# The Combined Influence of Paste Volume and Volumetric Water-to-Powder Ratio on Robustness of Fresh Self-Compacting Concrete

Farid Van Der Vurst<sup>1</sup>, Steffen Grünewald<sup>2</sup>, Dimitri Feys<sup>3</sup> and Geert De Schutter<sup>4</sup>

•'Ph.D. student, Ghent University

<sup>2</sup>Postdoctoral researcher, Ghent University and Delft University of Technology <sup>3</sup>Assistant Professor Materials Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology "•Full Professor Concrete Technology, Ghent University

**Abstract:** In order to avoid durability problems caused by an inadequate consolidation of concrete, self-compacting concrete (SCC) has been developed. The mix design of SCC aims at balancing a minimum flowability allowing air bubbles to escape and a maximum flowability in order to avoid segregation. Because of the higher demands on mix design and additional requirements related to casting, SCC mixtures are in general more sensitive to small variations in its mix composition compared to conventional vibrated concrete. Besides improving the robustness of SCC with admixtures like Viscosity-Modifying Agents (VMAs), it is also important to find out why certain mixtures are more robust than others. This paper investigates the influence of the paste volume and the waterto-powder ratio (volumetric) on the robustness of fresh SCC mixtures. Nine SCC mixtures with a paste volume of 350, 375, and 400 l/m<sup>3</sup> and a volumetric water-to-powder ratio of 0.75, 0.90, and 1.05 were subiected to a variation of ±8 I/m<sup>3</sup> water. The robustness of the produced mixtures was quantified measuring the slump flow, V-funnel time, L-box ratio, and sieve stability.

**Keywords:** Robustness, Self-Compacting Concrete, Rheoiogy, Paste Volume, Water-to-Powder ratio

#### **1. Introduction**

### **1.1. Self-compacting concrete**

After investigating many durability problems of post-war Japanese concrete structures, Okamura and his team found that a majority of problems originated in a poor consolidation of concrete during the casting process. As a solution to avoid similar problems, a new type of concrete was developed for which external vibration was no longer needed to assure a good compactation: self-compacting concrete (SCC) [1, 2]. In order to combine sufficient fluidity  $-$  allowing air-bubbles to escape and complete formwork filling  $-$  and sufficient stability to avoid segregation, the high fluidity concrete contains higher powder content compared to conventional vibrated concrete, superplasticizer(s), and sometimes a viscosity-modifying admixture (VMA). However, because the target range for sufficient fluidity, sufficient segregation resistance, and to avoid an excessive stickiness is much smaller than the optimum range of conventional vibrated concrete, self-compacting mixtures are generally more sensitive to small variations in the mix proportions, materials properties, and casting circumstances.

To counter this larger sensitivity to small variations, also referred to as reduced robustness, a more severe quality control and better trained workers are needed. The use of SCC is nowadays still limited to cases where all conditions are well-controlled and situations in which an external compaction would cause great difficulties. To facilitate the use of SCC in general and especially for applications with specific requirements for fresh concrete, it is necessary to investigate the origin of the robustness of concrete.

#### **1.2.** The origin of the robustness

Although many parameters such as material characteristics [3-11], temperature [12-14], and shear history [15-18] affect the fresh behaviour of self-compacting concrete, most robustness studies focus on the influence of small changes in the material proportions [11, 19-42]. Of all changes in material proportions, inaccuracies in the water amount are responsible for the largest variations of the fresh behaviour of SCC [43, 44]. Therefore, many studies on the robustness of fresh SCC focus on the influence of small variations of the water content  $(\pm 5$  to 10  $\text{Im}^3)$ . According to these publications, the sensitivity to small variations of the water content decreases as:

- A surplus of fine aggregates is included in the aggregates grading curve, preventing the coarse aggregates from becoming dominant [19-21, 45].
- The powder content increases [20, 21, 23].
- Part of the cement is substituted by silica fume or fly ash [22, 24].
- A VMA is added to the mixture [20, 28-39, 46].
- Certain types of superplasticizers are used [25-27].
- Opposing conclusions are drawn about the influence of the water-to-cement and water-topowder ratio [19, 38]

The mechanisms and combination of influences of the powder content, the water-to-powder ratio, mineral additions and admixtures on the robustness are still unknown. Some authors indicate the importance of the paste volume, the paste density, and the paste viscosity [20, 21, 23], while others focus on the excess water in the concrete mix design [38] or make a link between the thixotropy and robustness [47, 48]. This paper attempts to determine the causes behind the influence of paste volume and volumetric water-to-powder ratio on the robustness of SCC.

#### **2.** Experimental work

#### **2.1. Materials and mixing sequence**

**All mixtures are made with the same raw materials: Rhine sand 0/5, river gravel 2/8 and 8/16**  (with a density of respectively 2630 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, 2670 kg/m<sup>3</sup>, and 2660 kg/m<sup>3</sup>), Portland cement CEM I **52.5 N (with a density of 3126 kg/m^ and a Blaine fineness of 370 m^/kg), limestone filler (with a** 

**density of 2685 kg/m^ and a specific surface area of 424 m^/kg, based on the particle size distribution), and a PC E superplasticiser with a solid content of 35%. The grading curve of the aggregates is illustrated in** 

Figure 1 and the chemical composition of the cement as determined by an XRF analysis is given in Table 1. After premixing the cement, filler, and dry aggregates for one minute in a planetary pan mixer, water was added to the mixer and mixing continued for another minute. Finally, the superplasticizer was added and the concrete was mixed for two more minutes.



**Figure 1. Grading curve of the aggregates** 

#### **2.2. Mixture compositions**

The robustness of nine SCC mixtures was determined by measuring the slump flow, V-funnel time, Lbox ratio, sieve stability, air content and density of the mixtures subjected to a variation of  $\pm 8$  l/m<sup>3</sup> water. The mixtures, given in Table 2, have different paste volumes (350, 375, and 400 l/m<sup>3</sup>) and volumetric water-to-powder ratios (0.75, 0.90, and 1.05), keeping the water-to-cement ratio constant. These paste volumes and volumetric water-to-powder ratio's correspond with the 20%, 50%, and 80% fractals of a database summarizing the properties of SCC mixes used in more than 175 papers [49,

#### **Table 1. Chemical composition of the cement**



50]. The superplasticizer dosage was always determined such that the Sieve Stability Index (S.S.I., tested according to EN 12350-11) of the reference mixture is between 8 and 12%.

Paste volume / water-to- powder ratio (by volume) $[1/m^3]$ / [-]	Sand 0/5 [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Gravel 2/8 [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Gravel 8/16 [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Cement 152 N [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Limeston e filler [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	Water [kg/m <sup>3</sup> ]	<b>SP</b> dosage $[1/m^3]$
400/0.75	800	279	459	312	346	171	3.31
400/0.90	800	279	459	344	269	189	2.50
400/1.05	800	279	459	373	204	205	1.95
375/0.75	835	291	478	292	324	161	3.95
375/0.90	835	291	478	323	252	178	2.80
375/1.05	835	291	478	349	191	192	2.00
350/0.75	869	303	498	273	302	150	5.31
350/0.90	869	303	498	301	236	166	3.63
350/1.05	869	303	498	326	178	179	2.38

Table 2: Mix proportions of reference SCC mixes

#### **3.** Experimental results

Table 3 and Table 4 summarize the fresh properties of the nine reference mixtures. In Table 4, for each workability test, the values for the reference mixtures are listed together with the change of the test response per liter water of the parameter (eg. ΔSF / 16 l/mª) and the ratio of the interval divided by the mean value (eg. ASF / SFref). All workability tests except the L-box ratio gave a good picture of the impact of fluctuations of the water content on the fresh behaviour of the mixtures.





**Table 4: The robustness of nine SCC mixes** 





#### **3.1.** Variations of the slump flow

Because the superplasticizer dosage was always adjusted in order to have a S.S.I, of 10%±2%, the slump flow of the nine mixtures is not related to their paste volume or water-to-powder ratio (Table 3). However, as shown in Table 4 and Figure 2, the sensitivity of the slump flow to changes in the water content depends on the paste volume: the robustness of the flow decreases as the paste volume increases. Table 4 shows that the water-to-powder ratio of the mixtures has no clear influence on the robustness of the slump flow.



**Figure 2a: Influence of the paste volume on the slump flow** 



Figure 2b; Influence of the paste volume on the slump flow





**Figure 3a: Influence of the volumetric water to-powder ratio on the V-funnel time** 



**Figure 3b: Influence of the volumetric water to-powder ratio on the V-funnel time** 



Figure 2c: Influence of the paste volume on Figure 3c: Influence of the volumetric water-

#### **3.2.** Variations of the V-funnel time

When the paste volume increases, the V-funnel time of the mixtures decreases slightly (about 3 sec difference between 350 and 400 l/m<sup>3</sup> for the same water-to-powder ratio); the sensitivity of the Vfunnel time to variations in the water content also seems to be independent of the paste volume of the mixture. Mixtures with a higher water-to-powder ratio have a significant lower V-funnel time than mixtures with a lower water-to-powder ratio (see Tables 3 and 4). Especially, mixtures with a water-topowder ratio of 0.75 are very sensitive to a decrease in the water content, making them very sticky and not easy to process. The robustness determined by changes in the V-funnel time therefore increases when the water-to-powder ratio increases (Figure 3).

#### **3.3. Variations of the L-box ratio**

Table 4 reveals no clear influence of the test responses on the L-box ratio results. The results are also difficult to interpret because of the poor flowability of the mixtures with 8 I/m<sup>3</sup> less water, a paste volume of 400 l/m' and volumetric water-to-powder ratios of 0.75 and 1.00, which have a slump flow of respectively 525 and 515 mm.

#### **3.4. Variations of the sieve stability**

As shown in Table 4 and Figure 4, an increase in the paste volume increases the sensitivity of the S.S.I, to variations in the water content. The paste volume has a larger effect than changes in the water-to-powder ratio.



**Figure 4a: Influence of the paste volume on the sieve stability** 



**Figure 4b: Influence of the paste volume on the sieve stability** 



**Figure 4c: Influence of the paste volume on the sieve stability** 

#### **4. Discussion of influence parameters**

Mixtures were designed to show a specific level of sieve stability, regardless of the fluidity of the mixture. As static segregation must be avoided in all cases, the S.S.I, was chosen as "reference mix design parameter". The conclusions of this study may therefore deviate from other results in literature.

#### **4.1. Workability tests for the robustness**

Variations induced by changes in the water content affect the filling ability, the passing ability, and the segregation resistance and may result in a rejected mixture. Because a lack of robustness of an SCC mixture is most often not caused by the three key characteristics of SCC at the same time, it is not evident to grasp the variations of the slump flow, V-funnel time, L-box ratio, and sieve stability index into one global 'robustness value'. A better approach is to judge robustness of each mixture based on its most critical parameter: a poor flowability or a too viscous and sticky mixture when the mixture contains 8 l/m' less water; or a severe segregation of the coarse aggregates or extreme bleeding occurring when 8 l/m' water in excess is added to the mixture.





#### **4.2. Influence of the paste volume**

When the paste volume increases, the robustness to variations in the water content of the slump flow and S.S.I, decreases while the sensitivity of the V-funnel time is constant (Table 4 and Figure 3 to 5). As shown in Figure 5, the SCC mixtures with a higher paste volume (full lines) have a lower slump flow to achieve similar stability and are thus more sensitive to a poor flowability when the water dosage is decreased by 8 l/m<sup>3</sup> or have a more than proportional increase in S.S.I. when 8 l/m<sup>3</sup> water is added to the mixture.

#### **4.3. Influence of the volumetric water-to-powder ratio**

The robustness determined by changes in the V-funnel time increases when the water-to-powder ratio increases (Table 4, Figure 3, and Figure 5, lines with circles). Because the superplasticizer dosage added to the mixtures decreases with a higher water-to-powder ratio to achieve similar stability, the resulting effect on the sensitivity of the slump flow and S.S.I, is rather limited. Mixtures with a higher water-to-powder ratio have a lower plastic viscosity, making them more dependent on the yield stress to assure a stable mix design. Therefore, the robustness of these mixtures should be assured by increasing the aggregates volume and thus reducing the paste volume. When the water-to-powder ratio of a mixture is rather low, the plastic viscosity might become too high when reducing water by 8 I/m<sup>3</sup> and the robustness should be guaranteed by a larger paste volume. The paste volume, however, should also not be too high, since this increases the sensitivity of the slump flow of the mixture.

#### **5. Recommendation s**

Every application of SCC imposes specific demands towards the mix design of the concrete [24]. In order to combine specific workability demands with a sufficient robustness, the following is recommended regarding the paste volume and water-to-powder volume combination while assuming the stability of the mixture, summarized in Table 5.

#### **Table 5: The recommended paste volume and water-to-powder ratio depended on the application**



#### **5.1. Large horizontal elements (floors and plates)**

To facilitate the casting of large horizontal elements, SCC mixtures with high volumetric water-topowder ratios should be used. Because the stability of such a mixture is provided by its high yield stress, the robustness can be improved by decreasing the paste volume of the mixture.

#### **5.2. Long horizontal elements (reinforced beams)**

A slow, but far flowing SCC mixture with a low paste volume and low water-to-paste ratio should be applied. In such a mixture, the stability is achieved by the high plastic viscosity. With a sufficiently high but not too high volumetric water-to-powder ratio, the needed robustness concerning changes in the water content is achieved.

#### **5.3. Long vertical elements (walls)**

In order to flow slowly and without segregation in between the reinforcement of long vertical elements, mixtures should have a low yield stress and a high plastic viscosity for its segregation resistance. The high plastic viscosity can be achieved with a low volumetric water-to-powder ratio. A maximum robustness is achieved by a higher paste volume, which should not be too high preventing the yield stress from becoming dominant and thus increasing the sensitivity to an too low flowability.

#### **5.4. Slender vertical elements (columns)**

In columns and slender walls, the mixture should have a high plastic viscosity and without specific demands towards the yield stress. Because the stability to segregation can be provided by a combination of an intermediate plastic viscosity and yield stress, the mixture needs a low volumetric water-to-powder ratio to obtain the required plastic viscosity and a relatively high paste volume.

#### **6. Conclusion s**

An experimental program including nine SCC mix compositions with different paste volumes and volumetric water-to-powder ratio's demonstrates that the robustness of SCC should be tested with several workability parameters in order to determine the most critical parameter of the mixture for a specific application. Because the L-box ratio does not always provide clear trends, the analysis in this experimental program is based on the slump flow, V-funnel, and sieve stability measurements. For all mixtures, the superplasticizer dosage was adjusted to achieve a specific stability level  $(S.S.I. = 10±2\%)$ .

The robustness of the slump flow increases when the paste volume decreases, because mixtures with a lower paste volume depend mainly on their larger aggregate volume in order to obtain sufficient segregation resistance. The paste volume only has a minor influence on the V-funnel time and its sensitivity. A lower volumetric water-to-powder ratio increases the V-funnel time and increases the risk for the mixture of becoming too viscous when a small decrease of the water content occurs. The limited influence of the water-to-powder ratio on variations of the slump flow is probably caused by the lower superplasticizer dosage of the mixtures with a higher water-to-powder ratio.

#### **7. Acknowledgement s**

The authors would like to thank the Science Foundation Flanders (FWO) for their financial support, and Tom Stulemeijer and Nathan Lampens for their assistance during the experimental work.

#### 8. References

[I] De Schutter G, Bartos PJM, Domone P, Gibbs J. Self-compacting concrete. Dunbeath, Scotland, UK: Whittles Publishing 2008.

[2] Ozawa K, Maekawa K, Kunishima M, Okamura H. Development of high performance concrete based on the durability design of concrete structures. In: Okamura H, Shima H, eds. Second East-Asia and Pacific Conference on Structural Engineering and Construction. Chiang-Mai, Thailand 1989.

[3] Nunes S, Milheiro-Oliveira P, Sousa Coutinho J, Figueiras J. Rheological characterization of SCC mortars and pastes with changes induced by cement delivery. Cement & Concrete Composites. 2011;33(1):103-15.

[4] Kubens S. Interaction of cement and admixtures and its effect on rheological properties. Götingen: Bauhaus-Universitat Weimar 2010:Doctoral thesis.

[5] Kubens S, Peng H, Oesterheid S, Wallevik OH. Some effects of silica fume on variations in rheoiogy of mortar due to production date of cement. Annual Transactions of the Nordic Rheoiogy Society. 2008; 16:4.

[6] Wallevik OH, Kubens S, Müller F. Influence of cement-admixture interaction on the stability of production properties of SCC. In: De Schutter G, Boel V, eds. 5th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Ghent, Belgium: RILEM Publications SARL 2007:211-6.

[7] Nunes S, Oliveira PM, Coutinho JS, Figueiras J. Rheological characterization of SCC mortars and pastes with changes induced by cement delivery. Cement & Concrete Composites. 2011;33(1).

Juvas K, Käppi A, Salo K, Nordenswan E. The effects of cement variations on concrete workability. Nordic Concrete Research. 2001;26:39-46.

Westerholm M, Lagerblad B, Silfwerbrand J, Forssberg E. Influence of fine aggregate characteristics on the rheological properties of mortars. Cement & Concrete Composites. 2008;30(4):274-82.

[10] Kristensen LF. Influence of different Danish glacial deposited sands on SCC properties. In: Wang K, Shah SP, eds. Fiftfi North American Conference on the Design and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete. Chicago, IL, USA 2013.

[11] Yurugi M, Sakai G. A Proven QA System for Flowable Concrete. Concrete International. 1998;20(10):44-8.

[12] Yamada K, Yanagisawa T, Hanehara S. Influence of temperature on the dispersibility of polycarboxylate type superplasticizer for highly fluid concrete. In: Skarendahl A, Petersson Ö, eds. First International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Stockholm, Sweden: RILEM Publications 1999:437-48.

[13] Jolicoeur C, Simard M-A. Chemical admixture-cement interactions: phenomenology and physico-chemical concepts. Cement and Concrete Composites. 1998;20(2):87-101.

[14] Schmidt W. Design concepts for the robustness improvement of self-compacting concrete - Effects of admixtures and mixture components on the rheoiogy and early hydration at varying temperatures. Eindhoven, The Netherlands: Eindhoven University of Technology 2014:Doctoral thesis. [15] Van Der Vurst F, Ghafari E, Feys D, De Schutter G. Influence of addition sequence of materials on rheological properties of self-compacting concrete. The 23rd Nordic Concrete Research Symposium. Reykjavik, Iceland: Norsk betongforening 2014:399-402.

[16] Ng lYT, Ng PL, Fung WWS, Kwan AKH. Optimizing mixing sequence and mixing time for SCC. In: Shi C, Yu Z, Khayat KH, Yan P, eds. Second International Symposium on Design, Performance and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete. Beijing, China: RILEM 2009:105-13.

[17] Mazanec O, SchiessI P. Mixing Time Optimisation for UHPC. In: Fehling E, Schmidt M, Stürwald S, eds. Second International Symposium on Ultra High Performance Concrete. Kassei, Germany: Kassei University Press 2008:401-8.

[18] SchiessI P, Mazanec O, Lowke D. SCC and UHPC - Effect of mixing technology on fresh concrete properties. In: Grosse CU, ed. Advances in Construction Materials. Heidelberg, Germany: Springer 2007:513-22.

[19] Kwan AKH, Ng lYT. Optimum superplasticiser dosage and aggregate proportions for SCC. Magazine of Concrete Research. 2009;61(4):281-92.

[20] Bonen D, Deshpande Y, OIek J, Shen L, Struble L, Lange DA, et al. Robustness of selfconsolidating concrete. In: De Schutter G, Boel V, eds. 5th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Ghent, Belgium: RILEM Publications SARL 2007:33-42.

[21] Jonassen J-E, Nilsson M, Utsi S, Simonsson P, Emborg M. Designing robust SCC for industrial construction with cast in place concrete. In: Shah SP, ed. Second North American Conference on the Design and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete; Fourth International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Chicago, IL, USA: Hanley Wood, LLC 2005:1251-7.

[22] Kwan AKH, Ng lYT. Improving performance and robustness of SCC by adding supplementary cementitious materials. Construction and Building Materials. 2010;24(11):2260-6.

[23] Nunes S, Oliveira PM, Coutinho JS, Figueiras J. Evaluation of SCC Mixture Robustness. In: De Schutter G, Boel V, eds. 5th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Ghent, Belgium: RILEM Publications SARL 2007:131-6.

[24] BIBM - CEMBUREAU - EFCA - EFNARC - ERMCO. The European Guidelines for Self-Compacting Concrete - Specification, Production and Use. 2005.

[25] Haldenwang R, Fester VG. The influence of different superplasticisers on the flowability and reproducibility of a SCC mix. In: Wallevik O, Khrapko M, eds. 9th International Symposium on High Performance Concrete. Rotorua, New Zealand: New Zealand Concrete Society 2011.

[26] Bosiljkov VB, Gasperic N, Zevnik L. New type of superplasticizer for SCC mixtures with increased robustness. In: Roussel N, Bessaies H, eds. 7th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Paris, France 2013.

[27] Naji S, Hwang S-D, Khayat KH. Robustness of self-consolidating concrete incorperating different viscosity-enhancing admixtures. ACl Materials Journal. 2011;108(4):432-8.

[28] Billberg P, Westerholm M. Robustness of fresh VMA-modified SCC to varying aggregate moisture. NCR Joumal. 2008;38(7):103-19.

[29] Grünewald S, Walraven JC. Robust flowable concrete with viscosity agents. In: Mechtcherine V, Schroefl C, eds. International RILEM Conference on Application of superabsorbent polymers and other new admixtures in concrete construction. Dresden, Germany: RILEM Publications 2014:385-94.

[30] Sakata N, Yanai S, Yoshizaki M, Phyfferoen A, Monty H. Evaluation of S-657 Biopolymer as a new viscosity-modifying admixture for self-compacting concrete. In: Ozawa K, Ouchi M, eds. Second International Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Tokyo, Japan 2001:229-36.

[31] Phyfferoen A, Monty H, Skags B, Sakata N, Yanai S, Yoshizaki M. Evaluation of the biopolymer, diutan gum, for use in self-compacting concrete. In: Shah SP, Daczko JA, Lingscheit JN, eds. First North American Conference on the Design and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete. Evanston, IL, USA 2002:147-52.

[32] Grünewald S, Walraven JC. The effect of viscosity agents on the characteristics of selfcompacting concrete. In: Shah SP, ed. Second North American Conference on the Design and Use of

Self-consolidating Concrete / 4th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Addison, IL, USA: Hanley Wood 2005:9-15.

[33] Domone PL. Self-compacting concrete: An analysis of 11 years of case studies. Cement & Concrete Composites. 2006;28(2): 197-208.

[34] Berke NS, Cornman CR, Jeknavorian AA, Knight GF, Wallevik O. The effective use of superplasticizers and viscosity-modifying agents in self-consolidating concrete. In: Shah SP, Daczko JA, Lingscheit JN, eds. First North American Conference on the Design and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete. Evanston, IL, USA: North Western University 2002:173-8.

[35] Sakata N, Yanai S, Yokozeki K, Maruyama K. Study on new viscosity agent for combination use type of self-compacting concrete. Journal of Advanced Concrete Technology. 2003;1(1):37-41.

[36] Garcia L, Valcuende M, Balasch S, Fernández-Lebrez J. Study of robustness of selfcompacting concretes made with low fines content. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. 2013;25(4):497-503.

[37] Gettu R, Shareef SN, Ernest KJD. Evaluation of the robustness of SCC. The Indian Concrete Journal. 2009;83(6):13-9.

[38] Billberg PH. Influence of powder type and VMA combination on certain key fresh properties of SCC. In: Wallevik O, Khrapko M, eds. 9th International Symposium on High Performance Concrete. Rotorua, New Zealand: New Zealand Concrete Society 2011.

[39] Höveling H. Robustheit von selbstverdichtendem Beton (SVB) - Robustness of selfcompacting concrete (SCC), in German. Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geodesy. Hannover, Germany: University of Hannover 2006:Doctoral thesis.

[40] Leemann A, Winnefeld F. The effect of viscosity modifying agents on mortar and concrete. Cement & Concrete Composites. 2007;29(5):341-9.

[41] Van Der Vurst F, De Schutter G. Improving the robustness of fresh self-compacting concrete using small quantities of fine additions. In: Shi C, Ou Z, Khayat KH, eds. Third International Symposium on Design, Performance and Use of Self-Consolidating Concrete. Xiamen, China: RILEM 2014.

[42] Georgiadis AS, Sideris KK, Anagnostopoulos NS. Properties of SCC produced with limestone filler or viscosity modifying admixture. Journal of Materials in Civil Engineering. 2010;22(4):352-60.

[43] Rigueira JW, Garcfa-Taengua E, Serna-Ros P. Robustness of SCC dosages and its implications on large-scale production. In: De Schutter G, Boel V, eds. 5th International RILEM Symposium on Self-Compacting Concrete. Ghent, Belgium: RILEM Publications SARL 2007:95-101.

[44] Rigueira JW, Garcia-Taengua E, Serna-Ros P. Self-consolidating concrete robustness in continuous production regarding fresh and hardened state properties. ACl Materials Journal. 2009;106(3):301-7.

[45] Lohaus L, Ramge P. Robustness of UHPC - A new approach for mixture proportioning. In: Fehling E, Schmidt M, Stürwald S, eds. 2nd International Symposium on Ultra High Performance Concrete. Kassei, Germany: Kassei University Press 2008:113-20.

[46] Phan TH. Thixotropic behaviour of self-compacting pastes (in french). XXIVèmes Rencontres Universitaires de Génie Civil 2006 2006.

[47] Bonen D, Deshpande Y, Olek J, Shen L, Struble L, Lange DA, et al. Robustness of SCC. In: Lange DA, ed. Self-consolidating concrete. Urbana, IL, U.S.A.: The Center for Advanced Cement Based Materials (ACBM) 2007.

[48] Bouras R, Chaouche M, Kaci S. Influence of viscosity-modifying admixtures on the thixotropic behaviour of cement pastes. Applied Rheoiogy. 2008;18(4):45604-1 - -8.

[49] Desnerck P, Van Itterbeeck P, Boel V, Craeye B, De Schutter G. Survey on the mechanical properties of SCC: 20 years of research. In: Tam CT, Ong KCG, Teng S, Zhang MH, eds. 36th Conference on Our World in Concrete & Structures : 'Recent Advances in the Technology of Fresh Concrete'. Singapore, Singapore: Ghent University, Department of Structural engineering 2011:231-9.

[50] Craeye B, Van Itterbeeck P, Desnerck P, Boel V, De Schutter G. Modulus of elasticity and tensile strength of self-compacting concrete: Survey of experimental data and structural design codes. Cement and Concrete Composites. 2014;in press.

27<sup>th</sup> Biennial National Conference of the **Concrete Institute of Australia in conjunction with the 69^" RILEM Week** 



## **Chair's Preface**

The proceedings contain 171 papers across 14 themes. All the papers included in the proceedings have been selected on the basis of least two peer reviews which were provided by independent reviewers (referees), who were experts in the subject field of the paper. We are grateful to the independent reviewers for their time and effort in reviewing the papers and providing reviews in a timely manner.

**Professor Jay Sanjayan**  Swinburne University of Technology **Conference Chair** 

Concrete 2015

Proceedings of the 27<sup>th</sup> Biennial National Conference of the Concrete Institute of Australia in conjunction with the 69th RILEM Week "Construction Innovations, Research into Practice" 30 August - 2 September 2015, Melbourne, Australia

Published by the Concrete Institute of Australia Suite 401, Level 4, 53 Walker Street, North Sydney NSW 2060, Australia Tel: (02) 9955 1744 Fax: (02) 9966 1871 Email: admin@concreteinstitute.com.au

Publication Date: 30 August 2015

ISBN: 978-1-943847-70-9

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **Keynote Presentations**



## **Repair and Retrofitting**



## **structures Research and Applications**



## **Fibres FRP Research and Applications**







### **Admixtures and Polymers**





**Geopolymers and Non-traditional Binder Concrete** 



**structural Monitoring and Assessment** 



### **Self-Compacting Concrete**

