

Delft University of Technology

Material perception across different media, comparing perceived glossiness and softness on paintings and engravings

Zhao, Y.; de Ridder, H.; Stumpel, J.F.H.J.; Wijntjes, M.W.A.

DOI 10.1167/jov.22.14.4298

Publication date 2022 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in Journal of vision

Citation (APA)

Zhao, Y., de Kidder, H., Stumpel, J. F. H. J., & Wijntjes, M. W. A. (2022). Material perception across different media, comparing perceived glossiness and softness on paintings and engravings. *Journal of vision*, *22*(14), Article 4298. https://doi.org/10.1167/jov.22.14.4298

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Material perception across different media, comparing perceived glossiness and softness on paintings and engravings

Yuguang Zhao; Huib de Ridder; Jeroen Stumpel; Maarten Wijntjes

Author Affiliations
Yuguang Zhao
Delft University of Technology, Perceptual Intelligence Lab
Huib de Ridder
Delft University of Technology, Perceptual Intelligence Lab
Jeroen Stumpel
Utrecht University, Department of Humanities
Maarten Wijntjes
Delft University of Technology, Perceptual Intelligence Lab

Journal of Vision December 2022, Vol.22, 4298. doi:https://doi.org/10.1167/jov.22.14.4298

Abstract

Before the invention of photography, paintings were reproduced in a graphic and linear medium, engravings. To compare material perception across two modalities, paintings and engravings, we conducted two online experiments. We collected 15 pairs of color oil paintings and their engraving reproductions. Then we selected 40 elements from these 15 pairs, including fabric and skin, which resulted in 80 stimuli in total. In experiment 1, we used original (colored) versions for both paintings and engravings. In experiment 2, we used the same stimulus set, but achromatic (luminance only). Two attributes were rated in both experiments: glossiness and softness. 30 observers completed online rating tasks for each attribute in each experiment (120 observers in total). For glossiness, independent of color or black and white versions, engravings scored higher than paintings. In experiment 1, engravings were rated as glossier in 28 out of 40 pairs of variations, with 11 out of these 28 pairs showing significant differences. In experiment 2 (achromatic), engravings scored higher in glossiness than paintings in 33 pairs with 21 pairs showing significant differences. Both numbers increased when colors were removed. For softness, 21 elements in experiment 1 and 29 elements in experiment 2 were judged glossier in engravings than in paintings. Surprisingly, engravings performed well in presenting both gloss and softness. Moreover, when colors were removed, the performance of engravings in conveying glossiness and softness got even better. The increased number of significant cases underscores the robustness of this trend.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License.



This site uses cookies. By continuing to use our website, you are agreeing to <u>our privacy policy.</u> | <u>Accept</u>