

Monsoonal Landscapes

Territorial Adaptation through Co-habitation in Critical Geographies





## Preposition







 $\bigcirc$ 

Flooding/ Landslides

Population growth

Urbanisation Agriculture 16,842,150 people

Mountain: Periyar/Megamalai

Flooding & Landslides + Dams(externalities)

Deforestation/Habitat loss Agriculture Soil erosion

#### City: Madurai

Drought

Population growth

Urbanisation Agriculture Fig 2 Map by author adapted from ESRI Topobathy



## Risk: 3 Key systems

Monsoon Hydrological system

Grey Infrastructure

**Primary Production** 



## Water stress

Overall water risk default Low (0-1) Low - Medium (1-2) Medium - High (2-3) High (3-4)

Extremely High (4-5) Not enough valid data



 $\checkmark$  + Systems





## Variability of the monsoon 2019

Periyar River Basin

Vaigai River Basin





## Grey Infrastructure

Global impact on local systems

Grey infrastructure (Dams) designed on higher altitudes[500-1000m] planned without prior evaluation of its risks, socio-cultural and economic implications are now not capable of handling the pressures.





+ Systems 13

## Composition of grey infrastructure

Periyar River Basin

Vaigai River Basin







Wind Erosion Water Logging Salinisation/Alkalisation Other

Landslide Fatalities

	5-10
$\mathbf{\hat{s}}$	2-4
A.	0-1

## Temporal change in control of water



Dam infrastructure

## Temporal change in control of water 12C



+ Systems 16

Waterbodies

Dam infrastructure



1890s)



Waterways

Waterbodies

Dam infrastructure





## Primary Production Global impact on local systems

The current paradigm for development in the higher altitudes of the Western ghats are defined by operationalising landscapes with a view of nature as a commodity.



## Global impact on local systems

The current paradigm for development in the higher altitudes of the Western ghats are defined by operationalising landscapes with a view of nature as a commodity.



## Global impact on local systems

The current paradigm for development in the higher altitudes of the Western ghats are defined by operationalising landscapes with a view of nature as a commodity.



## Fragmented Habitats

Periyar River Basin

Vaigai River Basin











56

Composition of flow of water accross different topography and diverse settlements. Water discharge at each level is yet to be calculated.

# Economic Composition

Jrban area	Agriculture	Plantation	Agriculture	Urban
ort		Tourism-Adventure, Wildlife, Wellness		Tourism-Religious
			× × ×	
		- find		
			1	
	1 1		K.	
	1	5-2-	1	
	11		h	
	P		The second second	
	5	- Anni		
Er .				
			-32-	





International TourismNational Tourism

Production for local consumption

⇒

Production for International consumption

## Extreme weather event



+ Risk 25 Dams

Rainfall anomalies of X = X-7years mean daily rainfall

> 2018 2019

## 2018 Flooding in Kerala





+ Risk

Ν

## How do we design for uncertainity?



# ?

# Research Question

Network

Micro

How can territorial adaptation through co-habitation of nested nature-human systems in critical zones to restore a state of dynamic equilibrium?

River basin

Regional



### Uncertainities in Monsoon

Seasons	Availability of water	Risk
Summer	Extreme precipitation event	Flooding
Monsoon/Rainy season	High	
Winter	Average	Landslides
	Low Extreme scarcity	 Drought

Resource

Ó

Adaptation



#### Structure

#### Availability of water Risk Seasons Extreme precipitation event Flooding Summer Territorial Monsoon/Rainy season High Riverbasin Landslides Winter Average Network Low Micro Drought Extreme scarcity From a centralised to a decentralised system Resource using landscape as infrastructure Resilient landscapes Essential infrastructure Production infrastructure

 $\left( \right)$ 



Resource

+ Design 31



#### Practices

Aligning to phenology

Aligning to the indigenous culture

Aligning daily life to phenology



Resource





#### Protocols of care

#### Practices

Aligning to phenology

Aligning to the indigenous culture

Aligning daily life to phenology

Ecosystem based economy

Placing care on

Resource

Seasons

Summer

Winter

Monsoon/Rainy season



Drought

Extreme scarcity

Resilient landscapes

Recreation

Essential infrastructure

Production infrastructure

+ Design 33

 $\left( \right)$ 



#### Protocols of care

Aligning to the indigenous

Aligning daily life to phenology

Ecosystem based economy

Placing care on nature.

## Structure

## Resilient Landscapes

### Agency



### Protocol of Care

## Structure : Territory



1. Water Balance

2a. Re-naturalisation : Transitioning intensive monocultures to dynamic production landscapes aligned to <u>natural cycles</u>

2b. Re-introducing nature in cities.

4 Design







6 Storage/ Passage
# Re-naturalisation

1 Aquaculture Recreation+production

**2** Infiltration Forestry

**3** Agro-forestry

4 Agro+Rain- forests

**5** Low irrigation Agri



#### Kodanad



#### Idukki



#### Megamalai



#### Madurai



#### 6 City green networks Recreation+production



# Structure : River basin

Scales



2b. Re-introducing nature in cities.

# Structure : Periyar River basin



# Structure : Periyar River basin











# Structure : Vaigai River basin

3 4



Population Land-use Slope

Infrastructures Extreme waterstress

+ Design 41



## Structure : Network scale



Goals : Sponge

- 1. Water retention
- 2.Regenerative agriculture.

#### Goals : Passage

Slope stabilisation
Agro-forestry

3. Eco-tourism

#### Goals : Interception

Reforestation
Agro-forestry
Eco-tourism

Goals : Mediator

Riparian restoration
From consumer to producer

# Structure : Network scale



#### Site 1: Kodanad

Population : 15,953 Occupation : Agriculture



#### Site 2: Idukki dam

Population : 26,250 Occupation : Tourism, plantations



# Megamalai

Population : 8,348 Occupation : Tourism, plantations Population : 1,766,000 Occupation : Tourism, Urban



#### Site 4: Madurai

# Structure : Local scale

Scales



 $+ \frac{4}{4}$ 

# Protocol of Care Economy — Ecosystem Practice \$\$

#### Structure

#### Agency



Road networks

Production units

Scales

#### Protocol of Care

regenerative period of these productive giving subsidies to practice these cycles.











#### Site 1: Kodanad, Kerala

1 Devices

Infrastructural devices

01.Sediment Accretion

02.Terraced Cultivation







ubisad + 49





+ Design

## Site 1: Kodanad, Kerala

2 Devices

2 Program

Risk zone





.

## Site 1: Kodanad, Kerala





What is the section which exist between these extremes?







### Site 1: Kodanad, Kerala





#### Site 1: Kodanad, Kerala

+ Design

55













## Site 2 : Idukki dam





# Site 2:









Energy product



## High rainfall



## Site 2 : Idukki dam

+ Pilot projects



## Site 3 : Megamalai







## Site 3 : Megamalai





1. Forest





3. Forest + Fruit trees + Tea plants Year : 3









2. Forest+fruit trees Tea Nursery Year 1





4. Perimeter forest + Mature tea plants + Tea Year : 10

5. Tea plantations Year : 30



#### Patterns of Production + Forestry : State 1



#### Patterns of Production + Forestry : State 2









## Site 3 : Madurai



Recreational area



Grazing patch



Cycling path



#### System tank





+ Pilot projects 72



## How are these section responding to the other?

# $-\sqrt{2}$







### Adaptive pathways



 1
 Extreme I day precipitatio

 2
 2

 High
 3

 3
 3

 Average

 4
 4

 Low

 5
 5

 Scarcity


### Systemic Interrelations



## Systemic Interrelations



Yearly cycle

Yearly cycle

#### 40 year cycle

#### Yearly cycle

## Systemic Interrelations



40 year cycle

### Yearly cycle

## Local Scales

Kodanad

![](_page_78_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Idukki

![](_page_78_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Megamalai

![](_page_78_Picture_6.jpeg)

4 + Pilot projects

#### Madurai

![](_page_78_Picture_9.jpeg)

## Patterns of territorialisation

#### Branced

![](_page_79_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### Islands

![](_page_79_Picture_4.jpeg)

## Fingers

![](_page_79_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Network [River+Road]

![](_page_79_Picture_9.jpeg)

![](_page_80_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_81_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Territory

![](_page_82_Picture_1.jpeg)

## Sequencing action

01. Recognition & Social activation

02. Procedural phase

03. Structural phase

04. Programmatic phase

05. Ecological-economic stage

![](_page_83_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Figure_8.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Figure_9.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_83_Figure_12.jpeg)

Economic+Ecological

\$\$ \$\$ \$\$

![](_page_84_Picture_0.jpeg)

## Stakeholders

![](_page_85_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_86_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_0.jpeg)

Water storage

![](_page_87_Picture_2.jpeg)

Water Retention

![](_page_87_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_7.jpeg)

Agro-forestry

Diversify production

![](_page_87_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_87_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_0.jpeg)

Water storage

![](_page_88_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_7.jpeg)

Diversify production

![](_page_88_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_88_Picture_12.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_0.jpeg)

Water storage

![](_page_89_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_89_Picture_6.jpeg)

Diversify production

![](_page_89_Picture_11.jpeg)

### Protocols of Care

Could ecosystem services be monetised?

Could environmental stewards be compensated with so called capital?

*Could the ecosystems* western ghats mountain range be compensated for ensuring water safety?

Could an upstream region which restores and safe keeps its ecosystem for the benefit of downstream consumers gain profit for the resources they provide?

Could restoring and maintaining the systemic function of each local level in agreement for the larger good be an solution?

![](_page_90_Figure_6.jpeg)

Water retention Groundwater recharge Water for local people Small scale biodiversity

Restoring water source Safety - Allowing water to flow Regenerative agriculture Restoring water source Safety - Allowing water to flow Regenerative agriculture

Water retention Reuse/recycle water Small scale riparian habitats

![](_page_90_Figure_10.jpeg)

#### 

![](_page_90_Figure_13.jpeg)

¥r ¥r

🐓 🐓 🐓

Floodplain Aquifer recharge Local habitats

Forest restoration Ecosystem restoration

Forest restoration Ecosystem restoration

Water retention Aquifer recharge **Riparian** corridors

![](_page_90_Figure_18.jpeg)

+ Vision 91 \$\$\$\$

\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$

River basin Riverine ecosystem suitable for stabilising water system

\$\$

![](_page_91_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_92_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### Stakeholders

![](_page_93_Figure_1.jpeg)

Highest power stakeholders

Transition of power

#### Stakeholder roadmap

			Stage 1		Stage 2			Stage 3
	Local led	Local	<b>Citizens organise</b> local vulnerable communities with the help of NGOs,		The local groups choo <b>pilot projects</b> to test	ose <b>experimental</b> the interventions		In this phase the local committees have a <b>strong knowledge on the working</b>
		alc fo: <b>m</b> a th in	along with the state to set up <b>workshops</b> for <b>immediate temporary resilient</b> <b>measures</b> with the municipality aiding their efforts by providing space and infrastructures.	î	along the river, to brir larger actors involved.	ng the interest of the		of the small interventions and the project impact on resilience of the area. Thus encouraging local farmers, workers to follow regenerative agriculture or maintain water local tank as a
			Gather <b>indigenous knowledge</b> for propagating learning local understanding of ecological management and skill building. By <b>employing skilled locals</b> from the region.		Local groups start to t command of the mu to engage with locals a participatory worksho project time lines and	ake <b>administrative</b> <b>inicipal facilities</b> and coordinate ps to agree on relocation strategies.		community in return for <b>subsidies</b> from the local government. For example regenerative agriculture doubles up as flood storage which save considerable cost of flood damage for the state, which could be utilized for the reduced productivity.
Current situation		Network Interstate	<ul> <li>Organise cross-community interrelations for careful co-ordination of each others interest.</li> </ul>	E	1 )	0		be utilised for the reduced productivity.
	Private led		In the first phase the private entities are informed by the state (through a process of tender) for the need to <b>build urgent</b> <b>infrastructure</b> such as mobility networks		The tender taken from to <b>build urgent mob</b> <b>started</b> , development start which can be use of the critical zones so	n the government ility networks is in the safe regions d for the relocation bon.		In this stage the private actors <b>complete</b> <b>the construction of the mobility</b> <b>infrastructure and the landscape</b> <b>works</b> , which sets the stage for local actors to start the regenerative production
		As the strategies proposed require a change in the business models of the actors, the involved businesses formulate to switch to the new proposed model in collaboration with other businesses and the state. Citizens organisations involve private actors to assess the timeline and feasibility of the project.		Simultaneously Land in the 4 sites for the pr to handle the next mo example terracing, wa reforestation and resto system tanks in the reg In-case of lack of func- the municipality priva potentially invest in p a <b>public-private par</b>	scape work starts reparation of the site nsoon season. For ter retention units, oring or building gion. ling from the state or ite organisations can ublic works forming tnership.		After this stage the private actors just act as service agents appointed by the local- state committees and eventually let go of ownership of the said infrastructure.	
	State led	Local	Recognise immediate risks and set up committee to overlook redevelopment of the site and network scale. <b>Float tender</b> for building urgent infrastructures and urgent operations. Such as mobility networks, dredging in riverbeds, building water retention units,		The tenders floated fo infrastructure constru- appropriate agencies v local communities fo of construction on a The state redevelop zo regulations based on t resilience at the forefr	r the urgent action are given to while the state and <b>ollow the process</b> <b>a regular basis.</b> oning planning he risk zones and		The state at this point after mobilising actors for the construction of resilient infrastructure the <b>state gives managing</b> <b>responsibility to the communities</b> , yet overlooking certain administration. A potential adaptive pathway here is that the state could act as a mediator between the community and the private actor providing service. The state here could try to recoup ownership of private built infrastructure with the gains of such infrastructure.
		Interstat	e Additionally set up a larger committee to foster a <b>non-biased opinion</b> of matters related to the interlinked river and in the context of water management.		The need for multilate local participation in p taking into account. Interstate committee a professionals from var and regulate water in p	r multilateral agencies and pation in planning processes is account. ommittee appoints s from various fields to manage e water in the two river-basins.		
	International/ Multilateral agencies		International commissions help national organisation in <b>setting up goals</b> for the future and in certain cases financially aid for sustainable transitions with developed world.		An adaptive pathway international organisa for testing such natur the region.	here could be tions could fund e based projects in	1	1
				5	10		15	20
			Fig 99 Stakeholder roadmap chart l	oy author				

+ Vision 95 Stage 4

A possible pathway here could be that the state with NGOs organises the pilot projects to test and also encourage the locals to understand the impact of nature based solutions.

#### Structural Time-line

![](_page_95_Figure_1.jpeg)

Site 2

![](_page_95_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_95_Figure_5.jpeg)

+ Vision