

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Licence**

CC BY

**Citation (APA)**

Casula, V., Saarakkala, S., & Hirvasniemi, J. (2024). Editorial: Advances in musculoskeletal imaging. *Frontiers in Physiology, 15*, Article 1535622. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2024.1535622>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

In case the licence states "Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa)", this publication was made available Green Open Access via the TU Delft Institutional Repository pursuant to Dutch Copyright Act (Article 25fa, the Taverne amendment). This provision does not affect copyright ownership.  
Unless copyright is transferred by contract or statute, it remains with the copyright holder.

**Sharing and reuse**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



## OPEN ACCESS

## EDITED AND REVIEWED BY

Federico Giove,  
Centro Fermi - Museo storico della fisica e  
Centro studi e ricerche Enrico Fermi, Italy

## \*CORRESPONDENCE

Jukka Hirvasniemi,  
✉ j.hirvasniemi@erasmusmc.nl

RECEIVED 27 November 2024

ACCEPTED 28 November 2024

PUBLISHED 06 December 2024

## CITATION

Casula V, Saarakkala S and Hirvasniemi J (2024)  
Editorial: Advances in musculoskeletal imaging.  
*Front. Physiol.* 15:1535622.  
doi: 10.3389/fphys.2024.1535622

## COPYRIGHT

© 2024 Casula, Saarakkala and Hirvasniemi. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution License \(CC BY\)](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

# Editorial: Advances in musculoskeletal imaging

Victor Casula<sup>1</sup>, Simo Saarakkala<sup>1,2</sup> and Jukka Hirvasniemi<sup>3,4\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Unit of Health Sciences and Technology, Faculty of Medicine, University of Oulu, Oulu, Finland, <sup>2</sup>Department of Diagnostic Radiology, Oulu University Hospital, Oulu, Finland, <sup>3</sup>Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Erasmus MC University Medical Center Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands, <sup>4</sup>Department of Biomechanical Engineering, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands

## KEYWORDS

medical image analysis, musculoskeletal disorders, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), artificial intelligence-AI, spine, muscle, spondyloarthritis

Editorial on the Research Topic  
Advances in musculoskeletal imaging

## Introduction

Musculoskeletal disorders such as osteoarthritis, bone fractures, osteoporosis, or ligament injuries are highly prevalent conditions affecting muscles, bones, joints, and adjacent connective tissues. In 2020, they affected over 1.63 billion people worldwide (Gill et al., 2023). Musculoskeletal disorders cause various symptoms such as pain and mobility limitations, and they have a tremendous impact on the quality of life of an individual imposing a large economic burden to a society (Liu et al., 2022; Parto et al., 2023). The underlying pathophysiological processes and clinical manifestations vary depending on the affected tissue and specific disorder.

Imaging provides visualization of the anatomy and physiological processes of the body and plays an important role in the diagnostics of musculoskeletal disorders both in clinical practice and research. Conventional radiography and computed tomography (CT) are usually used to assess changes in the mineralized tissues, such as subchondral bones, whereas magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) is best suited for assessing changes in the soft tissues, for example, damage in tendons, ligaments, menisci, and cartilage. Novel imaging, image processing, and image analysis techniques have a great potential to enable earlier diagnosis and deeper understanding of musculoskeletal disorders and pathophysiological processes related to them (Kijowski and Fritz, 2023). Furthermore, artificial intelligence (AI) techniques have a great potential to enhance each component in the imaging chain (Burns et al., 2020; Debs and Fayad, 2023; Fritz et al., 2022; Gitto et al., 2024; Hirschmann et al., 2019).

The aim of this Research Topic was to collect articles showcasing applications of novel and innovative imaging, image processing, and image analysis techniques for assessing musculoskeletal disorders and the underlying pathophysiological processes. Although musculoskeletal conditions can affect various joints, and no specific restrictions were placed on this Research Topic, the articles included in this Research Topic focused on disorders involving the spine, spinal joints, and associated muscles.

Two articles studied patients with axial spondyloarthritis, which is a chronic inflammatory disease primarily affecting the spine and sacroiliac joints (Sieper and Poddubnyy, 2017). MRI is an important tool in diagnostics of spondyloarthritis since it can reveal active inflammation in the sacroiliac joints and spine before detectable structural changes on plain radiographs (Mauro et al., 2024).

Lee et al. presented an AI method for the detection of sacroiliitis on MRI in patients with axial spondyloarthritis. They used Faster R-CNN with ResNet-50 to extract sacroiliac joint regions from the MRI and VGG-19 to classify if the joint had sacroiliitis according to the Assessment in SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) definition (Sieper et al., 2009). The best performing model was trained using data augmentation and maximum intensity projection and had area under the receiving operating characteristics curve values above 0.80 at the slice level and participant level classification tasks.

In a retrospective clinical study, Yang et al. explored the potential of MRI relaxometry and mucosal-associated invariant T cells (MAIT) related parameters in assessing inflammatory activity in patients with axial spondyloarthritis. The authors found that prolonged T1 relaxation times, lower percentages of total MAIT cells, and higher percentages of CD69+MAIT cells were associated with higher disease activity. Furthermore, combining T1 relaxation times with percentages of CD69+MAIT cells demonstrated greater accuracy in distinguishing between active and inactive disease states compared to single parameters. These findings suggest that T1 relaxation time values, percentages of MAIT cells, and CD69+MAIT cells are indicators of inflammatory activity in axial spondyloarthritis, and the combined use of T1 mapping and MAIT cell activation levels may provide a more comprehensive assessment of disease severity.

Athertya et al. proposed to use a strong T1 weighting in 3D fat-suppressed spoiled gradient recalled-echo MRI sequence to enhance visualization of the cartilaginous endplate. Conventional clinical imaging often lacks sufficient contrast, making detailed visualization of the cartilaginous endplate challenging. The proposed MRI sequence demonstrated superior contrast between the cartilaginous endplate and surrounding tissues while enabling detection of abnormalities in cartilaginous endplate morphology. The sequence offers fast acquisition and is commercially available on clinical scanners, making it easily translatable to clinical settings, and holds potential for improved spinal diagnosis, treatment planning, and longitudinal studies of therapeutic effects.

Maggioni et al. used a multiparametric MRI measurement protocol to extract quantitative values of (water) T2, fat fraction, T1, and intra voxel incoherent motion (IVIM) diffusion parameters to assess training-associated changes of the lumbar back muscle. They reported differences in muscle water T2, fat fraction, and pseudo-diffusion coefficient linked to microcirculatory blood flow in

muscle tissue between the trained and untrained cohorts. Furthermore, diffusion coefficients were reported to provide additional differentiation between the trained and untrained cohorts. They concluded that quantitative MR parameters have potential to detect and quantify long-term effects of training.

In conclusion, this Research Topic highlighted studies using imaging for the assessment of musculoskeletal disorders, with a particular focus on the back. Overall, AI methods and advanced imaging techniques have potential to improve diagnostic accuracy and deepen our understanding of musculoskeletal disorders.

## Author contributions

VC: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. SS: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing. JH: Writing—original draft, Writing—review and editing.

## Funding

The author(s) declare that no financial support was received for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

The author(s) declared that they were an editorial board member of Frontiers, at the time of submission. This had no impact on the peer review process and the final decision.

## Generative AI statement

The author(s) declare that no Generative AI was used in the creation of this manuscript.

## Publisher's note

All claims expressed in this article are solely those of the authors and do not necessarily represent those of their affiliated organizations, or those of the publisher, the editors and the reviewers. Any product that may be evaluated in this article, or claim that may be made by its manufacturer, is not guaranteed or endorsed by the publisher.

## References

- Burns, J. E., Yao, J., and Summers, R. M. (2020). Artificial intelligence in musculoskeletal imaging: a paradigm shift. *J. Bone Min. Res.* 35 (1), 28–35. doi:10.1002/jbmr.3849
- Debs, P., and Fayad, L. M. (2023). The promise and limitations of artificial intelligence in musculoskeletal imaging. *Front. Radiol.* 3, 1242902. doi:10.3389/fradi.2023.1242902
- Fritz, J., Kijowski, R., and Recht, M. P. (2022). Artificial intelligence in musculoskeletal imaging: a perspective on value propositions, clinical use, and obstacles. *Skelet. Radiol.* 51 (2), 239–243. doi:10.1007/s00256-021-03802-y
- Gill, T. K., Mittinty, M. M., March, L. M., Steinmetz, J. D., Culbreth, G. T., Cross, M., et al. (2023). Global, regional, and national burden of other musculoskeletal disorders, 1990–2020, and projections to 2050: a systematic analysis of the Global Burden of Disease Study 2021. *Lancet Rheumatol.* 5 (11), e670–e682. doi:10.1016/S2665-9913(23)00232-1

- Gitto, S., Serpi, F., Albano, D., Risoleo, G., Fusco, S., Messina, C., et al. (2024). AI applications in musculoskeletal imaging: a narrative review. *Eur. Radiol. Exp.* 8 (1), 22. doi:10.1186/s41747-024-00422-8
- Hirschmann, A., Cyriac, J., Stieltjes, B., Kober, T., Richiardi, J., and Omoumi, P. (2019). Artificial intelligence in musculoskeletal imaging: review of current literature, challenges, and trends. *Semin. Musculoskelet. Radiol.* 23 (3), 304–311. doi:10.1055/s-0039-1684024
- Kijowski, R., and Fritz, J. (2023). Emerging technology in musculoskeletal MRI and CT. *Radiology* 306 (1), 6–19. doi:10.1148/radiol.220634
- Liu, S., Wang, B., Fan, S., Wang, Y., Zhan, Y., and Ye, D. (2022). Global burden of musculoskeletal disorders and attributable factors in 204 countries and territories: a secondary analysis of the Global Burden of Disease 2019 study. *BMJ Open* 12 (6), e062183. doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2022-062183
- Mauro, D., Forte, G., Poddubnyy, D., and Ciccia, F. (2024). The role of early treatment in the management of axial spondyloarthritis: challenges and opportunities. *Rheumatol. Ther.* 11 (1), 19–34. doi:10.1007/s40744-023-00627-0
- Parto, D. N., Wong, A. Y., and Macedo, L. (2023). Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders and associated risk factors in canadian university students. *BMC Musculoskelet. Disord.* 24, 501. doi:10.1186/s12891-023-06630-4
- Sieper, J., and Poddubnyy, D. (2017). Axial spondyloarthritis. *Lancet* 390 (10089), 73–84. doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31591-4
- Sieper, J., Rudwaleit, M., Baraliakos, X., Brandt, J., Braun, J., Burgos-Vargas, R., et al. (2009). The Assessment of SpondyloArthritis international Society (ASAS) handbook: a guide to assess spondyloarthritis. *Ann. Rheum. Dis.* 68 (Suppl. 2), ii1–44. doi:10.1136/ard.2008.104018