

Delft University of Technology

Determination of mode switching in cyclic partial phase transformation in Fe-0.1C-xMn alloys as a function of the Mn concentration

Farahani, Hussein; Xu, Wei; van der Zwaag, Sybrand

DOI 10.1007/s11837-018-03323-5 **Publication date** 2019 **Document Version** Final published version Published in JOM

Citation (APA) Farahani, H., Xu, W., & van der Zwaag, S. (2019). Determination of mode switching in cyclic partial phase transformation in Fe-0.1C-xMn alloys as a function of the Mn concentration. *JOM*, *71*(4), 1313 - 1321. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11837-018-03323-5

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



ADVANCED HIGH-STRENGTH STEELS FOR AUTOMOBILES

Determination of Mode Switching in Cyclic Partial Phase Transformation in Fe-0.1C-*x*Mn Alloys as a Function of the Mn Concentration

HUSSEIN FARAHANI⁽¹⁾,^{1,2,5} WEI XU,^{3,6} and SYBRAND VAN DER ZWAAG^{1,4,7}

1.—Novel Aerospace Materials Group, Faculty of Aerospace Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands. 2.—Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, The Netherlands. 3.—State Key Laboratory of Rolling and Automation, Northeastern University, Shenyang 110819, China. 4.—School of Materials Science and Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China. 5.—e-mail: H.Farahani@ tudelft.nl. 6.—e-mail: xuwei@ral.neu.edu.cn. 7.—e-mail: S.vanderZwaag@tudelft.nl

Controlling the kinetics of austenite decomposition by controlled partitioning of alloying elements, in particular carbon and manganese, is the key factor for optimizing the microstructures of advanced high-strength steels. In this study, a systematic set of computational and experimental cyclic partial phase transformations in low to medium manganese steels revealed a critical manganese concentration range of 1.5–2.5 mass% at which designated manganese partitioning at moving austenite–ferrite interfaces can be used to locally increase the effective Mn concentration and temporarily suspend further transformation during subsequent cooling. Most interestingly, this critical concentration only becomes visible in cases of reversed partial transformations in the intercritical regime and is un-noticeable in continuous cooling or conventional isothermal treatments.

INTRODUCTION

The attractive combination of a high tensile strength with good formability in advanced highstrength steels (AHSS) is the result of tailored microstructures achieved by controlling the kinetics of the austenite decomposition.¹⁻⁵ The third AHSS generation, the so-called medium manganese steels, are compositionally relatively simple carbon-manganese (C-Mn) steels having a multi-phase microstructure in combination with a high volume fraction of retained austenite.⁶⁻⁸ The amount and stability of the austenite phase can be regulated by an overall compositional optimization and by adjusting the temperature and time of intercritical annealing treatment which directly affects the C-Mn partitioning.⁹⁻¹⁷ Understanding the partitioning behavior of alloying elements, specially Mn, for conventional and alternative thermal cycles is a key requirement for the control of the austenite ferrite phase transformation kinetics in medium Mn steels. $^{18-21}$

Recently, the cyclic partial phase transformation (CPPT) approach has been introduced as an novel intercritical annealing route revealing the partitioning behavior of interstitial and substitutional alloying elements at transformation interfaces during austenite to ferrite and ferrite to austenite phase transformations.^{22,23} In the typical CPPT thermal route, the temperature is cycled between two temperatures in the intercritical region, such that both austenite and ferrite phases are present at all times and additional nucleation of either ferrite or austenite is proved to be absent. The observed complex transformation kinetics during CPPT experiments in low (< 0.5 mass%) Mn steels and medium Mn steels can be completely explained by considering local partitioning of Mn at the mobile interfaces.²⁴ As the kinetics of cyclic phase transformations in steels with higher Mn levels (up to 5 mass%) has been observed to be rather different from that of steels with lower Mn levels,²⁵ it is interesting to obtain more insight into the origin of these differences and to determine the role of the

The local equilibrium (LE) model was used to simulate cyclic partial phase transformations in a series of alloys with compositions of Fe-0.1C-xMn (x = 0.1-3.0 mass%; details shown in Table I). In the LE model, interfacial concentrations of C and Mn in ferrite and austenite phases are selected on the basis of an assumed equal chemical potential for each phase across the interface. The current simulations were made using Dictra (linked to TCFE7 and MOB2 databases²⁶) and assumed a 1D-geometry with a size of 25 μ m presumed to represent an austenite grain size of 50 μ m. Using this geometry, all the important transitions in local interface velocity as a function of the (complex) thermal history can be captured and the transformation curves in all aspects are comparable to those obtained in dilatometry experiments.^{23,27,28}

Simulations were carried out for the three thermal routes shown schematically in Fig. 1a, b and c. The actual simulations start from point A (see Fig. 1) where a fully austenitic microstructure is present. All subsequent cooling or heating rates (CR) are 1 K/s. In the CPPT route (Fig. 1a), the temperature is cycled between T_1 and T_2 and held at each temperature for 1200 s (20 min) and then cooled further to room temperature. In the continuous cooling (CC) route (Fig. 1b), the temperature is decreased continuously from T_2 . In the isothermal holding + cooling (IC) route (Fig. 1c) after cooling from T_2 to T_1 , the temperature is held constant for a time equal to the total intercritical holding time in the CPPT route, and then the temperature is continuously decreased. The T_1 and T_2 temperatures in the cyclic transformations for each composition are selected taking into account the corresponding Ae1 and Ae3 temperatures calculated with ThermoCalc,²⁹ and imposing the requirement of a fixed cycling range $\Delta T = T_2 - T_1 = 50$ K and comparable equilibrium fractions of ferrite (f_{eq}^{α}) at T_1 and T_2 for all compositions. The selected T_1 and T_2 values and the equilibrium fractions as predicted by ThermoCalc are listed in Table I against the sample code.

Figure 2a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h shows the simulation results for the CPPT (solid lines), CC (dotted lines) and IC (dashed lines) thermal routes for compositions Fe-0.1C-xMn (x = 0.1-3.0 mass%), respectively. The results of the simulations are presented as graphs of interface position versus temperature. Given the 1D nature of the model, the position of the interface represents the ferrite fraction present (with an interface position of $25 \ \mu m$ corresponding to 100% ferrite). For the cooling rate applied, the CC curves for all the compositions below 2 mass% Mn show ample and smooth migration of the interface but only minute amounts of interface migration for higher Mn concentrations, indicating significantly less formation of intercritical ferrite.

The IC curves in Fig. 2a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h show variations in the interface migration behavior with increasing Mn concentration in a similar trend to that of the CC curves. While for systems with Mn content below 2 mass% a significant ferrite formation during the isothermal holding stage is predicted, for higher Mn content the total fraction of isothermally formed ferrite reduces significantly. More importantly, for all Mn concentrations, the migration of the interface at the end of the isothermal holding step picks up as soon as the final cooling starts and the behavior resembles that of the CC curves for that particular alloy.

For the CPPT curves, a rather different behavior as a function of the Mn concentration is predicted. For Mn concentrations below 0.5 mass% (Fig. 1a and b), a very short so-called 'stagnant stage'²³ is observed during which only minimal austenite– ferrite interface migration is predicted to occur. The CPPT curves following the cyclic treatment show a continued migration of the interface when the final

Table I. The cyclic transformation temperatures of T_1 and T_2 and the equilibrium fraction of ferrite predicted by ThermoCalc²⁹ for all the simulated composition systems

Alloy tag	Composition (all in mass%)	T_1	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{eq}}^{lpha}$ at T_1	T_2	$\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{eq}}^{lpha}$ at T_2
0.1Mn	Fe-0.1C-0.1Mn	1063 K (790°C)	0.75	1113 K (840°C)	0.44
0.25Mn	Fe-0.1C-0.25Mn	1058 K (785°C)	0.74	1108 K (835°C)	0.43
0.5 Mn	Fe-0.1C-0.50Mn	1048 K (775°C)	0.70	1098 K (825°C)	0.43
1Mn	Fe-0.1C-1.0Mn	1033 K (760°C)	0.73	1083 K (810°C)	0.4
1.5Mn	Fe-0.1C-1.5Mn	1018 K (745°C)	0.70	1068 K (795°C)	0.38
2Mn	Fe-0.1C-2.0Mn	1003 K (730°C)	0.69	1053 K (780°C)	0.36
2.5Mn	Fe-0.1C-2.5Mn	988 K (715°C)	0.67	1038 K (765°C)	0.34
3Mn	Fe-0.1C-3.0Mn	973 K (700°C)	0.65	$1023 \text{ K} (750^{\circ}\text{C})$	0.32

Determination of Mode Switching in Cyclic Partial Phase Transformation in Fe-0.1C-*x*Mn Alloys as a Function of the Mn Concentration



Fig. 1. Schematics of typical (a) cyclic partial phase transformation (*CPPT*), (b) continuous cooling (*CC*) and (c) isothermal holding and cooling (*IC*) thermal routes. *A* marks the condition to start the simulations and *B* the condition for which the calculated Mn profiles are presented in Fig. 3.

cooling below the T_1 temperature starts, and a behavior is observed which resembles that for the CC and IC treatments.

For systems with Mn concentrations from 0.5 mass% to 1.5 mass% (Fig. 2c, d and e), a clear stagnant stage both in the austenite to ferrite and the ferrite to austenite transformations can be distinguished in the CPPT curves.²³ For the 0.5Mn and 1Mn systems, the stagnant stage in the ferrite formation when cooling form the T_2 temperature and finishes before the lower cycling temperature T_1 and the interface continues to migrate smoothly during final cooling from T_1 similar to the CC and IC curves. In a different way for the 1.5Mn system, the stagnant stage in ferrite formation continues until below T_1 , generating a post-cyclic stagnant stage in the interface migration which is absent in the corresponding curves of the CC and IC routes.

For systems with Mn concentration above 2 mass% (Fig. 2f, g and h), the CPPT curves primarily show stagnant stages, and the isothermal direct formation of ferrite or austenite is negligible. Furthermore, for the 2Mn and 2.5Mn systems, the austenite to ferrite phase transformation during final cooling from T_1 is fully suppressed to well below the T_1 temperature. For the 3Mn system, migration of the interface during both CPPT, CC and IC thermal routes is minimal and the marginal differences between curves for all three thermal routes predict the formation of negligible amounts of ferrite when subjected to any of the three thermal routes.

The observed transitions in cyclic behavior of the simulated systems can be explained by taking into account the decisive effect of local Mn concentrations on the transformation kinetics. Figure 3a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h shows the local Mn profile in relevant regions near the moving austenite–ferrite interface for all of the simulated systems at T_1 during cooling after the CPPT, CC and IC treatments (point B in Fig. 1a, b and c). The differences in the Mn profile can explain well the kinematic features in the interface migration behavior by considering the transition from negligible partitioning local equilibrium (NPLE) to partitioning local equilibrium (PLE) mode at transformation interfaces.^{1,23,30,31} The Mn

concentration profiles after the CC and IC treatments show a Mn spike at the interface position as a result the LE condition at the interface. The position of these Mn spikes is consistent with the positions of the interface in the corresponding curves in Fig. 2. For all nominal Mn concentrations, the Mn profile at T_1 at the end of the CPPT treatment is quite different from the profiles after CC and IC routes.

After the CPPT treatment, the systems with Mn concentration up to 1.5 mass% (Fig. 3a, b, c, d and e) show a profile Mn profile with a zig-zag shape attributed to the LE condition at the interface marking the ferrite to austenite phase transformation during isothermal holding at T_2 , a Mn spike marking the interface position for the austenite to ferrite transformation during final cooling similar to that for the CC and IC curves, and finally an expanded spike as a result of the LE condition at the interface during isothermal austenite to ferrite phase transformation at T_1 after the first cooling stage (these features are best demonstrated in Fig. 3d).

In systems with a Mn content below 0.5 mass%, the partitioning of Mn at the interface is too insignificant to cause any detectable disturbance in the interface motion and phase transformation kinetics in the three CPPT, CC and IC routes proceeding under the NPLE mode.³² For the 0.5Mn and 1Mn systems, the spikes of Mn formed at T_1 and T_2 are moderately strong and cause stagnant stages in the austenite to ferrite and ferrite to austenite transformations in the CPPT route. However, the interface can still move under the NPLE condition after passing the existing Mn spike.

In the 1.5Mn system, the pinning effect of Mn spike partly limits the back migration of the interface during austenite reversion at T_2 , such that the existing expanded Mn spike formed at T_1 and the zig-zag profile formed at T_2 overlap and create an enriched Mn region (see Fig. 3e), leading to a local increase of the effective Mn concentration. Passage of the interface (during the final cooling stage from T_1) through this locally enriched Mn region requires severe partitioning of Mn at the interface (similar to the PLE mode), and consequently causes the post-



Fig. 2. Simulation results of CPPT (solid lines), CC (dotted lines) and IC (dashed lines) thermal routes using the LE model in systems of (a) 0.1Mn, (b) 0.25Mn, (c) 0.5Mn, (d) 1Mn, (e) 1.5Mn, (f) 2Mn, (g) 2.5Mn and (h) 3Mn, as indicated in Table I.

Determination of Mode Switching in Cyclic Partial Phase Transformation in Fe-0.1C-xMn Alloys as a Function of the Mn Concentration



Fig. 3. Mn profile after simulation of CPPT (solid lines), CC (dotted lines) and IC (dashed lines) thermal routes (point *B* in Fig. 1) using the LE model in systems of (a) 0.1Mn, (b) 0.25Mn, (c) 0.5Mn, (d) 1Mn, (e) 1.5Mn, (f) 2Mn, (g) 2.5Mn and (h) 3Mn as indicated in Table I.

cyclic stagnation in ferrite formation. As no such Mn spikes are created in the CC and IC treatments, the kinetic curves show no stagnation upon final cooling. For the systems with 2 mass% Mn and more (Fig. 3f, g and h), the phase transformations involve full Mn partitioning at the (slowly moving) interfaces (PLE mode). Due to the severe pinning effect

of the Mn spike formed at interface and the marginal migration of the interface during CPPT, the three peaks in the Mn profile (as observed in lower Mn systems after CPPT) virtually overlap and are no longer distinguishable. Thus, the Mn profile after CPPT is very similar to that of the CC and IC routes, but only in the CPPT, and final cooling starts with the interface positioned behind the very narrow but highly enriched Mn region that can seriously halt the migration of the interface during final cooling after the CPPT route.

The predicted stagnation in ferrite formation in lean Mn steels with Mn concentrations around 1.0-1.5 wt.% Mn during stages of the CPPT treatment has been confirmed experimentally using dilatometry³³ and in situ confocal microscopy.³⁴ However, in order to assess the predicted trends in the transformation kinetics for the three thermal treatments as a function of the Mn concentration, a series of ternary alloys with different Mn concentrations close to those used in the computational experiments, listed in Table II, were collected. A Bähr DIL 805A/D/T Quenching Dilatomer was used to measure the longitudinal dilatation of the specimens (typically 10 mm length and 4 mm diameter), using the same thermal routes as used in the LE simulations. All specimens were heated with a rate of 10 K/s to 1273 K (1000°C) and kept for 300 s (5 min) to ensure full austenitization. Then, the samples were subjected to the CC, IC and CPPT thermal routes with a constant rate of 1 K/s under vacuum pressure condition with a maximum of 5×10^{-4} mbar. Fresh samples were used for each different thermal route. For alloys with a Mn concentration below 2 mass%, the CPPT route was performed using isothermal intercritical holdings of 1200 s (20 min) similar to the simulations. For alloys with Mn levels above 2 mass%, the cyclic treatments were carried out with isothermal holding at T_1 for 86,400 s (24 h) and at T_2 for 7200 s (2 h) to compensate for the very slow rate of nucleation and to reach a starting ferrite fraction comparable to that in the simulations.

Figure 4a, b, c, d, e, f, g and h shows the measured longitudinal dilatation curves for the experiments using the CC (dotted lines), IC (dashed lines) and

Table II. Composition of the alloys used for CPPT and CC experiments

Alloy tag	Composition (all in mass%)		
0.15Mn	Fe-0.023C-0.17Mn		
0.25Mn	Fe-0.095C-0.25Mn		
0.5 Mn	Fe-0.0848C-0.47Mn		
1Mn	Fe-0.095-1.1Mn		
1.5Mn	Fe-0.061C-1.52Mn		
2Mn	Fe-0.135C-2.058Mn		
2.5Mn	Fe-0.06C-2.4Mn		
3Mn	Fe-0.1C-3.0Mn		

the CPPT (solid lines) thermal routes. The CC dilatation curves for all the Mn concentrations indicate steady austenite decomposition, while the dilatation curves for the IC and CPPT routes show distinctly different behavior depending on the Mn concentration. It is worth mentioning that the stagnant stages in the experimental dilatation curves can be identified by the linear contraction or expansion of the specimens during cooling or heating.

The observed experimental transformation curves plotted Fig. 4 are all in very good agreement with their respective predictions made by the LE model. In line with the simulations, the experimental CPPT curves for 0.15Mn, 0.25Mn, 0.5Mn and 1Mn alloys (Fig. 4a, b, c and d) show direct transformation and stagnant stages with lengths up to 50 K during the thermal cycles. For these alloys, no postcyclic stagnation in the ferrite formation after the cyclic treatment is observed. The IC curves in these alloys indicate significant ferrite formation during isothermal holding. The minor non-linearity in the IC dilatation curves during the final cooling are an indication of the transformation of the small austenite fraction present after isothermal holding.

For the 1.5Mn alloy (Fig. 4e), the IC curve shows significant ferrite formation both during isothermal holding and final cooling. In the CPPT curve, the isothermal direct ferrite formation and austenite reversion stages during the isothermal holdings at T_1 and T_2 , respectively, are easily identified. The predicted post-cyclic stagnant stage in the ferrite formation is clearly present in the experimental CPPT curve where, after the austenite reversion at T_2 , the ferrite formation is suppressed for about 40 K of cooling below T_1 (when compared to the CC curve). This stage is obviously absent in the experimental CC and IC curves.

For the alloys with 2 mass% of Mn and higher (Fig. 4f, g and h), due to extended isothermal holding times in the CPPT and IC routes, the austenite decomposition starts at higher temperatures compared to the CC routes. As predicted by the simulations, the cyclic partial phase transformations in these alloys proceed with only slight isothermal transformations during holdings at T_1 and T_2 temperatures. In the 2Mn and 2.5Mn alloys, the CPPT treatment causes a post-cyclic stagnant stage in austenite decomposition during cooling below T_1 for about 25 K (when compared to the corresponding CC curves). Although ferrite, and therefore the austenite-ferrite interfaces, are present at the end of both the CPPT and the IC routes, the post-cyclic stagnant stage is only observed after the CPPT route and is absent in the samples subjected to the IC route. In the 3Mn alloy, showing a very good agreement with the predictions by the LE model, it is clear that the CPPT treatment has almost no effect on the transformation kinetics during final cooling which proceeds in a similar manner in the CC, IC and CPPT treated samples.

Determination of Mode Switching in Cyclic Partial Phase Transformation in Fe-0.1C-*x*Mn Alloys as a Function of the Mn Concentration



Fig. 4. Experimental dilatation results of CPPT (solid lines), CC (dotted lines) and IC (dashed lines) thermal routes in alloys with (a) 0.15Mn, (b) 0.25Mn, (c) 0.5Mn, (d) 1Mn, (e) 1.5Mn, (f) 2Mn, (g) 2.5Mn and (h) 3Mn as indicated in Table II.



The length of experimentally measured post cyclic stagnant stage in the Mn containing alloys with different Mn concentrations is shown in Fig. 5. These experimental results identify a critical Mn concentration range from about 1.5-2.5 mass% in which formation of the intercritical and post-intercritical ferrite can be suppressed significantly via austenite reversion through the cyclic treatment. The CPPT treatment in these range of composition can locally increase the effective Mn concentration and enhance stabilization of retained austenite with

ferrite

of further intercritical suppression formation. Comparing the experimental and computationally simulated CPPT curves for the 1.5Mn, 2Mn and 2.5Mn systems, a difference in the length (i.e. duration) of the post-cyclic stagnant stage is observed. While the simulations predict stronger suppression of ferrite formation after CPPT for higher Mn contents, in the physical experiments, notwithstanding the extended time for ferrite nucleation in the 2Mn and 2.5Mn alloys, the post-cyclic stagnant stages in these alloys are shorter than that observed in the 1.5Mn alloy (Fig. 5). The difference arises from the lower fraction of intercritical ferrite (and consequently smaller number of available interfaces) formed during the first isothermal holding at T_1 in the in 2Mn and 2.5Mn alloys compared

It is worth noting that, although for the composition range of Mn is higher than 2 mass%, the cyclic transformation behavior can be initiated by providing extended time for nucleation of ferrite at higher temperatures (i.e. lower undercoolings); we also could have opted for selecting lower critical T_1 and T_2 temperatures for the cyclic treatment. However, when calculating the bainite start temperature for this range of composition using $B_s(K) =$ $907.95 - 193.1C + 02.4C^2 - 31.2Mn + 10.36 \ln(d_{\gamma})^{35}$

to the 1.5Mn alloy.

(where the C and Mn compositions are in mass% and d_{ν} is the austenite grain size in μ m), a high probability of bainite formation during cyclic treatment at the lower transformation temperatures is predicted. Since the kinetics and the mechanism of bainite formation in these alloys are controversial and different from those of the proeutectoid ferrite,^{36,37} the occurrence of bainite formation in the CPPT treatment would complicate the interpretation of the dilatometry curves in relation to the degree of austenite reversion.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the systematic simulations of the cyclic partial phase transformations using the LE model revealed a critical Mn concentration range of 1.5-2.5 mass% in which the CPPT treatment can locally increase the effective Mn concentration in regions near the moving austenite-ferrite interface. This process suppresses ferrite formation via controlled mode switching in the interfacial Mn partitioning and creates a post-cyclic stagnant stage. This stage is absent in the CC and IC thermal routes as there is no reversion stage. The systematic experiments on the alloys subjected to the CPPT route are in good agreement with the LE model predictions and confirm the predicted critical Mn concentration range from 1.5 mass% to 2.5 mass% required to create a post-cyclic stagnant stage via designated segregation of Mn near the austeniteferrite interfaces.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the ArcelorMittal research center in Metz, France. Prof. Wei XU acknowledges the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 51574080 and 51722101).

OPEN ACCESS

This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

REFERENCES

- C. Capdevila, J. Cornide, K. Tanaka, K. Nakanishi, and E. 1. Urones-Garrote, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 42, 3719 (2011).
- H. Luo, J. Shi, C. Wang, W. Cao, X. Sun, and H. Dong, Acta 2. Mater. 59, 4002 (2011).
- C. Wang, W. Cao, J. Shi, C. Huang, and H. Dong, Mater. Sci. 3 Eng., A 562, 89 (2013).
- R. Zhang, W.Q. Cao, Z.J. Peng, J. Shi, H. Dong, and C.X. 4 Huang, *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, A 583, 84 (2013). W.W. Sun, Y.X. Wu, S.C. Yang, and C.R. Hutchinson, *Scr.*
- 5. Mater. 146, 60 (2018).
- J. Shi, X. Sun, M. Wang, W. Hui, H. Dong, and W. Cao, Scr. 6 Mater. 63, 815 (2010).

Determination of Mode Switching in Cyclic Partial Phase Transformation in Fe-0.1C-*x*Mn Alloys as a Function of the Mn Concentration

- 7. R. Ding, D. Tang, and A. Zhao, Scr. Mater. 88, 21 (2014).
- J. Hu, L.-X. Du, G.-S. Sun, H. Xie, and R.D.K. Misra, Scr. Mater. 104, 87 (2015).
- 9. O. Bouaziz, S. Allain, C.P. Scott, P. Cugy, and D. Barbier, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. 15, 141 (2011).
- 10. B.C. De Cooman, Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci. 8, 285 (2004).
- 11. Y.K. Lee and C. Choi, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 31, 355 (2000).
- 12. S. Zaefferer, J. Ohlert, and W. Bleck, *Acta Mater.* 52, 2765 (2004).
- E. De Moor, D.K. Matlock, J.G. Speer, and M.J. Merwin, Scr. Mater. 64, 185 (2011).
- 14. S. Lee, S.-J. Lee, and B.C. De Cooman, Scr. Mater. 65, 225 (2011).
- D.T. Pierce, D.R. Coughlin, D.L. Williamson, J. Kähkönen, A.J. Clarke, K.D. Clarke, J.G. Speer, and E. De Moor, Scr. Mater. 121, 5 (2016).
- F. HajyAkbary, J. Sietsma, G. Miyamoto, T. Furuhara, and M.J. Santofimia, Acta Mater. 104, 72 (2016).
- K.S. Choi, Z. Zhu, X. Sun, E. De Moor, M.D. Taylor, J.G. Speer, and D.K. Matlock, *Scr. Mater.* 104, 79 (2015).
- J. Zhu, R. Ding, J. He, Z. Yang, C. Zhang, and H. Chen, Scr. Mater. 136, 6 (2017).
- Z.-D. Li, G. Miyamoto, Z.-G. Yang, and T. Furuhara, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 42, 1586 (2011).
- Z. Dai, R. Ding, Z. Yang, C. Zhang, and H. Chen, *Acta Mater*. 144, 666 (2018).
- M. Gouné, F. Danoix, S. Allain, and O. Bouaziz, Scr. Mater. 68, 1004 (2013).

- H. Chen and S. van der Zwaag, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 48, 2720 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1007/s11661-016-3826-7.
- 23. H. Chen, B. Appolaire, and S. van der Zwaag, *Acta Mater*. 59, 6751 (2011).
- 24. H. Chen and S. van der Zwaag, Acta Mater. 61, 1338 (2013).
- M.-I. Tzini, P. Sarafoglou, A. Stieben, G. Haidemenopoulos, and W. Bleck, *Steel Res. Int.* 87, 1686 (2016).
- A. Borgenstam, L. Höglund, J. Ågren, and A. Engström, J. Phase Equilib. 21, 269 (2000).
- H. Chen and S. van der Zwaag, in TMP 2012-4th Int. Conf. Thermomechanical Process. Steels (Sheffield, 2012).
- H. Chen and S. van der Zwaag, Comput. Mater. Sci. 49, 801 (2010).
- J.O. Andersson, T. Helander, L. Höglund, P. Shi, and B. Sundman, *Calphad* 26, 273 (2002).
- H. Chen, W. Xu, M. Goune, and S. van der Zwaag, *Philos. Mag. Lett.* 92, 547 (2012).
- H. Farahani, W. Xu, and S. van der Zwaag, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 46, 4978 (2015).
- 32. M. Hillert, Scr. Mater. 46, 447 (2002).
- H. Chen, R. Kuziak, and S. van der Zwaag, Metall. Mater. Trans. A 44, 5617 (2013).
- H. Chen, E. Gamsjäger, S. Schider, H. Khanbareh, and S. van der Zwaag, Acta Mater. 61, 2414 (2013).
- 35. S. Kang, S. Yoon, and S.-J. Lee, ISIJ Int. 54, 997 (2014).
- 36. L.C.D. Fielding, Mater. Sci. Technol. 29, 383 (2013).
- H.I. Aaronson and M.G. Hall, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 25, 1797 (1994).