

**Delft University of Technology** 

## Electro-separation of microalgal culture from wastewater

Rafiee, Poorya; Tong, Yen Wah; Hosseini, Maryam; Ebrahimi, Sirous

DOI 10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101402

Publication date 2019 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

**Citation (APA)** Rafiee, P., Tong, Y. W., Hosseini, M., & Ebrahimi, S. (2019). Electro-separation of microalgal culture from wastewater. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, *22*, Article 101402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101402

#### Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

journal homepage: http://www.elsevier.com/locate/bab



# Poorya Rafiee<sup>a</sup>, Yen Wah Tong<sup>b,\*\*</sup>, Maryam Hosseini<sup>c</sup>, Sirous Ebrahimi<sup>a,d,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Biotechnology Research Centre, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Sahand University of Technology, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Department of Chemical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore

<sup>c</sup> Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>d</sup> Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Electrocoagulation Harvest Microalgae Mixed culture Wastewater

#### ABSTRACT

For further applications of microalgae such as bio-products, microalgal harvesting from its culture medium (e.g. wastewater) must be studied. This becomes more essential when investigating whether or not cells can stay viable to be recycled into the system. Microalgae culture, wastewater, and a mixture of both were separately electrocoagulated at wastewater Chemical Oxygen Demand ranging 66–2700 mg.l-1 and biomass dry weights between 1 and 8 g.l-1. The mixed culture contained species of *C. Vulgaris, S. Obliquus, B. Braunii, B. Sudeticus,* and *A. Falcatus,* since mixed culture technique can reduce the expenses in industrial scales by eliminating the costly sterilization strategies necessary to avoid contamination. The mixed samples were successfully separated with the efficiencies between 44-87% and 70–80% at different Chemical Oxygen Demand and biomass dry weights, respectively.

In addition, it was shown that growth elements of carbon and nitrogen, although at lower rates, were consumed confirming the viability of the cells after electrocoagulation. The consumption rates for electrocoagulated samples were smaller than non-electrocoagulated samples only by 16, 12, and 31% in carbon, nitrate and ammonium concentrations, respectively. According to the obtained results electrical separation of microalgae could effectively harvest microalgae from wastewater without affecting the viability of the biomass.

#### 1. Introduction

Renewable energy and treatment of wastewater are two topics of immense importance in the current century. In one hand, the concerns over fossil fuels consumption grow every day, and renewable biofuels seem to be a promising substitute. However, oil crops and waste oil cannot provide the current demand for fuel, and microalgae can be a significant aid as feedstock for biofuel production (Chisti, 2007; Christenson and Sims, 2011). Microalgae can provide human with a more promising source for biofuel, bio-methane, and many other currently oil-based materials like bio-plastic and fertilizers, needless to mention the cosmetic, medical, and food industries that can benefit from microalgae bioproducts (Chiellini et al., 2008; Roeselers et al., 2008; Barros et al., 2015).

On the other hand, the shortage of fresh water has led to universal attempts to find sustainable water management strategies. Biotreatment using microalgae has received attention since the removal of the nutrients is less expensive and more environmental friendly compared to conventional chemical methods (Hoffmann, 1998; Christenson and Sims, 2011; Abdel-Raouf et al., 2012).

As a result, it would be a promising idea to use microalgae to treat the wastewater of its nutrients and generate biofuel and other bioproducts. Nevertheless, the most costly stage of microalgae-based technology would be its harvesting from the liquid phase reaching to 20-60% of the total cost (Sander and Murthy, 2010; Nguyen et al., 2019). Many strategies, including centrifugation, coagulation, ultrasonic, pH change, filtration, etc., have been applied to separate the microalgae from the liquid phase (Fayad et al., 2017; Nguyen et al., 2019). Electrocoagulation (EC) is one of the most widely applied strategies to harvest microalgae (Gao et al., 2010; Uduman et al., 2010) and to treat different wastewater (Gao et al., 2010). Researches have reported up to 95% of the microalgae removal by electrocoagulation (Uduman et al., 2010). Furthermore, electrocoagulation has been successfully applied to treat various wastewater with perfect efficiencies (Sahu et al., 2014). In these studies, microalgae was separated mainly from growth medium dissolved in water, and other separation mediums like wastewater have

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101402

Received 10 July 2019; Received in revised form 21 October 2019; Accepted 25 October 2019 Available online 28 October 2019 1878-8181/© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.





<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Biotechnology Research Centre, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Sahand University of Technology, Tabriz, Iran.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: s.ebrahimi@tudelft.nl, sirous.ebrahimi@epfl.ch (S. Ebrahimi).

been rarely discussed (Udom et al., 2013). In one of the very rare studies on algae harvesting from wastewater, the chemical coagulation was applied as the harvesting technique (Udom et al., 2013). In addition, one major bottleneck in microalgae application is the low productivity of the culture in terms of product formation and biomass. Besides, many microalgal products are secondary metabolites which are produced at the cost of growth limitation. If these metabolites can be removed continuously from the cells, the biomass can be re-used to produce the high-value compounds (Hejazi and Wijffels, 2004). Therefore, the viability of cells at different stages of industrial operations can be very important. This must be added to the fact that the viable biomass can always be recycled and used as inoculum for the next growth generation. However, there have rarely been studies to investigate the effect of harvesting techniques on the cell viabilities. In one study, the chemical coagulation seems to have had no effect on the cells viability (Papazi et al., 2010), although no investigation has been found to inspect electrocoagulation for similar Results.

The harvesting of a mixed culture of microalgae from wastewater using electrocoagulation has been rarely focused in literature. In addition, there has been no study to inspect the viability of microalgal cells after electrocoagulation. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the efficiency of EC for harvesting a mixed culture of microalgae from an industrial wastewater medium. In addition, the effect of EC on the microalgal growth was investigated through a series of viability experiments.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1. Microalgae medium and cultivation

A mixed culture containing C. Vulgaris, S. Obliquus, B. Braunii, B. Sudeticus, and A. Falcatus was prepared and inoculated into a 4-L cylindrical photobioreactor (PBR) filled with autoclaved 3 N-BBM + V (modified Bold Basal Medium with 3-fold Nitrogen and Vitamins) up to 3.5 L. The 3 N-BBM + V medium consisted of macro-nutrients: 0.75 g NaNO<sub>3</sub>, 0.025 g CaCl<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.075 g MgSO<sub>4</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.075 g K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·3H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.175 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.025 g NaCl and micro-nutrients: 4.5 mg Na2EDTA, 0.582 mg FeCl3·6H2O, 0.246 mg MnCl2·4H2O, 0.03 mg ZnCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.012 mg CoCl<sub>2</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.024 mg Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.2 mg Thiamine hydrochloride as well as 0.01 mg Cyanocobalamin, per liter of DI water (Guo and Tong, 2014). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (Singapore). The PBR was illuminated using four 13 W 6700 K florescent lamps and aerated with a mixed flow of air and CO<sub>2</sub> (1.75 LPM air and its 5%  $CO_2$  flow) with an aeration rate of 0.5 vvm. In addition to the air flow, the content of the culture flask was magnetically stirred to provide good mixing under room temperature. When a dry weight (DW) of  $2 g l^{-1}$  was obtained, the algal culture was used for the subsequent electrocoagulation. The required microalgae were diluted or concentrated depending on the desired DW values using distilled water or centrifugation, respectively.

#### 2.2. Wastewater

A food industry wastewater was used with an initial Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) of  $20000 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ . This concentration was later diluted to obtain the desired COD values for the harvesting experiments using distilled water. Although the set-up was not aimed to perform in a sterile condition, the wastewater was autoclaved in order to make sure that no other micro-organism existed at the start of the experiment.

#### 2.3. Electrocoagulation cell

The EC cell consisted of a 250-mililiter beaker equipped with Aluminum electrodes connected to a DC Power supply. The sample volume was 200 mL, and EC time was 5 min. Each sample was left to settle for 5 min before sampling. The whole sample, without modification, was later left for further microalgal growth. The current density for all experiments was  $250 \text{ A.m}^{-2}$ , and the interelectrode distance was 1 cm. The EC experiments were performed for microalgae (MIC), wastewater (WW), and the mixture of both (MWW). In case of microalgae and wastewater mix (MWW) the ratio was 1:9, respectively. In pure microalgae and pure wastewater experiments, the distilled water was replaced with similar ratios. Each EC experiment was performed in duplicates to ensure the reproducibility of the Results.

#### 2.4. Analytical methods

For each set of harvesting experiments, the Chemical Oxygen Demand COD was measured before and after the electrocoagulation was run. The COD was measured using dichromate according to standard methods (Baird et al., 2012). All tests were performed three times and an average value was reported.

The dry weight (DW) was reported by measuring the difference between the weights of a dried filter before and after addition of 5 mL of sample. To dry the filter before and after microalgae addition, it was kept in an oven at  $105 \,^{\circ}$ C for a day and then cooled in a desiccator (Baird et al., 2012).

For determining the dissolved nitrogen, the ammonium and nitrate tests were measured by phenate and spectrophotometric methods, respectively (Baird et al., 2012). All tests were performed three times and an average value was reported.

#### 3. Results

#### 3.1. The effect of wastewater concentration

The Results of COD removal by electrocoagulation based on varying initial wastewater COD concentrations for WW and MWW are depicted in Fig. 1. In WW and MWW experiments, with higher COD values the removal efficiency started to decrease. In WW experiments, the recovery values for the CODs of 82, 266, 543, 827, and 2748 mg  $l^{-1}$  were 100, 88, 87, 67, and 39%, respectively.

In addition, for MWW experiments, the recovery values were 87, 79, 77, 50, and 44%, respectively. To ensure consistency of the resulted trend for removal efficiency through COD Results, Optical Density (OD) of the samples before and after the EC run were also measured and recovery was calculated in terms of OD values (Zongo et al., 2009; De Godos et al., 2011) (See supplementary file).



Fig. 1. Recovery efficiency by EC in wastewater and mixed mediums at different initial wastewater CODs.

#### 3.2. The effect of microalgal concentration

When the initial dry weight of microalgae was changed, the recovery rate maintained at high values. These Results have been illustrated in Fig. 2. The initial wastewater COD was measured to be between 193 and 263 mg  $l^{-1}$  and after the EC run, the COD removal for WW varried between 74 and 92% (not shown in the graph). For microalgae, the initial dry weights were 1, 2, 4, and 8 g  $l^{-1}$ . The removal efficiencies for MIC were 96, 89, 76, and 90% for 1, 2, 4, and 8 g  $l^{-1}$ .

The MWW only had a slight change, since no big drop in removal of microalgae culture had occurred. Except for microalgal cell density of  $1 \text{ g l}^{-1}$ , where the removal was 68% the three other cell concentrations were measured to be 80%. Here, too, OD of the samples were also measured and patterns were compared with the data from COD analysis (refer to supplementary data).

#### 3.3. The viability tests

Two separate sets of microalgae samples, electrocoagulated (EC) and non-electrocoagulated (non-EC), were studied for the consumption of important nutrients for a 7-day period. All growth conditions were as described above. To study the nitrogen consumption, ammonium and nitrate tests were performed on daily basis, and the COD test was applied to study the consumption of carbonic compounds. The Results of COD, nitrate, and ammonium tests can be found in Figs. 3–5, respectively. Fig. 3 shows that carbon sources in the non-EC sample were consumed at a rate of  $17.72 \text{ mg} \text{l}^{-1}$ . day<sup>-1</sup> while it was consumed at the rate of  $14.89 \text{ mg} \text{l}^{-1}$ . day<sup>-1</sup> in EC sample. In other words, the COD was removed at least 60% in both EC and non-EC samples.

On the other hand, the consumption of nitrate was measured to investigate consumption of the nitrogen source for growth. The Results are depicted in Fig. 4. The nitrate consumption rates were measured to be 2.52 and 2.21 mg  $l^{-1}$ . day<sup>-1</sup> for non-EC and EC samples, respectively. Based on the initial nitrogen concentrations, dissolved N was removed by 35–40% from the mediums.

Since ammonium is a different nitrogen source present in wastewater, its consumption rate was also monitored. Fig. 5 shows the ammonium consumption within a 7-day period. While ammonium consumption rate is  $0.638 \text{ mg} \text{ l}^{-1}$ . day<sup>-1</sup> for non-EC sample, it was  $0.440 \text{ mg} \text{ l}^{-1}$ . day<sup>-1</sup> for the EC sample. Results can be interpreted as the removal of 15–21% of ammonium from the mediums.

#### 4. Discussion

Although electrocoagulation has been applied for years even at



Fig. 2. Recovery efficiency by EC in microalgae and mixed mediums at different initial Dry Weights.



Fig. 3. Time course of COD in microalgae mediums with or without EC run over 7 days.



Fig. 4. Time course of nitrate concentration in microalgae mediums with or without EC run over 7 days.



Fig. 5. Time course of ammonium concentration in microalgae mediums with or without EC run over 7 days.

industrial scale for wastewater treatment and recently for biomass separation, the involved mechanisms have been seriously argued. The current theory states that EC involves several sequent stages (Moreno-Casillas et al., 2007): first, the metal ions are generated. Then, the metal ions hydrolysis occurs and metal hydroxides and polyhydroxides form. Water is simultaneously electrolyzed producing small bubbles of oxygen at the anode and hydrogen at the cathode. Next, the particles are destabilized, the emulsions are broken and then come together to aggregate and form flocs. Finally, chemical reactions and precipitation can occur including hydroxyl ions forming precipitate with particles. These mechanisms, though affected by biomass/wastewater concentration, individually or collectively provided both colloidal (wastewater) and biological (microalgae) separations.

#### 4.1. The effect of wastewater concentration

At constant conditions like current density and time, the falling trend of removal efficiency with higher initial concentration was observed which is in agreement with the Results in other studies (Aoudi et al., 2010). The removal efficiency is quite comparable to many studies in the literature (Olguín, 2012; Fernandes et al., 2015), although the efficiencies often vary widely from one study to another, since the exact composition of wastewater complicates the comparison. In one study, for example, on the pulp and paper industry effluent, with an initial COD of  $620 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ , the COD removal efficiency at the same current density was reported to be around 50% (Sridhar et al., 2011). Apart from the chemical composition, the 3-cm interelectrode distance has decreased the efficiency compared to the current study value where the electrode gap was 1 cm. With increasing the distance, a decrease in the amount of anode dissolution will occur, and the ions need to transfer a longer distance for interaction to form flocs. Thus, with less flocs formation, COD removal will decrease (Khandegar and Saroha, 2012). One study used natural flocculants of Ecotan and Tanfloc to harvest microalgal culture from a pre-treated urban wastewater set-up. The optimal biomass recovery was reported to be 92 and 90% for Ecotan and Tanfloc, respectively. A dose amounts of 10 and  $50 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  were, respectively, used for these two natural flocculants (Gutiérrez et al., 2015). As that study reports, the COD of the set-up influent was  $250 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  on average (Passos et al., 2013; Gutiérrez et al., 2015), which is guite comparable with the WW and MWW results in this study, especially since no optimization was aimed and practiced here. Yet, in another study on harvesting bacterial and microalgal cultures from a piggery wastewater, seven different coagulants and flocculants were tested including two conventional coagulants of FeCl<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, and five commercial polymeric flocculants such as Chitosan. The researchers tested different doses of these chemicals. The best removal efficiencies were generally for FeCl<sub>3</sub> and Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub>. Efficiencies higher than 90% all occurred for high doses of coagulants/flocculants, between 150 and  $250 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ . The wastewater tested here, too, was far less  $(=202 \text{ mg l}^{-1})$  than the maximum amount of COD that microalgal biomass was introduced to in the current study (De Godos et al., 2011).

The decrease in COD removal can be associated to the present compounds. In an EC process, "the COD may increase" due to the reaction of some compounds such as acids with the metal ions to form soluble products which remain in the solution. On the other hand, soluble and miscible compounds that do not react with metal ion can completely "keep the COD unchanged". However, organic salts can form insoluble compounds with metal hydroxide which leads to "partial removal of the COD" from the medium. Since these compounds usually consist the main body of municipal and industrial wastewater (Moreno-Casillas et al., 2007) with higher concentration of such compounds at more concentrated wastewater, less COD can be removed from the medium accordingly.

#### 4.2. The effect of microalgal concentration

Except for 8 g l<sup>-1</sup> sudden increase, the falling pattern was expected due to increase in cell density. This falling pattern can be associated with the adequacy of metal ions to remove the excessive algae along with the decrease in the reaction rate in EC process. (Gao et al., 2010). It was already reported that there is no linear correlation between the concentrations of microalgae and the removal efficiency (Tenney et al., 1969; De Godos et al., 2011). However, the non-linear correlation between the cell concentration and removal efficiency may be attributed to algogenic organic matter (AOM). The negative effect of AOM on coagulation has been addressed before (Zhuang et al., 2016). On the other hand, the algae cell itself, in the category of suspended solid particles, can be removed with high efficiencies due to the in-situ-generated coagulants (Moreno-Casillas et al., 2007).

The 8-g microalgal sample was concentrated using centrifugation of four similar 2-g samples in a way that the growth culture medium was removed after being centrifuged and replaced with and mixed in a fresh growth medium together. Consequently, the AOM in the four samples had been removed and therefore its negative effect on the coagulation process had been mitigated.

The Results obtained from this study are quite comparable with other studies, given the fact that the cell density in those studies was either much lower than present research ( $<1 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$ ) (Vandamme et al., 2011) or reported in cell count (Gao et al., 2010; Wong et al., 2017). In one of the rare studies on harvesting microalgae from wastewater, six chemicals were used to harvest Chlorella at both wild and lab-cultured species from wastewater. These chemicals included two reagents of alum and ferric chloride, cationic polymer, anionic polymer, and natural polymers. The best removal efficiency was achieved by ferric chloride and alum in which microalgal culture could be harvested by 93 and 91% efficiency, respectively. It is worth mentioning that to obtain these efficiencies,  $122 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  of ferric chloride and  $140 \text{ mg l}^{-1}$  of alum were used (Udom et al., 2013). These amounts of additive chloride and sulfate ions yet again bring in the conventional debate over the benefits of electrocoagulation over coagulation. In addition, in the noted study, no separate data were provided on the flocculation of the wastewater itself especially because the carbon source was provided through CO2 flow. In another study the effect of biomass concentration on the removal efficiency was tested. In this study, two commercial flocculants, namely Drewfloc-447 and Chemifloc CV-300, were applied. For both flocculants, almost nothing happened when the concentration of biomass doubled. On the other hand, when the initial concentration of biomass was halved, the removal efficiency rose by 50% in Drewfloc-447 case and fell by 12% (De Godos et al., 2011). Although, the mixed rising and falling patterns associated with concentration change have been also observed in the current study, these patterns are more moderate. This difference seems to be the result of a mixed culture, since in mentioned work, only a pure culture of C. Sorokiniana was investigated.

Results of harvesting at both different biomass and wastewater concentrations show that although biological features can help decrease or increase the efficiency, in terms of coagulation both colloidal and biological particles act similarly. These results are perfectly in accordance with previous studies (Pieterse and Cloot, 1997).

For the MWW values, the measures were more uniform. MWW values for recovery efficiency for all the dry weights, except for  $1 \text{ g l}^{-1}$ , were measured to be approximately 80%.

#### 4.3. The viability tests

It must be noted that small difference in the initial values of COD in both samples can be due to the COD reduction that normally occurs due to electro-oxidation, electrocoagulation, etc. (Moreno-Casillas et al., 2007).

In one study on the growth of a *Chlorella* on wastewater, the COD was removed by 90% over the course of 14 days. In addition, 90% of the total

nitrogen and 93% of ammonium were removed at the same interval (Li et al., 2011). Since the cell concentration in both studies were almost similar, the COD removal can be attributed to the difference between the microalgal species. While *C. Vulgaris* is only one of the microalgae species present in the current study, in the mentioned research the microalgal medium mainly contained *Chlorella* which is known to be a very good mixotrophic, meaning that it can feed both on  $CO_2$  and organic sources (Martínez et al., 1997). As a result, the cell dry weight in that study has multiplied by a factor of 12 from 0.1 to  $1.2 \text{ g} \text{ l}^{-1}$  within the experiment time (Li et al., 2011).

In another study, in which cultivation of bacterial and microalgal biomass was investigated on a piggery wastewater, the COD was removed by a range between 49 and 78% for *Chlorella* consortium, *S. obliquus, Chlorococcum* sp., *and C. sorokiniana* species. In addition, the consumption of N–NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> was also investigated. The N–NH<sup>+</sup><sub>4</sub> removal was reported to be between 77 and 81% (De Godos et al., 2011).

These data from COD, nitrate and ammonium consumption rates collectively states that although the consumption rates slightly differ from each other, yet confirm the consumption of carbon and nitrogen sources meaning that a great number of microalgae are viable and growing. In addition, the slight reduction in consumption rates of these sources may indicate a part of biomass culture has been inactivated due to oxidative stress, production of harmful oxidants, and/or irreversible membrane permeabilization caused by EC (Wei et al., 2011). The confirmation of biomass viability in the current study is in agreement with previous work on bacteria (Wei et al., 2011). Studies show that other methods of biomass harvesting can lead to similar conclusions with cell viability. In one case, researchers used three methods of centrifugation to harvest 9 different species of microalgae. The most vulnerable species in that study suffered only from 12% of biomass viability (Heasman et al., 2000).

#### 5. Conclusion

In this study, a mixed microalgal culture was successfully harvested from a wastewater medium with high recovery efficiency. These recovery efficiencies continued to maintain at high rates even at high concentrations of wastewater and microalgae. The Results showed that the growth nutrients represented by COD, ammonium and nitrate were all consumed, although slightly smaller than non-electrocoagulated samples, in the course of a 7-day re-culturing after the electrocoagulation. These results confirm that cells were viable after the harvesting process. Therefore, electrocoagulation can be used to harvest microalgae from wastewater without the risk of disrupting of the microalgal cells.

#### Declaration of competing interest

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101402.

#### References

- Abdel-Raouf, N., Al-Homaidan, A., Ibraheem, I., 2012. Microalgae and wastewater treatment. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 19 (3), 257–275.
- Aoudj, S., Khelifa, A., Drouiche, N., Hecini, M., Hamitouche, H., 2010. Electrocoagulation process applied to wastewater containing dyes from textile industry. Chem. Eng. Process: Process Intensification 49 (11), 1176–1182.
- Baird, R.B., Bridgewater, L., Clesceri, L.S., Eaton, A.D., Rice, E.W., 2012. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater. American public health association.
- Barros, A.I., Gonçalves, A.L., Simões, M., Pires, J.C., 2015. Harvesting techniques applied to microalgae: a review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 41, 1489–1500.

Chiellini, E., Cinelli, P., Ilieva, V.I., Martera, M., 2008. Biodegradable thermoplastic composites based on polyvinyl alcohol and algae. Biomacromolecules 9 (3), 1007–1013.

Chisti, Y., 2007. Biodiesel from microalgae. Biotechnol. Adv. 25 (3), 294–306. Christenson, L., Sims, R., 2011. Production and harvesting of microalgae for wastewater

- treatment, biofuels, and bioproducts. Biotechnol. Adv. 29 (6), 686–702. De Godos, I., Guzman, H.O., Soto, R., García-Encina, P.A., Becares, E., Muñoz, R.,
- Vargas, V.A., 2011. Coagulation/flocculation-based removal of algal-bacterial biomass from piggery wastewater treatment. Bioresour. Technol. 102 (2), 923–927.
- Fayad, N., Yehya, T., Audonnet, F., Vial, C., 2017. Harvesting of microalgae Chlorella vulgaris using electro-coagulation-flocculation in the batch mode. Algal Research 25, 1–11.
- Fernandes, A., Pacheco, M., Ciríaco, L., Lopes, A., 2015. Review on the electrochemical processes for the treatment of sanitary landfill leachates: present and future. Appl. Catal. B Environ. 176, 183–200.
- Gao, S., Yang, J., Tian, J., Ma, F., Tu, G., Du, M., 2010. Electro-coagulation–flotation process for algae removal. J. Hazard Mater. 177 (1–3), 336–343.
- Guo, Z., Tong, Y.W., 2014. The interactions between Chlorella vulgaris and algal symbiotic bacteria under photoautotrophic and photoheterotrophic conditions. J. Appl. Phycol. 26 (3), 1483–1492.
- Gutiérrez, R., Passos, F., Ferrer, I., Uggetti, E., García, J., 2015. Harvesting microalgae from wastewater treatment systems with natural flocculants: effect on biomass settling and biogas production. Algal research 9, 204–211.
- Heasman, M., Diemar, J., O'connor, W., Sushames, T., Foulkes, L., 2000. Development of extended shelf-life microalgae concentrate diets harvested by centrifugation for bivalve molluscs-a summary. Aquacult. Res. 31 (8-9), 637-659.
- Hejazi, M.A., Wijffels, R.H., 2004. Milking of microalgae. Trends Biotechnol. 22 (4), 189–194.
- Hoffmann, J.P., 1998. Wastewater treatment with suspended and nonsuspended algae. J. Phycol. 34 (5), 757–763.
- Khandegar, V., Saroha, A.K., 2012. Electrochemical treatment of distillery spent wash using aluminum and iron electrodes. Chin. J. Chem. Eng. 20 (3), 439–443.
- Li, Y., Chen, Y.-F., Chen, P., Min, M., Zhou, W., Martinez, D., Zhu, J., Ruan, R., 2011. Characterization of a microalga Chlorella sp. well adapted to highly concentrated municipal wastewater for nutrient removal and biodiesel production. Bioresour. Technol. 102 (8), 5138–5144.
- Martínez, M.E., Camacho, F., Jiménez, J., Espinola, J., 1997. Influence of light intensity on the kinetic and yield parameters of Chlorella pyrenoidosa mixotrophic growth. Process Biochem. 32 (2), 93–98.
- Moreno-Casillas, H.A., Cocke, D.L., Gomes, J.A., Morkovsky, P., Parga, J., Peterson, E., 2007. Electrocoagulation mechanism for COD removal. Separ. Purif. Technol. 56 (2), 204–211.
- Nguyen, T.D.P., Le, T.V.A., Show, P.L., Nguyen, T.T., Tran, M.H., Tran, T.N.T., Lee, S.Y., 2019. Bioflocculation formation of microalgae-bacteria in enhancing microalgae harvesting and nutrient removal from wastewater effluent. Bioresour. Technol. 272, 34–39.
- Olguín, E.J., 2012. Dual purpose microalgae–bacteria-based systems that treat wastewater and produce biodiesel and chemical products within a Biorefinery. Biotechnol. Adv. 30 (5), 1031–1046.
- Papazi, A., Makridis, P., Divanach, P., 2010. Harvesting Chlorella minutissima using cell coagulants. J. Appl. Phycol. 22 (3), 349–355.
- Passos, F., Solé, M., García, J., Ferrer, I., 2013. Biogas production from microalgae grown in wastewater: effect of microwave pretreatment. Appl. Energy 108, 168–175.
- Pieterse, A., Cloot, A., 1997. Algal cells and coagulation, flocculation and sedimentation processes. Water Sci. Technol. 36 (4), 111–118.
- Roeselers, G., Van Loosdrecht, M., Muyzer, G., 2008. Phototrophic biofilms and their potential applications. J. Appl. Phycol. 20 (3), 227–235.
- Sahu, O., Mazumdar, B., Chaudhari, P., 2014. Treatment of wastewater by electrocoagulation: a review. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res. 21 (4), 2397–2413.
- Sander, K., Murthy, G.S., 2010. Life cycle analysis of algae biodiesel. Int. J. Life Cycle Assess. 15 (7), 704–714.
- Sridhar, R., Sivakumar, V., Immanuel, V.P., Maran, J.P., 2011. Treatment of pulp and paper industry bleaching effluent by electrocoagulant process. J. Hazard Mater. 186 (2–3), 1495–1502.
- Tenney, M.W., Echelberger, W.F., Schuessler, R.G., Pavoni, J.L., 1969. Algal flocculation with synthetic organic polyelectrolytes. Appl. Microbiol. 18 (6), 965–971.
- Udom, I., Zaribaf, B.H., Halfhide, T., Gillie, B., Dalrymple, O., Zhang, Q., Ergas, S.J., 2013. Harvesting microalgae grown on wastewater. Bioresour. Technol. 139, 101–106.
- Uduman, N., Qi, Y., Danquah, M.K., Forde, G.M., Hoadley, A., 2010. Dewatering of microalgal cultures: a major bottleneck to algae-based fuels. J. Renew. Sustain. Energy 2 (1), 012701.
- Vandamme, D., Pontes, S.C.V., Goiris, K., Foubert, I., Pinoy, L.J.J., Muylaert, K., 2011. Evaluation of electro-coagulation–flocculation for harvesting marine and freshwater microalgae. Biotechnol. Bioeng. 108 (10), 2320–2329.
- Wei, V., Elektorowicz, M., Oleszkiewicz, J., 2011. Influence of electric current on bacterial viability in wastewater treatment. Water Res. 45 (16), 5058–5062.
- Wong, Y., Ho, Y., Leung, H., Ho, K., Yau, Y., Yung, K., 2017. Enhancement of Chlorella vulgaris harvesting via the electro-coagulation-flotation (ECF) method. Environ. Sci. Pollut. Control Ser. 24 (10), 9102–9110.
- Zhuang, L.-L., Wu, Y.-H., Espinosa, V.M.D., Zhang, T.-Y., Dao, G.-H., Hu, H.-Y., 2016. Soluble algal products (SAPs) in large scale cultivation of microalgae for biomass/ bioenergy production: a review. Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev. 59, 141–148.
- Zongo, I., Maiga, A.H., Wéthé, J., Valentin, G., Leclerc, J.-P., Paternotte, G., Lapicque, F., 2009. Electrocoagulation for the treatment of textile wastewaters with Al or Fe

### P. Rafiee et al.

electrodes: compared variations of COD levels, turbidity and absorbance. J. Hazard Mater. 169 (1–3), 70–76.