

Delft University of Technology

Numerical parametric study on ultimate load and ductility of concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite columns

Zhang, Youyou; Liu, Yuqing; Xin, Haohui; He, Jun

DOI 10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.109679

Publication date 2019 **Document Version** Final published version

Published in **Engineering Structures**

Citation (APA) Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., Xin, H., & He, J. (2019). Numerical parametric study on ultimate load and ductility of concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite columns. Engineering Structures, 200, Article 109679. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.109679

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Engineering Structures

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/engstruct

Numerical parametric study on ultimate load and ductility of concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite columns

Youyou Zhang^a, Yuqing Liu^b, Haohui Xin^{b,c,*}, Jun He^d

^a Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Davis, CA, USA

^b Department of Bridge Engineering, Tongji University, Shanghai, China

^c Faculty of Civil Engineering and Geosciences, Delft University of Technology, Delft, the Netherlands

^d School of Civil Engineering, Changsha University of Science & Technology, Changsha, China

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Equal-leg angle steel composite columns Stud connector Perfobond connector Ultimate load and ductility Finite element analysis Confined concrete

ABSTRACT

Steel-concrete composite high bridge pier has been applied increasingly in China and around the world. Most applied steel type in the composite piers are H-shaped steel and steel pipe, while seldom research or practice is associated with angle steel. This paper conducted parametric study on the composite column with equal-leg angle steel and aimed to investigate the ultimate load and displacement ductility of the composite columns with different parameters. The parameters include the type of shear connector (stud and perfobond connectors), the type of structural steel (H-shaped steel and angle steel), steel-plate hooping ratio, shear-span ratio, and axial compression ratio. Finite element analysis was conducted for each specimen, which incorporated the concrete confinement effect, as well as the inelastic behavior of concrete, structural steel, and longitudinal and transverse steel bars. The equal-leg angle steel composite column was found to have slightly higher strength and displacement ductility for the composite column, and the increase of shear-span ratio and axial compression ratio decrease the displacement ductility. Research results suggest stud and perfobond shear connectors should be applied as axial compression ratio being larger than 0.2 and 0.3, respectively. This paper provides reference for research and engineering practice of the concrete encased angle steel composite columns and bridge piers.

1. Introduction

Reinforced concrete high bridge pier is widely applied in mountainous region. Extremely large quantities of reinforcing bars and highly concentrated hoop ties are required in the conventional high bridge pier to ensure sufficient strength, while the excessive reinforcing bars and hoop ties increases the construction difficulty and reduces the construction quality and efficiency. Hybrid Hollow High (3H) pier, provides an innovative solution to the excessive usage of steel bars in conventional bridge pier in which part of the axial direct reinforcing bars installed with the conventional method are replaced by the structural steel surrounded by spiral reinforcement, as shown in Fig. 1. The 3H pier has several advantages comparing with traditional reinforced concrete pier: larger earthquake resistance, greater construction efficiency and economy, better construction quality and aesthetics, and environmental preservation [1].

In engineering practice and research, steel type in such steel-

concrete composite bridge pier or column focuses on H-shaped steel or steel pipe, as shown in Fig. 2. Michio et al. conducted experiment for eight 3H pier specimens which are half size of the actual bridge piers, and six pier specimens correspond to H-shaped steel and the other two correspond to steel pipe [2]. H-shaped steel composite high pier was applied in Sun Yat-Sen Freeway widening project to improve the construction quality and earthquake resistance [3]. El-Tawil and Deierlein investigated strength and ductility of nine concrete encased H-shaped steel composite columns using a fiber element model [4]. Soliman et al. experimentally studied ten encased steel concrete columns and concluded that concrete confinement affects the strength of the column, and steel pipe leads to better confinement than the H-shaped steel section [5]. Nonlinear 3-D finite element models were developed to analyses the strength and inelastic behavior of concrete encased Hshaped steel composite columns, which carefully incorporated inelastic material properties as well as the concrete confinement effect [6,7]. Shi et al. conducted static loading tests for concrete encased H-shaped steel

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: H.Xin@tudelft.nl (H. Xin).

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2019.109679

Received 16 February 2019; Received in revised form 22 August 2019; Accepted 12 September 2019 0141-0296/ © 2019 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.











Fig. 3. Equal-leg angle steel and reinforcing bars.



Fig. 4. Cross section of specimens SRP-A and SRP-B.

composite columns and proposed formulas enable to evaluate the deformation capacity of columns with the consideration of axial load ratio, steel ratio, shear span ratio, fiber contents ratio and flange width [8].

Numerous researches on concrete encased composite columns are found to focus on H-shaped steel and steel pipe, whereas only a few researches have been found to be associated with angle steel. Li conducted experiments for 23 high-strength concrete encased angle steel composite columns [9]. Zheng and Ji studied the seismic performance of nine angle-steel concrete column specimens [11]. Their researches of concrete angle steel composite columns are related to building structures, which have different design requirements with bridge piers, such as axial compression ratio and shear-span ratio, etc.

To obtain an in-depth understanding of high bridge pier with angle steel, we proposed the concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite column in this study, which consists of concrete, angle steel and surrounded longitudinal and transvers reinforcement bars, as shown in Fig. 3. Comparing with H-shaped steel or steel pipe, the equal-leg angle steel avoids complete separation of concrete inside and outside of the steel so that achieves the integrity of the column. Besides, the equal-leg angle steel composite column obtains larger moment of inertia of steel section credited to arranging angle-steel on the edges. Note in this paper, the research focuses on the single concrete encased steel composite column instead of the whole high bridge pier. The better understanding of a single column can provide reference to the further research and design for the whole high bridge pier.

The steel-plate hooping applied in the concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite column provides multiple benefits: (1) good connection for four angle steel; (2) large confinement effect which

Parameters of column specimens.

Specimen	Section of steel	Shear steel plate volumetric ratio $\rho_v/\%$	Longitudinal reinforcement	Transverse stirrup	Shear-span ratio λ	Axial compression ratio n
SCP-A	Angle Steel ($_50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
SCP-B	H-Shaped Steel	$\rho_v = 0.8\%$ (Ø10@50)	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
	$(H150 \times 160 \times 9 \times 8)$					
SCP-C1	Angle Steel ($150 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 1.2\%$ (25 × 150 × 6@83)	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
SCP-C2	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 1.4\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@70)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
SCP-D1	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 3 \ (0.9 \ \text{m})$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
SCP-D2	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 8 \ (2.4 \ m)$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
SCP-D3	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 10 (3 \text{ m})$	n = 0.1 (620 kN)
SCP-E1	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.08 (496 kN)
SCP-E2	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.15 (930 kN)
SCP-E3	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.2 (1240 kN)
SCP-E4	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.3 (1860 kN)
SCP-E5	Angle Steel ($\bot 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \ (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	$\lambda = 5 (1.5 \text{ m})$	n = 0.4 (2480 kN)
SCP-E6	Angle Steel ($ cap 50 \times 50 \times 10$)	$\rho_v = 0.8\% \; (25 \times 150 \times 6@100)$	$\emptyset 15 imes 8$	Ø10@50	λ =5 (1.5 m)	n = 0.5 (3100 kN)



Fig. 5. Finite element modelling for the concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite column.



Fig. 6. Confinement zones in concrete encased steel composite columns.

increases the compressive strength for inner concrete; (3) short free length between steel-plate hooping along the column height which increases the column stability; (4) good shear connection between concrete and the structural steel. The steel-plate hooping and transverse reinforcement hooping provide confinement for the inner concrete as well as the middle concrete.

The main objective of this study is to numerically investigate the mechanical behavior of concrete encased angel steel composite column with different parameters. Parametric study was conducted to investigate the effects of different steel type, steel-plate hooping ratio, shear-span ratio, and axial compression ratio on the strength and ductility of the composite columns. Detailed finite element models were developed which carefully considered the inelastic behavior of steel and concrete, longitudinal and transverse steel bars, as well as the concrete confinement effect. In addition, shear connection is important in concrete-steel composite structure. We proposed composite columns with different types of shear connectors and investigated the stress of angle



(a) Original confinement zones of SCP-B



(b) Simplified confinement zones of SCP-B

Fig. 7. Confinement zones of SCP-B.



(a) Original confinement zones for SCP-A
 (b) Simplified confinement zones for SCP-A
 Fig. 8. Confinement zones for SCP-A.

steel and shear connectors under different axial compression ratio.

2. Numerical modeling

2.1. Parameters of composite columns

Four parameters are critical and carefully considered for the concrete encased steel composite column, which are sectional steel ratio, reinforcement ratio, shear-span ratio, and axial compression ratio [9].

(1) Sectional steel ratio

Sectional steel ratio in concrete encased steel composite column is the ratio of the cross-sectional area of the steel section to the total area of composite cross section. Requirements for sectional steel ratio among different specifications and practices are not in agreement. AISC specification requires the sectional steel ratio shall be at least 1% [12]; Chinese codes for composite structure specify the sectional steel ratio should be in the range of 4%–15% [13]; researches suggest the sectional steel ratio to be 1.0%–8.0% [9,13]. In this paper, 4.44% is selected as the sectional steel ratio for all specimens.

(2) Shear-span ratio

Shear-span ratio is defined as the ratio of shear span to effective height of the column and this ratio affects the failure modes of the column. In reinforced concrete columns, shear-span ratio of less than 2 creates shear failure, larger than 4 creates flexural failure, and within the range of 2–4 corresponds to flexural-shear mode failure [13]. Flexural failure is a type of ductile failure, while shear failure and flexural-shear failure modes belong to brittle failure modes which should be avoided during design. Shear-span ratio also affects column strength. The bearing capacity of the column significantly decreases as the shear-span ratio increases. Thus, appropriate shear-span ratio should be designed aiming to get a favorable failure mode as well as enough strength.

Previous researches conducted experimental and analytical analysis for concrete encased steel composite columns with relatively small shear-span ratios for building structures: 1.0–2.5 [8], 2.0 [9], 3–5 [11], 2–3 [14], 2.0–2.5 [15], 3.25 [16], 3.0 [17]. In this paper, composite columns were studied for high piers instead of building structures, thus relatively large shear-span ratio of 3, 5, 8 and 10 was studied.

(3) Axial compression ratio

Axial compression ratio is defined as the ratio between the axial force and compressive strength of steel section and concrete, which is given by [18]:

$$n = \frac{N}{f_c A_c + f_s A_s} \tag{1}$$

where *N* is the designed axial compressive force, f_c is the concrete axial compressive strength, A_c is the concrete compressive area, f_s is the compressive strength of steel section, and A_s is the area of steel section.

This study is originated from an engineering practice in Zhejiang province, China, in which the axial compression ratio for each single composite column is around 0.1. Thus, axial compression ratio of 0.1 is regarded as a base ratio in this study, and parametric studies for axial compression ratio are conducted with the range of 0.08–0.5.



Fig. 9. Stress-strain curves for unconfined and confined concrete.

(4) Steel-plate volumetric ratio

Steel-plate volumetric ratio is defined as the ratio of the volume of steel-plate hooping to the volume of the core concrete enclosed by the steel-plate. The steel-plate ratio affects the ductility for the composite columns [9,16]. In this paper, volumetric of stirrup reinforcement ratio of steel plate is designed as 1.0%.

Parametric study was conducted in this study for the composite columns and the finite element models were developed for column



Fig. 10. Stress-strain relation for structural steel and reinforcing steel.



Fig. 11. Contact modelling.

specimens with varying parameters. Specimen SCP-A, as shown in Fig. 4(a), is the base specimen to be used for comparison with other specimens. Equal-leg angle steel in SCP-A is 1.5 m high, 50 mm wide, and 5 mm thick with the size of steel-plate hooping of 150 mm, 25 mm, and 6 mm in length, height and thickness, respectively. The steel-plate hooping is arranged along the column height with the equal spacing of 100 mm, which corresponds to the volumetric steel ratio of 1%. Eight longitudinal reinforcement bars are arranged outside the equal-leg angle steel with the diameter of 15 mm. Rectangular transverse reinforcement stirrups with 10 mm diameter are arranged along the column height with spacing of 50 mm. The axial compressive force is set at 620 kN, corresponding to the axial compression ratio of 0.1.

SCP-B in Fig. 4(b) adopts the H-shaped steel with the same sectional steel ratio as in the SCP-A. Reinforcement stirrup is used for the H-shaped steel, which provides confinement for core concrete inside the H-shaped steel.

Table 1 lists parameters for different column specimens in this study. Specimens SCP-C1 ~ C2 vary the steel-plate volumetric ratio from 1.0% in SCP-A to 1.2% and 1.4%, respectively. Specimens SCP-D1 ~ D3 changes the shear-span ratio from 5 in SCP-A to 3, 8, 10, respectively. Specimens SCP-E1 ~ E6 vary the axial compression ratio from 0.1 in SCP-A to 0.08, 0.15, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5, respectively.



Fig. 12. Boundary condition and load application.



Fig. 13. Lateral load-displacement curves of specimen SCP-A and SCP-B.

2.2. Modeling approach

The proposed concrete encased equal-leg angel steel composite columns consist of four components: concrete, steel section, longitudinal and transverse reinforcement bars, as shown in Fig. 5. Earlier



Fig. 14. Definition of displacement ductility ratio.

researches on concrete encased columns have shown that steel section and reinforcement stirrups would provide different levels of concrete confinement, dividing the composite columns into three main zones [4,6,7], as shown in Fig. 6: (1) unconfined concrete zone consists of the concrete cover and the parabolic arching between the reinforcement bars. Note that the parabola was assumed to have an initial tangent slope of 45 degrees; (2) highly confined zone inside the perimeter of the structural steel and the arching formed by the steel section; and (3) partially confined concrete zone which refers to concrete section between unconfined and highly confined concrete zones. The confinement depends on factors including material properties of concrete, steel section and reinforcement bars, the steel section geometry, diameter, spacing, layout and number of the longitudinal and transverse reinforcement bars, etc.

In the FE modeling, the parabolic concrete zones are adjusted into rectangular zones for modelling simplification, as shown in Figs. 7 and 8. For the encased H-shaped steel composite column, the highly confined concrete is taken from the web of the steel section to the vertex of parabola between the two flanges; the partially confined concrete is taken from the vertex of parabola between longitudinal reinforcement bars to the vertex of parabola between flanges; and the unconfined concrete is the remaining external zone. Similar simplified method was applied for the encased equal-leg angle steel composite column: the highly confined concrete zone is the square area passing through four vertexes formed between angle legs; the partially confined concrete is taken from the vertex of parabola between angle legs; and the unconfined reinforcement bars to the vertex of parabola between angle legs; and the unconfined concrete is the remaining external zone.

2.3. Constitutive model of concrete

Mander's model is a unified stress-strain model which has been widely adopted for confined concrete modelling [19]. In this study, the Mander's model was selected for modelling the highly confined, partially confined and unconfined concrete, as shown in Fig. 9. The longitudinal compressive stress-strain curve for confined concrete is given by

$$f_c = \frac{f_{cc} xr}{r - 1 + x^r} \tag{2}$$

with

Physics characteristics of specimens SCP-A and SCP-B.

Specimen	Yield displacement (mm)	Maximum displacement (mm)	Maximum load (KN)	Initial secant stiffness (kN/mm)	Displacement ductility
SCP-A	10.54	25.02	192.85	18.30	2.37
SCP-B	10.77	24.46	179.05	16.63	2.27



Fig. 15. Displacement ductility of specimen SCP-A and SCP-B.



Fig. 16. Lateral load-displacement curves of specimens with different steelplate hooping ratio.

$$\mathbf{x} = \frac{\varepsilon_c}{\varepsilon_{cc}} \tag{3}$$

$$r = \frac{E_c}{E_c - E_{sec}}$$
(4)

where f_{cc} is compressive strength (peak stress) of confined concrete; ε_{cc} is the strain at compressive strength; E_c is the tangent elastic modulus of the concrete; and E_{sec} is the secant modulus of confined concrete at peak stress.

The compressive strength of confined concrete f_{cc} is determined by the compressive strength of unconfined concrete f_{c} and the effective

lateral confining stress $f_{l}^{'}$. The compressive strength of confined concrete $f_{cc}^{'}$ is expressed by

$$f_{cc}^{'} = f_{c}^{'} \left[\left(2.254 \sqrt{1 + \frac{7.94f_{l}^{'}}{f_{c}}} - 2\frac{f_{l}^{'}}{f_{c}} \right) - 1.254 \right]$$
(5)

The effective lateral confining stress f_l is related to the volumetric ratio and yield strength of lateral reinforcement and the area of effectively confined concrete core.

The strain at maximum confined concrete stress ε_{cc} is given by

$$\varepsilon_{cc}^{'} = \varepsilon_{c0}^{'} [1 + 5(\frac{f_{cc}^{'}}{f_{c}^{'}} - 1)]$$
(6)

where f_c is the compressive strength of unconfined concrete and ϵ_{c0} is the strain at maximum unconfined concrete stress.

The secant modulus of confined concrete at maximum confined stress is dependent on the compressive strength of confined concrete f_{cc} and the strain at maximum confined concrete stress ε_{cc} , that is:

$$E_{sec} = \frac{f_{cc}}{\varepsilon_{cc}}$$
(7)

The structural steel is taken into account in concrete encased steel composite columns to determine the confining stress, and a higher compressive strength f'_{cc} is obtained for the highly confined concrete region. The stress-strain curve for unconfined concrete is also determined by Eq. (2), just substituting $f'_{l} = 0$ in Eq. (5) and $\varepsilon'_{c0} = 0.002$ in Eq. (6).

2.4. Constitutive model of structural steel section and reinforcement bars

Fig. 10(a) and (b) show the simplified stress-strain curves for the steel section and reinforcing steel bars in tension, respectively. They both use the three-fold line constitutive models, values of which were obtained from the material laboratory tests [20]. σ_{ys} and ε_{ys} represent yield stress and yield strain for the structural steel, respectively, and σ_{yr} and ε_{yr} represent yield stress and yield stress and yield strain for reinforcement bars, respectively. It is assumed that the stress-strain behavior is identical in compression for both the structural steel and reinforcing steel. In this study, it is assumed that the structural steel has the yield stress of 345 MPa, and the reinforcing bars has the yield stress of 335 MPa. The longitudinal steel bar has the diameter of 15 mm, and the transverse steel hooping has the diameter of 10 mm.

2.5. Finite element model

In this study, finite element analysis platform ABAQUS [21] was selected for the simulation of the concrete encased angle steel composite columns. Different types of elements were specified for different parts of the columns with the consideration of modelling accuracy and efficiency. In addition, different mesh sizes for each component were tried to select the reasonable mesh which provides both reliable results and less computational time. Concrete was modeled by the solid element C3D8R with three degrees of freedom and the mesh size is set as

Table 3 Physics characteristics of specimens with different steel-plate hooping ratio.

Specimen	Yield displacement (mm)	Maximum displacement (mm)	Maximum load (KN)	Initial secant stiffness (kN/mm)	Displacement ductility
SCP-A	10.54	25.02	192.85	18.30	2.37
SCP-C1	10.42	32.70	198.30	19.02	3.14
SCP-C2	6.20	27.22	205.23	33.11	4.39



Fig. 17. Displacement ductility for specimens with different steel-plate hooping ratio.



Fig. 18. Lateral load-displacement curves of specimen with different shear-span ratio.

1(length): 1(width): 2(depth). Structural steel was modeled by shell element S4R with six degrees of freedom and the mesh size is set as 1(length):1(width). Longitudinal and transverse reinforcement bars were modelled by using truss element T3D2. It is worth mentioning that for the solid element C3D8R, mesh sizes vary in different regions, namely, the inner concrete adopts finer mesh than the outer concrete as the inner concrete contact with the structural steel so that necessitate the more-refined analysis.

The structural-concrete, the longitudinal and transverse reinforcement bar-concrete interfaces were modelled by embedded constraint techniques [21], as shown in Fig. 11. Nodes of steel section and reinforcement bars were set as embedded nodes, and nodes of concrete are set as hosted nodes. The composite columns are fixed at the bottom and free at the top, as shown in Fig. 12. In the engineering practice, dead loads of superstructure account for a much larger percentage of axial force than live loads, meaning the axial compression force vary with a small magnitude and can be treated as a constant in finite element modelling; whereas horizontal loads for bridge columns vary due to several factors such as temperature change, horizontal vehicle braking force, etc. Considering the load application in the finite element modeling should be consistent with practice, the loads are applied by two steps: first, a constant axial force is applied at the column top; then, gradually increasing horizontal load is added at the column top until the column failure.

3. Parametric study results

3.1. Type of steel section

Fig. 13 shows lateral load-displacement curves for specimens SCP-A and SCP-B. Important characteristic are obtained from the curves, including yield displacement, maximum displacement, maximum load, initial secant stiffness, and displacement ductility. Displacement ductility is used to reflect the ability of the composite column to undergo large deformation in the inelastic range without a significant reduction in strength. The displacement ductility μ of the composite column is defined as

$$\mu = \Delta_u / \Delta_y \tag{8}$$

where Δ_u is the maximum displacement, and Δ_y is the yield displacement, which refers to the yield displacement of the equivalent elasticplastic system with reduced stiffness found as the secant stiffness at 75% of the maximum lateral load H_u of the real system, as shown in Fig. 14 [22].

Table 2 tabulates mechanical characteristics of specimen SCP-A and SCP-B, and Fig. 15 shows displacement ductility of these two specimens. Maximum load and initial secant stiffness of specimen SCP-A are 7.71% and 10.04% higher than corresponding characteristics of SCP-B. Displacement ductility of SCP-A and SCP-B are 2.37 and 2.27, respectively, with the ductility of SCP-A being 4.41% higher than SCP-B. Slightly larger strength, stiffness, and displacement ductility of SCP-A indicates that equal-leg angle steel section encased in concrete column is mechanically superior than H-shaped steel with the same sectional steel ratio, steel-plate hooping ratio, axial compressive ratio, and shear-span ratio.

3.2. Steel-plate hooping ratio

Fig. 16 shows the lateral load-displacement curves for specimens SCP-A, SCP-C1 and SCP-C2, with varying steel-plate hooping ratio of 1.0%, 1.2%, and 1.4%, respectively. Initial stiffness of the composite column rises as the steel-plate hooping ratio increases. Displacement ductility of the three specimens are listed in Table 3 and plotted in Fig. 17, with values of 2.37, 3.14, 4.39 for Specimen SCP-A, SCP-C1,

Table 4Physics characteristics of specimens with different shear-span ratio.

Specimen	Yield displacement (mm)	Maximum displacement (mm)	Maximum load (KN)	Initial secant stiffness (kN/mm)	Displacement ductility
SCP-D1	4.30	17.62	330.97	76.92	4.10
SCP-A	10.54	25.02	192.85	18.30	2.37
SCP-D2	24.50	67.30	112.90	4.61	2.75
SCP-D3	36.97	73.22	85.19	2.30	1.98



Fig. 19. Displacement ductility for specimens with different shear-span ratio.



Fig. 20. Lateral load-displacement curves of specimens with different axial compression ratio.

and SCP-C2, respectively. Concrete strain continually increases during the loading process, making the core concrete expand outward. The mutual effect between expanded concrete and steel-plate hooping provides the confinement to concrete. The larger steel-plate hooping ratio, the more significant confinement to concrete would be achieved. This confinement improves the ductility of concrete material, and further increase the displacement ductility of the composite column.



Fig. 21. Displacement ductility of specimens with different axial compression ratio.



Fig. 22. $N_{\rm u}$ – $M_{\rm u}$ curve of composite columns under different axial compression ratio.

Table 5			
Physics characteristics	of specimens with	different axial	compression ratio.

Table F

Specimen	Yield displacement (mm)	Maximum displacement (mm)	Maximum load (KN)	Initial secant stiffness (kN/mm)	Displacement ductility
SCP-E1	10.63	26.80	188.03	17.69	2.52
SCP-A	10.54	25.02	192.85	18.30	2.37
SCP-E2	10.47	22.02	205.30	19.60	2.10
SCP-E3	10.41	20.58	214.31	21.51	1.68
SCP-E4	10.01	20.38	221.51	23.42	1.26
SCP-E5	9.09	17.97	211.45	25.32	0.84
SCP-E6	8.15	16.80	188.19	27.23	0.43



Fig. 23. Normal stress cloud image of cross-section at column bottom with different axial compression ratio.

3.3. Shear-span ratio

Fig. 18 shows the lateral load-displacement curves for specimen SCP-A, SCP-D1, SCP-D2, and SCP-D3, with varying shear-span ratio of 5, 3, 8 and 10, respectively. The maximum lateral load of these four specimens vary significantly with 330.97 kN, 192.85 kN, 112.90 kN, and 85.19 kN for SCP-A, SCP-D1, SCP-D2, and SCP-D3, respectively, showing that shear-span ratio greatly affects column strength. As listed in Table 4, displacement ductility of SCP-D1, SCP-A, SCP-D2, and SCP-D3 are 4.10, 2.37, 2.75, 1.98, respectively, which shows a general decreasing trend with the rising shear-span ratio (Fig. 19). This finding is inconsistent with previous researches, which show displacement

ductility of concrete encased steel composite columns reduce as shearspan ratio increases [9,10,16]. This inconsistence could result from different shear-span ratios: the shear-span ratio in previous researches ranges from 1 to 3, whereas in this study ranges from 3 to 10. In this study, although maximum displacement increases significantly as the shear-span ratio increase, the yield displacement rises with a greater speed, making the displacement ductility shows a decreasing trend.

3.4. Axial compression ratio

Fig. 20 shows the lateral load-displacement curves for specimens SCP-A, and SCP E1 \sim E6, with varying axial compression ratios ranging



Fig. 24. Relative compression zone height of section at column bottom.

from 0.08 to 0.5. As axial compression ratio increases, initial secant stiffness increases, and the maximum displacement decreases (Table 5). Displacement ductility almost linearly vary from 2.52 for SCP-E1 decreasing to 0.43 for SCP-E6 with the drop rate of 82.9%, as shown in

Fig. 21. With increasing axial ratio, concrete strain and stress before applying the lateral load is getting larger. Thus, the ultimate deformation capability of concrete material decreases, causing the reduction of displacement ratio. Besides, larger axial compression creates greater second moment (p- Δ effect), making the composite column being more likely to reach the strength and thus decreasing the ultimate displacement and displacement ductility.

Fig. 22 shows the $N_u - M_u$ curve for composite columns under different axial compression ratios, where N_u is the maximum axial compression and M_u is the maximum bending moment at the bottom section. Compression ratio of 0.35 corresponds to the balance failure mode. Compression failure occurs as axial compression ratio is smaller than 0.35, and tension failure occurs as the ratio is larger than 0.35.

Fig. 23(a)–(e) show normal stress cloud image at column bottom under axial compression ratio of 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, and 0.5, respectively. Note that concrete in red areas is in tension, and the left edge of red area in these plots can be regarded as the location of neutral axis. Fig. 24 shows the relative compression zone height of cross-section at column bottom. As the axial compression ratio increases from 0.1 to 0.5, the area of concrete in tension reduces (Fig. 23) and the relative compression zone height rises (Fig. 24), indicating axial compression would significantly affect section strain distribution during loading and at failure.

Fig. 25(a)-(d) show concrete strain distribution along cross-section



Fig. 25. Concrete strain distribution along cross-section with different axial compression ratio.



(a) Stud shear connector



(b) Perfobond shear connectorFig. 26. Two types of shear connectors.



Fig. 28. Lateral load-displacement curves of SCP-A and SCP-G1 ~ G3.

under different axial compression ratios. Different curves in the same plot related to different relative lateral forces, which are the ratios between the lateral force during the loading process to the maximum lateral force at failure. When the axial compression ratio is 0.1 (Fig. 25(a)), the concrete strain at various depth of the cross section presents a linear distribution. The distance between neutral axis and the compression fiber is relatively small, about one third of the total depth of the cross-section. Maximum strain at tension fiber is much larger than that at compression fiber, indicating a typical tension failure mode of the composite column. As the axial compression ratio increases, the distance between neutral axis and the compression fiber is continually increasing (Fig. 25(b)~(d)). Besides, the maximum strain at compression fiber increases, whereas at tension fiber decrease. When the axial



Fig. 27. Configuration of specimen SCP-A and composite columns with different shear connectors.



Fig. 29. Stress extraction at centerline of the steel angle on tension and compression sides.

compression ratio reaches to 0.5 (Fig. 25(d)), structural steel in compression side fails while in tension side keeps small strain, showing a typical compression failure mode. Moreover, strain distribution in compression zone no longer satisfy the linearly distribution when the axial compression zone is relatively large, as shown in Fig. 25(b)-(d).

4. Shear connectors effects

4.1. Different types of shear connectors

In steel-concrete composite structure, the shear force between structural steel and concrete is critical, which can be transferred via natural bonding, or shear connectors. Comparing with natural bonding, shear connectors is a more effective way to transfer the shear force [22,23]. Stud and perfobond connectors are the two most widely used shear connectors, as shown in Fig. 26. Fig. 26(a) shows the most commonly used stud with cylindrical head, which has excellent drawing capacity, welding quality, and shear force transferring ability [24–26]. Fig. 26(b) shows the configuration of perfobond shear connector, which is the steel plate with holes arranged in the direction of shear force. Steel bars can be arranged penetrating these holes to further increase the shear strength of the connector [27,28].

Three types of concrete encased steel composite column were proposed in this study with different types of shear connectors, as shown in Fig. 27(b)-(d). SCP-A in Fig. 27(a), as introduced in Section 2.1, is the



Fig. 30. Von Mises stress of SCP-G1 under different axial compression ratio.



Fig. 31. Von Mises stress of SCP-G2 under different axial compression ratio.



Fig. 32. Von Mises stress of SCP-G3 under different axial compression ratio.

base specimen for comparison. SCP-G1 arranges stud shear connectors in steel-plate hooping; SCP-G2 uses perforate steel plate, namely, the perfobond shear connector without penetrated steel bars; SCP-G3 is designed based on SCP-G2, in which penetrated steel bars are placed aiming to achieve higher shear strength. The specimen SCP-G1 ~ G3 have the same size of equal-leg angle, steel-plate hooping ratio, and shear-span ratio as the base specimen SCP-A. However, varying axial compression ratios were applied for SCP-G1 ~ G3 to study how the ratio affects stress distribution of structural steel and shear connectors.

Similar finite element modelling techniques were used for specimens SCP-G1 ~ G3 as introduced before except the finite element type for structural steel. For specimen introduced in Section 2.1, shell element was used to simulate the equal-leg angle and steel-plate hooping. While for specimens G1 ~ G3, solid element with refined mesh was used for modelling the stud, steel-plate hooping, and penetrated steel bars. Note that solid element was also used for modelling the equal-leg angle steel, aiming to be consistent with the element type of shear connectors and provide more accurate modelling results.

4.2. Lateral load-displacement relation

Fig. 28 shows lateral load-displacement curves for specimens SCP-A and SCP-G1 \sim G3 under the axial compression ratio of 0.1. These four curves are generally consistent, showing that arranging shear connectors did not affect the stiffness and strength of the composite columns under the axial compression ratio of 0.1. SCP-A has the largest maximum lateral displacement, which was followed by SCP-G1. SCP-G2 and SCP-G3 have similar maximum lateral displacement, which are smaller than that of SCP-A and SCP-G1. The difference of ultimate lateral displacement shows arranging shear connectors would decrease the displacement ductility of specimens in this study. Under small axial compression ratio, arrangement of shear connectors somewhat affects the integrity of concrete, and stress concentration is prone to occur between structural steel and concrete, reducing of the maximum lateral displacement. Thus, shear connectors are not recommended under small axial compression ratio.

4.3. Stress of structural steel

Von mises stress of structural steel is studied under the axial compression ratio of 0.1, and stresses at centerline of the steel angle on the tension and compression sides from column top down to column bottom are extracted for analysis, as shown in Fig. 29.

Figs. 30-32 show von Mises stresses on the compression and tension sides of SCP-G1 ~ G3 under different axial compression ratio. It is necessary to mention that, vertical distance is set as x axis, and y axis shows the von Mises stress at different height. As the axial compression ratio increases, yield length of structural steel at compression side increases significantly, as shown in Figs. 30(a), 31(a), and 32(a). From the column top down to the bottom, stress of structural steel at tension side firstly decreases, and then gradually increase to yield stress, as shown in Figs. 30(b), 31(b), and 32(b). The structural steel in the composite column is under two forces which are balanced to a certain extent: axial compression, and tension due to the bending moment created by the horizontal loading. Near the column top where the moment arm is quite small, the tension resulting from the horizontal loading is smaller than the pre-compression. As the distance from the column top increases, the balance effect gradually increases, making the von Mises stresses reduces continually. Near the column bottom, the tension is the dominate force, and gradually increase to yield stress. No significant differences occur among these stress curves for SCP-G1 \sim G3, indicating the type of shear connector seldom affect the stress of structural steel in this study.

4.4. Stress of shear connectors under different axial compression ratio

Shear connectors are critical to transfer and redistribute forces between steel and concrete. The stress distribution of shear connectors is studied for the composite columns under different axial compression ratio.

Table 6 shows the von Mises stresses of steel section and stud shear connectors for SCP-G1 on the compression side at the column bottom and tension side at the column top. Note in the stress cloud image, chromatic area represents the yielded steel, and achromatic area corresponds to the unyielded steel. Under the axial compression ratio of 0.1, no stud shear connectors yield at column bottom or top. As the axial compression ratio increases, the rows of yielded stud connectors on the compression ratio of 0.2 to four rows under axial compression ratio of 0.7. On the tension side at column top, stud connectors did not yield until the axial compression ratio ratio ratio ratio ratio ratio ratio ratio ratio stresses of steel section and perfobond shear connectors for SCP-G2 and G3 on the compression side at the column

Table 6 Stress clou

id image of SCP-G1 under different axial compression ratio

Axial compression ratio	Von Mises stress of structural steel	Stud connectors on the compression side of column bottom	Stud connectors on the tension side of column top
0.1	Landers and the second	No yielding	No yielding
0.2	CONSTRUCTION OF THE PARTY OF TH		No yielding
0.3	LEVER TERETER STORY		No yielding
0.4	LEATER STREET, SANDARD		No yielding
0.5	CONTRACTOR OF CONTRACTOR		No yielding
0.6	PROFILIS & LEVENSON		No yielding
0.7	PROFILE STREET STREET		

Stress cloud image of SCP-G2 under different axial compression ratio.

Axial compression ratio	Von Mises stress of structural steel	Perfobond connectors on the compression side of column bottom	Perfobond connectors on the tension side of column top
0.3	CTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT		No yielding
0.4	CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR		No yielding
0.55	AND		
0.65	TTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTTT		
0.7	AND AND SOUTH		

bottom and tension side at the column top. Similarly, as the axial compression ratio increases, rows of yielded perfobond shear connectors at both the column top and bottom increase.

By comparing the stress of shear connectors in SCP-G1 ~ G3 under different axial compression ratio, we found that: (1) SCP-G2 and G3 are more likely to yield than SCP-G1 under the same axial compression ratio, indicating shear connection of SCP-G2 and G3 are greater than SCP-G1; (2) In SCP-G1, connectors provide large connection when the axial compression ratio is large than 0.2. Thus, to provide good bonding between concrete and structural steel, it is recommended to arrange stud shear connectors close to the column top and bottom when the axial compression ratio is larger than 0.2; (3) In SCP-G2 and G3, connectors provide large connection when the axial compression ratio is larger than 0.3. Thus, it is recommended to arrange perfobond shear connectors close to the column top and bottom when the axial compression ratio is larger than 0.3; (4) Considering that penetrating the steel bar has tiny effect on the mechanical behavior of the composite column, it is not recommended to penetrate the steel bars to ensure a better casting effect.

5. Conclusion

Issues related to the concrete encased equal-leg angle steel composite columns were examined in this study. Parametric studies were performed to investigate the effects of different steel type, steel-plate hooping ratio, shear-span ratio, and axial compression ratio on the strength and ductility of the composite columns. In addition, composite columns with different types of shear connectors were proposed and the stress of angle steel and shear connectors under different axial compression ratio were investigated. The following conclusions can be made:

(1) Parameters include type of structural steel, steel-plate hooping ratio, shear-span ratio, and axial compression ratio were investigated on how they affect the strength and ductility of the composite columns. Equal-leg angle steel section encased in concrete column has larger strength and ductility than column with Hshaped steel. Increasing steel-plate hooping ratio result in greater

Stress cloud image of SCP-G3 under different axial compression ratio.



displacement ductility of the composite columns, and increasing shear-span ratio give rise to decreasing displacement ductility. As axial compression ratio increases, displacement ductility almost linearly decreases for specimens in this study.

- (2) Different types of failure modes occur with different axial compression ratio. Compression ratio of 0.35 corresponds to the balance failure mode in this study. The strain cross-section at column bottom linearly distributed under the axial compression ratio of 0.1, whereas the strain distribution is nonlinear as the axial compression ratio increases to 0.2, 0.4 and 0.5.
- (3) The shear strength provided by perfobond connectors are larger than that provided by stud shear connectors for specimens under the same axial compression ratio. It is not recommended to arrange shear connectors for the columns with small axial compression ratio like 0.1, while it is recommended to arrange stud shear connectors near the column top and bottom as the axial compression ratio is larger than 0.2 and arrange perfobond shear connectors as the axial

compression ratio is larger than 0.3. Considering that penetrating the steel bar has tiny effect on the mechanical behavior of the composite column, it is not recommended to penetrate the steel bars to ensure a better casting effect.

Declaration of Competing Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support provided by the National Natural Science Foundation (Grants #51808398, 51578406 & 51978081) of the People's Republic of China.

References

- Hybrid hollow high pier. 2018. 20 January 20, 2019. http://www.actec.or.jp/3h_ pier/pdf/3h_pier_pamphlet.pdf.
- [2] Michio O, et al. Development of new high bridge piers containing spiral reinforcement. Wind and seismic effects. Proceedings of the 30th joint meeting. 1998.
- [3] Zhang Diwei, et al. Design and construction of Sun Yat-Sen freeway widening works from Wugu to Yangmei. Transportation Standardization 2014;42(17):61–71. (in Chinese).
- [4] El-Tawil Sherif, Deierlein Gregory G. Strength and ductility of concrete encased composite columns. J Struct Eng 1999;125(9):1009–19.
- [5] Soliman KZ, Arafa AI, Elrakib Tamer M. Review of design codes of concrete encased steel short columns under axial compression. HBRC J 2013;9(2):134–43.
- [6] Ellobody Ehab, Young Ben. Numerical simulation of concrete encased steel composite columns. J Constr Steel Res 2011;67(2):211–22.
- [7] Chen Cheng-Chih, Lin Nan-Jiao. Analytical model for predicting axial capacity and behavior of concrete encased steel composite stub columns. J Constr Steel Res 2006;62(5):424–33.
- [8] Shi Junji, et al. Structural performance of concrete encased steel columns with Hshaped steel. Proceedings of 15th world conference on earthquake engineering. Lisbon. 2012.
- [9] Li Junhua. Study on the performance of steel reinforced high-strength concrete columns under low cyclic reversed loading. Diss. Xi'an University of Architecture and Technology; 2005. (in Chinese).
- [10] Zheng Wenzhong, Ji Jing. Dynamic performance of angle-steel concrete columns under low cyclic loading-II: parametric study. Earthquake Eng Eng Vibration 2008;7(2):137.
- [11] American institute of steel construction. Specification for structural steel buildings. American institute of steel construction; 2016.
- [12] Ministry of housing and urban-rural development of the People's Republic of China (MOHURD). Code for design of composite structures, JGJ 138-2016. China Architecture & Building Press; 2016. (In Chinese).
- [13] Jing Shi, Guo-liang Bai. An experimental study on restoring force characteristics of lattice type steel reinforced concrete frame columns. J Xi'an Highway Univ

2000;20(4).

- [14] Zeng L, et al. Cyclic performance of concrete-encased composite columns with Tshaped steel sections. Int J Civil Eng 2015;13(4):455–67.
- [15] Ma Hui, et al. Seismic performance of steel-reinforced recycled concrete columns under low cyclic loads. Constr Build Mater 2013;48:229–37.
- [16] Zheng Wenzhong, Ji Jing. Dynamic performance of angle-steel concrete columns under low cyclic loading-I: Experimental study. Earthquake Eng Eng Vibration 2008;7(1):67–75.
- [17] Standard, Chinese. Code for design of composite structures (JGJ 138–2016). The Chinese construction industry edition club, Beijing, China; 2016. (In Chinese).
- [18] Mander John B, Priestley Michael JN, Park R. Theoretical stress-strain model for confined concrete. J Struct Eng 1988;114(8):1804–26.
- [19] Loh HY, Uy Brian, Bradford MA. The effects of partial shear connection in the hogging moment regions of composite beams Part II—Analytical study. J Constr Steel Res 2004;60(6):921–62.
- [20] Abaqus, Ver. 6.14 Documentation. Dassault Systemes Simulia Corporation 651; 2014.
- [21] Park R. Evaluation of ductility of structures and structural assemblages from laboratory testing. Bull New Zealand Natl Soc Earthquake Eng 1989;22(3):155–66.
- [22] Lam Dennis, El-Lobody Ehab. Behavior of headed stud shear connectors in composite beam. J Struct Eng 2005;131(1):96–107.
- [23] Xue Dongyan, et al. Static behavior of multi-stud shear connectors for steel-concrete composite bridge. J Constr Steel Res 2012;74:1–7.
- [24] Lin Zhaofei, Liu Yuqing, He Jun. Behavior of stud connectors under combined shear and tension loads. Eng Struct 2014;81:362–76.
- [25] Wang Qian, Liu Yuqing. Experimental study of shear capacity of stud connector. J Tongji Univ (Nat Sci) 2013;5:004.
- [26] Wang Qian, et al. Experimental study on stud shear connectors with large diameter and high strength. Electric technology and civil engineering (ICETCE), 2011 international conference on. IEEE. 2011.
- [27] Zhao Chen, Liu Yu-qing. Experimental study of shear capacity of perfobond connector. Eng Mech 2012;12:051.
- [28] Zheng Shuangjie, et al. Shear behavior and analytical model of perfobond connectors. Steel Compos Struct 2016;20(1):71–89.