

Solving large-scale railway scheduling problems with automated and assisted driving systems

Busuttill, William; Quaglietta, Egidio; Saeednia, Mahnam; Rigos, Konstantinos; Hoodbhoy, Nadia

Publication date

2025

Document Version

Final published version

Citation (APA)

Busuttill, W., Quaglietta, E., Saeednia, M., Rigos, K., & Hoodbhoy, N. (2025). *Solving large-scale railway scheduling problems with automated and assisted driving systems*. 56-56. Abstract from RailDresden 2025: 11th International Conference on Railway Operations Modelling and Analysis, Dresden, Germany.

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).
Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Solving large-scale railway scheduling problems with automated and assisted driving systems

William Busuttill¹, Egidio Quaglietta¹, Mahnam Saeednia¹, Konstantinos Rigos¹, Nadia Hoodbhoy²

¹TU Delft; ²Network Rail; w.s.busuttill@tudelft.nl

The railway scheduling problem concerns the determination of trains' scheduled departure and arrival times at stops, and the allocation of capacity in the network. The timetable must be both conflict-free given infrastructure constraints, and stable enough for trains to recover from delays that could occur in normal operations. Existing methods for tactical scheduling contain a trade-off between having an accurate (microscopic) representation of signalling constraints, and having a simple-enough (macroscopic) infrastructure representation to scale to real-world problem instances. This creates issues for infrastructure managers looking to run more trains on their infrastructure by migrating to Distance-To-Go (DTG) signalling systems (e.g. ETCS Level 2), and to exploit the capabilities of Connected Driver Advisory Systems (C-DAS) and Automatic Train Operation (ATO) to control trains more precisely. In this paper, we present a methodology for incorporating the capabilities of DTG signalling in conjunction with C-DAS and ATO systems into a disjunctive scheduling model for both periodic and nonperiodic instances. We show that the resulting model has both a microscopic infrastructure representation, and a macroscopic computational complexity, allowing railways to quickly compute conflict-free and stable timetables for large problem instances. The resulting model also accurately represents the computation of the brake indication point for both conventional and DTG signalling as a function of the trains' current speed. Tests on a large-scale periodic scheduling instance in the UK show that the model produces timetables with reasonable computation time.