Lilly Petter

P5

# Accepting the current(s)

A perious approach for the revitalisation of a former port area in the Rhine-Meuse Delta

11

11

11

VV

11

irst mentor: Leo van den Burg Second Mentor: Carola Hein

MSc Urbanism culty of Architecture and the Built Environment Technische Universiteit Delft

## FASCINATION

Figure 1: Hamburg's fishmarket under water in 2020 / Alinea



STA FALENA

Project objective

## HIGH PRESSURE ON DUTCH CITIES

### Growth without space





Figure 2: Densification areas in South Holland / Author Accepting the current(s)

How can post-industrial port areas be transformed and used as a catalyst for sustainable development to become resilient to flood risk and part of an adaptive delta system?

4/57



Project objective

### CLIMATE CHANGE

### and its effects on the Netherlands





1111

Figure 4: Effects of climate change in the Netherlands / Author



Project objective

## FLOOD RISK IN THE NETHERLANDS

A highly vulnerable country



Below NAP: 26%

Outer dike areas

Flood prone area along the Meuse



### CURRENT CHALLANGES OF DELTA SYSTEM

Gradual expansion of the port towards the sea

Maasvlakte II:Maasvlakte:Expansion into the<br/>North seaExpansion into<br/>the North sea

e Exp

2008-2030

**Europoort:** Expansion towards the North sea Petroleum havens, Merwehavens, Waalhaven: Expansion of city port

1970

Leuvenhaven, Wijnhaven, Scheepmakershaven, Glashaven, Bierhaven: Establishment of port of Rotterdam

10 km/

1900

1940

Problematization

### MANIPULATION OF THE DELTA

### Human interventions to the Meuse



Figure 7: Alteration of delta stream over time / Author

Accepting the current(s)

## DESTRUCTION OF THE BIOTOPE

Biodiversity crisis in South Holland



Natura 2000

Urban area

Forest

Grass land

Dunes

Wetland

Blue-green network

water



km

 $\bigcirc$ 

Problematization

### **TIDAL BIOTOP**

Uniqueness and potential of the original landscape of the Netherlands



Figure 9: Delta ecosystem / EcoShape Accepting the current(s)

Problematization

### CURRENT SYSTEM IN DISTRESS

Problemstatement



Figure 10: Problematization / *Author*Accepting the current(s)

### Urbanisation

population growth, densification



### (human) EXPOSURE

••••••••

# Environmental performance

sealing, pollution, degradation of eco services

### HUMANE SOLUTIONS FOR MAN MADE PROBLEMS



### Conversion

industry shift, nature based design, densification

#### (human) **EXPOSURE**

### Environmental performance

1.

room for nature, eco-services, livability Scope

## TOWARDS A SOLUTION





until mid 18th century	1980s	1990s
large-scale separation from the north sea and diking of the	land "Club of Rome" to restore evology of Delta	Inclusio national v

Figure 12: Water related urban planning strategies over time / Based on Breś & Krosnicka, 2021

2006 -

on of climate adaptation in the water safety concepts & policies

Towards a resilient Delta Scope

### **A POROUS WATERFRONT**

Reconnecting the city with the river



WATER



WATER

Figure 13: Proposed change / Author Accepting the current(s)

### SITE LOCATION

### Regional context



Outer dike area

Primary flood defence

Nieuw Mathenesse/M4H

••••• Urban area





Figure 14: Location of Merwe-Vierhavens in the regional primary dike protection system / Author

Accepting the current(s)



Merwe-Vierhavens

## Merwe Vierhavens

urban context

- Port Transformation Area
- Within Urban Context
- Connected to Urban Infrastructure

industry



### Spatial layout

### Historic trade area behind fences



Figure 16: Location of the different commercial uses at water front of the M4H area / Author







## **GREEN PUBLIC SPACE**

Lack of recreational and ecological value





Figure 18: Green structures of in the regional context / Author

## WATER NETWORK

### Two rivers coming together





### Relation between water and land

Loss of relationship between residents and river



Urban area

Water

Industrial area

Not accessible

Municipality border



Figure 20: Accessability of waterfront in the area / *Author Accepting the current(s)* 

Merwe-Vierhavens

### MAIN CHALLANGES

### In Merwe-Vierhavens



monofunctional

disconnected

petrified

separated

# TURNING VULNERABILITIES INTO OPPORTUNITIES

Creating a Design Framework

- Flood risk
- Destorted delta ecosystem
- Polluted environment
- Disconnected neighborhoods
- Monofunctional use

# TURNING VULNERABILITIES INTO OPPORTUNITIES

Creating a Design Framework

- Flood risk
- Destorted delta ecosystem
- Polluted environment
- Disconnected neighborhoods
- Monofunctional use



## EMBRACING THE NATURAL GRADIENT

By creating a semi natural environment

- Mimicing Natural Process
- Creation of Hybrid Delta Park
- Combine Urban and Natural Transformation Processes
- Dynamic Landscape
- Profit of Eco Services

-41



### **DEVELOPMENT PHASING**

### Enabeling a landscape transition

#### Habitation

Creating the possiblity of co-existence and migration of both human and natural entities and processes



#### Preperation

Shape and prepare area and community for the new form of use and the increased risk of flooding

Figure 25: Project phases / Author

#### Expansion

Creation of large scale corridors and connections for the most effective outcome



Figure 26: Settlement & Terrain restoration concept plan / Author



Implication

## MUD BECOMES HABITAT



**Plant salt marsh** 

Figure 27: Creation of salt marsh habitat / Author

Accepting the current(s)

### **TECHNIQUES TO CREATE A SALT MARSH**

Possible infrastructures that facilitate the natural processes



### **PROPOSED STRATEGIES**

### to achieve the design proposal



Creation of Tidal park

Important connections





Sediment trapping and wetland park



Dike to reduce erosion and stimulate sedimentation Removal of quaywall for a soft waterfront and enabling erosion

Redevelopment in realtion to Nieuw Mathenesse



Redevelopment in realtion to M4H

Climate adaptive redevelopment realted to new M4H development



Green dike



Dike park transitioning urban context to tidal landscape



### ADAPTIVE BUILDING TYPOLOGIES

Marine architecture to live with water







### STRUCTURAL COMPOSITION

Different construction methods for diverse surfaces



Figure 30: Different typologies for different landscape conditions  $\nearrow Author$ 

Accepting the current(s)

### ZONING OF AREA

Possible distribution of functions

Figure 31: Porous zoning / *Author*Accepting the current(s)



### **DEVELOPMENT FOCUS AREA**



Accepting the current(s)

### CULTURAL DRIVERS

### Creating local and regional connections



Figure 33: Location of cultural drivers / *Author Accepting the current(s)* 



## CULTURAL CATALYSTS

### Catalyst for trade and local food Supermarket, bank, gas station, market-

place for local food sales, restaurants, bar, cafe, port, vertical warehouse



### Catalyst for knowledge School, Educational center, museum,

interactive environment, playgrounds, art house, water treatment place, meeting room, green urban space

**Catalyst for tourism** Boat tours, market space, hotel, ferry terminal, viewpoints, event space, educational center, aquatic architecture, hiking route, port, harbour baths

#### ferry terminal harbour bath **Catalyst for crea**tivity and active life art center, pop up exhibitions, educational center, cafe, interactive environment, playgrounds, water sports, swimming, event space, multi purpose art school halls, urban garden, market area, mixed use, test and makerpop up exhibitio space, green urban space Water sport center Pop up gym **Catalyst for health** community spaces, activities, wellness, restaurants, Sport center, outdoor fitness, water sports, activity playground, sharing facilities, rehabilitation center, natural swimming pool, water access, playscapes

swimming area





### **ADAPTIVE CONNECTIONS**



Accepting the current(s)



Lifted street



#### Fast traffic connection

Slowed down traffic connection Dynamic lane configuration Shared space connection Electric autonomous vehicles and micro-mobility Slow traffic connection

Residential route folding bridges during flood Recreational route floating and amphibic bridges Fast route

Suggestive water mobility routes

НИВ

-

«·····»

M

Ferry and water bus landing

Multi-modal interchange HUB shuttle drivers on comand Public mooring for water mobility

Tram connection *medium speed* 

Underground transport *high speed* 

Underground transport *low speed* 

Pole hula

#### Implication

### MARITIME LIFE PROMOTERS













Lunker

Nature driven densification strategies

Urban hotspot

public

private



Nature based urban production landscape communal Recreational salt marsh Flood adaptive urban development Dense adaptive building development Wetland park Punctual adpative urban development Transportational (light) and recreational (*dark*) use of river cultural driver location Densification primary dike protection Permeable dike under primary infrastucture connection fixed infrastructure required for design Attainable infrastructure desired infrastructure for success of the design Possible infrastructure infrastructure that might be possible but is not essential to the achievement of the project Water connections Porous and dynamic water front Transportation HUB to supply the

Stabilization of sediments: Connecting routes between old quays - Ridgid like surrounding

Terracing ladscape down from dike to marsh

Wetland regeneration and extensive planting: floating trees/wetlands/farms (rizorith island, planting mats etc.)

Purification and wetland regeneration: Protection of marsh structures

Punctual development dissolving towards water edge

Infrastructure protecting current street network

> Most sediment catchmen while protection of erosion: Living breakwaters & permeable dike - Main route on top

Protection of marsh landscape

Urban island development: can grow together over time

Random and free stilt placing

car-free area

Erosion protection: linear structures (sand net) towards water, extending existing infrastructures

Infrastructure along waterfront to protect shoreline from waves (floating buildings, wetlands etc.)

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Omega}}$ 

Shouldn't silt up because of needed boat accessability

250 m

500 m

## Wetland park

Stabilization of sediments: Connecting routes between old quays - Ridgid like surrounding

Terracing ladscape down from dike to marsh

Wetland regeneration and extensive planting: floating trees/wettands/farms (rizorith island, planting mats etc.)

Purification and wetland regeneration: Protection of marsh structures Punctual development dissolving towards water edge

Infrastructure protecting current street network

12971 1772 1199

Most sediment catchmen while protection of erosion: Living breakwaters & permeable dike - Main route on top

Protection of marsh landscape

Urban island development: can grow together over time

Random and free stilt placing

Erosion protection: linear structures (sand net) towards water, extending existing infrastructures

Infrastructure along waterfront to protect shoreline from waves (floating buildings, wetlands etc.)

Shouldn't silt up because of needed boat accessions ability

Landscape tools



#### **Building typologies**







Figure 40: Wetland park / *Author*Accepting the current(s)





Landscape tools



#### **Building typologies**







Figure 41: Wetland park / *Author*Accepting the current(s)





## **CULTURAL-VISITORS HUB**

Punctual development dissolving t Infrastructure protecting current street

Stabilization of sediments: Connecting rou-tes between old quays - Ridgid like surroun-

Terracing ladscape down from dike to marsh

Wetland regeneration and extensive plan-ting: floating treas/wettands/farms (rizorith island, planting mats etc.)

ding

Purification and wetland regeneration

Protection of marsh structures

wards water edge

network

Most sediment catchmen while protection of erosion: Living breakwaters & permeable dike - Main route on top

Protection of marsh landscape

Urban island development: can grow together over time

Random and free stilt placing

Erosion protection: linear structures (sand net) towards water, extending existing infrastructures

Infrastructure along waterfront to protect sho-reline from waves (floating buildings, wetlands etc.)

Shouldn't silt up because of needed boat accessability

Landscape tools







**Building typologies** 







Figure 42: Cultural-Visitors HUB / Author

Accepting the current(s)

Landscape tools

![](_page_47_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Building typologies** 

![](_page_47_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Picture_5.jpeg)

11 Floating wetlands Laboratories Creative spaces The second Waterfront park ( Soot

Figure 43: Cultural-Visitors HUB / Author

![](_page_47_Figure_10.jpeg)

### **PRODUCTIVE TERRACES**

Stabilization of sediments: Connecting routes between old quays - Ridgid like surrounding

Terracing ladscape down from dike to marsh

Wetland regeneration and extensive planting: floating trees/wetlands/farms (rizorith island, planting mats etc.)

Purification and wetland regeneration: Protection of marsh structures Punctual development dissolving to-

wards water edge Infrastructure protecting current street network

Most sediment catchmen while protection of erosion: Living breakwaters & permeable dike - Main route on top

Protection of marsh landscape

Urban island development: can grow together over time

Random and free stilt placing

Erosion protection: linear structures (sand net) towards water, extending existing infrastructures

Infrastructure along waterfront to protect shoreline from waves (floating buildings, wetlands etc.)

Shouldn't silt up because of needed boat accessability

![](_page_49_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 44: Productive Terraces / Author

Accepting the current(s)

![](_page_50_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Picture_2.jpeg)

Figure 45: Productive Terraces / Author

Accepting the current(s)

![](_page_51_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_52_Figure_0.jpeg)

### APPLICABLE AREAS

### Creation of a transboundary delta landscape

![](_page_53_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_53_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_1.jpeg)

processes spatially integrated into city's urban culture

![](_page_55_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_0.jpeg)

### REFERENCES

Barsley, E. (2020). Retrofitting for flood resilience: A guide to building & community design. RIBA Publishing.

Brandis, N. F. von. (2018). Just Design It: Porosity as Leeway for Designing Urban Space. In Porous City (pp. 178–181). Birkhäuser.

Breś, J., & Krosnicka, K. (2021). Evolution of Edges and Porosity of Urban Blue Spaces: A Case Study of Gdańsk. Urban Planning, 6, 90-104. https://doi.org/10.17645/up.v6i3.4108

De Urbanisten. (2017). River as Tidal Park, Rotterdam. DE URBANISTEN. https://www.urbanisten.nl/work/river-as-tidal-park-rotterdam

Engel, H., Gramsbergen, E., Rutte, R., Fraune, Judith, Diesfeldt, O. R., Pané, I., Koninklijke Nederlandse Oudheidkundige Bond, Rijksdienst voor het Cultureel Erfgoed, Technische Universiteit (Delft), & Faculteit der Bouwkunde. (2021). OverHolland 21: Waterbeheer en cultureel erfgoed = water management and cultural heritage. KNOB; TU Delft.

Hein, C. (2021). Port City Porosity: Boundaries, Flows, and Territories. Urban Planning, 6(3), 1–9.

Holling, C. S. (1973). Resilience and stability of ecological systems. Annual review of ecology and systematics, 1-23.

Hoyle, B. (2000). Global and Local Change on the Port-City Waterfront. Geographical Review, 90(3), 395-417. https://doi.org/10.2307/3250860

Kimmelman, M., & Haner, J. (2017, June 15). The Dutch Have Solutions to Rising Seas. The World Is Watching. The New York Times.

Meyer, H., & Bouma, T. (2017). The state of the delta: Engineering, urban development and nation building in the Netherlands. Vantilt Publishers.

NOS. (2020). Ruimtetekort in Nederland: Minister gaat weer meebeslissen. NOS. https://nos.nl/l/2347876

Parkhaven 010 (n.d.). https://www.parkhaven010.nl/

### LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1: Hamburg's fishmarket under water in 2020 / Alinea. Retrieved from https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Fischmarkt,_Sturmflut_10Febru- ar_2020.jpg 2	Figure 24: Vision map / Auth
Figure 2: Densification areas in South Holland / Author 3	Figure 25: Project phases / A
Figure 3: Vision / Author 4	Figure 26: Settlement & Terra
Figure 4: Effects of climate change in the Netherlands / Author 5	Figure 27: Creation of salt ma
Figure 5: Flood risk in the Netherlands / Pbl. 2007. Retrieved from https://www.pbl.nl/en/correction-wording-flood-risks 6	Figure 28: Conceptual plan /
Figure 6: Port development / Author 7	Figure 29: Adaptive building t
Figure 7: Alteration of delta stream over time / Author 8	Figure 30: Different typologies
Figure 8: Current landuse in South Holland / Author 9	Figure 31: Porous zoning / Au
Figure 9: Delta ecosystem / EcoShape Retrieved from https://www.ecoshape.org/en/landscapes/riversestuaries/10	Figure 32: Focus areas / Auth
Figure 10: Problematization / Author 11	Figure 33: Location of cultura
Figure 11: Project sim / Author 12	Figure 34: Possible catalysts to
Figure 12: Water related urban planning strategies over time (Author Resed on Breé & Kresnicke, 2021, 12	Figure 35: Different flows in a
Figure 12: Water related urban planning strategies over time / Author, based on bres & Krosnicka, 2021 15	Figure 36: Mobility concept m
Figure 15: Proposed change / Author 14	Figure 37: Structure plan/ Au
Figure 14: Location of Merwe-Vierhavens in the regional primary dike protection system / Author 15	Figure 40: Wetland park / Au
Figure 15: Context of study area / Author 16	Figure 41: Wetland park / Au
Figure 16: Location of the different commercial uses at water front of the M4H area / Author 17	Figure 42: Cultural-Visitors H
Figure 17: Impressions of Nieuw Mathenesse / Author 18	Figure 43: Cultural-Visitors H
Figure 18: Green structures of in the regional context / Author 19	Figure 44: Productive Terrace
Figure 19: Water network in Rotterdam region / Author 20	Figure 45: Productive Terrace
Figure 20: Acessability of waterfront in the area / Author 21	Figure 46. Pind view even and
Figure 21: Main challanges of the M4H area / Author 22	Figure 40: bird view over area
Figure 22: Main challanges of the M4H area / Author 23	Figure 47: Kegional applicatio
Figure 23: Main challanges of the M4H area / Author 24	Figure 48: Accepting the curre

nor 25			
uthor	26		
ain restora	tion con	cept plan / Author 27	
arsh habit	at / Autl	nor 28	
Author	30		
typologies	/ Autho	r31	
es for diffei	rent land	scape conditions / Author	32
uthor	33		
or 34			
al drivers ,	/ Author	· 35	
o achieve a	n activa	tion of the outer dike area / Aut	hor
area / Aut	hor	37	
nap / Aut	hor	39	
uthor	41		
ithor	43		
ithor	44		
HUB / Aut	thor	47	
HUB / Aut	thor	48	
es / Autho	r 50		
es / Autho	r 51		
a / Author	53		
on / Autho	or	54	
rents / Aut	hor	56	

36