

Delft University of Technology

Electro-separation of microalgal culture from wastewater

Rafiee, Poorya; Tong, Yen Wah; Hosseini, Maryam; Ebrahimi, Sirous

DOI 10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101402

Publication date 2019 **Document Version** Accepted author manuscript

Published in Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology

Citation (APA) Rafiee, P., Tong, Y. W., Hosseini, M., & Ebrahimi, S. (2019). Electro-separation of microalgal culture from wastewater. *Biocatalysis and Agricultural Biotechnology*, *22*, Article 101402. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bcab.2019.101402

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1	Electro-separation of microalgal culture from wastewater
2	
3	Poorya Rafiee ¹ , Yen Wah Tong ^{2*} , Maryam Hosseini ³ , Sirous Ebrahimi ^{1,4*}
4	¹ Biotechnology Research Centre, Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Sahand University of Technology, Tabriz, Iran
5	² Department of Chemical Engineering, National University of Singapore, Singapore
6	³ Faculty of Chemical Engineering, Azarbaijan Shahid Madani University, Tabriz, Iran
7	⁴ Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, Delft, Netherlands
8	(s.ebrahimi@tudelft.nl)
9	Abstract
10	For further applications of microalgae such as bio-products, microalgal harvesting from its
11	culture medium (e.g. wastewater) must be studied. This becomes more essential when
12	investigating whether or not cells can stay viable to be recycled into the system. Microalgae
13	culture, wastewater, and a mixture of both were separately electrocoagulated at wastewater
14	Chemical Oxygen Demand ranging 66-2700 mg.l-1 and biomass dry weights between 1-8 g.l-
15	¹ . The mixed culture contained species of C. Vulgaris, S. Obliquus, B. Braunii, B. Sudeticus,
16	and A. Falcatus, since mixed culture technique can reduce the expenses in industrial scales by
17	eliminating the costly sterilization strategies necessary to avoid contamination. The mixed
18	samples were successfully separated with the efficiencies between 44-87% and 70-80% at
19	different Chemical Oxygen Demand and biomass dry weights, respectively.
20	In addition, it was shown that growth elements of carbon and nitrogen, although at lower
21	rates, were consumed confirming the viability of the cells after electrocoagulation. The
22	consumption rates for electrocoagulated samples were smaller than non-electrocoagulated
23	samples only by 16, 12, and 31% in carbon, nitrate and ammonium concentrations,

respectively. According to the obtained results electrical separation of microalgae could
effectively harvest microalgae from wastewater without affecting the viability of the
biomass.

27 Key words: Electrocoagulation, Harvest, Microalgae, Mixed culture, Wastewater

28 **1. Introduction**

29 Renewable energy and treatment of wastewater are two topics of immense importance in the 30 current century. In one hand, the concerns over fossil fuels consumption grow every day, and 31 renewable biofuels seem to be a promising substitute. However, oil crops and waste oil 32 cannot provide the current demand for fuel, and microalgae can be a significant aid as 33 feedstock for biofuel production (Chisti 2007, Christenson and Sims 2011). Microalgae can 34 provide human with a more promising source for biofuel, bio-methane, and many other 35 currently oil-based materials like bio-plastic and fertilizers, needless to mention the cosmetic, medical, and food industries that can benefit from microalgae bioproducts (Chiellini, Cinelli 36 et al. 2008, Roeselers, Van Loosdrecht et al. 2008, Barros, Gonçalves et al. 2015). 37 38 On the other hand, the shortage of fresh water has led to universal attempts to find sustainable 39 water management strategies. Bio-treatment using microalgae has received attention since the 40 removal of the nutrients is less expensive and more environmental friendly compared to 41 conventional chemical methods (Hoffmann 1998, Christenson and Sims 2011, Abdel-Raouf, 42 Al-Homaidan et al. 2012)

As a result, it would be a promising idea to use microalgae to treat the wastewater of its
nutrients and generate biofuel and other bioproducts. Nevertheless, the most costly stage of
microalgae-based technology would be its harvesting from the liquid phase reaching to 2060% of the total cost (Sander and Murthy 2010, Nguyen, Le et al. 2019). Many strategies,

47	including centrifugation, coagulation, ultrasonic, pH change, filtration, etc., have been
48	applied to separate the microalgae from the liquid phase (Fayad, Yehya et al. 2017, Nguyen,
49	Le et al. 2019). Electrocoagulation (EC) is one of the most widely applied strategies to
50	harvest microalgae (Gao, Yang et al. 2010, Uduman, Qi et al. 2010) and to treat different
51	wastewater (Gao, Yang et al. 2010). Researches have reported up to 95% of the microalgae
52	removal by electrocoagulation (Uduman, Qi et al. 2010). Furthermore, electrocoagulation has
53	been successfully applied to treat various wastewater with perfect efficiencies (Sahu,
54	Mazumdar et al. 2014). In these studies, microalgae was separated mainly from growth
55	medium dissolved in water, and other separation mediums like wastewater have been rarely
56	discussed (Udom, Zaribaf et al. 2013). In one of the very rare studies on algae harvesting
57	from wastewater, the chemical coagulation was applied as the harvesting technique (Udom,
58	Zaribaf et al. 2013). In addition, one major bottleneck in microalgae application is the low
59	productivity of the culture in terms of product formation and biomass. Besides, many
60	microalgal products are secondary metabolites which are produced at the cost of growth
61	limitation. If these metabolites can be removed continuously from the cells, the biomass can
62	be re-used to produce the high-value compounds (Hejazi and Wijffels 2004). Therefore, the
63	viability of cells at different stages of industrial operations can be very important. This must
64	be added to the fact that the viable biomass can always be recycled and used as inoculum for
65	the next growth generation. However, there have rarely been studies to investigate the effect
66	of harvesting techniques on the cell viabilities. In one study, the chemical coagulation seems
67	to have had no effect on the cells viability (Papazi, Makridis et al. 2010), although no
68	investigation has been found to inspect electrocoagulation for similar results.
69	The harvesting of a mixed culture of microalgae from wastewater using electrocoagulation
70	has been rarely focused in literature. In addition, there has been no study to inspect the

viability of microalgal cells after electrocoagulation. Therefore, this study aims to investigate

the efficiency of EC for harvesting a mixed culture of microalgae from an industrial

73 wastewater medium. In addition, the effect of EC on the microalgal growth was investigated

74 through a series of viability experiments.

75

- 76 **2. Materials and Methods**
- 77 2.1.Microalgae medium and cultivation

78 A mixed culture containing C. Vulgaris, S. Obliquus, B. Braunii, B. Sudeticus, and A.

79 Falcatus was prepared and inoculated into a 4-liter cylindrical photobioreactor (PBR) filled

80 with autoclaved 3N-BBM+V (modified Bold Basal Medium with 3-fold Nitrogen and

Vitamins) upto 3.5 liters. The 3N-BBM+V medium consisted of macro-nutrients: 0.75 g

82 NaNO₃, 0.025 g CaCl₂.2H₂O, 0.075 g MgSO₄.7H₂O, 0.075 g K₂HPO₄.3H₂O, 0.175 g

KH₂PO₄, 0.025 g NaCl and micro-nutrients: 4.5 mg Na₂EDTA, 0.582 mg FeCl₃.6H₂O, 0.246

84 mg MnCl₂.4H₂O, 0.03 mg ZnCl₂, 0.012 mg CoCl₂.6H₂O, 0.024 mg Na₂MoO₄.2H₂O, 1.2 mg

Thiamine hydrochloride as well as 0.01 mg Cyanocobalamin, per liter of DI water (Guo and

Tong 2014). All chemicals were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich (Singapore). The PBR was

87 illuminated using four 13W 6700K florescent lamps and aerated with a mixed flow of air and

 CO_2 (1.75 LPM air and its 5% CO_2 flow) with an aeration rate of 0.5 vvm. In addition to the

89 air flow, the content of the culture flask was magnetically stirred to provide good mixing

90 under room temperature. When a dry weight (DW) of 2 g.l⁻¹ was obtained, the algal culture

91 was used for the subsequent electrocoagulation. The required microalgae were diluted or

92 concentrated depending on the desired DW values using distilled water or centrifugation,

93 respectively.

94 2.2. Wastewater

95 A food industry wastewater was used with an initial Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD) of 96 20000 mg.l⁻¹. This concentration was later diluted to obtain the desired COD values for the 97 harvesting experiments using distilled water. Although the set-up was not aimed to perform 98 in a sterile condition, the wastewater was autoclaved in order to make sure that no other 99 micro-organism existed at the start of the experiment.

100

2.3.Electrocoagulation cell

101 The EC cell consisted of a 250-mililiter beaker equipped with Aluminum electrodes 102 connected to a DC Power supply. The sample volume was 200 milliliters, and EC time was 5 103 min. Each sample was left to settle for 5 min before sampling. The whole sample, without 104 modification, was later left for further microalgal growth. The current density for all experiments was 250 A.m⁻², and the interelectrode distance was 1cm. The EC experiments 105 106 were performed for microalgae (MIC), wastewater (WW), and the mixture of both (MWW). 107 In case of microalgae and wastewater mix (MWW) the ratio was 1:9, respectively. In pure 108 microalgae and pure wastewater experiments, the distilled water was replaced with similar 109 ratios. Each EC experiment was performed in duplicates to ensure the reproducibility of the 110 results.

111 2.4. Analytical Methods

For each set of harvesting experiments, the Chemical Oxygen Demand COD was measured before and after the electrocoagulation was run. The COD was measured using dichromate according to standard methods (Baird, Bridgewater et al. 2012). All tests were performed three times and an average value was reported.

The dry weight (DW) was reported by measuring the difference between the weights of adried filter before and after addition of 5 milliliters of sample. To dry the filter before and

after microalgae addition, it was kept in an oven at 105 °C for a day and then cooled in a

119 desiccator (Baird, Bridgewater et al. 2012).

For determining the dissolved nitrogen, the ammonium and nitrate tests were measured by phenate and spectrophotometric methods, respectively (Baird, Bridgewater et al. 2012). All tests were performed three times and an average value was reported.

123 **3. Results**

124 *3.1. The effect of wastewater concentration*

125 The results of COD removal by electrocoagulation based on varying initial wastewater COD

126 concentrations for WW and MWW are depicted in Figure 1. In WW and MWW experiments,

127 with higher COD values the removal efficiency started to decrease. In WW experiments, the

recovery values for the CODs of 82, 266, 543, 827, and 2748 mg.l⁻¹ were 100, 88, 87, 67, and
39%, respectively.

130 In addition, for MWW experiments, the recovery values were 87, 79, 77, 50, and 44%,

131 respectively. To ensure consistency of the resulted trend for removal efficiency through COD

results, Optical Density (OD) of the samples before and after the EC run were also measured

and recovery was calculated in terms of OD values (Zongo, Maiga et al. 2009, De Godos,

134 Guzman et al. 2011) (See supplementary file).

135 *3.2. The effect of microalgal concentration*

136 When the initial dry weight of microalgae was changed, the recovery rate maintained at high

values. These results have been illustrated in the Figure 2. The initial wastewater COD was

measured to be between 193 and 263 mg. l^{-1} and after the EC run, the COD removal for WW

139 varried between 74 and 92% (not shown in the graph). For microalgae, the initial dry weights

were 1, 2, 4, and 8 g.l⁻¹. The removal efficiencies for MIC were 96, 89, 76, and 90% for 1, 2,
4, and 8 g.l⁻¹.

The MWW only had a slight change, since no big drop in removal of microalgae culture had occurred. Except for microalgal cell density of 1 g.l⁻¹, where the removal was 68% the three other cell concentrations were measured to be 80%. Here, too, OD of the samples were also measured and patterns were compared with the data from COD analysis (refer to supplementary data).

147 *3.3. The viability tests*

148 Two separate sets of microalgae samples, electrocoagulated (EC) and non-electrocoagulated 149 (non-EC), were studied for the consumption of important nutrients for a 7-day period. All 150 growth conditions were as described above. To study the nitrogen consumption, ammonium 151 and nitrate tests were performed on daily basis, and the COD test was applied to study the 152 consumption of carbonic compounds. The results of COD, nitrate, and ammonium tests can 153 be found in figures 3, 4, and 5, respectively. Figure 3 shows that carbon sources in the non-EC sample were consumed at a rate of 17.72 mg.l⁻¹.day⁻¹ while it was consumed at the rate of 154 14.89 mg.l⁻¹.day⁻¹ in EC sample. In other words, the COD was removed at least 60% in both 155 156 EC and non-EC samples.

157 On the other hand, the consumption of nitrate was measured to investigate consumption of

the nitrogen source for growth. The results are depicted in Figure 4. The nitrate consumption

rates were measured to be 2.52 and 2.21 mg.l⁻¹.day⁻¹ for non-EC and EC samples,

160 respectively. Based on the initial nitrogen concentrations, dissolved N was removed by 35-

161 40% from the mediums.

162 Since ammonium is a different nitrogen source present in wastewater, its consumption rate was also monitored. Figure 5 shows the ammonium consumption within a 7-day period. 163 While ammonium consumption rate is 0.638 mg.l⁻¹.day⁻¹ for non-EC sample, it was 0.440 164 mg.l⁻¹.day⁻¹ for the EC sample. Results can be interpreted as the removal of 15-21% of 165 ammonium from the mediums. 166

167 4. Discussion

Although electrocoagulation has been applied for years even at industrial scale for 168 169 wastewater treatment and recently for biomass separation, the involved mechanisms have 170 been seriously argued. The current theory states that EC involves several sequent stages 171 (Moreno-Casillas, Cocke et al. 2007): first, the metal ions are generated. Then, the metal ions 172 hydrolysis occurs and metal hydroxides and polyhydroxides form. Water is simultaneously 173 electrolyzed producing small bubbles of oxygen at the anode and hydrogen at the cathode. 174 Next, the particles are destabilized, the emulsions are broken and then come together to 175 aggregate and form flocs. Finally, chemical reactions and precipitation can occur including 176 hydroxyl ions forming precipitate with particles. These mechanisms, though affected by 177 biomass/wastewater concentration, individually or collectively provided both colloidal (wastewater) and biological (microalgae) separations. 178

179

4.1. The effect of wastewater concentration

180 At constant conditions like current density and time, the falling trend of removal efficiency with higher initial concentration was observed which is in agreement with the results in other 181 182 studies (Aoudj, Khelifa et al. 2010). The removal efficiency is quite comparable to many studies in the literature (Olguín 2012, Fernandes, Pacheco et al. 2015), although the 183 184 efficiencies often vary widely from one study to another, since the exact composition of 185 wastewater complicates the comparison. In one study, for example, on the pulp and paper

186 industry effluent, with an initial COD of 620 mg.l⁻¹, the COD removal efficiency at the same current density was reported to be around 50% (Sridhar, Sivakumar et al. 2011). Apart from 187 188 the chemical composition, the 3-centimeter interelectrode distance has decreased the 189 efficiency compared to the current study value where the electrode gap was 1 cm. With 190 increasing the distance, a decrease in the amount of anode dissolution will occur, and the ions 191 need to transfer a longer distance for interaction to form flocs. Thus, with less flocs 192 formation, COD removal will decrease (Khandegar and Saroha 2012). One study used natural 193 flocculants of Ecotan and Tanfloc to harvest microalgal culture from a pre-treated urban 194 wastewater set-up. The optimal biomass recovery was reported to be 92 and 90% for Ecotan and Tanfloc, respectively. A dose amounts of 10 and 50 mg.l⁻¹ were, respectively, used for 195 196 these two natural flocculants (Gutiérrez, Passos et al. 2015). As that study reports, the COD of the set-up influent was 250 mg.l⁻¹ on average (Passos, Solé et al. 2013, Gutiérrez, Passos et 197 198 al. 2015), which is quite comparable with the WW and MWW results in this study, especially 199 since no optimization was aimed and practiced here. Yet, in another study on harvesting 200 bacterial and microalgal cultures from a piggery wastewater, seven different coagulants and 201 flocculants were tested including two conventional coagulants of FeCl3 and Fe2(SO4)3, and 202 five commercial polymeric flocculants such as Chitosan. The researchers tested different 203 doses of these chemicals. The best removal efficiencies were generally for FeCl₃ and 204 Fe₂(SO₄)₃. Efficiencies higher than 90% all occurred for high doses of coagulants/flocculants, between 150-250 mg. l^{-1} . The wastewater tested here, too, was far less (=202 mg. l^{-1}) than the 205 206 maximum amount of COD that microalgal biomass was introduced to in the current study (De Godos, Guzman et al. 2011). 207 208 The decrease in COD removal can be associated to the present compounds. In an EC process,

209 "the COD may increase" due to the reaction of some compounds such as acids with the metal

210 ions to form soluble products which remain in the solution. On the other hand, soluble and

miscible compounds that do not react with metal ion can completely "keep the COD
unchanged". However, organic salts can form insoluble compounds with metal hydroxide
which leads to "partial removal of the COD" from the medium. Since these compounds
usually consist the main body of municipal and industrial wastewater (Moreno-Casillas,
Cocke et al. 2007) with higher concentration of such compounds at more concentrated
wastewater, less COD can be removed from the medium accordingly.

217

4.2. The effect of microalgal concentration

Except for 8 g.l⁻¹ sudden increase, the falling pattern was expected due to increase in cell 218 219 density. This falling pattern can be associated with the adequacy of metal ions to remove the 220 excessive algae along with the decrease in the reaction rate in EC process. (Gao, Yang et al. 221 2010). It was already reported that there is no linear correlation between the concentrations of 222 microalgae and the removal efficiency (Tenney, Echelberger et al. 1969, De Godos, Guzman 223 et al. 2011). However, the non-linear correlation between the cell concentration and removal 224 efficiency may be attributed to algogenic organic matter (AOM). The negative effect of AOM 225 on coagulation has been addressed before (Zhuang, Wu et al. 2016). On the other hand, the 226 algae cell itself, in the category of suspended solid particles, can be removed with high 227 efficiencies due to the in-situ-generated coagulants (Moreno-Casillas, Cocke et al. 2007). 228 The 8-gram microalgal sample was concentrated using centrifugation of four similar 2-gram 229 samples in a way that the growth culture medium was removed after being centrifuged and 230 replaced with and mixed in a fresh growth medium together. Consequently, the AOM in the 231 four samples had been removed and therefore its negative effect on the coagulation process 232 had been mitigated.

The results obtained from this study are quite comparable with other studies, given the fact that the cell density in those studies was either much lower than present research (<1 mg.l⁻¹) (Vandamme, Pontes et al. 2011) or reported in cell count (Gao, Yang et al. 2010, Wong, Ho

236 et al. 2017). In one of the rare studies on harvesting microalgae from wastewater, six 237 chemicals were used to harvest *Chlorella* at both wild and lab-cultured species from 238 wastewater. These chemicals included two reagents of alum and ferric chloride, cationic 239 polymer, anionic polymer, and natural polymers. The best removal efficiency was achieved 240 by ferric chloride and alum in which microalgal culture could be harvested by 93 and 91% efficiency, respectively. It is worth mentioning that to obtain these efficiencies, 122 mg.l⁻¹ of 241 242 ferric chloride and 140 mg.1⁻¹ of alum were used (Udom, Zaribaf et al. 2013). These amounts of additive chloride and sulfate ions yet again bring in the conventional debate over the 243 244 benefits of electrocoagulation over coagulation. In addition, in the noted study, no separate 245 data were provided on the flocculation of the wastewater itself especially because the carbon 246 source was provided through CO_2 flow. In another study the effect of biomass concentration 247 on the removal efficiency was tested. In this study, two commercial flocculants, namely 248 Drewfloc-447 and Chemifloc CV-300, were applied. For both flocculants, almost nothing 249 happened when the concentration of biomass doubled. On the other hand, when the initial 250 concentration of biomass was halved, the removal efficiency rose by 50% in Drewfloc-447 251 case and fell by 12% (De Godos, Guzman et al. 2011). Although, the mixed rising and falling 252 patterns associated with concentration change have been also observed in the current study, 253 these patterns are more moderate. This difference seems to be the result of a mixed culture, 254 since in mentioned work, only a pure culture of C. Sorokiniana was investigated. 255 Results of harvesting at both different biomass and wastewater concentrations show that 256 although biological features can help decrease or increase the efficiency, in terms of 257 coagulation both colloidal and biological particles act similarly. These results are perfectly in 258 accordance with previous studies (Pieterse and Cloot 1997). 259 For the MWW values, the measures were more uniform. MWW values for recovery 260 efficiency for all the dry weights, except for 1 g.l⁻¹, were measured to be approximately 80%.

261 *4.3. The viability tests*

It must be noted that small difference in the initial values of COD in both samples can be due
to the COD reduction that normally occurs due to electro-oxidation, electrocoagulation, etc.
(Moreno-Casillas, Cocke et al. 2007).

265 In one study on the growth of a *Chlorella* on wastewater, the COD was removed by 90% over 266 the course of 14 days. In addition, 90% of the total nitrogen and 93% of ammonium were 267 removed at the same interval (Li, Chen et al. 2011). Since the cell concentration in both 268 studies were almost similar, the COD removal can be attributed to the difference between the 269 microalgal species. While C. Vulgaris is only one of the microalgae species present in the 270 current study, in the mentioned research the microalgal medium mainly contained Chlorella 271 which is known to be a very good mixotrophic, meaning that it can feed both on CO₂ and 272 organic sources (Martínez, Camacho et al. 1997). As a result, the cell dry weight in that study 273 has multiplied by a factor of 12 from 0.1 to 1.2 g.1⁻¹ within the experiment time (Li, Chen et 274 al. 2011).

275 In another study, in which cultivation of bacterial and microalgal biomass was investigated

on a piggery wastewater, the COD was removed by a range between 49 and 78% for

277 Chlorella consortium, S. obliquus, Chlorococcum sp., and C. sorokiniana species. In

addition, the consumption of N-NH4⁺ was also investigated. The N-NH4⁺ removal was

reported to be between 77 and 81% (De Godos, Guzman et al. 2011).

280 These data from COD, nitrate and ammonium consumption rates collectively states that

although the consumption rates slightly differ from each other, yet confirm the consumption

- of carbon and nitrogen sources meaning that a great number of microalgae are viable and
- growing. In addition, the slight reduction in consumption rates of these sources may indicate
- a part of biomass culture has been inactivated due to oxidative stress, production of harmful

oxidants, and/or irreversible membrane permeabilization caused by EC (Wei, Elektorowicz et
al. 2011). The confirmation of biomass viability in the current study is in agreement with
previous work on bacteria (Wei, Elektorowicz et al. 2011). Studies show that other methods
of biomass harvesting can lead to similar conclusions with cell viability. In one case,
researchers used three methods of centrifugation to harvest 9 different species of microalgae.
The most vulnerable species in that study suffered only from 12% of biomass viability
(Heasman, Diemar et al. 2000).

292 **5.** Conclusion

293 In this study, a mixed microalgal culture was successfully harvested from a wastewater 294 medium with high recovery efficiency. These recovery efficiencies continued to maintain at 295 high rates even at high concentrations of wastewater and microalgae. The results showed that 296 the growth nutrients represented by COD, ammonium and nitrate were all consumed, 297 although slightly smaller than non-electrocoagulated samples, in the course of a 7-day re-298 culturing after the electrocoagulation. These results confirm that cells were viable after the 299 harvesting process. Therefore, electrocoagulation can be used to harvest microalgae from 300 wastewater without the risk of disrupting of the microalgal cells.

6. Conflict of Interest

302 This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,

303 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

304 7. References

- Abdel-Raouf, N., A. Al-Homaidan and I. Ibraheem (2012). "Microalgae and wastewater
- treatment." Saudi journal of biological sciences 19(3): 257-275.
- 307 Aoudj, S., A. Khelifa, N. Drouiche, M. Hecini and H. Hamitouche (2010).
- 308 "Electrocoagulation process applied to wastewater containing dyes from textile industry."
- 309 Chemical Engineering and Processing: Process Intensification 49(11): 1176-1182.

310	Baird, R. B.	, L. Bri	dgewater,	L. S.	Clesceri, A	D. 1	Eaton and	E.	W. Rice	e (2012). Standard
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·										/

311 methods for the examination of water and wastewater, American public health association.

Barros, A. I., A. L. Gonçalves, M. Simões and J. C. Pires (2015). "Harvesting techniques

- applied to microalgae: a review." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 41: 1489-
- 314 1500.
- 315 Chiellini, E., P. Cinelli, V. I. Ilieva and M. Martera (2008). "Biodegradable thermoplastic
- composites based on polyvinyl alcohol and algae." Biomacromolecules 9(3): 1007-1013.
- 317 Chisti, Y. (2007). "Biodiesel from microalgae." Biotechnology advances 25(3): 294-306.
- 318 Christenson, L. and R. Sims (2011). "Production and harvesting of microalgae for wastewater

treatment, biofuels, and bioproducts." Biotechnology advances 29(6): 686-702.

- 320 De Godos, I., H. O. Guzman, R. Soto, P. A. García-Encina, E. Becares, R. Muñoz and V. A.
- 321 Vargas (2011). "Coagulation/flocculation-based removal of algal–bacterial biomass from

piggery wastewater treatment." Bioresource technology 102(2): 923-927.

- 323 Fayad, N., T. Yehya, F. Audonnet and C. Vial (2017). "Harvesting of microalgae Chlorella
- vulgaris using electro-coagulation-flocculation in the batch mode." Algal Research 25: 1-11.
- 325 Fernandes, A., M. Pacheco, L. Ciríaco and A. Lopes (2015). "Review on the electrochemical
- 326 processes for the treatment of sanitary landfill leachates: present and future." Applied
- 327 Catalysis B: Environmental 176: 183-200.
- 328 Gao, S., J. Yang, J. Tian, F. Ma, G. Tu and M. Du (2010). "Electro-coagulation-flotation
- process for algae removal." Journal of Hazardous Materials 177(1-3): 336-343.
- Guo, Z. and Y. W. Tong (2014). "The interactions between Chlorella vulgaris and algal
- 331 symbiotic bacteria under photoautotrophic and photoheterotrophic conditions." Journal of
- applied phycology 26(3): 1483-1492.

- 333 Gutiérrez, R., F. Passos, I. Ferrer, E. Uggetti and J. García (2015). "Harvesting microalgae
- from wastewater treatment systems with natural flocculants: effect on biomass settling and
- biogas production." Algal research 9: 204-211.
- Heasman, M., J. Diemar, W. O'connor, T. Sushames and L. Foulkes (2000). "Development of
- extended shelf-life microalgae concentrate diets harvested by centrifugation for bivalve
- 338 molluscs–a summary." Aquaculture Research 31(8-9): 637-659.
- Hejazi, M. A. and R. H. Wijffels (2004). "Milking of microalgae." TRENDS in
- Biotechnology 22(4): 189-194.
- 341 Hoffmann, J. P. (1998). "Wastewater treatment with suspended and nonsuspended algae."
- 342 Journal of Phycology 34(5): 757-763.
- 343 Khandegar, V. and A. K. Saroha (2012). "Electrochemical treatment of distillery spent wash
- using aluminum and iron electrodes." Chinese Journal of Chemical Engineering 20(3): 439443.
- Li, Y., Y.-F. Chen, P. Chen, M. Min, W. Zhou, B. Martinez, J. Zhu and R. Ruan (2011).
- 347 "Characterization of a microalga Chlorella sp. well adapted to highly concentrated municipal
- 348 wastewater for nutrient removal and biodiesel production." Bioresource technology 102(8):

349 5138-5144.

- 350 Martínez, M. E., F. Camacho, J. Jiménez and J. Espinola (1997). "Influence of light intensity
- 351 on the kinetic and yield parameters of Chlorella pyrenoidosa mixotrophic growth." Process
- 352 Biochemistry 32(2): 93-98.
- 353 Moreno-Casillas, H. A., D. L. Cocke, J. A. Gomes, P. Morkovsky, J. Parga and E. Peterson
- 354 (2007). "Electrocoagulation mechanism for COD removal." Separation and purification
- 355 Technology 56(2): 204-211.
- 356 Nguyen, T. D. P., T. V. A. Le, P. L. Show, T. T. Nguyen, M. H. Tran, T. N. T. Tran and S. Y.
- 357 Lee (2019). "Bioflocculation formation of microalgae-bacteria in enhancing microalgae

- harvesting and nutrient removal from wastewater effluent." Bioresource technology 272: 34-39.
- 360 Olguín, E. J. (2012). "Dual purpose microalgae-bacteria-based systems that treat wastewater
- 361 and produce biodiesel and chemical products within a Biorefinery." Biotechnology advances
- **362 30(5)**: 1031-1046.
- 363 Papazi, A., P. Makridis and P. Divanach (2010). "Harvesting Chlorella minutissima using cell
- coagulants." Journal of applied Phycology 22(3): 349-355.
- Passos, F., M. Solé, J. García and I. Ferrer (2013). "Biogas production from microalgae
- 366 grown in wastewater: effect of microwave pretreatment." Applied Energy 108: 168-175.
- 367 Pieterse, A. and A. Cloot (1997). "Algal cells and coagulation, flocculation and sedimentation
- 368 processes." Water Science and Technology 36(4): 111-118.
- 369 Roeselers, G., M. Van Loosdrecht and G. Muyzer (2008). "Phototrophic biofilms and their
- potential applications." Journal of applied phycology 20(3): 227-235.
- 371 Sahu, O., B. Mazumdar and P. Chaudhari (2014). "Treatment of wastewater by
- electrocoagulation: a review." Environmental science and pollution research 21(4): 2397-
- 373 2413.
- 374 Sander, K. and G. S. Murthy (2010). "Life cycle analysis of algae biodiesel." The
- 375 International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment 15(7): 704-714.
- 376 Sridhar, R., V. Sivakumar, V. P. Immanuel and J. P. Maran (2011). "Treatment of pulp and
- 377 paper industry bleaching effluent by electrocoagulant process." Journal of hazardous
- 378 materials 186(2-3): 1495-1502.
- 379 Tenney, M. W., W. F. Echelberger, R. G. Schuessler and J. L. Pavoni (1969). "Algal
- flocculation with synthetic organic polyelectrolytes." Applied microbiology 18(6): 965-971.

- Udom, I., B. H. Zaribaf, T. Halfhide, B. Gillie, O. Dalrymple, Q. Zhang and S. J. Ergas
- (2013). "Harvesting microalgae grown on wastewater." Bioresource technology 139: 101106.
- Uduman, N., Y. Qi, M. K. Danquah, G. M. Forde and A. Hoadley (2010). "Dewatering of
- microalgal cultures: a major bottleneck to algae-based fuels." Journal of renewable and
- sustainable energy 2(1): 012701.
- 387 Vandamme, D., S. C. V. Pontes, K. Goiris, I. Foubert, L. J. J. Pinoy and K. Muylaert (2011).
- 388 "Evaluation of electro-coagulation–flocculation for harvesting marine and freshwater
- microalgae." Biotechnology and bioengineering 108(10): 2320-2329.
- 390 Wei, V., M. Elektorowicz and J. Oleszkiewicz (2011). "Influence of electric current on
- bacterial viability in wastewater treatment." Water research 45(16): 5058-5062.
- Wong, Y., Y. Ho, H. Leung, K. Ho, Y. Yau and K. Yung (2017). "Enhancement of Chlorella
- 393 vulgaris harvesting via the electro-coagulation-flotation (ECF) method." Environmental
- Science and Pollution Research 24(10): 9102-9110.
- Zhuang, L.-L., Y.-H. Wu, V. M. D. Espinosa, T.-Y. Zhang, G.-H. Dao and H.-Y. Hu (2016).
- ³⁹⁶ "Soluble algal products (SAPs) in large scale cultivation of microalgae for biomass/bioenergy
- 397 production: a review." Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews 59: 141-148.
- 398 Zongo, I., A. H. Maiga, J. Wéthé, G. Valentin, J.-P. Leclerc, G. Paternotte and F. Lapicque
- 399 (2009). "Electrocoagulation for the treatment of textile wastewaters with Al or Fe electrodes:
- 400 Compared variations of COD levels, turbidity and absorbance." Journal of Hazardous
- 401 Materials 169(1-3): 70-76.