

## Alternative coenzymes for biocatalysis

Guarneri, Alice; van Berkel, Willem JH; Paul, Caroline E.

**DOI**

[10.1016/j.copbio.2019.01.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.01.001)

**Publication date**

2019

**Document Version**

Final published version

**Published in**

Current Opinion in Biotechnology

**Citation (APA)**

Guarneri, A., van Berkel, W. JH., & Paul, C. E. (2019). Alternative coenzymes for biocatalysis. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology*, 60, 63-71. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.01.001>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

***Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository***

***'You share, we take care!' – Taverne project***

**<https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care>**

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



# Alternative coenzymes for biocatalysis

Alice Guarneri<sup>1</sup>, Willem JH van Berkel<sup>2</sup> and Caroline E Paul<sup>3</sup>

Coenzymes are ubiquitous in Nature, assisting in enzyme-catalysed reactions. Several coenzymes, nicotinamides and flavins, have been known for close to a century, whereas variations of those organic molecules have more recently come to light. In general, the requirement of these coenzymes imposes certain constraints for *in vitro* enzyme use in biocatalytic processes. Alternative coenzymes have risen to circumvent the cost factor, tune reaction rates or obtain different chemical reactivity. This review will focus on these alternatives and their role and applications in biocatalysis.

## Addresses

<sup>1</sup>Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, Wageningen University & Research, Stippeneng 4, 6708 WE Wageningen, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup>Laboratory of Food Chemistry, Wageningen University & Research, Bornse Weilanden 9, 6708 WG Wageningen, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Delft University of Technology, Van der Maasweg 9, 2629 HZ Delft, The Netherlands

Corresponding author: Paul, Caroline E ([c.e.paul@tudelft.nl](mailto:c.e.paul@tudelft.nl))

Current Opinion in Biotechnology 2019, 60:63–71

This review comes from a themed issue on **Chemical biotechnology**

Edited by **Sven Panke** and **Thomas Ward**

For a complete overview see the [Issue](#) and the [Editorial](#)

Available online 1st February 2019

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2019.01.001>

0958-1669/© 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

## Introduction on coenzymes

Coenzymes are organic molecules that assist certain enzymes in catalysis. Many coenzymes are vitamins or derivatives thereof, and often contain an adenosine monophosphate (AMP) moiety such as in  $\beta$ -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD), flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD), adenosine triphosphate (ATP) or coenzyme A (CoA). The common evolutionary origin of these cofactors made them indispensable for *in vivo* cellular metabolic processes. When applied to *in vitro* biocatalytic processes, however, cost, instability or restricted reactivity may impede further development.

This review aims at describing new nicotinamide and flavin coenzyme derivatives that were discovered in Nature, as well as alternative synthetic coenzymes and their role and applications in biocatalysis. Nicotinamide and flavin coenzymes in oxidoreductases are first discussed, followed by  $S$ -

adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) in transferases. A previous review gives more details about the functional diversity of all the different coenzymes [1].


## Alternative coenzymes for oxidoreductases

Oxidoreductases account for a quarter of all enzymes in the Enzyme nomenclature database (ExPaSy). Their substrate scope and large pool of diverse reactions lead to a wide range of applications and have brought oxidoreductases at the forefront in biotechnology and the pharmaceutical sector, where two-thirds of chiral products are obtained by enzymatic catalysis [2]. A remarkable proportion of oxidoreductases require  $\beta$ -nicotinamide adenine dinucleotides (NAD/NADP) or flavins (FAD/FMN) as coenzymes. NAD, a vitamin B<sub>3</sub> derivative, is a ubiquitous redox cofactor in living cells central to many cellular processes that can act as an electron donor or acceptor through the release or acceptance of a hydride (Figure 1). Recently, a new nickel pincer cofactor was discovered in a lactate racemase enzyme. This (SCS)Ni(II) pincer complex (Figure 1) is derived from nicotinic acid and is involved in a hydride transfer for the racemisation of L-lactate [3].

NAD(P)-dependent enzymes represent half of the oxidoreductase activities registered in the Braunschweig Enzyme Database (BRENDA) [4]. The current price of these coenzymes can range from € 1400 (NAD) to € 70 000 (NADPH) per mole [5]. To reduce costs of biocatalysed redox reactions, several well-established methods for NAD(P)H regeneration are available (see Table 1 for a comparison) [6,7]. Nevertheless, significant efforts are undertaken to develop simpler, more efficient alternatives [5,8]. Natural-based NADH analogues have been used to investigate the influence of substituents on the dihydropyridine ring, and synthetic nicotinamide coenzyme biomimetics (NCBs) were produced to investigate the hydride transfer mechanism, but more recently were attractive to provide inexpensive alternative coenzymes (Figure 1) [5,9–11].

Natural-based and sugar-based (nicotinamide ribose NR, nicotinamide mononucleotide NMN) NAD analogues can be expensive alternatives to use in biocatalytic processes, whereas NCBs can be easily synthesised in good yields starting from cost-effective pyridine derivatives [5]. NCBs have been used in stoichiometric amounts since their *in situ* recycling is currently an open challenge. Nonetheless, when compared to the costs and disadvantages of enzymatic NAD(P)H recycling methods, which up to now are the only ones applied at industrial scale [7], stoichiometric amounts of biomimetics are shown to be viable (Table 1).

Figure 1



Schematic structures of nicotinamide coenzymes and derivatives (reduced forms; NR = nicotinamide ribose, NMN = nicotinamide mononucleotide).

Flavin cofactors are omnipresent in Nature and are involved in a wide variety of chemical reactions [12,13<sup>\*</sup>]. The most common flavin cofactors, FAD and FMN, are derived from vitamin B<sub>2</sub> (riboflavin; Figure 2), and occur as redox-active prosthetic groups in about two percent of all proteins [14]. In many flavoenzymes, including dehydrogenases, reductases

and monooxygenases, the flavin cofactor exchanges electrons with NAD(P)(H). In most of these enzymes, the NAD(P)(H) co-substrate binds in an elongated conformation, and its nicotinamide moiety meets the isoalloxazine ring of the flavin for hydride transfer at the interface between the NAD-binding and FAD-binding domains [15–17].

Table 1

### Main nicotinamide coenzyme regeneration strategies and the use of stoichiometric amounts of NCBs<sup>a</sup>

Approach	Pros	Cons	Selected reagents and coenzymes involved
Enzymatic <i>Coupled enzyme</i>	High TTN and selectivity	Enzyme instability and additional cost Interaction between species	<i>NAD(P)H</i> : FDH <sup>b</sup> + formate; GDH <sup>c</sup> + glucose <i>NAD(P)</i> : GLDH <sup>d</sup>
Enzymatic <i>Coupled substrate</i>	High TTN and selectivity	Product isolation Co-substrate in excess	<i>NAD(P)H</i> : isopropanol <i>NAD(P)</i> : acetone
Chemical	Low cost	Low TTN Low selectivity	<i>NAD(P)</i> and <i>NAD(P)H</i> : NCBs; M <sup>e</sup> ; Na <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> O <sub>4</sub>
Electrochemical	Electrical energy as electron source	Low TTN and selectivity Electrode fouling Mediator required	<i>NAD(P)H</i> : modified electrode surface + M <sup>e</sup> or methylene blue <i>NAD</i> : modified electrode surface + ABTS <sup>2-</sup> <sup>f</sup>
Photochemical	Solar energy as electron source	Mediator and photosensitiser required Low efficiency with visible light	<i>NADH</i> : carbon-doped TiO <sub>2</sub> + M <sup>e</sup> + 2-mercaptoethanol + H <sub>2</sub> <i>NAD(P)H</i> : oligothiophene + methyl viologen + EDTA + FDR <sup>g</sup>
Stoichiometric amount of NCB <sup>h</sup>	Low cost	Applicable only with NCB-accepting enzymes	<i>BNAH</i>

<sup>a</sup> Adapted from Ref. [6]; TTN = total turnover number.

<sup>b</sup> Formate dehydrogenase.

<sup>c</sup> Glucose dehydrogenase.

<sup>d</sup> Glutamate dehydrogenase.


<sup>e</sup> M = [Cp<sup>+</sup>Rh(bpy)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>2+</sup>.

<sup>f</sup> 2,2-Azinobis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonate).

<sup>g</sup> Ferredoxin-NADP reductase.

<sup>h</sup> Enzymatic and (photo)chemical recycling available however not currently efficient, see Ref. [11].

Figure 2



Schematic structural representation of flavin coenzymes.

### Coenzymes for FMN-dependent ene-reductases and other reductases


Flavin-dependent ene-reductases (ERs) of the old yellow enzyme (OYE) family catalyse a wide range of asymmetric hydrogenation reactions [18]. NCBs are well accepted by OYEs, with variations. Since the first study published in 2013 [19], two main studies were carried out [20<sup>••</sup>,21]. Several NCBs (BNAH, BuNAH, BAPH, CO<sub>2</sub>NAH, CNNAH, Figure 3) were screened against a panel of OYEs for the asymmetric reduction of  $\alpha,\beta$ -unsaturated ketones and aldehydes (Figure 4a), leading to conversions

and enantiomeric excess (*ee*) as good as those with the preferred natural coenzyme NADPH and even better conversion than with NADH [20<sup>••</sup>]. It is worth noting that a substituted hydride donor such as the Hantzsch ester (HEH) does not seem to be accepted for steric reasons [19].

For three OYEs, PETNR, TOYE and XenA, the  $k_{cat}$  values were comparable and slightly higher for NCBs compared to NADPH, whereas the  $K_M$  values varied depending on the OYE and NCB: with PETNR and XenA, BNAH and BuNAH had a higher affinity than NADPH, but a lower affinity with TOYE. Interestingly, the rates of reduction of the flavin cofactor by these NCBs were orders of magnitude higher than with the natural coenzyme, research to explain this effect is ongoing [22]. For the nitrile-substituted analogue (CNNAH), XenA was one of the few enzymes found (along with *Ts*OYE and *Rm*OYE) that gave high conversion [20<sup>••</sup>]. According to the OYE classification proposed by Scholtissek *et al.*, XenA belongs to class III OYEs, which contains the only ERs showing activity with this mimic [23]. The BNAH-mediated reduction of ketoisophorone by *Ts*OYE was also coupled with [Cp<sup>\*</sup>Rh(bpy)(H<sub>2</sub>O)]<sup>2+</sup> as a regeneration system for the mimic [20<sup>••</sup>]. An iridium-based artificial metalloprotein was used to recycle MNAH, BNAH, BAPH, CO<sub>2</sub>NAH and CNNAH for the *Ts*OYE-catalysed reduction of cyclic enones and a maleimide [24].


In another study, BNAH and its derivatives PhNAH and HPNAH (Figure 3) were tested on four OYEs, MR, NCR, OYE1 and OYE3, with enal substrates. All three synthetic coenzymes were accepted and HPNAH performed best with NCR [21]. Notably, these mimics showed efficient

Figure 3



Structures of NCBs (reduced form) recently used for NAD-dependent oxidoreductase-catalysed reactions, and the Hantzsch ester HEH.

Figure 4



NAD-dependent oxidoreductase-catalysed reactions with NCBs. **(a)** ER-catalysed asymmetric reduction of activated alkenes. **(b)** GDH-catalysed oxidation of glucose and spontaneous hydrolysis of gluconolactone. **(c)** Styrene monooxygenase-catalysed asymmetric epoxidation or sulfoxidation.

conversions with both isomers of citral, whereas this terpene is not efficiently reduced by NCR in presence of NADH [21].

Interestingly, a non-flavin reductase from *Nicotina tabacum* (*Nt*DBR) could catalyse the reduction of cinnamaldehyde with mimics BNAH, BAPH, CO<sub>2</sub>NAH and BuNAH, albeit with low conversions [20<sup>\*\*</sup>]. An azoreductase AzoRo was used with BNAH, displaying a higher activity for the degradation of the dye methyl red at neutral pH [25].

#### Coenzymes for NAD(P)-dependent dehydrogenases, enzymatic NCB recycling, and stability

To date, the regeneration of NCBs has been limited to chemical, chemoenzymatic and electrochemical methods, because of the lack of dehydrogenases able to accept those biomimetics [5,11,24]. Recently, a glucose dehydrogenase from *Sulfolobus sulfataricus* (*Ss*GDH) and its variants were tested with BNA, P2NA and P3NA (Figures 3 and 4b). The best kinetics results with the mimics were achieved with a double mutant that showed improved catalytic efficiencies for all the analogues, in particular P2NA [26]. Based on these results, the reduction of 2-methylbut-2-enal by *Ts*OYE and P2NAH as coenzyme was coupled with the *Ss*GDH double mutant for the regeneration of P2NAH with a turnover frequency (TOF) of 99 h<sup>-1</sup>. Control reactions revealed trace amounts of natural NADH present after purification of the mutant [26]. The same mimics were tested with other commercially available recycling enzymes and horse liver alcohol dehydrogenase (HLADH) but no activity was detected with purified enzyme [26].

Knaus *et al.* used a NADPH oxidase from *Bacillus subtilis* with mimics BNAH, BAPH, CO<sub>2</sub>NAH and BuNAH [20<sup>\*\*</sup>], while the group of Sieber used an NADH oxidase from *Lactobacillus pentosus* (*Lp*Nox) for the recycling of the oxidised form of BNAH and MNAH [27]. *Lp*Nox was active with P2NAH and P3NAH as well, with a catalytic efficiency of up to 0.49 mM<sup>-1</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> with P3NAH [28].

NCBs are not necessarily more stable than their natural counterparts and are also sensitive to specific pH ranges, buffers and temperatures. Thus, efforts have recently been made to overcome the high instability of the mimic model BNAH. The derivative P2NAH was described to be as stable as NADH due to a potential stacking of the phenyl group against the dihydronicotinamide ring [28].

#### BNAH with two-component FAD-dependent monooxygenases

Two-component flavin-dependent monooxygenases use a reductase component (StyB) for generating reduced flavin [29]. The NCB model BNAH was used to directly reduce free FAD, which then could bind to styrene monooxygenase StyA1 from *Rhodococcus opacus* 1CP to generate the flavin hydroperoxide oxygenation species (Figure 4c). StyA1 was shown to catalyse the oxidation of styrene derivatives to their corresponding epoxides, retaining (*S*)-enantioselectivity. For styrene, a 433 h<sup>-1</sup> TF was obtained compared to a 175 h<sup>-1</sup> TF with the natural bi-enzymatic StyA1/StyB system [30<sup>\*</sup>]. Upscaling of the sulfoxidation of thioanisole in the presence of BNAH gave 53% yield in two hours and 99% *ee* [30<sup>\*</sup>]. Therefore, the natural reductase partner StyB is not needed for the generation of FADH<sub>2</sub>. The coenzyme

mimic showed moderate to excellent electron transfer efficiency for both epoxidation and sulfoxidation reactions.


### Artificial flavins for flavin-dependent enzymes

Kinetic studies on apoflavoproteins reconstituted with artificial flavins have provided valuable information on the accessibility of the flavin ring in these proteins [31]. Initially, artificial flavins were either used as chemically reactive or mechanistic probes. More recent work has

shown that these compounds, especially when used in combination with protein engineering strategies, can also be useful for biocatalysis [13\*,32].

Martinoli *et al.* showed that 1-deaza-FAD and a set of monochlorinated and dichlorinated FADs (Figure 5a) can replace the natural FAD cofactor in the phenylacetone monooxygenase (PAMO)-mediated conversion of racemic bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-6-one [33]. In this Baeyer–Villiger oxidation reaction, which theoretically can yield four


Figure 5



Schematic structural representation of flavin derivatives.



Figure 6



Flavin-dependent oxidoreductase-catalysed reactions with flavin derivatives. (a) PAMO-catalysed oxidation of bicyclo[3.2.0]hept-2-en-6-one to the 'normal' or 'abnormal' lactone. (b) Reaction catalysed by decarboxylase AroY from *Enterobacter cloacae* using the prenylated FMN; c) F<sub>420</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-dependent reductase-catalysed reduction of activated alkenes, giving opposite stereochemistry to that with OYEs.

stereo- and regio-divergent products, the natural PAMO first preferentially converts the (1*R*,5*S*)-ketone to the 'normal' lactone with an *ee* in favour of the (1*S*,5*R*)-enantiomer (Figure 6a). After depletion of the (1*R*,5*S*)-substrate, the (1*S*,5*R*)-ketone is also converted, yielding mainly the 'abnormal' lactone. The PAMO variants reconstituted with artificial flavins gave virtually similar reaction patterns, although their reaction rates were somewhat slowed down. NADPH was also replaced with the acetylpyridine analogue APADPH (Figure 1 natural-based analogue, R<sup>1</sup> = COCH<sub>3</sub>, X = PO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>), which led to inefficient phenylacetone conversion.

Su *et al.* showed that exchange of the FMN cofactor of iodotyrosine deiodinase with a 5-deaza-FMN analogue (Figure 5a) suppresses the dehalogenase activity and leads to nitroreductase activity that supports full reduction of 2-nitrotyrosine to the amine product in the presence of sodium borohydride [34].

### Alternative artificial flavins in organic chemistry

Several studies reported the preparation of flavin adducts including flavin peptide polymer hybrids that might act as organocatalysts in biomimetic oxygenation reactions [35–37]. Bou-Nader *et al.* synthesised a flavin-methylene iminium compound that could act as a catalytically competent coenzyme intermediate of reconstituted RNA methyltransferase and thymidylate synthase [38].

### Naturally occurring flavin derivatives

Recently, several new flavin-based cofactors were discovered (Figure 5b) [39<sup>\*</sup>]. 8-Formyl-FAD (8-fFADH) appeared to be the native cofactor in formate oxidase (FOX) [40]. A flavin-N5-oxide cofactor was encountered in EncM [41], while a prenylated form of FMN (prFMN) was found in (de)carboxylases (Figure 6b) [42].

Next to the FAD and FMN scaffolds, there is another natural flavin-like cofactor. This rare F<sub>420</sub> deazaflavin cofactor, containing an oligoglutamate side chain of different lengths (Figure 5b), has recently been detected in a broad range of aerobic bacteria and therefore is more widespread than previously thought [43]. The redox potential of free F<sub>420</sub> (–340 mV) is somewhat lower than that of free NAD(P)H (–320 mV) and much lower than that of free FMN/FAD (–220 mV). This makes F<sub>420</sub> an obligate two-electron carrier that can perform a wide range of chemically demanding redox reactions [44,45].

Kumar *et al.* isolated and characterised a thermostable F<sub>420</sub>:NADPH oxidoreductase (FNO) from *Thermobifida fusca* [46]. Greening *et al.* showed that F<sub>420</sub>-dependent reductases (FDRs) can reduce α,β-unsaturated alkenes [47<sup>\*</sup>]. Following this concept, Mathew *et al.* reported that FDRs from *Rhodococcus jostii* RHA1 can regioselectively reduce α,β-unsaturated ketones and aldehydes with high yields and excellent enantioselectivity (Figure 6c) [48]. They also found that the enantioselectivity of FDRs differs from that of FMN-dependent ene reductases [18].

The antibiotic roseoflavin (8-amino-8-demethyl-riboflavin) is another natural flavin that has been tested as an alternative coenzyme for flavoproteins [49]. Roseo-FMN (RoFMN, Figure 5b) bound with high affinity to apo azobenzene reductase, and the reconstituted enzyme showed about 30% activity of the native enzyme.


### Other alternative coenzymes

*S*-Adenosyl-L-methionine (SAM) is a coenzyme required for the *trans*-methylation of biomolecules, playing a significant role in epigenetic regulation, cellular signalling and metabolite degradation [50]. SAM-dependent methyltransferases (MTases) are turning into versatile catalysts and advances of MTase in biocatalysis have been recently reviewed [51<sup>\*\*</sup>]. Several SAM analogues have been synthesised to transfer the activated groups on MTase substrates (Figure 7). As the *in situ* formation of the SAM coenzyme requires ATP, complex regeneration systems are being developed [52]. As well, an *S*-adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase, providing the adenosine for SAM, requires NAD as a cofactor. Attempts to use NCBs resulted in finding a potential inhibitor [53].

MTases were also used for an enzymatic Friedel–Crafts alkylation reaction. Although the substrate specificity of the enzymes ranged from high to moderate, the cofactor



Figure 7



Schematic representation of SAM cofactor and derivatives reviewed in Ref. [51\*\*].

scope is broad. Modified SAMs with alkyl groups other than methyl were used for biocatalytic Friedel–Crafts alkylation, achieving excellent conversions [54].

## Conclusions and perspectives

The recent discovery of new coenzymes such as the nickel pincer complex and prenylated flavin shows that Nature is resourceful and stimulates research towards unravelling new reaction mechanisms. The use of alternative synthetic coenzymes for biocatalysis is promising; cost aside, the ability to change reaction rates or the type of reaction enables to catalyse highly selective reactions previously thought overly challenging. We hypothesise that NCBs are scarcely accepted by dehydrogenases due to their lack of an adenosine moiety required for enzyme recognition. This line of research would greatly benefit from a quality structure-activity relationship analysis, with the remaining challenge to alter cofactor specificity through protein engineering.

## Conflict of interest statement

Nothing declared.

## Acknowledgements

CEP gratefully acknowledges funding from the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research VENI [grant agreement 722.015.011]. This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie [grant agreement 764920] for AG.

## References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- of outstanding interest

1. Richter M: **Functional diversity of organic molecule enzyme cofactors.** *Nat Prod Rep* 2013, **30**:1324.

2. Ciriminna R, Pagliaro M: **Green chemistry in the fine chemicals and pharmaceutical industries.** *Org Process Res Dev* 2013, **17**:1479.
  3. Rankin JA, Mauban RC, Fellner M, Desguin B, McCracken J, Hu J, Varganov SA, Hausinger RP: **Lactate racemase nickel-pincer cofactor operates by a proton-coupled hydride transfer mechanism.** *Biochemistry* 2018, **57**:3244.
  4. Sellés Vidal L, Kelly CL, Mordaka PM, Heap JT: **Review of NAD(P) H-dependent oxidoreductases: properties, engineering and application.** *BBA Proteins Proteom* 2018, **1866**:327.
  5. Paul CE, Arends IWCE, Hollmann F: **Is simpler better? Synthetic nicotinamide cofactor analogues for redox chemistry.** *ACS Catal* 2014, **4**:788.
  6. Wu H, Tian CY, Song XK, Liu C, Yang D, Jiang ZY: **Methods for the regeneration of nicotinamide coenzymes.** *Green Chem* 2013, **15**:1773.
  7. Wang M, Chen B, Fang Y, Tan T: **Cofactor engineering for more efficient production of chemicals and biofuels.** *Biotechnol Adv* 2017, **35**:1032-1039.
  8. Zhang WY, Hollmann F: **Nonconventional regeneration of redox enzymes – a practical approach for organic synthesis?** *Chem Commun* 2018, **54**:7281.
  9. Paul CE, Hollmann F: **A survey of synthetic nicotinamide cofactors in enzymatic processes.** *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol* 2016, **100**:4773.
  10. Hollmann F, Paul CE: **Synthetische nikotinamide in der biokatalyse.** *BIOSpektrum* 2015, **21**:376.
  11. Zachos I, Nowak C, Sieber V: **Biomimetic cofactors and methods for their recycling.** *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2018, **49**:59.
  12. Joosten V, van Berkel WJH: **Flavoenzymes.** *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2007, **11**:195.
  13. Romero E, Castellanos JRG, Gadda G, Fraaije MW, Mattevi A: **Same substrate, many reactions: oxygen activation in flavoenzymes.** *Chem Rev* 2018, **118**:1742.
- Review on the different reactivities of the flavin coenzymes with oxygen.
14. Macheroux P, Kappes B, Ealick SE: **Flavogenomics – a genomic and structural view of flavin-dependent proteins.** *FEBS J* 2011, **278**:2625.
  15. Kean KM, Carpenter RA, Pandini V, Zanetti G, Hall AR, Faber R, Aliverti A, Karplus PA: **High-resolution studies of hydride transfer in the ferredoxin: NAD(P)<sup>+</sup> reductase superfamily.** *FEBS J* 2017, **284**:3302.

16. Romero E, Castellanos JRG, Mattevi A, Fraaije MW: **Characterization and crystal structure of a robust cyclohexanone monooxygenase.** *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2016, **55**:15852.
17. Petsko GA: **Enzyme evolution — Déjà-vu all over again.** *Nature* 1991, **352**:104.
18. Toogood HS, Scrutton NS: **Discovery, characterization, engineering, and applications of ene-reductases for industrial biocatalysis.** *ACS Catal* 2018, **8**:3532.
19. Paul CE, Gargiulo S, Opperman DJ, Lavandera I, Gotor-Fernández V, Gotor V, Taglieber A, Arends IWCE, Hollmann F: **Mimicking nature: synthetic nicotinamide cofactors for C=C bioreduction using enoate reductases.** *Org Lett* 2013, **15**:180.
20. Knaus T, Paul CE, Levy CW, de Vries S, Mutti FG, Hollmann F, Scrutton NS: **Better than nature: nicotinamide biomimetics that outperform natural coenzymes.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:1033.
- Detailed study on OYE-catalysed reductions with NCBs, including kinetics and crystal structure information.
21. Löw SA, Löw IM, Weissenborn MJ, Hauer B: **Enhanced ene-reductase activity through alteration of artificial nicotinamide cofactor substituents.** *ChemCatChem* 2016, **8**:911.
22. Geddes A, Paul CE, Hay S, Hollmann F, Scrutton NS: **Donor-acceptor distance sampling enhances the performance of “better than Nature” nicotinamide coenzyme biomimetics.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2016, **138**:11089.
23. Scholtissek A, Tischler D, Westphal AH, van Berkel WJH, Paul CE: **Old yellow enzyme-catalysed asymmetric hydrogenation: linking family roots with improved catalysis.** *Catalysts* 2017, **7**.
24. Okamoto Y, Köhler V, Paul CE, Hollmann F, Ward TR: **Efficient *in situ* regeneration of NADH mimics by an artificial metalloenzyme.** *ACS Catal* 2016, **6**:3553.
25. Qi JX, Paul CE, Hollmann F, Tischler D: **Changing the electron donor improves azoreductase dye degrading activity at neutral pH.** *Enzyme Microb Technol* 2017, **100**:17.
26. Nowak C, Pick A, Lommes P, Sieber V: **Enzymatic reduction of nicotinamide biomimetic cofactors using an engineered glucose dehydrogenase: providing a regeneration system for artificial cofactors.** *ACS Catal* 2017, **7**:5202.
27. Nowak C, Beer B, Pick A, Roth T, Lommes P, Sieber V: **A water-forming NADH oxidase from *Lactobacillus pentosus* suitable for the regeneration of synthetic biomimetic cofactors.** *Front Microbiol* 2015, **6** Article 957.
28. Nowak C, Pick A, Csepej LI, Sieber V: **Characterization of biomimetic cofactors according to stability, redox potentials, and enzymatic conversion by NADH oxidase from *Lactobacillus pentosus*.** *ChemBioChem* 2017, **18**:1944.
29. Heine T, van Berkel WJH, Gassner G, van Pee KH, Tischler D: **Two-component FAD-dependent monooxygenases: current knowledge and biotechnological opportunities.** *Biology* 2018, **7** Article 42.
30. Paul CE, Tischler D, Riedel A, Heine T, Itoh N, Hollmann F: **Nonenzymatic regeneration of styrene monooxygenase for catalysis.** *ACS Catal* 2015, **5**:2961.
- First example of a two-component flavin-dependent monooxygenase with an NCB.
31. Ghisla S, Massey V: **New flavins for old — artificial flavins as active-site probes of flavoproteins.** *Biochem J* 1986, **239**:1.
32. Walsh CT, Wencewicz TA: **Flavoenzymes: versatile catalysts in biosynthetic pathways.** *Nat Prod Rep* 2013, **30**:175.
33. Martinoli C, Dudek HM, Orru R, Edmondson DE, Fraaije MW, Mattevi A: **Beyond the protein matrix: probing cofactor variants in a Baeyer-Villiger oxygenation reaction.** *ACS Catal* 2013, **3**:3058.
34. Su Q, Boucher PA, Rokita SE: **Conversion of a dehalogenase into a nitroreductase by swapping its flavin cofactor with a 5-deazaflavin analogue.** *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2017, **56**:10862.
35. Menova P, Eigner V, Cejka J, Dvorakova H, Sanda M, Cibulka R: **Synthesis and structural studies of flavin and alloxazine adducts with O-nucleophiles.** *J Mol Struct* 2011, **1004**:178.
36. Arakawa Y, Minagawa K, Imada Y: **Advanced flavin catalysts elaborated with polymers.** *Polym J* 2018, **50**:941.
37. Iida H, Imada Y, Murahashi SI: **Biomimetic flavin-catalysed reactions for organic synthesis.** *Org Biomol Chem* 2015, **13**:7599.
38. Bou-Nader C, Cornu D, Guerineau V, Fogeron T, Fontecave M, Hamdane D: **Enzyme activation with a synthetic catalytic coenzyme intermediate: nucleotide methylation by flavoenzymes.** *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2017, **56**:12523.
39. Leys D, Scrutton NS: **Sweating the assets of flavin cofactors: new insight of chemical versatility from knowledge of structure and mechanism.** *Curr Opin Struct Biol* 2016, **41**:19.
- Reports on unprecedented flavin chemistry and new flavin based species.
40. Robbins JM, Souffrant MG, Hamelberg D, Gadda G, Bommaris AS: **Enzyme-mediated conversion of flavin adenine dinucleotide (FAD) to 8-formyl FAD in formate oxidase results in a modified cofactor with enhanced catalytic properties.** *Biochemistry* 2017, **56**:3800.
41. Teufel R, Stull F, Meehan MJ, Michaudel Q, Dorrestein PC, Palfey B, Moore BS: **Biochemical establishment and characterization of EncM’s flavin-N5-oxide cofactor.** *J Am Chem Soc* 2015, **137**:8078.
42. Payer SE, Marshall SA, Barland N, Sheng X, Reiter T, Dordic A, Steinkellner G, Wuensch C, Kaltwasser S, Fisher K *et al.*: **Regioselective *para*-carboxylation of catechols with a prenylated flavin dependent decarboxylase.** *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2017, **56**:13893.
43. Ney B, Ahmed FH, Carere CR, Biswas A, Warden AC, Morales SE, Pandey G, Watt SJ, Oakeshott JG, Taylor MC *et al.*: **The methanogenic redox cofactor F<sub>420</sub> is widely synthesized by aerobic soil bacteria.** *ISME J* 2017, **11**:125.
44. Greening C, Ahmed FH, Mohamed AE, Lee BM, Pandey G, Warden AC, Scott C, Oakeshott JG, Taylor MC, Jackson CJ: **Physiology, biochemistry, and applications of F<sub>420</sub>- and F<sub>o</sub>-dependent redox reactions.** *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 2016, **80**:451.
45. Ney B, Carere CR, Sparling R, Jirapanjawat T, Stott MB, Jackson CJ, Oakeshott JG, Warden AC, Greening C: **Cofactor tail length modulates catalysis of bacterial F<sub>420</sub>-dependent oxidoreductases.** *Front Microbiol* 2017, **8** Article 1902.
46. Kumar H, Nguyen QT, Binda C, Mattevi A, Fraaije MW: **Isolation and characterization of a thermotable F-420:NADPH oxidoreductase from *Thermobifida fusca*.** *J Biol Chem* 2017, **292**:10123.
47. Greening C, Jirapanjawat T, Afroze S, Ney B, Scott C, Pandey G, Lee BM, Russell RJ, Jackson CJ, Oakeshott JG *et al.*: **Mycobacterial F420H2-dependent reductases promiscuously reduce diverse compounds through a common mechanism.** *Front Microbiol* 2017, **8** Article 1000.
- Study on the potential of actinobacterial F<sub>420</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-dependent reductases as industrial biocatalysts.
48. Mathew S, Trajkovic M, Kumar H, Nguyen Q-T, Fraaije MW: **Enantio- and regioselective ene-reductions using F<sub>420</sub>H<sub>2</sub>-dependent enzymes.** *Chem Commun* 2018, **54**:11208.
49. Langer S, Nakanishi S, Mathes T, Knaus T, Binter A, Macheroux P, Mase T, Miyakawa T, Tanokura M, Mack M: **The flavoenzyme azobenzene reductase AzoR from *Escherichia coli* binds roseoflavin mononucleotide (RoFMN) with high affinity and is less active in its RoFMN form.** *Biochemistry* 2013, **52**:4288.
50. Zhang J, Zheng YG: **SAM/SAH analogs as versatile tools for SAM-dependent methyltransferases.** *ACS Chem Biol* 2016, **11**:583.
51. Bennett MR, Shepherd SA, Cronin VA, Micklefield J: **Recent advances in methyltransferase biocatalysis.** *Curr Opin Chem Biol* 2017, **37**:97.

Review detailing the development of methyl transferase enzymes for synthetic applications with a focus on SAM analogues and non-native substrates.

52. Mordhorst S, Siegrist J, Muller M, Richter M, Andexer JN: **Catalytic alkylation using a cyclic S-adenosylmethionine regeneration system**. *Angew Chem Int Ed* 2017, **56**:4037.
53. Kailing LL, Bertinetti D, Paul CE, Manszewski T, Jaskolski M, Herberg FW, Pavlidis IV: **S-Adenosyl-L-homocysteine hydrolase inhibition by a synthetic nicotinamide cofactor biomimetic**. *Front Microbiol* 2018, **9** Article 506.
54. Teng M, Stecher H, Offner L, Plasch K, Anderl F, Weber H, Schwab H, Gruber-Khadjawi M: **Methyltransferases: green catalysts for Friedel-Crafts alkylations**. *ChemCatChem* 2016, **8**:1354.