

## Towards a miniaturized cuff implant for highly selective US neuromodulation of peripheral nerves

Velea, Andrada Iulia; Serdijn, W.A.; Giagka, Vasiliki

**Publication date**

2022

**Document Version**

Accepted author manuscript

**Citation (APA)**

Velea, A. I., Serdijn, W. A., & Giagka, V. (2022). *Towards a miniaturized cuff implant for highly selective US neuromodulation of peripheral nerves*. Abstract from International Winterschool on Bioelectronics (BioEI) 2022, Tirol, Austria, Tirol, Austria.

**Important note**

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable).  
Please check the document version above.

**Copyright**

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

**Takedown policy**

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights.  
We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

# Towards a miniaturized cuff implant for highly selective US neuromodulation of peripheral nerves

Andrada Iulia Velea,<sup>a,b</sup> Wouter A. Serdijn,<sup>a</sup> Vasiliki Giagka<sup>a,b</sup>


<sup>a</sup> Bioelectronics Section, Department of Microelectronics, Delft University of Technology, Mekelweg 4, 2628 CD, Delft, The Netherlands

<sup>b</sup> Technologies for Bioelectronics Group, Department of System Integration and Interconnection Technologies, Fraunhofer IZM, Gustav-Meyer-Allee 25, 13355, Berlin, Germany

Email: a.velea-1@tudelft.nl

In an attempt to reduce the side effects caused by the chemically-based drugs used to treat neurological disorders, the field of bioelectronics has been focusing on the development of smart and reliable solutions that could, ideally, interact with the tissue at a resolution of individual cells.<sup>1</sup> Conventionally, electrically-based systems have been used.<sup>2</sup> However, increasing the resolution at which they interact with the body leads to the development of invasive electrode arrays, which can cause long-term side effects.<sup>3</sup> Another approach, based on acoustic waves, has recently emerged. Ultrasound (US) neuromodulation has been proven to be effective in modulating the response of peripheral nerves, in an *in-vivo* setup<sup>4</sup> and has the potential to achieve higher spatial selectivity.<sup>5</sup>

In this work, we aim to fabricate an implantable cuff for US neuromodulation, which would employ an array of US transducers to deliver focused US to specific nerve areas in a non-invasive manner. To this end, the potential of different US transducer arrays for peripheral nerve applications is evaluated, assessing the acoustic performances as well as ease of assembly and integration. More specifically, two of the most important parameters that affect neural excitation are the frequency and output pressure generated by the US transducers.<sup>4</sup> Conventional bulk PZT transducers can generate a wide range of output pressures but these are not small enough for this application. PZT-based arrays, integrated on CMOS have recently emerged, and will be part of this evaluation<sup>6</sup>. However, these have not yet been integrated on flexible substrates. On the other hand, micromachined US transducers (MUTs) have been gaining a lot of interest, particularly capacitive MUTs (CMUTs) which can operate at high frequencies, thus reducing the focal point significantly.<sup>5</sup> CMUTs can be fabricated on flexible substrates, using biocompatible materials, rendering them a very attractive candidate for the envisioned cuff. However, CMUTs usually feature lower Q factors compared to PZTs, hence the output pressure still has to be evaluated for neuromodulation. In addition, this work will also discuss important characteristics of the materials used for encapsulation, as these should ensure the required flexibility of the cuff without negatively affecting the acoustic performance of the transducers.



*Concept of the US-based cuff implant for peripheral nerve stimulation.*

1. V. Giagka, and W. Serdijn, "Realizing flexible bioelectronic medicines for accessing the peripheral nerves-technology considerations," *Bioelectronic Medicine*, vol. 4, no. 8, Jun. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s42234-018-0010-y.
2. K. Famm, B. Litt, K. J. Tracey, E. S. Boyden, and M. Slaoui, "A jump-start for electroceuticals," *Nature*, vol. 496, no. 7444, pp. 159-161, Apr. 2013, doi: 10.1038/496159a.
3. T. Boretius, K. Yoshida, J. Badia, K. Harreby, A. Kundu, X. Navarro, W. Jensen, and T. Stieglitz, "A transverse intrafascicular multichannel electrode (TIME) to treat phantom limb pain-Towards human clinical trials," *2012 4th IEEE RAS & EMBS International Conference on Biomedical Robotics and Biomechatronics (BioRob)*, pp. 282-287, 2012, doi: 10.1109/BioRob.2012.6290787..
4. M. E. Downs, S. A. Lee, G. Yang, S. Kim, Q. Wang, and E. E. Konofagou "Non-invasive peripheral nerve stimulation via focused ultrasound in vivo," *Physics in Medicine and Biology*, vol. 63, no. 3, Jan. 2018, doi: 10.1088/1361-6560/aa9fc2.
5. S. Kawasaki, V. Giagka, M. de Haas, M. Louwerse, V. Henneken, C. van Heesch, and R. Dekker, "Pressure measurement of geometrically curved ultrasound transducer array for spatially specific stimulation of the vagus nerve," in *Proc. IEEE Conf. on Neural Eng. (NER) 2019*, San Francisco, CA, USA, Mar. 2019, doi: 10.1109/NER.2019.8717064.
6. T. Costa, C. Shi, K. Tien, J. Elloian, F. A. Cardoso, and K. L. Shepard "An Integrated 2D Ultrasound Phased Array Transmitter in CMOS With Pixel Pitch-Matched Beamforming," in *IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Circuits and Systems*, vol. 15, no. 4, pp. 731-742, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.1109/TBCAS.2021.3096722.