around 5 mm distance towards the gauge side from the center of the running band (Fig. 1(b)).



Fig. 1. (a) Rail specimen from a curved track with WEL patches along the running band, (b) Magnified view of the rail surface containing WEL patches. The region marked in red shows the location of the specimen selected for further cross-sectional characterization.

2.2 Simulations

The temperature rise and mechanical stress in the rails during wheel-rail contact was simulated using finite element modelling. An analytical model suggested by Fischer et al. [25] is used for calculating the maximum temperature rise at the rail raceway. This model calculates the asperity flash temperature rise on the rail raceway by taking into account the frictional heating and heating due to plastic deformation [25]. The model also considers the surface roughness of the contact body together with pressure intensification by local surface asperities during wheel-rail contact and the relative slip in between wheel and rail. We assess the temperature changes over time for different depths below the rail raceway by coupling the analytical model with a 3-D transient heat transfer finite element simulation using COMSOL-Multiphysics [26]. The calculations were conducted assuming a friction coefficient of 0.5, 1500 MPa maximum pressure, 30 m/s train speed and a slip of 10%. The physical material properties were taken from the pearlitic rail steel module in COMSOL-Multiphysics, viz. thermal diffusivity 1.317 x 10^{-5} m²/s, thermal conductivity 50.2 W/mK, density 7850 Kg/m³ and specific heat capacity 485.5 J/kgK. These properties were assumed to be constant over the entire temperature range. The friction coefficient, train speed and slip assumed in this simulation represent typical wheel-rail contact conditions and relatively milder/harsher conditions may also exist. The effect of plastic deformation along the rail depth below the raceway, considering an isotropic hardening material model for pearlitic steels, was assessed using the mesoscale finite element simulation in ANSYS/LS-DYNA 14.0 [1]. To achieve high accuracy with reasonable computing time, the moving elliptical contact patch with Hertzian load distribution [1] was defined in a simple 5 x 5 mm² square geometry with a mesh size of 100 µm. A friction coefficient of 0.5 was used for the calculations. Thermodynamic calculations were performed using the Thermo-Calc software with the TCFE9 database.

2.3 Microstructure characterization

The microstructure of the base material, the WEL and the BEL was characterized in the crosssectional plane perpendicular to the traffic direction. After standard metallographic sample preparation, specimens were etched using 2 vol. % Nital solution to investigate them in the Keyence VHX 6000 light optical microscope. Vickers microhardness measurements were performed on a Dura scan 70 (Struers) hardness tester, using a load of 0.25 N for 10 s.

The ECCI measurements on the WEL, the BEL and the deformed pearlite region were performed on a Zeiss Merlin SEM equipped with a Gemini-type field emission gun using an accelerating voltage of 30 kV, a probe current of 3 nA and a working distance of 9.7 mm. The

High Resolution EBSD (HR-EBSD) scans were conducted using a JEOL JSM 6500F SEM with a Schottky field emission gun SEM. The HR-EBSD scans were carried out at an accelerating voltage of 15 kV, a working distance of 18 mm and a step size of 40 nm using a hexagonal scan grid. The EBSD data was analysed using TSL-OIM (Orientation Image Microscopy) software. The HR-EBSD data was used to quantify the phase fractions, grain size distributions, intra-grain crystallographic misorientations, orientation relationships and the distribution of high angle and low angle grain boundary (HAGB and LAGB) fractions along the depth of the WEL and the BEL.

The 3D distribution of alloying elements at the near-atomic scale, at different depths below the rail raceway, was quantified using APT. The specimens were prepared by Focused Ion Beam (FIB) milling in a FEI Helios Nanolab 600i dual beam FIB/SEM. The APT measurements were performed using a LEAP 3000X HR in voltage mode, using a set-point temperature of 65 K, a pulse fraction of 15% and a pulse frequency of 200 kHz. Data reconstruction following the procedure of Vurpillot *et al.* [27] and data analysis were done using the IVAS software. The alloy element partitioning was mainly investigated using proximity histograms (also called "Proxigrams") [28]. TEM and APT were performed on the same APT specimen with an experimental approach as described by Herbig *et al.* [29,30]. TEM analysis was carried out in a Jeol JEM-2200FS FEG-TEM operated at 200 kV.

The specimen was further imaged in Secondary Electron (SE) mode in a Zeiss Merlin SEM after etching with 2 vol. % Nital. An accelerating voltage of 30 kV, a probe current of 2.5 nA and a working distance of 9.8 mm was used for the SE imaging. The ECCI, EBSD, APT results in combination with SE imaging on the same location enabled classifying the etching behavior of the WEL and the BEL.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Thermodynamic considerations

Fig. 2 shows the dependence of the phase fraction of austenite, ferrite and cementite on temperature in the R350HT pearlitic steels in thermodynamic equilibrium. The plot was calculated for atmospheric pressure using the Thermo-Calc software. During heating the cementite starts to dissolve at 715 °C and the microstructure completely transforms into austenite at 730 °C. In the intermediate temperature range, cementite, ferrite and austenite coexist. According to these calculations, only if the temperature exceeds 715 °C austenite can form in this fully pearlitic steel. At an elevated pressure of 2 GPa, assumed to be

representative for the moment of wheel-rail contact in curved tracks [31], the austenite start and finish temperatures are shifted slightly to 675 and 815 °C, respectively (see supplementary material).



Fig. 2. Plot of phase fractions as a function of temperature at atmospheric pressure in R350HT generated using Thermo-Calc.

3.2 Temperature and contact stresses at the wheel-rail interface

The interpretation of the WEL and the BEL microstructure requires an assessment of the temperature changes and stress distribution at the wheel-rail interfaces. According to finite element modelling by Vo *et al.* [32] the temperature at the rail raceway can reach 624 °C. Ertz *et al.* [33] also calculated a temperature rise of 630 °C at the rail surface in straight tracks. However, Takahashi *et al.* [8] estimated (using a FEM approach detailed in [34]) a temperature rise close to 1400 °C at the rail raceway. The peak temperature rise was also estimated by diffusion length calculations of Mn in the WEL and a rise of 1300-1400 °C was predicted at a depth of 2 μ m below the rail raceway. There are thus pronounced variations in the estimations of the temperature rise at the wheel-rail interface in the literature. Such variations can be attributed to differences in the selected values of the parameters such as friction coefficient, train velocity, axle load, wheel/rail roughness, traction forces, slip rate and maximum shear stresses *etc.*

Our simulations allow an estimation of the temperature rise and plastic deformation for the given case of the curved tracks (Fig. 3). Fig. 3(a) shows the finite element modelling estimations of the temperature changes at the rail raceway and at different depths (20, 40, 60 and 80 μ m) over time. According to the simulation results, the temperature at the rail raceway reaches 1228 °C with a heating rate of 5 x 10⁶ °C/s. In 20 and 40 μ m depth (the region where we observe the WEL (Fig. 4)), the temperature reaches 982 °C and 737 °C respectively, where austenite formation is possible (Fig. 2). In the BEL at 60 μ m and 80 μ m below the rail

raceway (later shown in Fig. 4) the maximum temperature was estimated to be 491 °C and 246 °C respectively. The entire heating and cooling process in Fig. 3(a) takes place in less than 1 ms. The shortest possible time between two wheel-rail contacts at a rail speed of 30 m/s (108 km/h) and a wheel spacing of 2 meters (Dutch standard) is around 67 ms. This means that every wheel-rail contact must be considered as an individual heating and deformation cycle. The cooling rates range from 1.7×10^6 to 2.5×10^5 °C/s at the raceway and at 80 µm depth, respectively. At such high cooling rates any austenite that forms at elevated temperatures has no time to transform back into pearlite during cooling but will be either quenched-in as austenite or will transform into martensite [35].

Fig. 3(b) shows the stress distribution below the train wheel, which allows to draw conclusions on the plastic deformation as a function of depth. The highest von-Mises stresses of about 825 MPa are reached close to the rail raceway. The stresses are higher than the yield strength of undeformed R350HT pearlitic steel, which is 750 ± 10 MPa [36]. According to this, the rail deforms plastically during the wheel-rail contact down to a depth of at least 200 μ m. However, yield stress is a macroscopic material property and the grains orientated in easy slip direction will also deform in deeper areas. Simultaneously, the yield point of the steels decreases with the increase in temperature [37–39]. Chen *et al.* [38,39] showed that the yield point of the high strength steels decreases by a factor of 4 to 4.5 if the temperature increases from 22 °C to 720 °C. Hence, the calculated von-Mises stress levels represent a lower bound for the plastic deformation at high temperatures under wheel-rail contact area and thus the loading conditions are continuously altered during the service of the rails [18].



Fig. 3. (a) Plot of temperature over time at rail raceway and at different rail depths during wheel- rail contact obtained by 3-D transient heat transfer finite element model. (b) Distribution of von-Mises stresses along the rail depth using mesoscale finite element model.

3.3 Optical microscopy and micro-hardness testing

Fig. 4(a,b) shows an optical micrograph of the WEL and the BEL. Cracks are found to initiate by the brittle fracture of the WEL (encircled in Fig. 4(a)) or delamination at the WEL/BEL interface (encircled in Fig. 4(b)). These cracks further propagate into the material below. The sample reference system is defined by three coordinate axes A1, A2, and A3 in Fig. 4. The results of Vickers micro-hardness testing are shown in Fig. 4(c). The highest hardness values between 900 and 1100 HV were measured in the WEL close to the raceway. The hardness was found to decrease gradually with depth, from 800-730 HV in the BEL to values around 400 HV in the base material. The hardness values in the deformed pearlite region vary in the range of 520-450 HV.



Fig. 4. (a,b) Optical micrograph of the rail cross-section showing crack initiation and propagation associated with the WEL and the BEL, (c) Micro-hardness along the rail depth. The micro-hardness measurements at 0 μ m position were performed on the rail surface whereas further measurements were done on the cross-section. The depth position of hardness (when hardness was measured on the rail surface) was determined following the procedure in reference [40].

3.4 Study of microstructure evolution using ECCI

ECCI is a powerful technique for observing crystal defects such as dislocations, stacking faults, twinning and grain boundaries in the SEM [41,42]. The ECCI micrograph shown in Fig. 5(a) is taken from the same area as that of the optical micrograph in Fig. 4(a). Fig. 5(b-e) show magnified subregions from Fig. 5(a). The WEL, the BEL and the deformed pearlite can be distinguished in ECCI according to their average backscattering intensities. A pronounced contrast difference between the WEL and the BEL is observed. The WEL appears brightest, the BEL darker and the deformed pearlite region the darkest (Fig. 5(a)). Additionally, the BEL also shows an intensity gradient along the depth (Fig. 5(a)).

The backscattering contrast, as a first order approximation, depends on the mass density, grain orientation and defect density [41]. The overall composition in the WEL and the BEL is equal; therefore there are no contrast changes related to mass density at the scale of Fig. 5. Also, there is no pronounced difference in the texture between the WEL, the BEL and the deformed pearlite region (see supplementary material) that could give rise to a contrast change related to the average grain orientation. Thus, it can be concluded that the different average ECCI intensities mainly represent different densities of defects, *i.e.* dislocations and grain boundaries, being present in these regions. The WEL has the highest defect density giving rise to more backscattering and thus shows high brightness (Fig. 5(a)). The high defect densities present in the WEL are in good agreement with the high von-Mises stresses calculated for this region (Fig. 3(b)). The transition between the top and the bottom of the BEL shows an intensity gradient from dark to bright (Fig. 5(a)), which represents a gradient of increasing defect densities. At first view, this is in disagreement with the gradient of the von-Mises stresses (Fig. 3(b)). However, this point is experimentally confirmed by EBSD and will be discussed later.

The transition between the BEL and its adjacent regions is not sharp but appears like zones comprised of a mixture of patches belonging to each side (Fig. 5(b, e)). In the deformed pearlite region, ferrite (α) and cementite (θ) can be clearly distinguished (Fig. 5(e,f)). In these figures, shear bending and partial fragmentation of the cementite laths and an accumulation of dislocations within ferrite, especially at the ferrite/cementite interface, is observed. This indicates the onset of cementite decomposition under heat and plastic deformation, which is the first step that takes place in the formation process of WEL/BEL. The ferrite grains in this area are in the transition process to become part of the surrounding BEL. The ferrite/cementite

islands that remain in the matrix of the BEL are mostly oriented parallel to the rail raceway (Fig. 5(e)), indicating the orientation-dependent microstructural decomposition of pearlite.



Fig. 5. ECCI micrographs of the region depicted in Fig 4(a). (a) Overview image showing the WEL, the BEL and the deformed pearlite region with different ECCI contrast, (b-d) Magnified subregions 1-3 from (a), (e,f) ECCI images at the interface of the BEL and the deformed pearlite region from subregion 4 in (a) showing breaking and shear bending of cementite (θ) laths.

3.5 Microstructural insight using electron backscatter diffraction

EBSD scans were performed in an area marked in Fig. 6(a), covering the entire depth from the WEL over the BEL down to the deformed pearlite region (Fig. 6(b,c)). From the EBSD measurement, a phase map and a Kernel Average Misorientation (KAM) map were extracted (Fig. 6(b,c)). The black pixels in Fig. 6(b,c) represent measurement points with Confidence Index (CI) < 0.1 that were removed before the data analysis. The WEL, the BEL and the deformed pearlite region can be clearly distinguished in the EBSD maps (Fig. 6(b,c)). The EBSD phase map depicted in Fig. 6(b) shows the presence of austenite (in green) in the WEL and the BEL but not in the deformed pearlite region. The presence of austenite provides evidence that temperatures of about 700 °C (A1 temperature in Fig. 2) and above are reached in the WEL and the BEL, during the wheel-rail contact. The KAM map depicted in Fig. 6(c) plots the average misorientation to the second nearest neighboring pixels considering a maximum misorientation angle of 5 degrees. High KAM values represent high Geometrically Necessary Dislocation (GND) densities. The results show high, intermediate and low KAM values/GND densities in the WEL, the BEL and the deformed pearlite region, respectively, and thus confirm our interpretation of the ECCI micrograph in Fig. 5.



Fig. 6. (a) Optical micrograph showing the WEL, the BEL and the Deformed Pearlite (DP) region, (b) EBSD phase map and (c) EBSD-KAM map from the rectangular region marked in (a). The transition between the WEL and the BEL is marked with dashed lines.

In order to quantify and further discuss the microstructural alterations from the rail surface into the depth, the EBSD data depicted in Fig. 6 was segmented into 1 μ m thick slices along the depth, which were statistically analyzed. The austenite fraction, the fraction of HAGBs and LAGBs, the KAM values and the average grain size are plotted from the rail surface into the depth and are depicted together with representative subregions of the EBSD map (Fig. 7(a,b)) and Fig. 8 (a-c). The origin of these subregions is marked by the rectangular boxes in Fig. 6(c). An additional subregion 6 containing undeformed pearlite/base material is also plotted in Fig. 7(a,b) and Fig. 8(a,c) for comparison with the WEL/BEL/deformed pearlite.

Fig. 7(a) shows the distribution of the austenite area fraction in the WEL, the BEL, the deformed pearlite and the base material. The fraction of the austenite phase in subregion 1 in the WEL is 0.25-2%, and increases towards the WEL/BEL interface (Fig. 7(a)). The austenite fraction within the WEL reaches its maximum value of 9-11 % at a depth of 30-35 μ m below the rail raceway. The austenite fraction in the BEL varies in the range of 0.5-2.5 % which is significantly lower than in the WEL. The higher austenite fraction in the WEL indicates that the WEL witnesses a higher temperature than the BEL, which is in agreement with the thermal simulations shown in Fig. 3(a). The austenite fraction decreases from 2.5 % at the WEL/BEL interface to approximately 0.5-0.7 % at the BEL/deformed pearlite interface (Fig. 7(a)). No austenite phase is detected in the deformed pearlite and undeformed pearlite region.

Fig. 7(b) shows the distribution of HAGB ($15-65^{\circ}$ misorientation) and LAGBs ($5-15^{\circ}$ misorientation) fractions from the rail raceway to the undeformed pearlite. The plot does not include boundaries with misorientations in the 0-5° range. The HAGBs and LAGBs are highlighted by blue and red lines, respectively, in the corresponding EBSD maps in Fig. 7(b). The WEL shows a low fraction of HAGBs (0.5-0.6) close to the rail raceway, which increases to a value of 0.82 at the WEL/BEL interface. The low HAGBs fraction close to the rail raceway is attributed to substantial grain growth of austenite in the WEL, which leads to an average martensite grain size of $0.7\pm0.4 \,\mu$ m, as shown in corresponding EBSD map in Fig. 7(b). The increase in the HAGBs fraction from the rail raceway to the WEL/BEL interface is due to the decrease in grain size in the WEL along the rail depth (Fig. 7(b)). The LAGBs fraction is found to be almost constant around 0.05 in the WEL. The fraction of HAGBs and LAGBs further increases in the BEL to a value of 0.8 and 0.1, respectively, indicating the presence of finer grains in the BEL (with an average grain size of $0.30 \pm 0.15 \,\mu$ m) than in the

WEL. An increase in the fraction of the LAGBs to 0.40 ± 0.02 is observed in the deformed pearlite region, which can be explained by the accumulation of dislocations in this region due to plastic deformation (also observed in Fig. 5(e,f)). The accumulation of dislocations will lead to the formation of LAGBs within the grains. However, the undeformed pearlite contains primarily HAGBs with a fraction of 0.82 (Fig. 7(b)).



Fig. 7. Distribution of the microstructural features in WEL, BEL and deformed pearlite and a comparison with the undeformed pearlite. (a) Austenite distribution (in area %) shown with representative EBSD phase maps, (b) Distribution of HAGBs and LAGBs fractions shown with representative EBSD grain boundary maps.

Fig. 8(a) shows the distribution of the KAM in the WEL, the BEL, the deformed pearlite and the base material. The average KAM values in the WEL are higher (0.82-0.85°) than in the BEL (0.65-0.75°). GND densities (ρ_{gnd}) were calculated from the KAM values using equation,

$$\rho_{gnd} = \frac{\beta(KAM)}{ub} \tag{1}$$

Where β is a constant ($\beta = 3$ for mixed dislocations), *u* is the distance between points/step size in the EBSD map (*i.e.* 4 x 10⁻⁸ m), *b* is the magnitude of the Burgers vector (*i.e.* 2.47 x 10⁻¹⁰ m) [43].

The KAM values mentioned above correspond to GND densities (ρ_{gnd}) of 4.3-4.5 x 10¹⁵ m⁻² and 3.4-3.9 x 10^{15} m⁻² in the WEL and the BEL, respectively. The high dislocation density in the WEL is due to high contact stresses, which lead to high amounts of plastic deformation in the grains close to the rail raceway. This is in agreement with the simulations depicted in Fig. 3(b). Additionally, the martensite formation will further increase the dislocation density due to the lattice strains associated with austenite to martensite transformation in the WELs. The GND values in the WEL/BEL are in agreement with the ECCI observations (Fig. 5). We observe low KAM values of 0.3-0.5° in some grains situated close to the rail raceway (encircled in the first KAM subfigure 1 in Fig. 8(a)). This is due to dynamic recovery in the WEL caused by the high temperatures close to the raceway which facilitate dislocation annihilation. The KAM increases within the WEL along the depth and reaches a maximum value of 0.85° in the WEL close to the WEL/BEL interface. The KAM decreases to 0.65° (i.e. equivalent to $\rho_{gnd} = 3.4 \text{ x } 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}$) at the beginning of the BEL. The KAM is observed to increase further to 0.75° ($\rho_{gnd} = 3.9 \times 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2}$) in the BEL before it decreases again at the transition point of the deformed pearlite. The average KAM recorded in the deformed pearlite and the undeformed pearlite are $0.6^{\circ} (\rho_{gnd} = 3.1 \text{ x } 10^{15} \text{ m}^{-2})$ and $0.25^{\circ} (\rho_{gnd} = 5 \text{ x } 10^{14} \text{ m}^{-2})$, respectively. The overall variation in KAM is clearly visible in the corresponding EBSD KAM maps in Fig. 8(a).

Fig. 8(b) shows the KAM distribution in the austenite phase in the WEL and the BEL. The average KAM in the austenite phase is highest $(1.1-1.3^{\circ})$ at a rail depth from 0 to 5 µm, indicating that the austenite phase close to the rail surface accommodates the highest plastic deformation. The lower austenite fraction close to the rail surface (Fig. 8(a)) is explained by the strain-induced transformation of austenite into martensite under plastic deformation. The KAM in austenite decreases along the depth in the WEL and reaches a minimum value of approximately 0.9° close to WEL/BEL interface (Fig. 8(b)).

Fig. 8(c) shows the average grain size distribution from the rail raceway into the depth. The average grain size in the WEL is 0.4-0.6 μ m close to the rail surface (0-5 μ m rail depth). The grain size decreases into the BEL and reaches a minimum value of 0.22 ± 0.13 μ m towards BEL/deformed pearlite interface. The average grain size further increases in the deformed pearlite region to a value of 0.35 ± 0.3 μ m and reaches a highest value of 4.7 ± 1.3 μ m in the undeformed pearlite region (Fig. 8(c)).



Fig. 8. (a) Distribution of the KAM in the WEL/BEL/Deformed Pearlite (DP) and Undeformed Pearlite (UDP) shown together with representative KAM maps, (b) KAM distribution in the austenite in the WEL and the BEL, (c) Grain size distribution. Vertical bars in the KAM charts indicate the width of distributions. Y axis on the right in (c) corresponds to grain size in UDP in microns.

3.6 Atomic scale characterization of the WEL, the BEL and the undeformed base material In order to further understand the formation mechanism of the WELs and the BELs, APT measurements were performed at various depths in the WEL and the BEL and compared with the undeformed pearlite as depicted in Fig. 9. Fig. 9(a) is a reference ECCI image showing four regions (in rectangles) where the APT measurements were performed. These regions are: the WEL at (1) 5 μ m and (2) 30 μ m below the rail raceway, (3) the BEL at 5 μ m below the WEL/BEL interface and (4) the BEL at 10 μ m above the BEL/deformed pearlite interface. Fig. 9(c-f) shows the APT results from the regions 1-4, respectively.

Fig. 9(b) depicts the C atom map from the undeformed pearlite that contains a cementite lath surrounded by ferrite. A proximity histogram ('proxigram') showing the compositional transition between ferrite and cementite is depicted below the C atom map. The proxigram was generated based on a 25 at.% C iso-concentration surface in the Region of Interest (ROI) marked with a rectangle. The size of the cementite lath in Fig. 9(b) is in the range of 25 to 40 nm. The proxigram in Fig. 9(b) shows the partitioning of C and Mn into the cementite and of Si out of the cementite. The cementite has a C content of up to 25 at.%, Mn of up to 4 at.% and Si of around 0.0012 at.%. The C in ferrite is found in the equilibrium concentration (at room temperature) *i.e.* 0.0046 at.% with a Si and Mn concentration of 1.29 at.% and 1.1 at.%, respectively.

In the WEL from region 1, grain boundary segregation of C is observed as shown in C atom map in Fig. 9(c). The C concentration at the grain boundary is 12-13 at.% as per proxigram analysis conducted with a 7 at.% C iso-concentration surfaces. The average C content in the matrix is found to be 3.25 ± 0.10 at.%. This indicates the presence of martensitic phase in the WEL in region 1. Additionally, many nanosized regions are observed within the martensitic matrix, with a high C concentration (> 7 at.%). These regions are shown by the arrows in the C atom map overlapped with 7 at.% C iso-concentration surfaces (in green) in Fig. 9(c). These regions can be attributed to C segregation at the dislocations in martensitic matrix. Mn and Si are found to be uniformly distributed in the WEL in region 1.

Fig. 9(d) shows a representative APT result from region 2. The result shows the segregation of C atoms at the grain boundary and the dislocations (shown by arrows) in the C atom map with 7 at.% C iso-concentration (in green). A cementite particle with a spherical morphology is observed in this region (in the rectangle in Fig. 9(d)). A proxigram analysis in Fig. 9(d) shows a C content of up to 25 at.%, an enrichment of Mn and the depletion of Si in this cementite particle. This indicates that the particle is the remainder of the parent cementite

laths. The average C concentration in the cementite particle decreases from 25 at.% to 3.0 ± 0.2 at.% in the matrix. The high C concentration in the matrix indicates the presence of the martensitic phase. Additionally, the smaller size of remnant cementite particles and C enrichment in the surrounding matrix indicate that the cementite is undergoing dissolution.

Fig. 9(e) shows the APT analysis of the BEL in region 3. Nanosized spherical carbide precipitates (indicated by arrows) are observed in the C atom map in Fig. 9(e). A proxigram analysis in the ROI (shown by a rectangle in Fig. 9(e)) shows that these carbides contain C up to 25 at.% but do not show partitioning of Mn and Si. Therefore, these carbides are cementite precipitates, which are not from the parent pearlitic microstructure but rather form due to the tempering of the martensitic matrix. The matrix in the vicinity of these carbides shows a C concentration of up to 1 at.%, which is lower than the C concentration in the WEL (regions 1 and 2). The temperature simulations in Fig. 3(a) indicate that the peak temperature in this region (can) reach around 500 °C, which can explain the tempering of the martensitic matrix. However, the temperature calculations in the BEL do not explain the martensitic transformation and the presence of austenite in the BEL (Fig. 7(a)). We conclude that the current simulations underestimate the peak temperature in this zone. It should be noted that that the temperature profiles in Fig. 3(a) strongly depend on the selected wheel-rail contact conditions. A higher- slip rate, friction coefficient, axle load, train speed, and smaller contact patch will result in an increase in the peak temperatures [8] at the rail surface/subsurface, which can explain the microstructural observations in the BEL. Some wheel-rail contacts in this depth can lead to temperatures above A1 followed by the ones where only tempering occurs.

Fig. 9(f) shows the APT result from region 4. The APT tip was first imaged using BF-STEM and the same tip was then analyzed using APT by the correlative method discussed in sec. 2.3. The overlay of the C atom map and the TEM image (Fig. 9(f)) is in good agreement. A cementite particle with lath morphology similar to the base pearlite material is present (Fig. 9(b)). However, the size of this cementite is around 10 nm, which is less than the size of a cementite lath in the undeformed pearlite (25-40 nm) (Fig. 9(b)). A proxigram analysis with 25 at.% C iso-concentration surface in the ROI shows C enrichment of up to 25 at.% in cementite accompanied by Mn enrichment and Si depletion (Fig. 9(f)). The cementite lath is thus the remainder of the parent cementite from the original pearlite. The matrix in the vicinity of this cementite contains 1.6 ± 0.2 at.% C (Fig. 9(f)), which is far higher than the equilibrium concentration in ferrite (Fig. 9(b)) and lower than the C concentration in

martensite in the WEL (Fig. 9(c,d)). The above observations of the cementite size and C enrichment in the ferrite matrix show evidence of parent cementite undergoing dissolution. This is in agreement with the ECCI results in Fig. 5(f) where the onset of cementite decomposition is captured.



Fig. 9. (a) ECCI image showing regions 1-4 where APT specimens were prepared from, (b) C atom map from the base material showing a ferritic (α) grain and a part of a cementite (θ) lath with a proxigram based on a 25 at.% C iso-concentration surface in the ROI, (c) C atom map of the WEL (region 1), the same C atom map with 7 at.% C iso-concentration surfaces (in green) and a proxigram from the ROI, (d) C atom map (region 2) and the same map with 7 at.% C iso-concentration surfaces, (e) the C atom map (region 3) and a proxigram taken with 25 at.% C interface in the ROI, (f) Correlative BF-STEM image and C atom map (region 4) along with a proxigram based on a 25 at.% C interface in the ROI. All ROIs are marked by the rectangles.

3.7 Microstructural observations in the WEL and the BEL on etched samples using SE imaging

To further investigate the role of C in the overall microstructural evolution in WEL/BEL, similar areas as depicted in Fig. 5 were etched and imaged in SE mode (Fig. 10(b-e)). The information gathered by light microscopy, EBSD and APT allows understanding the differences in the etching behavior of the WEL and the BEL. The etching behavior of polycrystalline alloys depends on various microstructural features such as crystal structure, crystallographic orientation, chemical composition, grain boundary energy, and grain boundary area *etc.* [44]. Nital attacks preferentially at the grain boundaries and primarily dissolves the ferrite phase in the pearlite, leaving the cementite phase intact [44,45]. This etching behavior is shown in the SE image of the undeformed pearlite in Fig. 10(f). Nital hardly attacts austenite and martensite phases in the steel microstructures [44].

The SE image in Fig. 10(b) from subregion 1 (rectangle within the ECCI image in Fig. 10(a)) shows weak SE contrast in the WEL due to the homogeneous and insufficient response to the etchant. This is due to the presence of martensite, austenite and nanosized cementite precipitates in the WEL and a homogenous distribution of C, Si and Mn in the martensitic matrix of the WEL (sec. 3.5 and 3.6). Additionally, the C segregation at the grain boundaries in the WEL (Fig. 9(c,d)) can also affect their etching behavior as C segregation reduces the free energy of the system and promotes grain boundary cohesion [46]. Thus the chemical reactivity of the grain boundaries in the martensitic matrix of the WEL is reduced [47]. Aforementioned arguments also explain the white contrast of the WEL under the light optical microscope (Fig. 4(a,b)).

A pronounced etching heterogeneity observed in the BEL in Fig. 10(b-e) results in more pronounced SE contrast. The magnified SE image in Fig. 10(c), shows the presence of a complex microstructure in the BEL. The microstructure consists of ferrite (α), Partially Dissolved parent Cementite (PDC), Tempered Martensite (TM) with Secondary Carbides (SCs) and freshly formed (not tempered) martensite/austenite (M/Y) islands. The presence of tempered martensite in this region is in agreement with our APT observations in Fig. 9(e). This also confirms our ECCI and EBSD KAM interpretation about the dislocation densities (section 3.4 and 3.5) as tempering leads to relaxation of lattice strains reducing the dislocation density in martensite. The ferrite phase in Fig. 10(c) is etched deeply whereas partially dissolved parent cementite and martensite/austenite phases remain intact. The tempered martensite shows slightly lower etching resistance than the fresh martensite/austenite due to a lower C concentration, which results from the precipitation of secondary carbides in tempered martensite (Fig. 9(e) and Fig. 10(c)). Thus, the heterogeneous distribution of the C in the BEL results in etching heterogeneity which produces brown contrast under the light optical microscope (Fig. 4(a,b)).

The SE image of the BEL in Fig. 10(d) (taken from subregion 2 in Fig. 10(a)) shows the presence of deformed pearlite colonies with partially dissolved parent cementite, ferrite and ultrafine/nanocrystalline martensite/austenite islands. The average grain diameter of martensite/austenite islands is 210 ± 80 nm. The dissolution of cementite is clearly observed in this region, as cementite laths are smaller and thinner than the cementite laths in the undeformed pearlite (Fig. 10(f)). A similar microstructure with partially dissolved parent cementite in deformed pearlite colonies and ultrafine/nanocrystalline martensite/austenite islands are also observed in the subregion 3 of the BEL (Fig. 10(e)). The area fraction of the ultrafine/nanocrystalline martensite/austenite islands in subregion 2 and 3 varies in the range of 8-10%. This indicates that austenite forms partially in the BEL leading to a low martensite/austenite fraction on subsequent cooling (Fig. 10(d,e)). High dislocation density exists in martensite due to shear induced diffusionless transformation. Since, the WEL contains martensite as a primary phase, the dislocation density due to martensitic transformation will be higher in the WEL than in the BEL, which contains only 8-10% martensite. Hence, high dislocation density in the WEL (section 3.5) is not only due to high contact stresses (Fig. 3(b)) but also due to high martensite fractions.

The ultrafine/nanocrystalline martensite/austenite islands and partially dissolved parent cementite in subregion 2 and 3 are hardly etched by Nital. Additionally, the ferrite phase present in these regions (Fig. 10(d,e)) is not as deeply etched as in case of undeformed pearlite in Fig. 10(f)). The strong etching resistance of ferrite in the BEL is most likely due to its C enrichment by partial dissolution of cementite via defect assisted thermal diffusion (Fig. 9(f)).



Fig. 10. (a) ECCI image repeated from Fig. 5(a) and Fig. 9(a) showing the positions (1-3) where SE images (be) were acquired after etching, (b-e) SE images of WEL, BEL and deformed pearlite, (f) SE image of original pearlite. Different phases such as ferrite (α), cementite (θ), Partially Dissolved parent Cementite (PDC), Martensite/Austenite (M/Y), Tempered Martensite (TM) and Secondary Carbide (SCs) are marked in the figures.

Before proceeding to the discussion on the WEL/BEL formation, the microstructural features of the WEL, BEL, deformed pearlite and the base material from different characterization methods are compared and summarized in Table A.1 (see appendix).

3.8 Decomposition of cementite

The cementite decomposition in pearlitic steels has been a point of debate in the steel research community and various mechanisms have been proposed to explain the decomposition as a consequence of plastic deformation, heating or both [48–55]. Takahashi *et al.* [48] have proposed a mechanism where temperature rise leads to the diffusion of C atoms from cementite to the excessive amounts of vacancies formed in ferrite during plastic deformation. This is because the vacancies in steels have a high interaction energy with the C atoms (*i.e.* 0.85 eV/atom) [55]. The second proposed mechanism is based on the strong interaction forces between C atoms and dislocations in the ferrite. The binding energy of C to the dislocations in ferrite (*i.e.* 0.8 eV/atom) is higher than the binding energy of C in cementite (*i.e.* 0.5 eV/atom) [48,56]. Hence, if dislocations are available in ferrite there is a thermodynamic driving force for C to leave cementite. Therefore, even temperatures below A1 can lead to the decomposition of cementite if the microstructure is plastically deformed.

The third mechanism is based on the Gibbs-Thomson effect in which the fragmentation of cementite (as shown in Fig. 5(e,f)) increases their free energy to an extent that the cementite becomes unstable and starts dissolving into ferrite [48–50]. The fragmentation of cementite in the BELs/deformed pearlite regions can further be explained by the large difference in the yield strength of ferrite and cementite, which generates strain incompatibility under severe plastic deformation. As a result, the dislocations nucleate from the ferrite/cementite interfaces [51] (Fig. 5(f)) and their density in ferrite phase increases with further deformation. The motion of these dislocations is blocked by neighboring cementite laths forming tangled and jogged dislocations at the ferrite/cementite interfaces, which leads to the fragmentation of the cementite. Umemoto *et al.* [52] observed similar fragmentation, bending, cleavage fracture and shear cracking of the cementite in pearlite under the plastic deformation.

The fourth mechanism reported to cause cementite dissolution in pearlitic steels is the "C drag effect" where moving dislocations under plastic deformation can carry the C atoms from cementite to the ferrite phase [53,54]. In this mechanism, C atoms are trapped inside dislocations due to strong interaction forces between C atoms and the dislocations. However, this mechanism is only valid when the "diffusional rate of C atoms in ferrite" (d_{α} ') is of the same order of magnitude or faster than the "dislocation velocity" (v) under wheel-rail contact [54]. In order to check the applicability of this mechanism, the dislocation velocity (v) is calculated by the relation, $v = \dot{\epsilon}/b\rho$ [57], where $\dot{\epsilon}$ is the strain rate, b is the magnitude of the Burgers vector and ρ is the density of mobile dislocations in ferrite. The dislocation velocity varies from $1.4 \times 10^{-5} - 8 \times 10^{-5}$ m/s, if $\dot{\epsilon} = 1.0$ -6.0/s during wheel-rail contact [58], $b = 2.47 \times 10^{-10}$ m and $\rho = 3 \times 10^{14}$ m⁻² are used.

The diffusion rate of C in ferrite (d_{α}') is calculated by $d_{\alpha}' = \sqrt{(D_f/t)}$ where D_{α} is the diffusivity of C atom in ferrite and *t* is the average time at the elevated temperature as per thermal cycle in Fig. 3(a) (*i.e.* 1.6×10^{-4} s). The diffusivity of C in ferrite (D_{α}) is expressed as

$$D_{\alpha} = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \tag{2}$$

where $D_0 = 1.67 \times 10^{-7}$ m²/s is the diffusion pre-factor for ferrite, Q = 80 kJ/mol is the activation energy for C diffusion in ferrite [54,59]. The diffusion rate of C in ferrite (d_{α} ') varies from 1.4×10^{-5} - 8×10^{-5} m/sec for the temperature range of 348-535°C, respectively. Thus, the temperatures necessary for C drag by dislocations are reached during wheel-rail contact and thus this mechanism is also expected to be active for the formation of WEL/BEL.

3.9 Formation mechanism of the WEL

The EBSD investigation in section 3.5 shows the presence of austenite in the WEL (Fig. 6(c)), whereas the microstructure before any loading cycle was fully pearlitic. The formation of austenite requires a temperature rise above the austenitization temperature (A1) (*i.e.* 715 °C for the present composition (Fig. 2)) followed by rapid cooling that prevents the transformation back into pearlite¹. This implies that during the wheel-rail contact in curved tracks, the temperature in the WEL must have exceeded A1. However, for complete austenization, the temperature must rise above the A3 temperature (730 °C). Our simulations, depicted in Fig. 3(a) are in agreement with the observed microstructural features in the WEL.

The equilibrium C concentration in austenite formed above 715 °C is about 0.7 wt.% (calculated via Thermo-Calc). Hence, C partitioning is required for austenite to form in the pearlitic microstructure via diffusional transformation². Austenite will nucleate at the cementite/ferrite interfaces, because the cementite provides the C necessary for austenite formation. After austenite nucleation occurs, futher austenite growth requires the diffusion of C from cementite through austenite to the austenite/ferrite phase boundary, which is much slower than the diffusion through ferrite. As a result, the diffusion of C in the austenite phase is a limiting factor, controlling the austenite growth kinetics in the parent pearlite. In general, the austenite/ferrite interface mobility needs to be considered for transformation simulations. However, the lack of mobility data limits the calculation capability. Therefore, we neglect interface mobility and assume that the growth rate of austenite only depends on the average diffusion length of C in austenite. Estimation of average diffusion length of C in austenite is carried out based on the temperature simulations. The diffusivity of C in austenite (D_T) is expressed as,

$$D_{\gamma} = D_0 \exp\left(-\frac{Q}{RT}\right) \tag{3}$$

where $D_0 = 2.34 \text{ x } 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{sec}$ is the diffusion pre-factor for austenite, Q = 147.81 kJ/mol [8] is the activation energy for C diffusion in austenite, $R = 8.31 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ kJ} \text{ mol}^{-1} \text{ K}^{-1}$ is the gas constant and *T* is the temperature in Kelvin.

The average diffusion distance of C in austenite phase (L_{γ}) is calculated using

¹ High heating rates during wheel-rail contact (section 3.2) can lead to an increase in the austenitization temperatures (A1/A3) [61], but this cannot be taken into account quantitatively. As a consequence, the effect of heating rates is not considered here.

 $^{^{2}}$ The solute drag of C from cementite into the ferrite matrix would also affect the austenite formation, although this effect cannot be accurately quantified. The solute drag mechanism is discussed earlier in section 3.8.

where *t* is the diffusion time within the austenitic zone.

The diffusional calculations are performed for the thermal profiles (Fig. 3(a)) at 0, 20 and 40 μ m rail depth. Only temperatures above A1 (*i.e.* 715 °C) are taken into account for the calculations. In order to achieve reasonable accuracy, each thermal profile is subdivided into 18 equal intervals. The average diffusion distance for each individual interval is calculated and is integrated over the entire thermal profile. Following this procedure, the average diffusional length of C in austenite during a single loading cycle (*i.e.* one wheel contact) at 0, 20 and 40 μ m rail depth is calculated to be about 85, 30 and 8 nm, respectively. The average interlamellar spacing of the undeformed pearlite is between 150-200 nm (Fig. 10(f)), which means that the C diffusion length required for complete austenite formation is between 75-100 nm (C diffuses through austenite from the two neighboring ferrite/cementite interfaces). Complete austenization is therefore only possible at 0 μ m rail depth in a single wheel-rail contact. However, at rail depths of 20 and 40 μ m, multiple wheel-rail contacts are required for complete austenitization. Therefore, considering the WEL as a single entity (rail depth up to 40 μ m), multiple thermal cycles (Fig. 3(a)) are needed for its formation.

Fig. 11 shows a schematic for the formation mechanism of the WEL where a progressive martensitic transformation is shown within multiple thermal cycles above A1/A3. During the initial heating cycles (T > A1), austenite begins to form in the pearlitic microstructure. A fraction of the formed austenite transforms into martensite during cooling and the rest remains as austenite. Concurrently, cementite undergoes dissolution due to both, the plastic deformation and the temperature rise. Due to repeated heating cycles (T > A1), the martensite and austenite areas grow progressively to form large austenitic areas. Simultaneously, during each cooling step (at the end of each wheel contact), part of the austenite transforms into martensite while another part remains as austenite. However, for the complete dissolution of cementite and the formation of martensite and austenite in the WEL microstructure, complete austenitization is needed which will require multiple thermal cycles of T > A3³.

APT investigations show that the Mn is uniformly distributed in the WEL close to the rail raceway (Fig. 9(c)), whereas Mn partitioning is observed in the undeformed pearlite (Fig. 9(b)). Thus, the Mn diffusion calculation also provides insight into the formation mechanism

 $^{^{3}}$ Even after the final stage (shown in Fig. 11) is reached, the microstructure is repetitively changed. Thus, the final microstructure must be considered as being in dynamic equilibrium.

of the WELs. The Mn diffusional distance calculations were performed in the WEL using Eq. 2 and 3, where D_0 and Q values (in Eq. 2) for Mn are taken from reference [8]. The diffusion length of Mn for the thermal cycle at 0 µm rail depth (Fig. 3(a)) is 0.8 nm. This proves that multiple such thermal cycles (≈ 8789 cycles for 150 nm interlamellar spacing) are needed for homogenization of Mn in the WEL close to the rail raceway.



Fig. 11. Schematic drawing showing the progressive transformation of the original pearlitic microstructure into the WEL during the wheel-rail contacts.

The WEL undergoes repetitive microstructural changes during their lifetime even after formation. For example, at the first full austenitization stage, the WEL consists of only fine austenite grains with different orientation due to the severe plastic deformation at the rail surface. However, over time, the repetitive rail contacts lead to accumulative effects close to the rail raceway caused by cyclic heat and deformation treatments. This leads to substantial grain growth of austenite grains during further austenitization, resulting in large martensitic grains upon cooling (especially close to the rail raceway) as shown in Fig. 8(c). Some martensitic grains close to the rail surface show significantly lower KAM (Fig. 8(a)), associated with the lattice strain relief caused by dislocation annihilation under high temperature and stress, referred to as dynamic recovery [24,60]. The lower fraction of austenite close to the rail surface (Fig. 8(a)) is explained by the high contact stresses, which can lead to strain-induced transformation of the austenite into martensite. The C segregation at the grain boundaries and dislocations close to the rail raceway in the WEL (Fig. 9(c,d)) is an indication of a temperature rise but not necessarily to an extent where austenitization occurs. This can be a consequence of the low temperatures at the rail surface depending on the wheel-rail contact conditions after the WEL formation. So, the overall microstructural evolution of the WELs close to the rail surface is governed by both the temperature rise (which can be above or below austenitization temperature) and the high contact stresses.

APT results at 40 μ m below the rail raceway (Fig. 9(d)) show the presence of dissolving parent cementite, whereas no such cementite precipitates were found close to the rail raceway

(Fig. 9(c)). The presence of such cementite precipitates can be explained by the lower temperature rise in the subsurface than the rail surface. It is concluded that the time and temperature at the rail subsurface (e.g. region 2) in the WELs were not sufficient to dissolve all parent cementite particles into the martensitic matrix.

3.10 Formation mechanism of the BEL

Fig. 12 illustrates the formation mechanism of the BEL in detail. The microstructure of the BEL can evolve in different ways. Two possible routes that can explain our experimental findings are marked by black (route 1) and green (route 2) arrows. In the first stage of route 1, the original pearlite microstructure can undergo multiple cycles of severe plastic deformation and temperature rise below the A1 temperature. The plastic deformation below the hard WEL causes the fragmentation of the parent cementite laths in the BEL. Concurrently, the plastic deformation and the moderate temperature rise that stays below A1 leads to the partial dissolution of the cementite laths, resulting in their refinement (Fig. 12). The partial dissolution of cementite laths also enriches the surrounding ferrite phase in C higher than the equilibrium C concentration in ferrite. The C concentration from these cementite laths towards the ferrite matrix varies gradually (Fig. 9(f)), confirming the partial dissolution of cementite laths.



Fig. 12. Schematic showing the formation mechanism of the BEL. Each plastic deformation and heating cycle is an individual wheel-rail contact. Depending on the local amounts of deformation and heat different scenarios are possible.

The temperature in the BEL can also rise above the A1 temperature during individual wheelrail contacts as shown in Fig. 12 (second stage of route 1 and first stage of route 2). Depending on the peak temperature above A1, the austenite fraction and size can vary in the BEL. The results in Fig. 10(c) indicate that the time and temperature in the BEL (just below the WEL/BEL interface) are sufficient for austenite growth. Therefore, on subsequent cooling, a high fraction of martensite is formed. This mechanism is shown in the first stage of route 2 (green arrow) in Fig. 12, where the microstructure of the BEL contains a large fraction of martensite phase, some austenite, some C enriched ferrite and partially dissolved parent cementite (PDC). Furthermore, depending on the wheel-rail contact conditions, the temperatures in the BEL can also go into the martensite tempering regime leading to secondary carbide precipitation, as shown by the second stage of route 2. Due to the tempering of martensite, BELs can also be considered as tempered WELs especially in the regions just below the WEL/BEL interface (Fig. 10(c)).

Fig. 9(f) and Fig. 10(d-e) show the presence of C-enriched ferrite, partially dissolved parent cementite and ultrafine/nanocrystalline martensite/austenite islands in the mid-region of the BEL and the BEL-region just above the BEL/deformed pearlite interface. This indicates that the time and peak temperatures above A1 are not enough for the growth of austenite islands and the complete dissolution of cementite laths. The BEL in these regions may also be considered as a precursor of the WEL. As per the Thermo-Calc calculation in Fig. 2, the temperature must rise above 715 °C to form the austenite in the BEL. To understand the formation of martensite/austenite islands in the BEL, similar C diffusional length calculations as discussed in section 3.9 were performed. The average diffusional length of C in austenite in the BEL is 7 nm, if a temperature of 715 °C is assumed to be present for the duration of 1.6×10^{-4} s (Fig. 3(a)). Therefore, to achieve an average martensite/austenite island size of 210 \pm 80 nm (sec. 3.7), multiple cycles of 715 °C peak temperature are necessary. This mechanism is illustrated in the second stage of route 1 in Fig. 12.

4. Conclusions

According to our findings, the WEL and the BEL are formed by repetitive/multiple wheel-rail contacts, where each wheel represents an individual thermomechanical treatment. Following conclusions are drawn from this study:

- 1. The microstructure of the WELs at the surface consists of martensite and austenite. This proves that temperature must have risen above the A1 here. A lower austenite content at the surface than at the subsurface exists due to the strain-induced transformation of austenite into martensite.
- 2. Besides frictional heat, plastic deformation also plays a significant role in the microstructural evolution of the WEL. The WEL undergoes dynamic alteration with each wheel contact. Nonetheless, these continuous alterations cause a 'steady-state' microstructure with characteristic features such as a certain ratio between phases, dislocation densities, grain sizes, *etc.* that is representative for the temperature and deformation conditions.
- 3. The parent cementite is completely dissolved in the WEL at the rail surface whereas partially dissolved parent cementite particles are found at the subsurface. The presence of the parent cementite particles at the subsurface results in a lower C concentration in the martensitic matrix than at the rail surface.
- 4. Cyclic plastic deformation during wheel-rail contacts increases the defect density (dislocations and vacancies) in ferrite. High interaction energy between C and these defects facilitates cementite dissolution to form WELs/BELs. The temperature rise also promotes defect-assisted thermal diffusion of C from cementite to the dislocations in ferrite. Simultaneously, the "C drag effect" can also contribute to the cementite dissolution.
- 5. The temperature in the BEL is in general lower than in the WEL. The presence of austenite in the BEL shows that peak temperatures of 715 °C and above should be reached in some wheel-rail contacts. However, the cumulative heat and plastic deformation in the BEL is not sufficient for full austenitization and complete dissolution of parent cementite.

Acknowledgements

This research was carried out under project number F91.10.12475b in the framework of the Partnership Program of the Materials innovation institute M2i (<u>www.m2i.nl</u>) and the Foundation for Fundamental Research on Matter (FOM) (<u>www.fom.nl</u>), which is part of the Netherlands Organisation for Scientific Research (<u>www.nwo.nl</u>). We would like express our gratitude to Dr. B. Gault, Max-Planck-Institut für Eisenforschung GmbH for fruitful discussion about atom probe tomography analysis. We are also thankful to Mr. O. Hazijad, TU Delft for useful discussion about finite element modelling. ProRail is acknowledged for the financial support in the project. MH acknowledges funding by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) through grant 03SF0535.

Appendix

Table A.1. Summary of the microstructural features in the WEL, BEL, deformed pearlite and undeformed pearlite.

Region / Criteria	WEL		BEL		Deformed Pearlite	Base material
	Region close to rail surface (subregion 1)	WEL above the WEL- BEL interface (subregion 2)	BEL just below the WEL-BEL interface (subregion 3)	BEL just above deformed pearlite (subregion 4)	Subregion 5	Subregion 6
Micro- hardness (HV0.025)	≈ 1045	≈910	≈ 895	≈ 770	≈ 475	≈ 400
ECCI	Weak orientation contrast (light grey appearance)	Weak orientation contrast	Strong orientation contrast	Weak orientation contrast	Strong orientation contrast with dislocation nucleation and pinning at the ferrite- cementite interfaces and cementite undergoing dissolution	Intact cementite laths and ferrite matrix
SE imaging in etched	Weak SE contrast	Weak SE contrast	Presence of martensite & austenite +	Nanocrystall ine/Ultrafine martensite +	Fragmentatio n of cementite	Intact cementite laths and

sample			tempered martensite + partially dissolved parent cementite surrounded by C saturated ferrite	partially dissolved parent cementite in pearlitic colonies	laths in deformed pearlite	ferrite matrix
EBSD						
Austenite fraction (%)	≈ 0.5-2	≈ 9-10%	≈ 1 - 2%	≈ 0-0.5%	No Austenite	No austenite
Grain Size (µm)	≈ 0.4-0.6	≈ 0.3	≈ 0.25	pprox 0.2	≈ 0.2-0.7	≈ 0.5-1.0
Fraction HAGBs ⁱ	≈ 0.5-0.6	≈ 0.7	≈ 0.8	≈ 0.8	≈ 0.45	≈ 0.82
Fraction HAGBs ⁱⁱ	≈ 0.05	≈ 0.05	≈ 0.1	≈ 0.1	≈ 0.4	≈ 0.17
KAM (ferrite +austenite) (°)	≈ 0.82	≈ 0.85	≈ 0.65	≈ 0.75	≈ 0.6	≈ 0.25
GND density (/m ²)	$\approx 4.35 \text{ x } 10^{15}$	$\approx 4.5 \times 10^{15}$	$\approx 3.4 \times 10^{15}$	$\approx 3.9 \text{ x} 10^{15}$	$\approx 3.1 \times 10^{15}$	$\approx 5 \times 10^{14}$
KAM (austenite) (°)	≈ 1.1-1.3	≈ 0.9	≈ 0.9	≈ 0.9	-	-
APT	C segregation at the grain boundaries ≈ 12-13 at.% & 3.25 at.% C in the matrix	C segregation at the grain boundaries ≈ 7-8 at.% & 3 at.% C in the matrix	Low C in ferritic matrix ≈ 1 at.%	C in ferritic matrix ≈ 1.6 at.%	-	C in ferrite ≈ 0.004 at.%

No primary	Partially	Secondary	Partially		Cementite
or secondary	dissolved	carbides	dissolved		lath (20-40
cementite and	parent	formed due to	parent		nm) with 25
uniform Mn	cementite	tempering of	cementite	-	at.% C and
& Si	with	martensite (≈	with lath		clear Mn & Si
	spherical	25 at.% C at	morphology		partitioning
	morphology	the center +	(≈ 25 at.% C		
	(≈ 25 at.% C	no	at the center		
	at the center	partitioning of	+		
	+ partitioning	Mn & Si)	partitioning		
	of Mn & Si)		of Mn & Si)		

References

- K.D. Vo, H.T. Zhu, A.K. Tieu, P.. Kosasih, FE method to predict damage formation on curved track for various worn status of wheel/rail profiles, Wear. 322–323 (2015) 61–75. doi:10.1016/J.WEAR.2014.10.015.
- [2] A. Al-Juboori, D. Wexler, H. Li, H. Zhu, C. Lu, A. McCusker, J. McLeod, S. Pannil, Z. Wang, Squat formation and the occurrence of two distinct classes of white etching layer on the surface of rail steel, Int. J. Fatigue. 104 (2017) 52–60. doi:10.1016/J.IJFATIGUE.2017.07.005.
- [3] H. Yahyaoui, H. Sidhom, C. Braham, A. Baczmanski, Effect of interlamellar spacing on the elastoplastic behavior of C70 pearlitic steel: Experimental results and self-consistent modeling, Mater. Des. 55 (2014) 888–897. doi:10.1016/J.MATDES.2013.10.062.
- [4] M. Steenbergen, R. Dollevoet, On the mechanism of squat formation on train rails Part I: Origination, Int. J. Fatigue. 47 (2013) 361–372. doi:10.1016/J.IJFATIGUE.2012.04.023.
- [5] M. Steenbergen, R. Dollevoet, On the mechanism of squat formation on train rails Part II: Growth, Int. J. Fatigue. 47 (2013) 373–381. doi:10.1016/J.IJFATIGUE.2012.04.019.
- [6] R.I. Carroll, J.H. Beynon, Rolling contact fatigue of white etching layer: Part 1: Crack morphology, Wear. 262 (2007) 1253–1266. doi:10.1016/J.WEAR.2007.01.003.
- [7] R.I. Carroll, J.H. Beynon, Rolling contact fatigue of white etching layer: Part 2. Numerical results, Wear. 262 (2007) 1267–1273. doi:10.1016/J.WEAR.2007.01.002.
- [8] J. Takahashi, K. Kawakami, M. Ueda, Atom probe tomography analysis of the white etching layer in a rail track surface, Acta Mater. 58 (2010) 3602–3612. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2010.02.030.
- [9] J. Wu, R.H. Petrov, S. Kölling, P. Koenraad, L. Malet, S. Godet, J. Sietsma, Micro and Nanoscale Characterization of Complex Multilayer-Structured White Etching Layer in Rails, Met. . 8 (2018). doi:10.3390/met8100749.
- [10] S.B. Newcomb, W.M. Stobbs, A transmission electron microscopy study of the white-etching layer on a rail head, Mater. Sci. Eng. 66 (1984) 195–204. doi:10.1016/0025-5416(84)90180-0.
- [11] G. Baumann, H.J. Fecht, S. Liebelt, Formation of white-etching layers on rail treads, Wear. 191 (1996) 133–140. doi:10.1016/0043-1648(95)06733-7.
- [12] J. Wu, R.H. Petrov, M. Naeimi, Z. Li, R. Dollevoet, J. Sietsma, Laboratory simulation of martensite formation of white etching layer in rail steel, Int. J. Fatigue. 91 (2016) 11–20. doi:10.1016/J.IJFATIGUE.2016.05.016.
- [13] A. Pyzalla, L. Wang, E. Wild, T. Wroblewski, Changes in microstructure, texture and residual stresses on the surface of a rail resulting from friction and wear, Wear. 251 (2001) 901–907. doi:10.1016/S0043-1648(01)00748-7.
- [14] L. Wang, A. Pyzalla, W. Stadlbauer, E.. Werner, Microstructure features on rolling surfaces of railway

rails subjected to heavy loading, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 359 (2003) 31–43. doi:10.1016/S0921-5093(03)00327-7.

- [15] H.W. Zhang, S. Ohsaki, S. Mitao, M. Ohnuma, K. Hono, Microstructural investigation of white etching layer on pearlite steel rail, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 421 (2006) 191–199. doi:10.1016/J.MSEA.2006.01.033.
- [16] W. Österle, H. Rooch, A. Pyzalla, L. Wang, Investigation of white etching layers on rails by optical microscopy, electron microscopy, X-ray and synchrotron X-ray diffraction, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 303 (2001) 150–157. doi:10.1016/S0921-5093(00)01842-6.
- [17] W. Lojkowski, M. Djahanbakhsh, G. Bürkle, S. Gierlotka, W. Zielinski, H.-J. Fecht, Nanostructure formation on the surface of railway tracks, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 303 (2001) 197–208. doi:10.1016/S0921-5093(00)01947-X.
- [18] J. Ahlström, B. Karlsson, Microstructural evaluation and interpretation of the mechanically and thermally affected zone under railway wheel flats, Wear. 232 (1999) 1–14. doi:10.1016/S0043-1648(99)00166-0.
- [19] S. Li, J. Wu, R.H. Petrov, Z. Li, R. Dollevoet, J. Sietsma, "Brown etching layer": A possible new insight into the crack initiation of rolling contact fatigue in rail steels?, Eng. Fail. Anal. 66 (2016) 8–18. doi:10.1016/J.ENGFAILANAL.2016.03.019.
- [20] A. Al-Juboori, H. Zhu, D. Wexler, H. Li, C. Lu, A. McCusker, J. McLeod, S. Pannila, J. Barnes, Evolution of rail surface degradation in the tunnel: The role of water on squat growth under service conditions, Eng. Fract. Mech. 209 (2019) 32–47. doi:10.1016/J.ENGFRACMECH.2019.01.018.
- [21] M. Messaadi, M. Steenbergen, Stratified surface layers on rails, Wear. 414–415 (2018) 151–162. doi:10.1016/J.WEAR.2018.07.019.
- [22] R.S. Tahany Ibrahim El-Wardany, Sergei F. Burlatsky, Changsheng GuoWayde, Control of white-etched layer during machining, US7827661B2, 2008. https://patents.google.com/patent/US7827661.
- [23] Y. V Ivanisenko, R.Z. Valiev, W. Lojkowski, A. Grob, H.-J. Fecht, Nanostructure Formation and Carbides Dissolution in Rail Steel Deformed by High Pressure Torsion, Ultrafine Grained Mater. II. (2013). doi:doi:10.1002/9781118804537.ch6.
- [24] S.B. Hosseini, U. Klement, Y. Yao, K. Ryttberg, Formation mechanisms of white layers induced by hard turning of AISI 52100 steel, Acta Mater. 89 (2015) 258–267. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2015.01.075.
- [25] F.D. Fischer, W. Daves, E.A. Werner, On the temperature in the wheel-rail rolling contact, Fatigue Fract. Eng. Mater. Struct. 26 (2003) 999–1006.
- [26] J.-M. Bergheau, R. Fortunier, Finite element simulation of heat transfer, John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- [27] F. Vurpillot, B. Gault, B.P. Geiser, D.J. Larson, Reconstructing atom probe data: A review, Ultramicroscopy. 132 (2013) 19–30. doi:10.1016/j.ultramic.2013.03.010.
- [28] B. Gault, M.P. Moody, J.M. Cairney, S.P. Ringer, Atom probe microscopy, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [29] M. Herbig, P. Choi, D. Raabe, Combining structural and chemical information at the nanometer scale by correlative transmission electron microscopy and atom probe tomography, Ultramicroscopy. 153 (2015) 32–39. doi:10.1016/J.ULTRAMIC.2015.02.003.
- [30] M. Herbig, Spatially correlated electron microscopy and atom probe tomography: Current possibilities and future perspectives, Scr. Mater. 148 (2018) 98–105. doi:10.1016/J.SCRIPTAMAT.2017.03.017.
- [31] A. Rovira, A. Roda, M.B. Marshall, H. Brunskill, R. Lewis, Experimental and numerical modelling of wheel-rail contact and wear, Wear. 271 (2011) 911–924. doi:10.1016/J.WEAR.2011.03.024.
- [32] & P.B.K. K. D. Vo, A. K. Tieu, H. T. Zhu, A Tool To Estimate The Wheel/rail Contact And Temperature Rising Under Dry, Wet And Oily Conditions, WIT Press, 2014. doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.2495/CR140151.
- [33] M. Ertz, K. Knothe, A comparison of analytical and numerical methods for the calculation of temperatures in wheel/rail contact, Wear. 253 (2002) 498–508. doi:10.1016/S0043-1648(02)00120-5.

- [34] F.D. Fischer, E. Werner, K. Knothe, The Surface Temperature of a Halfplane Heated by Friction and Cooled by Convection, ZAMM - J. Appl. Math. Mech. / Zeitschrift Für Angew. Math. Und Mech. 81 (2001) 75–81. doi:10.1002/1521-4001(200102)81:2<75::AID-ZAMM75>3.0.CO;2-H.
- [35] E.B. Hawbolt, B. Chau, J.K. Brimacombe, Kinetics of austenite-pearlite transformation in eutectoid carbon steel, Metall. Trans. A. 14 (1983) 1803–1815. doi:10.1007/BF02645550.
- [36] J. Pacyna, The microstructure and properties of the new bainitic rail steels, J. Achiev. Mater. Manuf. Eng. 28 (2008) 19–22.
- [37] W. Wei-yong, L. Bing, K. Venkatesh, Effect of Temperature on Strength and Elastic Modulus of High-Strength Steel, J. Mater. Civ. Eng. 25 (2013) 174–182. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)MT.1943-5533.0000600.
- [38] C. Ju, Y. Ben, U. Brian, Behavior of High Strength Structural Steel at Elevated Temperatures, J. Struct. Eng. 132 (2006) 1948–1954. doi:10.1061/(ASCE)0733-9445(2006)132:12(1948).
- [39] J. Chen, B. Young, Design of high strength steel columns at elevated temperatures, J. Constr. Steel Res. 64 (2008) 689–703. doi:10.1016/J.JCSR.2007.09.004.
- [40] J. Takahashi, Y. Kobayashi, M. Ueda, T. Miyazaki, K. Kawakami, Nanoscale characterisation of rolling contact wear surface of pearlitic steel, Mater. Sci. Technol. 29 (2013) 1212–1218. doi:10.1179/1743284713Y.0000000256.
- [41] S. Zaefferer, N.-N. Elhami, Theory and application of electron channelling contrast imaging under controlled diffraction conditions, Acta Mater. 75 (2014) 20–50. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2014.04.018.
- [42] S.K. Makineni, A. Kumar, M. Lenz, P. Kontis, T. Meiners, C. Zenk, S. Zaefferer, G. Eggeler, S. Neumeier, E. Spiecker, D. Raabe, B. Gault, On the diffusive phase transformation mechanism assisted by extended dislocations during creep of a single crystal CoNi-based superalloy, Acta Mater. 155 (2018) 362–371. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2018.05.074.
- [43] Q. Liu, D. Juul Jensen, N. Hansen, Effect of grain orientation on deformation structure in cold-rolled polycrystalline aluminium, Acta Mater. 46 (1998) 5819–5838. doi:10.1016/S1359-6454(98)00229-8.
- [44] E. Girault, P. Jacques, P. Harlet, K. Mols, J. Van Humbeeck, E. Aernoudt, F. Delannay, Metallographic Methods for Revealing the Multiphase Microstructure of TRIP-Assisted Steels, Mater. Charact. 40 (1998) 111–118. doi:10.1016/S1044-5803(97)00154-X.
- [45] G.F. Vander Voort, E.P. Manilova, Hints for Imaging Phases in Steels-How-to article covers ways to improve the visibility and identification of" white-etching phases"--Ferrite, delta ferrite, cementite, and retained austenite--, Adv. Mater. Process. 163 (2005) 32–38.
- [46] R. Wu, A.J. Freeman, G.B. Olson, Effects of carbon on Fe-grain-boundary cohesion: First-principles determination, Phys. Rev. B. 53 (1996) 7504.
- [47] P. Lejček, S. Hofmann, Thermodynamics and structural aspects of grain boundary segregation, Crit. Rev. Solid State Mater. Sci. 20 (1995) 1–85. doi:10.1080/10408439508243544.
- [48] J. Takahashi, M. Kosaka, K. Kawakami, T. Tarui, Change in carbon state by low-temperature aging in heavily drawn pearlitic steel wires, Acta Mater. 60 (2012) 387–395. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2011.09.014.
- [49] J. Languillaume, G. Kapelski, B. Baudelet, Cementite dissolution in heavily cold drawn pearlitic steel wires, Acta Mater. 45 (1997) 1201–1212. doi:10.1016/S1359-6454(96)00216-9.
- [50] X. Sauvage, J. Copreaux, F. Danoix, D. Blavette, Atomic-scale observation and modelling of cementite dissolution in heavily deformed pearlitic steels, Philos. Mag. A. 80 (2000) 781–796. doi:10.1080/01418610008212082.
- [51] L. Zhou, G. Liu, X.L. Ma, K. Lu, Strain-induced refinement in a steel with spheroidal cementite subjected to surface mechanical attrition treatment, Acta Mater. 56 (2008) 78–87. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2007.09.003.
- [52] M. Umemoto, Y. Todaka, K. Tsuchiya, Mechanical Properties of Cementite and Fabrication of Artificial Pearlite, Mater. Sci. Forum. 426–432 (2003) 859–864. doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/MSF.426-432.859.

- [53] A.V. Korznikov, Y.V. Ivanisenko, D.V. Laptionok, I.M. Safarov, V.P. Pilyugin, R.Z. Valiev, Influence of severe plastic deformation on structure and phase composition of carbon steel, Nanostructured Mater. 4 (1994) 159–167. doi:10.1016/0965-9773(94)90075-2.
- [54] G.A. Nematollahi, B. Grabowski, D. Raabe, J. Neugebauer, Multiscale description of carbonsupersaturated ferrite in severely drawn pearlitic wires, Acta Mater. 111 (2016) 321–334. doi:10.1016/J.ACTAMAT.2016.03.052.
- [55] A. Vehanen, P. Hautojärvi, J. Johansson, J. Yli-Kauppila, P. Moser, Vacancies and carbon impurities in \$\ensuremath{\alpha}\$- iron: Electron irradiation, Phys. Rev. B. 25 (1982) 762–780. doi:10.1103/PhysRevB.25.762.
- [56] A.H. Cottrell, B.A. Bilby, Dislocation theory of yielding and strain ageing of iron, Proc. Phys. Soc. Sect. A. 62 (1949) 49.
- [57] W.G. Johnston, D.F. Stein, Stress dependence of dislocation velocity inferred from strain rate sensitivity, Acta Metall. 11 (1963) 317–318.
- [58] X. Jiang, X. Li, X. Li, S. Cao, Rail fatigue crack propagation in high-speed wheel/rail rolling contact, J. Mod. Transp. 25 (2017) 178–184. doi:10.1007/s40534-017-0138-6.
- [59] J.R.G. da Silva, R.B. McLellan, Diffusion of carbon and nitrogen in B.C.C. iron, Mater. Sci. Eng. 26 (1976) 83–87. doi:10.1016/0025-5416(76)90229-9.
- [60] F.J. Humphreys, A unified theory of recovery, recrystallization and grain growth, based on the stability and growth of cellular microstructures—I. The basic model, Acta Mater. 45 (1997) 4231–4240. doi:10.1016/S1359-6454(97)00070-0.
- [61] A. Danon, C. Servant, A. Alamo, J.. Brachet, Heterogeneous austenite grain growth in 9Cr martensitic steels: influence of the heating rate and the austenitization temperature, Mater. Sci. Eng. A. 348 (2003) 122–132. doi:10.1016/S0921-5093(02)00632-9.