

## Importance of abiotic hydroxylamine conversion on nitrous oxide emissions during nitritation of reject water

Soler-Jofra, A; Stevens, B; Hoekstra, M; Picioreanu, C; Sorokin, DY; van Loosdrecht, MCM; Perez Canestro, JO

**DOI**

[10.1016/j.cej.2015.11.073](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.11.073)

**Publication date**

2016

**Document Version**

Accepted author manuscript

**Published in**

Chemical Engineering Journal

**Citation (APA)**

Soler-Jofra, A., Stevens, B., Hoekstra, M., Picioreanu, C., Sorokin, D.Y., van Loosdrecht, M.C.M., & Perez Canestro, J.O. (2016). Importance of abiotic hydroxylamine conversion on nitrous oxide emissions during nitritation of reject water. *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 287, 720-726.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cej.2015.11.073>

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **Importance of abiotic hydroxylamine conversion on nitrous oxide emissions during nitritation of reject water**

Aina Soler-Jofra<sup>a,b</sup>, Berber Stevens<sup>a</sup>, Maaïke Hoekstra<sup>a</sup>, Cristian Picioreanu<sup>a</sup>, Dmitry Sorokin<sup>a,c</sup>, Mark C.M. van Loosdrecht<sup>a</sup>, Julio Pérez<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Delft University of Technology, Julianalaan 67, 2628 BC Delft, The Netherlands.

<sup>b</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Biosciences, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, 08193 Cerdanyola del Valles, Spain

<sup>c</sup>Winogradsky Institute of Microbiology Research Centre of Biotechnology, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

\*Corresponding author Julio Pérez, j.o.perezcanestro@tudelft.nl

### **Abstract**

The spontaneous abiotic (or chemical) reaction of hydroxylamine (NH<sub>2</sub>OH) at low concentrations (<0.4 mgN/L) with free nitrous acid (HNO<sub>2</sub>) was investigated at the conditions of partial nitritation of reject water. An abiotic batch reactor test was used to quantitatively assess the kinetics of the nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) emission. The estimated chemical N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate was 0.16 mgN/L/h. In addition, the concentration of NH<sub>2</sub>OH in a full scale nitritation reactor, Single reactor High Activity ammonium Removal over Nitrite (SHARON) was measured in the range ca. 0.03-0.11 mgN/L. The presence of NH<sub>2</sub>OH in the SHARON reactor together with the abiotic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions rate (assessed in the abiotic batch reactor test) points towards a significant contribution of the abiotic N<sub>2</sub>O emission in the full scale reactor.

An equivalent emission factor (N emitted as  $N_2O$  / N oxidized in nitrification) of 1.1% was estimated to be linked to the abiotic pathway, which is around one third of the total measured  $N_2O$  emission rate in the SHARON reactor.

**Keywords:** abiotic  $N_2O$  emissions; ammonium-oxidizing bacteria; partial nitrification; sidestream;  $NH_2OH$ ; chemical reaction.

## 1. Introduction

Hydroxylamine ( $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ ) is an intermediate in the biological oxidation of ammonia to nitrite by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB) and in the dissimilatory nitrate reduction (DNRA) pathway. One of the first experimental indications that  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is an intermediate in nitrification was reported by Lees [1]. By adding  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  at a very low concentration (1.5  $\mu\text{gN/L}$ ) to an aerated *Nitrosomonas* sp. culture, the consumption of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in time was measured. At pH of 8.4 and 30°C, the measured  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  consumption rate was found to be even higher than that of ammonium consumption at the same conditions.

In the past, the extracellular release of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  during the oxidation of ammonia into nitrite by AOB was considered doubtful [2]. However, several publications also pointed out that  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is a measurable intermediate in the bulk liquid of reactors performing either nitrification (oxidation of ammonium into nitrite) or nitrification (oxidation of ammonium into nitrate)[3-7]. The values reported for the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration are in the range of 0.01-1  $\text{mgN/L}$ . Hydroxylamine is not one of the compounds regularly followed in  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission or nitrification studies neither in laboratory scale reactors nor in full scale wastewater treatment plants (WWTP). Some of these investigations were carried out with the specific goal of clarifying the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions pathways, quantification of rates or even setting-up mathematical models for the description of the process (among many others [8-12]). In addition, several mathematical models calibrated with experimental data included  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  as intermediate (for instance Ni et al. [12]), but the measurements were limited to ammonium, nitrite and  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ , whereas actual  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentrations were never measured. Overall, there is a lack of knowledge regarding the formation of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in WWTP or laboratory reactors performing nitrification or nitrification.

Hydroxylamine and nitrite are known to be precursors for abiotic (or spontaneous) production of nitric oxide (NO) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) (see a review by Schreiber et al.[13]).

Therefore, the presence of significant concentrations of NH<sub>2</sub>OH and/or nitrite was postulated as potential environment for the chemical production of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O in wastewater or natural aquatic ecosystems [13, 14]. The possible chemical reactions of NH<sub>2</sub>OH and nitrite to produce nitrogen oxides are numerous and with a rather complex chemistry. Moreover, the rates can be accelerated by the presence of trace metals (Harper et al., 2015) [13]. The still reduced knowledge on the potential contribution of abiotic pathways on the overall N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from nitrite, ammonia and NH<sub>2</sub>OH during wastewater treatment has been previously emphasized [13-18]. Schreiber et al. [13] highlighted a few relevant environments in which the chemical production of N<sub>2</sub>O could manifest in WWTP, and cited as main example the nitrification of reject water in two-stage nitrogen removal systems (e.g. the Single reactor High Activity ammonium Removal over Nitrite, SHARON reactor [19]). In particular, the chemical reaction between the NH<sub>2</sub>OH and nitrite (nitrosation of NH<sub>2</sub>OH) has been reported to produce N<sub>2</sub>O, with overall reaction (1) (Döring and Gehlen, 1961) [20]:



The precursor of N<sub>2</sub>O in reaction 1 is known to be the hyponitrous acid (H<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) - the dimer of HNO [20]. More recently, the chemical production of N<sub>2</sub>O from NH<sub>2</sub>OH and nitrite has also been investigated through site-specific <sup>15</sup>N isotopic signatures [18]. However, Heil et al. [18] did not assess the kinetics of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions, but rather focused on the isotopic signature of this reaction. They found that microbial and abiotic processes share the same intermediate steps, and therefore it was not possible to use the isotopic signature to assess the contribution of either biological or abiotic N<sub>2</sub>O emissions.

The occurrence of reaction 1 during biological nitrification has been already highlighted by Harper et al. (2015). These researchers firstly analyzed the abiotic formation of N<sub>2</sub>O and secondly used model fitting in a biological reactor to assess the contribution of the abiotic pathway to the overall N<sub>2</sub>O production.

In this study, we investigated the chemical reaction of NH<sub>2</sub>OH (at low concentrations) with nitrite and its potential contribution to N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in wastewater treatment plants. To this end, some of the experiments targeted specific conditions found in nitrification reactors in two-stage nitrogen removal process applied to reject water (SHARON). This process was selected because: (i) there, the microbial growth rate of AOB is close to the maximum specific growth rate triggering NH<sub>2</sub>OH release into the bulk liquid [4], and (ii) the high nitrite concentrations. We complemented this study with NH<sub>2</sub>OH measurements in the full scale SHARON reactor in Rotterdam (The Netherlands), to assess the abiotic N<sub>2</sub>O emission pathway in that type of nitrogen-converting bioreactors.

## **2. Materials and Methods**

### **2.1 Analysis of NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration**

The NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration was measured following spectrophotometric procedure [21]: 1 mL of the sample containing hydroxylamine (range 0.00 to 0.25 μM of hydroxylamine) was added to 1 mL of 0.05 M phosphate buffer, 0.80 mL of demineralized water, 0.2 mL of 12 wt% trichloroacetic acid, 1 mL of 1% 8-quinolinol (w/v) and 1 mL of 1 M Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. After shaking vigorously the mixture was heated 1 minute at 100°C in a water bath and cooled for 15 min before measuring absorbance at 705 nm (Novaspec III Amersham Biosciences). A blank was prepared by replacing the sample volume by the same volume of demineralized water.

For the samples withdrawn from the SHARON reactor, a variation of the protocol described was used, since no demineralized water was added, and 1.8 mL of sample were used instead. This was done to increase the absolute value of absorbance of samples. For more details regarding the sampling procedure in the SHARON reactor, see below the specific section 2.4.

## **2.2 Small-scale reaction tests**

### **2.2.1 Sampling and storage**

The  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  reactivity was tested under different conditions in 2 mL Eppendorf tubes. For each test 1.6 mL of medium was mixed with 0.2 mL of a 3.5 mgN/L hydroxylamine solution. Afterwards, 0.2 mL of 10% sulfamic acid was added in some of the tests, as far as it is reacting 1:1 stoichiometrically with nitrite to form  $\text{N}_2$ ,  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  [22]. The  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration was then immediately measured in samples with or without sulfamic acid. After keeping different aliquots overnight at room temperature,  $4^\circ\text{C}$  and  $-20^\circ\text{C}$ , with and without sulfamic acid,  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration was also measured.

The mineral medium used contained: 0.330 g/L  $\text{NaNO}_2$ , 0.344 g/L  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$ , 5mL/L of an iron solution containing 9.14g  $\text{FeSO}_4$  and 5g EDTA in 1L of demineralized water, 1.25 mL/L of a magnesium solution containing 160 g  $\text{MgSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in one litre of demineralized water, 0.625mL/L of a calcium solution containing 240g  $\text{CaCl}_2 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  in one litre of demineralized water, and 2.5 mL/L of a trace element solution containing 15g EDTA, 0.43 g  $\text{ZnSO}_4 \cdot 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.24 g  $\text{CoCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 1.0 g  $\text{MnCl}_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.25 g  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.22 g  $(\text{NH}_4)\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.20 g  $\text{NiCl}_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 0.10 g  $\text{NaSeO}_4$ , 0.014 g  $\text{H}_3\text{BO}_3$ , 0.050 g  $\text{NaWO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$  per litre of Mili-Q water and pH adjusted to 6 with NaOH.

### **2.2.2 Preliminary characterization of the reaction kinetics**

The  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  depletion rates were measured in a set of 2 mL Eppendorf tubes at room temperature (approximately  $21^\circ\text{C}$ ). The above mentioned medium was added to a set of 6-7 Eppendorf tubes, then  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was added to all of them to reach a final volume of 1.8 mL. Finally 0.2mL of 10% sulfamic acid was added to each Eppendorf tube at a different time, in order to stop the reaction (by removing nitrite). Finally, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration remaining after different reaction times was measured. For the sample at time zero, sulfamic acid was added first followed by the medium, in order to remove nitrite before  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  addition. The pH was measured before adding HA using a pH meter (827 pH lab Methrom Swiss made).

### **2.3 Reactor set up for the chemical reaction and gas analysis**

Experiments were conducted in a 1.5L lab-scale glass fermenter, equipped with a Teflon-coated magnetic stirrer. Air was added using a gas-diffuser with the flow rates  $0.316$  and  $0.214 \text{ L min}^{-1}$  for batch reactor test 1 and 2, respectively. Off-gas was measured on-line (Servomex 4900 infrared gas analyzer), allowing to follow continuously oxygen, carbon dioxide,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{NO}$ . Temperature was controlled with an external jacket and pH was followed, using a pH meter in samples without sulfamic acid, but not controlled, because it was stable.

Reaction mixture contained 1.9 mL and 3.8 mL of trace element and iron solutions, respectively, both described previously. 150 mL of a  $3.5 \text{ mgN/L}$   $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  solution was added to demineralized water containing the desired amount of metals, reaching a total liquid volume of 1.5 L, finally solid  $\text{NaNO}_2$  was added to reach desired concentration in each test (Table 1). Samples were withdrawn from the reactor at different times using a syringe and immediately mixed with 0.2 mL of  $0.1 \text{ g/mL}$  sulfamic acid. Hydroxylamine concentration was followed in time in the samples using the procedure mentioned in section 2.1.

### **2.4 Measurements of $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ concentration in a SHARON reactor**



Samples from the SHARON reactor in Sluisjesdijk-Dokhaven (Rotterdam, the Netherlands) were withdrawn directly from the bulk liquid at the WWTP. Following the protocol described in sections 2.1 and 2.2, 1mL of 0.1 g/mL of sulfamic acid was added immediately after filtration of the collected sample through cotton and 0.45mm filter and analyzed in less than 2 hours. A fraction of the sample was not mixed with the sulfamic acid solution to let the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  react completely with nitrite and therefore quantify the background absorbance of the supernatant.

The SHARON reactor contains flocculent sludge and the process conditions are summarized in Table 2.

## 2.5 Calculations

From acid-base equilibria the  $\text{HNO}_2$  (free nitrous acid, FNA, in mgN/L) concentration can be estimated for a given nitrite concentration (TNN, in mgN/L), pH and temperature (T) (Anthonisen et al., 1976)

$$FNA = \frac{TNN}{10^{pH} \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-2300}{273+T}\right) + 1} \cdot \frac{14}{47} \quad (2)$$

The total amount of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  produced ( $m_{\text{N}_2\text{O}}$ , g N) was calculated through integration in time of the curve of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission. The conversion of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  into  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  ( $\chi_{\text{NH}_2\text{OH}/\text{N}_2\text{O}}$ , %) can be calculated by using the initial  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration ( $C_{\text{NH}_2\text{OH},\text{ini}}$ , gN/L), the stoichiometry in reaction 1 and the total volume of reaction (V, L):

$$\chi_{\text{NH}_2\text{OH}/\text{N}_2\text{O}} = m_{\text{N}_2\text{O}} \frac{1 \text{ g } \text{NH}_2\text{OH} - N \text{ reacted}}{2 \text{ g } \text{N}_2\text{O} - N \text{ produced}} \cdot \frac{1}{C_{\text{NH}_2\text{OH},\text{ini}} \cdot V} \quad (3)$$

## 3. Results and Discussion

### 3.1 Fast screening of $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ reactivity in Eppendorf tubes

Since  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is known to be a very reactive short-lived compound, some preliminary tests were carried out to determine the best strategy for sample storage. To simulate a likely medium composition, the tests were carried out by using a synthetic wastewater containing ammonium, nitrite, metals and trace elements. To prevent the oxidation of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ , the addition of sulfamic acid was tested in order to remove nitrite as nitrogen gas. Nitrite and  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  can react [20], and the acid reduces the pH increasing the stability of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  [23, 24]. In fact, removal of nitrite is known to improve the hydroxylamine analysis [24]. When  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was determined through the colorimetric method immediately after the preparation of the solution (i.e. mixing the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  standard solution with the synthetic wastewater) the concentration matched the theoretical expected value ( $0.14 \pm 0.01$  mgN/L, Fig.1). When repeating the same procedure but including the addition of sulfamic acid, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration was not significantly different ( $0.14 \pm 0.02$  mgN/L, Fig. 1). Therefore, the sulfamic acid addition did not alter significantly the results of the analysis.

When sulfamic acid was not added, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was found to disappear completely when the sample was kept overnight either at room temperature, at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  or frozen at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$ . When sulfamic acid was added, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration was rather stable overnight, showing less than 10% decrease (Fig. 1). Storage at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  after addition of the sulfamic acid produced only a slight improvement (Fig. 1). Storage at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  was found to be not applicable because of a 50% decrease in the concentration (Fig. 1).

It was clear that after addition of sulfamic acid, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was stable for several hours, therefore the addition of sulfamic acid was adopted as a preliminary step for sample preservation. Nevertheless, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  stability could be linked to either (i) the pH of the solution (i.e., sulfamic acid solution has a very low pH, so that the sample pH dropped from

6.76 to 1.35 after the addition of sulfamic acid), (ii) the absence of nitrite, or (iii) a combination of both.

### **3.2 Characterizing the extent of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions through chemical reaction**

A reactor vessel was used to study the coupling of the conversion of NH<sub>2</sub>OH and nitrite with the formation of NO and N<sub>2</sub>O. Two different experiments were carried out.

In the first experiment, the reaction vessel contained initially NH<sub>2</sub>OH at a concentration of ca. 0.35 mgN/L, pH 6.2 and at 20 °C with the synthetic wastewater containing chelated iron and trace elements, but without nitrite. The reactor was aerated at 0.316 L min<sup>-1</sup>. Hydroxylamine remained rather constant for more than 200 min and no significant NO or N<sub>2</sub>O emissions were recorded (Fig. 2A). Therefore this indicates how NH<sub>2</sub>OH cannot disappear at a significant rate if nitrite is not present.

After 220 min, NaNO<sub>2</sub> was added to reach a nitrite concentration of 135 mgN/L in the reactor vessel. A decrease in the NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration was immediately recorded and N<sub>2</sub>O was simultaneously emitted, as detected through the gas analyzer (Fig. 2A). No nitric oxide (NO) emission was noticeable. Hydroxylamine decreased after nitrite addition at a rate of 0.20±0.02 mgN/L/h (Fig. 2A, Table 1). The maximum N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate was ca. 0.2 mgN/h (Fig. 2A). Therefore this indicates that NH<sub>2</sub>OH and nitrite react together following reaction 1, as expected.

In a second experiment, the reaction was carried out in the conditions commonly applied in SHARON nitrification bioreactors treating reject water (T=30°C, pH=7, concentration of nitrite 650 mgN/L, [25]) (see Fig. 2B). The reactor was aerated at 0.214 L min<sup>-1</sup>. In this case, the initial abiotic reaction mixture contained synthetic wastewater, including nitrite and chelated

iron, but without hydroxylamine. Neither N<sub>2</sub>O (Fig. 2B) nor NO emissions were detected. This showed how no significant reduction of nitrite into either NO or N<sub>2</sub>O was happening.

After 68 minutes a pulse of NH<sub>2</sub>OH was added to reach a final concentration of 0.3 mgN/L, which resulted in immediate N<sub>2</sub>O emission (but not NO) and decrease in NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration with an average conversion rate of ca. 0.27±0.07 mgN/L/h and a maximum N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate at ca. 0.25 mgN/h was maintained for at least 50 minutes. The initial NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration (ca. 0.3 mgN/L) was selected because a similar concentration was measured in a chemostat culture of *Nitrosomonas* sp. (Jiang et al., 2015).

These experiments showed that only when both NH<sub>2</sub>OH and nitrite were present, in the conditions tested, one of the reaction products was N<sub>2</sub>O (as expected from reaction 1).

The measured N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate was integrated in time to determine the reaction yield of N<sub>2</sub>O from NH<sub>2</sub>OH. Theoretically, the overall reaction would require equimolecular amounts of NH<sub>2</sub>OH and HNO<sub>2</sub> (see reaction 1). The experimental results indicated a conversion of 22% and 41% of removed NH<sub>2</sub>OH into N<sub>2</sub>O in the first and second experiment, respectively. However, for the first experiment, the % of NH<sub>2</sub>OH converted is underestimated, since we did not record the complete curve of N<sub>2</sub>O emission. The low recovery of N<sub>2</sub>O indicates that a fraction of the reacted NH<sub>2</sub>OH resulted in a different reaction product. Some of the NH<sub>2</sub>OH might have reacted with one of the intermediates of reaction 1 (HNO, the monomer of hyponitrous acid) to produce N<sub>2</sub> [13, 26]:



Although the presence of HNO was not verified in the course of this research, reaction 4 could explain the gap in the nitrogen mass balances. The influence of alternative reactions of NH<sub>2</sub>OH (e.g., disproportionation, autoxidation, oxidation by Fe(III), [13]) is likely very

limited at the conditions tested, because before the addition of nitrite in the first experiment, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration was stable for more than two hours (Fig. 2A). The same reasoning would apply for the potential nitrite reactivity to produce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  (e.g., nitrite reduction by Fe (II), [17]) since in the second experiment no  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions were noticeable until  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was added (Fig. 2B).

Given the measured  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate from reaction 1, in case  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is leaking to the bulk liquid in the SHARON reactor, the contribution of the abiotic pathway to the total  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate would be relevant. Therefore, the presence of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in the SHARON reactor was also investigated (see section 3.3).

A complete characterization of the effects of pH and temperature on the abiotic  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate (i.e. the reaction kinetics) would be desirable to clarify the contribution of the abiotic pathway in other nitrification systems used in wastewater treatment.

### **3.3 Free nitrous acid: the limiting compound**

Due to the variability found for the rate of conversion of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ , the effects of pH and temperature on the conversions were measured. Table 1 and Fig. 3 give the conversion rates determined as a function of the initial free nitrous acid ( $\text{HNO}_2$ ) concentration. The results indicate that the rate of reaction of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  with nitrite to produce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  is in fact limited by the  $\text{HNO}_2$  concentration. Temperature and pH were not followed in the Eppendorf tube tests, making it difficult to directly link the rate to an initial  $\text{HNO}_2$  concentration. However for the wide range of pH (4.3-7.6) tested the positive influence of lower pH on the reaction was clear.

Within the tested range of conditions, more acidic pH values would enhance the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission when  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  and nitrite are present in WWTP reactors. Higher nitrite concentrations in the wastewater would mean higher  $\text{HNO}_2$  concentrations (at a given pH and

temperature), which in turn would result in higher N<sub>2</sub>O emissions due to the chemical reaction between NH<sub>2</sub>OH and HNO<sub>2</sub>. Although a pH of 7 was used here to mimic the SHARON reactor conditions, often the reactor operates at lower pH values. For example, in the pH range 6.6-6.7, as reported by Mampaey et al.[27], higher reaction rates would be expected.

It is important to stress that in those tests the temperature was the same (21°C), the concentration of nitrite was the same (55±2 mgN/L) as well as initial NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration (0.31±0.05 mgN/L). Therefore, the change in pH was the single parameter affecting reaction rate. This was measured as NH<sub>2</sub>OH depletion rate, which ranged from 0.02 mgN/L/h (at pH 7.6) to 0.6 mgN/L/h (at pH=4.3) (Table 1).

In fact these results (Table 1) are in full agreement with findings by Döring and Gehlen [20], in which the reaction rate depended more strongly on the HNO<sub>2</sub> concentration than on the NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration. The doubling of reaction rate when increasing temperature from 20 to 30°C found by Döring and Gehlen could explain the increase in NH<sub>2</sub>OH depletion rate between the second and the first batch reactor tests (Table 1).

**Table 1.** Influence of conditions (pH and temperature) and free nitrous acid (HNO<sub>2</sub>) concentration in the NH<sub>2</sub>OH reaction rate.\* A phosphate buffer solution was added.

Eppendorf tube test No.	pH	T (°C)	NH <sub>2</sub> OH (mgN/L)	Nitrite (mg N/L)	HNO <sub>2</sub> (mgN/L)	NH <sub>2</sub> OH depletion rate (mgN/L/h)
1	4.3	21	0.27	54	1.8	0.56±0.09
2	5.1	21	0.35	56	0.3	0.29±0.03
3	7.6*	21	0.30	54	0.001	0.02±0.02
Batch reactor						

test No.						
1	6.2	20	0.35	135	0.065	0.20±0.02
2	7.0*	30	0.30	650	0.038	0.27±0.07

### 3.4 Hydroxylamine presence in a full scale SHARON reactor

The presence of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in large scale biological nitrification reactors would allow the abiotic  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  formation. The sampling campaign at the SHARON reactor in Rotterdam (five measurements during January and June 2015) indicated the presence of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in the range of 0.03-0.11 mgN/L (average 0.06 mgN/L) during the aerated phase of operation. The standard deviation of the determinations based on triplicates was for all samples below 15 %, and for most of them lower than 5%. Notably, in the range of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentrations measured in the SHARON reactor, the abiotic tests still indicated a high  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate (see Fig. 2B). However, the measured absorbance of the sample background was in average 76 % of that of the sample containing  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ . Given the high absorbance of the sample background, a more precise analytical method would be desirable to confirm the absolute values of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration here reported.

In addition to our measurements in the SHARON reactor, residual  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration in the bulk liquid has been reported by several researchers in similar conditions to those in the SHARON reactor [3, 4, 6, 7]. Low  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentrations are still compatible with significant  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production (and emission) rates through the abiotic reaction between  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  and nitrite (i.e., reaction 1). In many occasions, reaction intermediates can be at low concentrations but the reaction still proceeds. For instance, nitrite is usually not measured at high concentrations in WWTP, however nitrification of ammonium into nitrate is known to be happening.

Hydroxylamine released to the bulk liquid was detected when the growth rate of batch nitrifying cultures was close to the maximum [3, 4]. For example, hydroxylamine concentration was measured in the range of 0.03-0.06 mgN/L, during the exponential growth in batch culture of *Nitrosomonas* sp [4]. Therefore, in the SHARON reactor performing partial nitritation at high specific growth rate,  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  release into the bulk liquid would be expected. In that sense, the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration in the flocculent sludge could locally be even higher than that measured in the bulk liquid, depending on the biomass density and the diameter of the flocs (Sabba et al., 2015). Additionally, Jiang et al. [6] measured sustained levels of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in the bulk liquid of a *Nitrosomonas* sp. chemostat culture, in the range 0.2-0.3 mgN/L. The decrease in availability of inorganic carbon (e.g. from 40 times to 4 times excess) was reported to trigger increasing  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  levels [6]. The alkalinity levels of reject water (typically correlated to inorganic carbon) are not enough as to allow oxidation of all ammonium to nitrite [25]. Therefore, in SHARON reactors the availability of inorganic carbon is reduced (although not to the level to limit the partial nitritation), which might also be a reason why sustained  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  levels were detected in the bulk liquid. Also Ma et al. [7] measured concentrations of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in the range 0.09 and 0.15 mgN/L for a pilot scale partial nitritation / anammox reactor, with a bulk ammonium concentration of ca. 10-15 mgN/L. In summary, there are several reports of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  detected at relevant concentrations in nitritation reactors, all in agreement with the results found in the present study.

The residual  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  concentration in the bulk liquid will be established as the balance between the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  leakage rate by AOB, and the amount of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  reacting to produce  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  (either by abiotic or biotic routes). This is why we stress that the measured  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  is just the *residual* concentration established in the reactor, as a result of the balances between these rates:  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  leakage and (abiotic and biotic)  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  production.

### **3.5 Comparing chemical with biological $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emission rates**



To put the observed abiotic conversion into the context of overall  $N_2O$  emissions from nitrification, a direct comparison to reported cases has been carried out (Table 2). Since the SHARON reactor was monitored in the past [28] this was the first reference case.

Nevertheless, given the abundance of data reported in the literature, other key cases have been used to compare and discuss the significance of chemical  $N_2O$  emissions.

The  $N_2O$  emissions rates found through the chemical reaction of  $NH_2OH$  and  $HNO_2$  are in the same order of magnitude with those reported in the SHARON reactor and in similar type of biological lab-scale reactors (Table 2). This strengthened the idea that the contribution of the chemical pathway in the SHARON reactor is of major importance (as hypothesized by Schreiber et al. [13]). The rate estimated in the second batch reactor test (Fig. 2b) was 0.16 mgN/L/h, i.e. an  $N_2O$  emission factor ( $N$  emitted as  $N_2O$  /  $N$  oxidized in nitrification) of 1.1% of the converted ammonium under the conditions in the SHARON reactor.

Following to our assessment of the rate of  $N_2O$  emission by the abiotic pathway and given the  $N_2O$  emissions reported for the SHARON reactor (Table 2), we conclude that, in those conditions, both routes, the abiotic and biotic pathways, contribute significantly. Which is in agreement with the conclusions in Harper et al. (2015), who also assessed  $N_2O$  emissions in partial nitrification reactors as of hybrid origin, with contribution of both the abiotic and the biotic routes.

The direct comparison of our estimated rate for the chemical reaction with those reported for the biological conversion in an AOB batch reactor in Law et al.[8] at high nitrite (400-1000 mgN/L) and high ammonium (500 mgN/L) concentrations showed very similar values (Table 2). Although that study initially considered the possible abiotic production of  $N_2O$ , this was in the end ruled out based on an abiotic test with 1 mg $NH_2OH$ -N/L and nitrite concentrations in the range (0-1000 mgN/L). However, the conditions of that test (pH and temperature) were

not detailed and results were not shown. Therefore their chemical test cannot be directly compared with our results.

Wunderlin et al. [9] checked the chemical production of  $N_2O$  with  $NH_2OH$  and nitrite in a solution prepared with tap water. They assessed the abiotic contribution as very reduced, which agrees with our results since the concentration of  $HNO_2$  was much lower in those conditions (Table 2). However, the use of tap water could make a difference from our experiments, in which  $Fe(II)$  together with other metals were added at a known concentrations.

In addition, the chemical nitrite reduction by ferrous iron  $Fe(II)$  was reported to be a relevant  $N_2O$  emission pathway in a full scale Anammox reactor [17]. Iron is present in the reactor influent as  $Fe(III)$ -precipitate due to dosage of  $FeCl_3$  in earlier stages of the wastewater treatment plant [17]. The production of  $Fe(II)$  necessary for chemical  $N_2O$  formation through the nitrite reduction is thought to be mediated by biological activity. In a laboratory reactor mimicking the conditions of an anammox reactor, with  $Fe(III)$  and formate added, the  $Fe(III)$  reduction rate was  $19 \mu mol/g DW/h$  [17]. Despite  $Fe(II)$  presence in the medium (Table 1, Figs. 2&3), this pathway could be neglected in our abiotic tests because no significant  $NO$  or  $N_2O$  emissions were measured before the addition of  $NH_2OH$  in the batch test No. 2 (Fig. 2B). Moreover, the  $Fe(II)$  is expected to be quickly oxidized in the aerobic conditions used.

Our results agree with those reported by Harper et al. (2015): the abiotic reaction might be overlooked when calibrating  $N_2O$  emission models (e.g. Ni et al., 2014; among others) and therefore the potential contribution of this pathway remains unclear for many biological systems in which partial nitrification is taking place. In addition, we would like to point out that the effects of pH and temperature regulating the concentration of  $HNO_2$  (free nitrous acid) and the residual  $NH_2OH$  concentration in the bulk liquid are discussed and measured in this

contribution. The concentrations of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  (up to 20 mgN/L) used in Harper et al (2015) are doubtful to be present in biological systems, and their model fitting used to describe the biological tests has as a main weak point that  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  was added to those high concentrations but its concentration was never measured.

**Table 2.** Comparing conditions and emission rates of several biological reactors with abiotic tests. \*measured in this study. AOB = ammonia-oxidizing bacteria.

Reactor (reference)	pH	T (°C)	$\text{NO}_2^-$ (mgN/L)	$\text{HNO}_2$ (mgN/L)	Ammonium (mgN/L)	$\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$ (mgN/L)	$\text{N}_2\text{O}$ emission rate (mgN/L/h)
SHARON [28]	7	30	650	0.04	650	0.06*	0.47
AOB, batch [8]	7	33	400-1000	0.02-0.05	500	?	0.13
Batch, abiotic test [8]	Not described	Not described	0-1000	?	0	1	0? / not changing
Batch, abiotic test [9]	7	20	10	0.0008	0	10	0.03
Batch, abiotic test (this study)	7	30	650	0.04	0	0.3	0.16

### 3.6 Practical implications for the SHARON reactor

Given the dependence of the reaction rate on pH,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions from the chemical pathway here described could be mitigated by increasing the pH. However, increasing the pH may have some consequences to the operation of the reactor. In the SHARON reactor nitrate production is voided by keeping a dilution rate higher than the maximum specific growth rate

of nitrite-oxidizing bacteria [19]. The reactor is operated with alternating aerobic-anoxic periods in such a way that a mean aerobic retention time of 1.35 days is established [27], regardless the influent flow rate. Since no pH control is applied and the alkalinity is limited, the ammonium oxidation rate is reduced by the rather low pH. Addition of base to increase the pH will therefore produce an effluent with a lower ratio  $\text{NH}_4^+/\text{NO}_2^-$ , which would not be convenient for the subsequent Anammox reactor. One possibility would be to reduce the length of the aerated cycles, to decrease the ammonium oxidation rate achieved at a higher pH. In turn, the overall anoxic period will be longer and this may induce higher  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions coming from the nitrite reduction induced by the iron present in the reactor [17]. Although the chemical nitrite reduction to  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  was indicated as significant in the Anammox reactor and mediated by the Anammox capacity to reduce iron, when anoxic conditions are imposed in the SHARON reactor, it is unknown if this pathway would also be of relevance. For new installations, designing the SHARON reactor at a slightly higher (controlled) pH of operation (i.e. assuming a higher ammonium oxidation rate) should result in a lower  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emission rate from the chemical reaction between  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  and nitrite. Further research would be required to find out whether an optimal pH set point could be found in which  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions would be minimized.

#### **4. Conclusions**

- A significant production of  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  from the abiotic reaction between  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  and  $\text{HNO}_2$  was measured at the process conditions of partial nitrification of reject water in two-stage N-removal treatments.
- Therefore the  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$  emissions in those treatments are of hybrid origin, coming from biotic and abiotic routes.

- The abiotic N<sub>2</sub>O emission rate measured was 0.16 mgN/L/h at T=30°C, pH=7 and nitrite in excess (650 mgN/L), which are typical operating conditions reported for the SHARON reactor.
- An average residual NH<sub>2</sub>OH concentration of 0.06 mgN/L was measured in the SHARON reactor, which supports that the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in that installation are produced from biotic and abiotic routes.

### **Acknowledgements**

JP work was supported a Marie Curie Intra European Fellowship (GreenN2, PIEF-GA-2012-326705). AS work was supported by an Erasmus+ for Higher Education traineeships from European Commission and MOBINT grant from Generalitat de Catalunya. The authors acknowledge the cooperation of the plant operators at Sluisjesdijk WWTP (Rotterdam, The Netherlands).

### **References**

- [1] H. Lees, Hydroxylamine as an intermediate in nitrification, *Nat.* 26(169) (1952) 156-157.
- [2] J.M. Bremner, Sources of nitrous oxide in soils, *Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst.* 49 (1997) 7-16.
- [3] L. Yang, J. Alleman, Investigation of batchwise nitrite build-up by an enriched nitrification culture, *Water Sci Technol.* 26 (1992) 997-1005.
- [4] R. Yu, K. Chandran, Strategies of *Nitrosomonas europaea* 19718 to counter low dissolved oxygen and high nitrite concentrations, *BMC Microbiol.* 10 (2010) 70.

- [5] F. Sabba, C. Picioreanu, J. Pérez, R. Nerenberg, Hydroxylamine Diffusion Can Enhance N<sub>2</sub>O Emissions in Nitrifying Biofilms: A Modeling Study, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49 (2015) 1486-1494.
- [6] D. Jiang, W. Khunjar, B. Wett, S. Murthy, K. Chandran, Characterizing the Metabolic Trade-Off in *Nitrosomonas europaea* in Response to Changes in Inorganic Carbon Supply, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 49 (2015) 2523-2531.
- [7] Y. Ma, S. Sundar, H. Park, K. Chandran, The effect of inorganic carbon on microbial interactions in a biofilm nitritation–anammox process, *Water Res.* 70 (2015) 246-254.
- [8] Y. Law, P. Lant, Z. Yuan, The confounding effect of nitrite on N<sub>2</sub>O production by an enriched ammonia-oxidizing culture, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47 (2013) 7186-7194.
- [9] P. Wunderlin, J. Mohn, A. Joss, L. Emmenegger, H. Siegrist, Mechanisms of N<sub>2</sub>O production in biological wastewater treatment under nitrifying and denitrifying conditions, *Water Res.* 46 (2012) 1027-1037.
- [10] R. Yu, M.J. Kampschreur, M.C.v. Loosdrecht, K. Chandran, Mechanisms and specific directionality of autotrophic nitrous oxide and nitric oxide generation during transient anoxia, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44 (2010) 1313-1319.
- [11] M. Pijuan, J. Tora, A. Rodríguez-Caballero, E. Cesar, J. Carrera, J. Pérez, Effect of process parameters and operational mode on nitrous oxide emissions from a nitritation reactor treating reject wastewater, *Water Res.* 49 (2014) 23-33.
- [12] B.-J. Ni, L. Peng, Y. Law, J. Guo, Z. Yuan, Modeling of nitrous oxide production by autotrophic ammonia-oxidizing bacteria with multiple production pathways, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48 (2014) 3916-3924.

- W. F. Harper Jr., Y. Takeuchi, S. Riya, M. Hosomi, A. Terada, Novel abiotic reactions increase nitrous oxide production during partial nitrification: Modeling and experiments. *Chem. Eng. J.* 281 (2015) 1017–1023.
- [13] F. Schreiber, P. Wunderlin, K.M. Udert, G.F. Wells, Nitric oxide and nitrous oxide turnover in natural and engineered microbial communities: biological pathways, chemical reactions, and novel technologies, *Front. Microbiol.* 3 (2012) 1-24.
- [14] O. Van Cleemput, L. Baert, Nitrite: a key compound in N loss processes under acid conditions?, *Plant Soil* 76 (1984) 233-241.
- [15] D.J. Arp, L.Y. Stein, Metabolism of inorganic N compounds by ammonia-oxidizing bacteria, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.* 38 (2003) 471-495.
- [16] V.A. Samarkin, M.T. Madigan, M.W. Bowles, K.L. Casciotti, J.C. Priscu, C.P. McKay, S.B. Joye, Abiotic nitrous oxide emission from the hypersaline Don Juan Pond in Antarctica, *Nat. Geosci.* 3 (2010) 341-344.
- [17] M.J. Kampschreur, R. Kleerebezem, W.W. de Vet, M.C. van Loosdrecht, Reduced iron induced nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emission, *Water Res.* 45 (2011) 5945-5952.
- [18] J. Heil, B. Wolf, N. Brüggemann, L. Emmenegger, B. Tuzson, H. Vereecken, J. Mohn, Site-specific <sup>15</sup>N isotopic signatures of abiotically produced N<sub>2</sub>O, *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 139 (2014) 72-82.
- [19] C. Hellinga, A. Schellen, J. Mulder, M. Van Loosdrecht, J. Heijnen, The SHARON process: an innovative method for nitrogen removal from ammonium-rich waste water, *Water Sci. Technol.* 37 (1998) 135-142.

- [20] C. Döring, H. Gehlen, Über die Kinetik der Reaktion zwischen Hydroxylamin und salpetriger Säure, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 312 (1961) 32-44.
- [21] D. Frear, R. Burrell, Spectrophotometric method for determining hydroxylamine reductase activity in higher plants, *Anal. Chem.* 27 (1955) 1664-1665.
- [22] L.B. Clapp, Sulfamic acid and its uses, *J. Chem. Educ.* 20 (1943) 189.
- [23] M. Hughes, H. Nicklin, Autoxidation of hydroxylamine in alkaline solutions, *J. Chem. Soci.: Inorg., Phys., Theor.* (1971) 164-168.
- [24] A. Kock, H.W. Bange, Nitrite removal improves hydroxylamine analysis in aqueous solution by conversion with iron (III), *Environ. Chem.* 10 (2013) 64-71.
- [25] U. Van Dongen, M.S. Jetten, M. Van Loosdrecht, The SHARON®-Anammox® process for treatment of ammonium rich wastewater, *Water Sci. Technol.* 44 (2001) 153-160.
- [26] F.T. Bonner, L.S. Dzelzkalns, J.A. Bonucci, Properties of nitroxyl as intermediate in the nitric oxide-hydroxylamine reaction and in trioxodinitrate decomposition, *Inorg. Chem.* 17 (1978) 2487-2494.
- [27] K.E. Mampaey, U.G. van Dongen, M.C. van Loosdrecht, E.I. Volcke, Novel method for online monitoring of dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O concentrations through a gas stripping device, *Environ. Technol.* 36 (2015) 1680-1690.
- [28] M.J. Kampschreur, W.R. van der Star, H.A. Wielders, J.W. Mulder, M.S. Jetten, M.C. van Loosdrecht, Dynamics of nitric oxide and nitrous oxide emission during full-scale reject water treatment, *Water Res.* 42 (2008) 812-826.





## FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Preliminary tests establishing the sampling procedure at 0.14 mgN/L  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  in the samples: RT=room temperature; I=instantaneous measurement; O=overnight measurement; Fr=fridge storage; Fe=freezer storage; SA=sulfamic acid addition.

**Figure 2.** Chemical reaction of  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  and nitrite in a 1.5 L batch reactor. Results of the linearization are shown in Table 1, as batch reactor tests 1&2. **A.** Conditions used were  $T=20^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\text{pH}=6.2$ , nitrite was added at time 220 min at a concentration of 135 mgN/L. Air flow-rate  $0.316 \text{ L min}^{-1}$ . **B.** Conditions used were  $T=30^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $\text{pH}=7$ , nitrite concentration 650 mgN/L (already in the reaction vessel at time zero) and  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  added at time 68 min. Air flow-rate  $0.214 \text{ L min}^{-1}$ .

**Figure 3.** Eppendorf tube tests for determination of the  $\text{NH}_2\text{OH}$  depletion rate at different pH conditions.