

**The effect of crashworthiness and
solid buoyancy on survivability of
damaged and flooded Ro-Ro ships.**

**A.W. Vredeveldt, J.M.J. Journée &
H. Vermeer, Report 1220-P**

*Proceedings of the 7th International Conference
on Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles, STAB-
2000, 7 February 2000, Launceston, Australia,
ISBN 0 9586990 4 1, Editor Martin Renilson,*

TU Delft

Delft University of Technology

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering and Marine Technology

Ship Hydromechanics Laboratory

Launceston, Tasmania, Australia

7 - 11 February 2000

Martin Renilson, Editor

Ocean Vehicles
and
Stability of Ships
on
7th International Conference
of the
Proceedings



**7th International Conference on
Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles**

STAB2000




7 – 11 February 2000

Launceston, Tasmania, Australia

VOLUME A

Organised by:

The Australian Maritime Engineering CRC Ltd;



in conjunction with:

Australian Maritime College
The Institute of Marine Engineers
The Royal Institution of Naval Architects
The University of New South Wales

Proceedings sponsored by:

- Australian Maritime Safety Authority;
- Marine Division and Marine Incident Investigation Unit,
Department of Transport and Regional Services; and
- National Marine Safety Committee.

ISBN 0 9585990 4 1 (Vol A)
ISBN 0 9585990 4 1 (Vol B)

Further copies of these proceedings can be obtained from:
Martin Renilson,
Australian Maritime College,
PO Box 986,
Launceston,
Tasmania,
Australia, 7250.

COMMITTEE STRUCTURE

International Standing Committee

The International Standing Committee comprises active professionals in the field from around the world. The current membership of this committee is as follows:

Dr M Renilson (Chairman)	Australian Maritime College
Professor R Bhattacharyya	US Naval Academy
Professor P Bogdanov	Varna Free University
Dr J deKat	MARIN, The Netherlands
Professor A Francescutto	University of Trieste, Italy
Professor H Gao	CSSRC, China
Professor M Hamamoto	Osaka University
Dr H Horman	Germanisher Lloyd
Mr D Molyneaux	Institute for Marine Dynamics, Canada
Professor A Papanikolaou	NTVA – Ship Design Laboratory, Greece
Professor L Perez-Rojas	Politechnical University Madrid, Spain
Professor N Rakhmanin	Krylov Shipbuilding Institute
Dr N Umeda	Osaka University
Professor D Vassalos	University of Strathclyde
Mr H Vermeer	Directorate of Shipping and Maritime Affairs, The Netherlands

International Advisory Board

The International Advisory Board comprises key figures in the field who provide advice to the International Standing Committee as appropriate. The current membership of the International Advisory Board is as follows:

Professor C Kuo (Convenor)	University of Strathclyde
Mr R Kjaer	Color Line Marine AS
Mr T Allan	Marine Safety Agency, UK
Mr M Dogliani	RINa, Italy
Mr R Tagg	Herbert Engineering Corporation, USA
Mr P Person	Chantiers De L'Atlantique
Professor M Fujino	University of Tokyo
Mr I Williams	Australian Maritime Safety Authority
Professor L Kobylinski	National Technical University of Gdansk
M Kanerva	Deltamarin Ltd
M Hirano	Akishima Laboratories Inc
Dr M Kan	Ship Research Institute, Japan
Dr K Sik Min	Hyundai Maritime Research Institute
Mr J Spencer	American Bureau of Shipping
Professor Reshetov	Russian Maritime Register of Shipping
Mr J SiRong	Jiang Nan Shipyard

National Committee

The National Committee is responsible for all arrangements of STAB2000, and operates under the guidance of the International Standing Committee. The members of the National Committee are as follows:

Dr M Renilson, (Chairman)	Australian Maritime College
Mr M Hook (Secretary)	Australian Maritime Engineering CRC
Dr C Chipperfield	CRC for Welded Structures
Captain K Filor	Marine Incident Investigation Unit
Mr M Flapan	National Maritime Safety Committee
Mr P Helmore	The University of New South Wales
Mr L Mayer	Australian Maritime Safety Authority
Captain J Milward	Australian Maritime College
Mr H Mumford	ASP Ship Management
Mr L Prandolini	The Institute of Marine Engineers
Mr N Riley	The Royal Institution of Naval Architects
Mr R Toman	Tasman Ship & Boat
Mr I Williams	Ian Williams & Associates

PROCEDURES FOR INTERNATIONAL & NATIONAL COMMITTEES

National Committee

Tasks

- (i) To establish the content of the STAB event in their country, within guidelines established by the international standing committee (see below).
- (ii) To be responsible for all arrangements (location, dates, travel, conference and exhibition (if included), proceedings, visits) and the finances.
- (iii) Select and referee abstracts and papers.

Constitution

- (i) Work under guidelines provided by the International Standing Committee.
- (ii) The chair of the national committee to be nominated by the international standing committee at the previous STAB event.

International Standing Committee

Tasks

- (i) To be responsible for deciding the locations of STAB conferences and their frequency.
- (ii) To offer suggestions and agree the makeup of the National Committee and appoint the Chair of that Committee.
- (iii) To set out the terms of reference for the National Committee, in discussion with that Committee, including the broad technical content of the event.
- (iv) To assist the National Committee with the selection of papers.
- (v) To appoint an International Advisory Board comprising eminent persons in the field to assist with any task nominated by the International Standing Committee.
- (vi) To provide support to the National Committee, by way of suggesting speakers/exhibitors.
- (vii) To have a consultative role in setting of fees for the conference.

CONSTITUTION

- (i) The International Standing Committee shall comprise about 15 internationally recognised active specialists in the field and shall elect its own Chair. Regional aspects shall be considered when selecting the members of the International Standing Committee.
- (ii) The Committee shall see that one-half of its Members are elected during each STAB conference by all delegates to that conference. Existing Members may stand for re-election.
- (iii) The Committee shall co-opt the Chair of the National Committee for the duration of the existence of that Committee, plus one additional Member for the nation hosting the STAB event, preferably, but not necessarily a member of the National Committee, and the Chair of the Internationally Advisory Board.
- (iv) The Committee shall elect its own Chair with election/re-election to take during each STAB event. The Chair may not stand for re-election more than once.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The success of the conference is due in part to the generous sponsorship of the following organisations:

ASP Ship Management
Australian Maritime College
Australian Maritime Safety Authority
Color Line
Marine Division and Marine Incident Investigation Unit
Department of Transport and Regional Services
National Marine Safety Committee
Port of Launceston Authority
Department of State Development
Tenix Defence Systems; and
Stena Line AB

INTRODUCTION

The very successful series of conferences on the **Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles** has been running since 1975, and, on behalf of the National Committee, I am very proud that Australia was chosen to host the 7th in February 2000.

The objectives of this conference series is to promote a full exchange of ideas and methodologies regarding the stability of ships and ocean vehicles. It provides an opportunity to professional naval architects, capsizing prevention researchers, regulatory agencies, inspection and certifying authorities, ship and floating platform owners, consultants and operators to present, discuss and listen to improvements in capsizing prevention for all types and sizes of floating structures.

The most important aspect of any floating object is its ability to remain afloat and upright under all expected conditions. Even this most basic of requirements can elude professionals in the field, usually with very serious consequences.

It is therefore vital that all of us who are concerned with the design and operation of ships and ocean vehicles devote the utmost effort to ensuring they are as safe as possible. Only by sharing knowledge and experience can we continue to improve safety, which is the primary motivation for this important conference series.

With this in mind, I welcome all the delegates to Launceston, Tasmania, and look forward to a very fruitful conference.

Martin Renilson
Chairman
National Committee

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
Committee structure	(i)	A
Procedures for International & National Committees	(ii)	A
Constitution, Acknowledgements	(iii)	A
Introduction	(iv)	A
Author Index		inside back cover

Refereeing of papers

The papers marked with * in the Table of Contents and the Author Index have been peer reviewed by at least two independent reviewers.

Human Factors involved in Stability

Shipmasters and the stability of ships <i>T Kikuchi</i>	1	A
The impact of human factors on ship stability <i>C Kuo</i>	4	A
* Stability data: a Master's view <i>J Lewis</i>	23	A
* Stability, operability and working conditions on board fishing vessels <i>G Boccadamo, P Cassella, and A Scamardella</i>	32	A

Stability Standards

* Stability standards – future outlook <i>L Koblynski</i>	52	A
New stability approach for offshore construction vessels <i>M van Wijngaarden and R Heemskerck</i>	62	A
* An integrated method for a ship safety estimation for design. Computational model <i>M Gerigk</i>	89	A
* An anatomy of the Stockholm Agreement <i>D Vassalos</i>	112	A

Operational Aspects

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
* Operational measures for avoiding dangerous situations in extreme weather conditions <i>A Papanikolaou, G Gratsos, E Boulougouris and E Eliopoulou</i>	137	A
* An interactive computer software for examination of dynamic stability safety of fishing vessels in waves <i>Y He, L Cong, Z Huang, A Milne, C Hsiung</i>	149	A
* A methodology to provide guidelines for increasing operating safety of fishing vessels <i>R Nabergoj, N Rakhmanin, G Trincas, and G Messina</i>	160	A
* On indices of ships' operational seaworthiness <i>M Kouteinikov and V Lipis</i>	176	A

Water on Deck

* Prediction of shipping water on deck of ships <i>C Shin</i>	190	A
* Ship behaviour due to dynamic impact of water on deck <i>V Subramanian and S Kastner</i>	206	A
* An experimental and numerical investigation of the effects of water trapped on deck <i>D Bass and D Cumming</i>	226	A

Damage Stability

Modelling and computer animation of damage stability <i>K Hasegawa, K Ishibashi and Y Yasuda</i>	242	A
* Calculation of wave load encountered by damaged ships <i>K Qian, Y Wang and D Wang</i>	250	A
* Crashworthy side structures and damage stability of coasters <i>J Pinkster, A Vredeveltd, H Koelman and P Lansbergen</i>	256	A
* An experimental study on large roll motion in intermediate stage of floccing due to sudden ingress water <i>Y Ikeda and Y Ma</i>	270	A

Damage Stability (Continued)

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
An investigation into the recently proposed damage stability requirements on high-speed craft <i>O Turan and C Imlach</i>	286	A
* Experimental and numerical investigation on progressive flooding and sloshing in complex compartment geometries <i>R van't Veer and J de Kat</i>	305	A
On the regulations revision of the ship probabilistic subdivision <i>F Balsamo, P Cassella and R Krauss</i>	322	A
* A probabilistic damage stability calculation method for naval vessels <i>E Harmsen and M Krikke</i>	330	A
* Investigation into the capsizing of damaged Ro-Ro passenger ships in waves <i>A Papanikolaou, G Zaraphonitis, D Spanos, E Boulougouris and E Eliopoulou</i>	351	A
* Damage survivability of a new Ro-Ro ferry <i>J de Kat, M Kanerva, R van't Veer and I Mikkonen</i>	363	A
The influence of obstructions on the transient asymmetric flooding of Ro-Ro ships <i>T Santos and C Soares</i>	385	A
* The effect of crashworthiness and solid buoyancy on survivability of damaged and flooded Ro-Ro ships <i>A Vredevelde, J Journee and H Vermeer</i>	396	A
* Experimental study on the damaged stability of a containership <i>G Huanqiu, S Wanan, and X Nan</i>	408	A
* An investigation of the stability and survivability of trimarans <i>D Vassalos, I Helvacioglou and J Jasionowski</i>	420	A

Following and Quartering Seas

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
* Dynamic stability of a ship leading to capsize in severe astern waves <i>A Munif, M Hamamoto and N Umeda</i>	441	A
* An investigation into roll/yaw coupling and its effect on vessel motions in following and quartering seas <i>M Renilson and T Manwarring</i>	452	A
* Broaching in following and quartering seas - theoretical attempts and new prevention device <i>N Umeda and A Matsuda</i>	460	A
* A comparative following sea investigation of a catamaran and monohull vessel <i>A Tuite and M Renilson</i>	471	A
* Full scale manoeuvring trials for use in the prediction of broaching-to <i>O Lundback and O Rutgersson</i>	481	A
* A comparison between the yaw and roll dynamics in astern seas and the effect of non-linear surge on capsize <i>K Spyrou</i>	492	A
* Piecewise linear approach to probabilistic stability in quartering seas <i>V Belenky</i>	503	A
* The effect of the cross deck structure on deck diving of high-speed catamarans in following seas <i>M Renilson, A Cooper and M Hannon</i>	511	A
* Following seas stability criterion. Total calculation scheme and regression formula for the stability change factor <i>A Bogdanov</i>	522	A

High Speed Craft

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
* Roll damping prediction method for a high-speed planing craft <i>Y Ikeda and T Katayama</i>	532	B
* Lateral and roll-yaw coupled motion control of hydrofoil craft <i>Y Terao</i>	542	B
* On the transverse stability for high-speed craft <i>K Kijima and H Ibaragi</i>	551	B

Stability of Sailing Yachts

A preliminary investigation into the effect of a coach house on the self-righting of a modern racing yacht <i>M Renilson, J Steel and A Tuite</i>	562	B
Stability of a sailing yacht floating upside-down <i>K Nomoto</i>	572	B
On stability standard of sailing boats <i>Y Takaishi</i>	586	B
* Towards predicting the behaviour of yachts in following seas <i>D Harris, G Thomas and M Renilson</i>	595	B
* A numerical and experimental study to determine the loads on a yacht keel in regular waves <i>H Kagemoto, M Fujino, T Kato and C Wu</i>	609	B
* Model tests to study capsize and stability of sailing multihulls <i>B Deakin</i>	619	B

Non-Linear Dynamics

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
* Experimental and theoretical studies of large amplitude ship rolling and capsize <i>B Cotton and K Spyrou</i>	640	B
Time domain simulation of parametrically excited roll in head seas <i>S Silva and C Soares</i>	652	B
* Peculiarities of motion of ship with low buoyancy on asymmetrical random waves <i>A Degtyarev and A Boukhanovsky</i>	665	B
* Parametric resonance in waves of arbitrary heading <i>M Neves and L Valerio</i>	680	B
* Account of peculiarities of ship's non-linear dynamics in seaworthiness estimation in real-time intelligence systems <i>Y Nechaev and A Degtyarev</i>	688	B
* Parametric roll resonance of the conical buoy in regular waves <i>J Matusiak</i>	702	B
* The study of lasting time before capsize of a ship under irregular wave excitation <i>D Shen and X Huang</i>	710	B

Standards for Naval Craft

* The Royal Australian Navy Stability Standard <i>P Hayes</i>	724	B
--	-----	---

Test Procedures

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
* A feasibility study of open water ship motion model testing on Lake George <i>R Loizou, J Milthorpe and W Smith</i>	739	B
* Influences of test parameters on roll damping coefficients <i>J Valle, L Perez-Rojas and E Carrillo</i>	751	B

Roll Stabilisation & Cargo Shift

* Recent developments in roll stabilisation of fishing vessels <i>P Helmore</i>	767	B
* Gyradius and air-fin anti-rolling system – evaluation of new system by numerical and physical simulation <i>T Hirayama, Y Saito, K Miyakawa, T Takayama and A Yamanouchi</i>	779	B
* Stability of a ship under the deformation of cargo <i>Y Drobyshevskiy</i>	789	B
* Mixed seas and cargo shifting on board <i>A Ericson, J Hua and O Rutgersson</i>	802	B

Waves and the Environment

An estimation of ocean wave characteristics based on measured ship motions <i>K Saito and K Maeda</i>	819	B
* Stable states of wave climate: applications for risk estimation <i>A Boukhanovsky, A Degtyarev L Lopatoukhin, and V Rozhkov</i>	831	B
* Tailor-made transient wave groups for capsizing tests <i>G Clauss</i>	847	B

Rolling in Beam Waves

	<u>Page number</u>	<u>Volume</u>
* Estimation of dynamic rolling response of small fishing vessels in fishing operations using a neural network model <i>N Kimura, K Amagai and T Hokimoto</i>	861	B
* On the capsizing mechanism of small craft in beam breaking waves <i>S Ishida and T Fujiwara</i>	868	B
* On-line identification of the rolling motion parameters <i>T Iseki and K Ohtsu</i>	878	B

Special Topics in Stability

* Modelling the stability behaviour of escort tugs in transient conditions <i>R Birmingham, D Molyneux and J Smith</i>	889	B
* Extent of trim corrections on the stability of inland vessels: some case studies <i>M Khondoker and A Rahim</i>	901	B
* On the stability of a small coastwise tanker capsized in turning <i>H Sadakane and C Kim</i>	914	B
* Effects of the presence of waves on the stability of passenger vessels <i>A Rahim, and M Khondoker</i>	923	B
* On the operational stability of a floating dock <i>Y Drobyshevskiy</i>	937	B
* Stability analysis of a cylinder in the wake of an upstream one <i>W Wu, S Huang, and N Baltrop</i>	952	B
* Problems of design of experiments and choice of regression structure for estimation of ship stability in exploitation conditions <i>Y Nechaev</i>	965	B

THE EFFECT OF CRASHWORTHINESS AND SOLID BUOYANCY ON SURVIVABILITY OF DAMAGED AND FLOODED RO-RO SHIPS.

Vredevelde A.W.^a, Journée J.M.J.^b and Vermeer H.^c

- ^a TNO-Centre for Mechanical Engineering, Delft, The Netherlands
^b Delft University of Technology, The Netherlands
^c Directorate General Transport, The Netherlands

ABSTRACT

The SOLAS conventions on survivability of partially flooded ships mention two aspects which are usually not seen as design parameters in the sense that they can be influenced significantly by the naval architect. These parameters are permeability of flooded compartments and crashworthiness of the ships hull. This paper shows the huge potential, with respect to damage survivability, of decreasing the permeability of void spaces and increasing the crashworthiness of the ship side structure. Decreasing permeability can be realised by stowing light weight foam blocks. An increase of the crash resistance of the side can be achieved by applying foam in void spaces as crash barrier. Investigations involving hydrostatics, ship motions, including progressive flooding (water ingress), and crash behaviour are reported. Other important issues such as fire safety, including toxic fumes, and access for surveys are addressed. Results are shown for an existing Ro-Ro ferry, ready for her midlife refit.

1. INTRODUCTION

The ability to survive damage to the hull with respect to sinking and capsizing has always been of great interest to ship owners and shipbuilders. Improving damage stability survivability starts with understanding what actually happens during and after a collision. Achieving such an understanding proves to be

very difficult. This problem also occurs when an analysis is carried out of the flooding, sinking and capsize mechanisms occurring during an accidental event. For example there is still a lot of discussion on which mechanisms were active during the loss of the Estonia [1]. Developments in analysis tools over the last decade have enabled designers and researchers to simulate hull crashing [2] and consequential flooding [3],[6]. Crash simulations could be validated against full scale collision and grounding tests, flooding and capsize simulations have been validated against model experiments only [3],[6].

More or less conventional design measures to improve damage survivability of ships up to now are: increased subdivision of the hull, reduction of operational KG's and increased width. The non-conventional measures of stowing Expanded PolyStyrene foam (EPS) blocks in void spaces, has been mentioned only once in literature [7]. Initiated by mr. Ernst Vossnack, former head of Nedlloyd design department, extensive investigations have been carried out to establish the potential benefits and risks of applying foam blocks.

The Dutch ferry SIER (80m), launched 1994 and owned by Wagenborg Passenger Services, features EPS in her voids.

Recently an investigation has been carried out in the Netherlands on applying foam blocks in a 165m ROPAX vessel.

Both investigations were based on a 'first principle approach' rather than on 'complying with the rules'. The results of the investigations and the experience so far are reported in this paper.

2. QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION COLLISION ACCIDENT

Apart from flooding due to faulty valves, piping and shell doors, there are two main causes for water ingress. These are collision and grounding. Flooding, due to grounding damage is usually restricted by applying a double bottom. At the moment flooding due to collision damage is assumed to be impossible to prevent. Therefore flooding scenarios must be identified and assessed. When, for example a ROPAX ferry is hit by a vessel with a bulbous bow, damage will occur to the side shell of the struck vessel. This damage may look similar to an 'exclamation mark' as observed when the RO-RO vessel EUROPEAN GATE-WAY was struck by the RO-RO vessel SPEEDLINK VANGUARD.

The actual damage that will occur will depend on the shape and structural rigidity of the penetrating bow, the crash behaviour of the struck side structure, the striking location, the incident angle, inertias of both vessels involved and their speeds at the moment of impact.




Figure.1 Side damage on European Gateway (top), damage below the water line (bottom).

In general the structure of the struck ship will dent, buckle and tear while the bow of the striking ship may dent and buckle. Bulbous bows in general will prove to be rather rigid when compared with side structures.

Through the damage area, water will flow into the damaged compartment, spread over this compartment and may flow into connected compartments if any. Since the water will usually enter through the side, initially a heeling moment will be acting on the damaged ship. Therefore the ship will respond in terms of roll, trim and sinking.

It should be remarked here that the actual area of the ingress openings nor the shape of the damage can be determined from any damage statistics because only damage extent, i.e. damage length, damage penetration and damage height, are reported.

Another interesting remark is that in case of a collision it is worthwhile not to withdraw the striking ship from the struck ship. The striking ship's bow will act as a plug, so water ingress will be slow. Moreover the striking ship provides

additional stability to the struck ship. No guidance or 'best practice' exists on this matter.

After some time a hydrostatic equilibrium may be found provided sufficient buoyancy remains present and provided no unstable situations occur during water ingress and flooding of the ship. The struck vessel will find a new draught, trim and heel. When the damaged vessel is in a sea state further water ingress may occur due to waves and ship motions. Especially water accumulation on a vehicle deck often proves to cause an unstable situation after some time of exposure to the waves. It is interesting to note that by manoeuvring the struck ship in a quartering seas course coming in from the intact side, may prove to be very effective in reducing or even preventing gradual water ingress into the ship and especially onto the vehicle deck. No guide lines are available in this respect nor are there any publications on such a measure.

3. SIMULATION TOOLS

Currently rather good simulation tools are available for both predicting damage due to collision and grounding [2] as well as for predicting the sinkage and roll motion due to sudden water ingress and progressive flooding [3]. At least one prediction tool is available on ship motions and water ingress of a damaged vessel while subjected to a sea state [6].

The damage prediction tools are based on explicit finite element method. In this method the governing partial differential equations of the discretized structure can be expressed as

$$\ddot{x} = \mathbf{M}^{-1}(\mathbf{F}_{\text{ex}}^{(n)} - \mathbf{F}_{\text{int}}^{(n)}) \quad (1)$$

where \mathbf{M} is the diagonal mass matrix, \mathbf{F}_{ex} the applied load vector at time $t^{(n)}$, \mathbf{F}_{int} the stress divergence or internal load vector at time $t^{(n)}$, and \ddot{x} the vector of nodal accelerations in a global co-ordinate system. The load vectors may depend on nodal displacements, nodal velocities or other internal variables. Unlike 'conventional' FE methods, here no stiffness matrix inversion is required, instead a simple time integration scheme is used to update nodal velocities \dot{x} and displacements x respectively. In this scheme the time step size is limited by numerical stability requirements. The stable time step size is typically 1 micro-second.

Ship motions due to sudden water ingress and waves can be described as

$$\sum_{j=1}^6 \{(M_{i,j} + A_{i,j})\ddot{x}_j(t) + \int_0^{\infty} B_{i,j}(\tau)x_j(t-\tau)d\tau + C_{i,j}x_j(t)\} = X_i(t) \quad (2)$$

These equations are known as 'Cummins' equations. The index i runs from 1 to 6, referring to the six rigid body motions of the ship. $x_j(t)$ is the parameter for the translational and rotational displacement in direction j at time instant t . $M_{i,j}$ and $A_{i,j}$ describe the solid and hydrodynamic inertias respectively. $B_{i,j}$ describes the retardation functions and $C_{i,j}$ the spring coefficients. $X_i(t)$ describes the external loads in direction i at time t . These external loads may be wave loads but can also be inclining moments due to water influx through damage openings. This water influx can be described by a Bernoulli differential equation using input data such as water levels inside and outside the ship, air pressures in tanks/compartments and flow areas representing damage openings, openings related to progressive flooding and air vents. These differential equations are also solved by numerical integration.

The numerical integration schemes, especially in case of equation (1), are rather demanding with respect to required computational power and memory. However the current generation of personal computers can cope quite well. The attractive feature of time integration is that geometrical and mechanical non-linearities can be dealt with without any trouble.

4. VALIDATIONS

Both calculation schemes have been validated by comparison with data from experiments. The damage prediction calculation methods have been checked and, to a lesser extent, tuned against full-scale ship-collision-experiments [2],[8]. In the context of this paper it is worth mentioning a validation reported in [8] where large-scale penetration tests of a 3.5 tonnes drop hammer into a foam backed steel shell are simulated. Figure.2 shows the test set up [10].

Figure.3 shows the calculated energy dissipation versus penetration in comparison with the measured results [8].




Figure.2 Test set up foam backed side panel penetration test.

The upper line refers to the measurements, the lower three lines refer to simulations with various material failure models. It shows that the calculation

'under-predicts' the energy absorbing capacity by about 12%. For the purpose of safety assessment, such accuracy seems satisfactory. With respect to ship motion response to sudden water ingress and wave loads, while the ship is damaged, various validations have been carried out [4]. Unfortunately almost all experimental data is obtained from model experiments, usually at a scale of 1/40. For ship motions this may be acceptable however with respect to damping due free moving water in the hull and quasi static water flow still doubts exist [4],[5].

5. EQUIVALENCE WITH CURRENT IMO REGULATIONS

Including the effects of foam in the safety assessment of ships with respect to damage stability, is not straight forward.




Abbildung 4.38: Berechnete Verformung (Material # 14)




Abbildung 4.39: Absorbierte Energie über der Eindringtiefe des Hammers

Figure .3 Calculated and measured results deformation (top) energy versus penetration (bottom) [8].

SOLAS conventions do not explicitly mention how to take the presence of foam into account. Implicitly however some parameters seem to present opportunities. The obvious parameter is permeability. When foam blocks are stowed in void spaces, these spaces are not likely to flood. So pending the stowage rate (V_{foam} / V_{void}) that can be attained, the permeability reduces accordingly. However when a foam filled compartment is damaged due to a

collision or a grounding the foam will show a dent. The volume associated with this dent does not contribute to any buoyancy. From the previous section it may be clear that calculating the damage to be expected is not a very difficult task. However choosing an adequate shape and size of the penetrating object as well as the energy to be absorbed by the struck ship does pose a substantial problem. The Explanatory Notes [9] on SOLAS regulations show that use is made of damage statistics from the past.

However it is not possible to establish a link between these statistics and absorbed energy.

Obviously applying foam in wing voids reduces the risk of damage to adjacent compartments between wings and the CL. The foam acts as a crash barrier. However quantifying the risk reduction is not readily possible. Again the main problem is assuming an adequate shape and size of the penetrating object and energy to be absorbed.

6. 165 m FERRY

This ferry is about 12 years old, and as of the 1st of January 1999, she must comply with SOLAS 90 requirements. A more or less conventional solution is fitting moveable flood control barriers on the vehicle deck. For this vessel five partitions are required, so four barriers have to be fitted. These barriers will restrict any accumulation of water on the vehicle deck to approximately 1/3 of the deck area. With this measure the vessel is able to comply with both SOLAS 90 and Stockholom Agreement requirements. Unlike most RO-RO passenger ferries this vessel features 1/5 B voids along its full length, also in way of the engine rooms. For this reason the option of reducing the permeability of the voids may be very effective since side damage will lead to hardly any influx of water in the voids. With a permeability of 15%, freeboard in the damaged condition, has been calculated to be about 0.25 cm larger than in case of empty voids.




Figure .4 Effect of reduced permeability on (roll) lurch of a 165 m ferry, due to sudden water ingress

Due to this increased freeboard the accumulation of water on the vehicle deck, while damaged and in a seaway, has been calculated to decrease significantly. Moreover complying with SOLAS 90 requirements is less onerous. Preliminary assessments, based on hydrostatics calculations and ship motions simulations, show that compliance with both SOLAS 90 and Stockholm requirements can be achieved with only two flood barriers and two relatively small buoyancy tanks on the vehicle deck.

Another phenomenon is the lurch the vessel may make after a collision, due to sudden water ingress through the damage area. Current regulations ignore this mechanism.

The results of a simulation of this lurch, based on the method as described in the section on simulation tools, is shown in Figure 4. As can be seen the initial roll angle is reduced significantly in case of a permeability of 15%.

7. OTHER ASPECTS

Stowing foam in void spaces introduces some practical problems and a potential fire hazard. The most important practical problems are:

- 1) proper stowage of the foam,
- 2) the need to inspect void spaces at regular intervals on structural damage and corrosion,
- 3) water absorption,
- 4) chemical resistance of the foam and
- 5) chemical properties with respect to corrosion of the voids.

In 1994 the passenger ferry SIER, operating between the Dutch main land and the Isle of Ameland, was launched. Due to its shallow draft and high width to draught ratio this vessel has a high intact stability. She can also easily comply with any damage stability regulation. In spite of these superior stability properties the owner decided to fit foam blocks in her void spaces, basically to increase her collision resistance.

For this purpose research was carried out with respect to energy absorbing capacity of various foams as well as fire behaviour of the foam in confined spaces. Figure 2 shows the test set up which was used for the energy absorption tests [10]. The conclusion is that Expanded Poly-Styrene foam proved to have the most favourable energy absorbing properties.

Classification societies usually inspect at five-year intervals. Therefore at least every five years the foam block have to be unloaded. Experience with the ferry SIER showed that no substantial problems occurred in the process of foam stowage and unloading. Figure .5 shows the foam block (left picture) after having been taken out of the void spaces (right picture).

Manufacturers of EPS issue product data sheets on various material properties. From these is can be found that water absorption for 20 kg/m³ EPS is zero for short term exposure (less than one day). At long term exposure (many years) an absorption of 5% is found.

Chemical resistance to fuel oil and lubrication oil is non-existing. The chemical properties of the foam are such that no corrosion occurs due to its presence in voids. Figure .5 right picture, shows one of the voids of the SIER after 5 years of EPS foam blocks stowage. No damage could be observed to the coating.

Fire tests were carried out on various foams (Figure 6). The blocks were stowed in a steel box, representing the void spaces as present in the SIER. The box was closed and sealed to be airtight.




Figure .5 EPS foam blocks as used in ferry SIER (left), wing tank i.w.o. ER after 5 years of foam stowage (right).

One side of the box was subjected to a SOLAS engine room heat exposure. In all cases the foam started to deteriorate, Toxic fumes developed and escaped through the non-return air vent.




Figure.6 Fire test with foam in a void space, smoke development (right), melting/degradation of EPS (left).

Such fumes need to be discharged outside the ship, i.e. at weather deck level.

However no fire occurred inside the box due to a lack of oxygen. When a simple rock wool insulation was applied between foam and exposed steel wall, nothing happened.

Because of the initial pentane emission right after production, EPS must be given time to cure (at least six weeks) before stowing it permanently in closed compartments.

8. WAY AHEAD

As may be clear from the previous sections adequate tools are available to assess the structural damage and ship motions during a collision and during subsequent water ingress. It may also be clear that extent of damage has a major impact on the survivability of RO-RO vessels. SOLAS 90 regulations and The Stockholm Agreement state damages to be assumed while assessing damage stability survivability. Unfortunately these damages can not be linked to any energy absorbed during a collision because they are intended for other purposes, i.e. determining which compartments will flood and which damage area will be available for water ingress into the ship. However assumptions are required with respect to size and shape of penetrating bow and the amount of collision energy to be absorbed by the struck ship if the effect of foam blocks on crashworthiness and buoyancy is to be assessed. Future efforts will be directed on solving this issue.

With some fantasy this roll response to sudden water ingress can be seen as a 'step response'. In case of a linear system a step response fully describes the dynamic characteristics of the system. It may be worth while to investigate if the 'step response' can be used to assess the motion characteristics for the damaged ship in a sea state.

9. CONCLUSIONS

Stowing expanded polystyrene foam block in void spaces can be a very effective and relatively cheap measure for increasing the survivability of existing RO-RO passenger ships with respect to collision, because of its buoyancy, its light weight and its crushing energy absorbing capacity.

EPS foam can be safe from a fire risk point of view when applied in closed, air tight, spaces only.

EPS foam can be safe from a fire risk point of view when applied in closed, air tight, spaces only.

When EPS is applied a curing time must be taken into account because of pentane escaping from 'fresh' material.

When foam blocks are stowed permanently in void spaces, air vents must be fitted with non-return valves extending to the weather deck.

Damage prediction calculations methods as well as ship motion calculations methods, including water ingress and progressive flooding, should be considered as valuable tools in the design stage of ships.

10. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors acknowledge the permission of both ship owners Wagenborg Passenger Services and P&O-NSF, to publish the research results as obtained for their vessels.

REFERENCES

- [1] The Naval Architect, January 1999 (p25), September 1998 (p9), April 1998 (p7), (articles on Estonia disaster).
- [2] Kitamura O., Kuroiwa T., Kawamoto Y, Kaneko E., A study on the improved tanker structure against collision and grounding damage, PRADS September 1998, the Netherlands.
- [3] Vermeer, H., Vredeveltdt, .W., Journée, J.M.J., Mathematical Modelling of Motions and Damaged Stability of RO-RO ships in the intermediate stages of flooding, Stab'94, Melbourne USA, 1994.
- [4] Journée, J.M.J., Vermeer H., Vredeveltdt A.W., Systematic model experiments on flooding of two RO-RO vessels, Stab'97, Varna, Bulgaria, September 1997.
- [5] Journée, J.M.J., Liquid cargo and its effect on ship motions, Stab'97, Varna, Bulgaria, September 1997.

- [6] Vassalos, D. ; Turan, O., Damage scenario analysis : a tool for assessing the damage survivability of passenger ships, Stability of Ships and Ocean Vehicles (078575) 1994, vol.3 , no.3
- [7] Sen, P.; Wimalsiri, Ro-Ro cargo ship design and IMO subdivision regulations, Ro-Ro Safety and Vulnerability, Kummermann Conf. London, 1991
- [8] Kulzep A., Peschmann J., Final report on Life-Cycle Design D2-grounding of double hull tankers, Technical University Hamburg Harburg, Hamburg, April 1998 (In German).
- [9] Explanatory notes to the SOLAS regulations on subdivision and damage stability of cargo ships of 100 metres in length and over, Resolution A.684(17), IMO, London
- [10] TNO-report B-92-0506, Drop Test, Ship Side Panels, TNO Building and Construction Research, P.O. Box 49, 2600 AA Delft.