

Towards sustainability for medical devices and consumables The radical and incremental challenges in the technology ecosystem.

Hinrichs-Krapels, Saba; Diehl, Jan-Carel; Hunfeld, Nicole; van Raaij, Erik

וסמ

10.1177/13558196221110416

Publication date

Document VersionFinal published version

Published in Journal of Health Services Research & Policy

Citation (APA)

Hinrichs-Krapels, S., Diehl, J.-C., Hunfeld, N., & van Raaij, E. (2022). Towards sustainability for medical devices and consumables: The radical and incremental challenges in the technology ecosystem. *Journal of Health Services Research & Policy*, *27*(4), 253-254. https://doi.org/10.1177/13558196221110416

Important note

To cite this publication, please use the final published version (if applicable). Please check the document version above.

Copyright

Other than for strictly personal use, it is not permitted to download, forward or distribute the text or part of it, without the consent of the author(s) and/or copyright holder(s), unless the work is under an open content license such as Creative Commons.

Takedown policy

Please contact us and provide details if you believe this document breaches copyrights. We will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Green Open Access added to TU Delft Institutional Repository 'You share, we take care!' - Taverne project

https://www.openaccess.nl/en/you-share-we-take-care

Otherwise as indicated in the copyright section: the publisher is the copyright holder of this work and the author uses the Dutch legislation to make this work public.



Editorial

Towards sustainability for medical devices and consumables: The radical and incremental challenges in the technology ecosystem

Journal of Health Services Research & 2022, Vol. 27(4) 253-254 © The Author(s) 2022 Article reuse guidelines: sagepub.com/journals-permissions DOI: 10 1177/13558196221110416 journals.sagepub.com/home/hs

(\$)SAGE

There is global recognition that health care is one of the most carbon-intensive sectors, accounting for 4.4% of global net greenhouse gas emissions and toxic air pollutants. The International Panel on Climate Change and the World Health Organization have made a call to action to reduce health care's ecological impacts, 2,3 while 14 countries have pledged to develop carbon-neutral health systems.⁴ In practice, this will mean reducing emissions from buildings and major infrastructure, encouraging public transport for staff and patients, reducing waste in hospitals, and using the 'purchasing power' of the health sector as a large purchaser of high-carbon products (including medical devices and consumables).⁵

Purchasing environmentally sustainable medical devices and consumables is a worthwhile goal, but the realities of achieving this are challenging and require a better evidence base or, at the very least, further thinking and nuanced guidance. In this editorial, we follow the life of a medical device, equipment, or consumable from design and manufacture, to purchase, use and disposal to demonstrate key challenges and opportunities in achieving environmental sustainability within the wider technology ecosystem.

Design, manufacture and regulation

While external targets and incentives (such as extended producer responsibility policies) are generally moving towards environmentally sustainable manufacturing, part of the challenge is that the market for medical devices (rightly) prioritises safety and infection control. As a result, for example, consumables often have laminated packaging that are difficult to separate for recycling. The use of single-use devices or consumables over reusable ones has also been a subject of debate: single-use have been prioritised for decades but there is no compelling evidence that they reduce health care—acquired infections. Disposable or single-use medical devices increase confidence, but also promote the consumption of raw materials and the creation of waste. This reliance has become a barrier for manufacturers to design sustainably, despite motivations to do so. There is an opportunity for industry and researchers to create the evidence base that demonstrates that reusing, remanufacturing or recycling is not necessarily unsafe. This can influence the regulatory landscape, allowing new devices to be deemed safe on the market, and create new business models in which consumables and devices can be reprocessed and delivered back to the hospital, or at least integrated into closedloop supply chains.

Purchasing and acquisition

Previous work has advocated the 'purchasing power' or leverage the health sector can use to influence suppliers.⁵ However, there is not vet a solid evidence base on how purchasing functions in healthcare organisations can be improved, let alone whether they can be designed to include sustainability as a purchasing criterion. In a comprehensive review of literature of materials logistics in hospitals, studies focus on optimising efficiency and minimising cost, and this is echoed by a study comparing medical device purchasing across five countries that found that there is more focus on cost-containment and less on quality and health outcomes. One study focussing on the purchase of MRI scanning equipment found that environmental and social sustainability dimensions were 'personally relevant but professionally secondary to cost, performance, and ability to use the equipment in their organizations' physical infrastructure.' (10(p445) Creating a strong evidence base for what is possible in improving environmentally sustainable hospital purchasing will be needed. This will balance any existing priorities and tradeoffs in individual purchasing decisions (for example, between patient safety, cost, and environmental sustainability) and create new business models for suppliers, such as leasing contracts for equipment or 'pay per scan' models. 11

Use, reuse, reduce or refuse

While measures such as the use of washable instead of single-use gowns could be regulated through standardised purchasing, others require behavioural changes by clinicians and nursing staff (for example, whether they choose to use gloves or disinfect their hands, or the frequency with which gloves are replaced). Behaviours, habits, and protocols once a product is in use can also drive or hinder environmental sustainability. This implies we also need behavioural research into how products are used once

purchased, and how staff can be motivated into supporting sustainability goals for their organisations.

Dispose

Waste management also requires behavioural changes, taking the time within hospital wards to separate out recyclable components and placing them in the recyclable-waste bin. ¹² Far more hospital waste is incinerated than is necessary, meaning the reprocessing of waste generally is also a responsibility of the hospital and its waste collection schemes.

A systems and quadruple-helix approach

Each stage above involves a separate group of actors, processes, protocols, and tensions or trade-offs that need to be considered on the road towards environmental sustainability. We note that progress has been made incrementally within each stage, thereby building the evidence base of what is possible for other hospitals and countries to follow. These developments help develop 'proof of principle' solutions and interventions for individual sets of actors along the supply chain.

However, of equal importance is to acknowledge the interdependencies and linkages between these stages, and that decisions and actions taken by actors within each stage affect the value chain in both directions of the lifecycle of a specific technology. This implies that each actor in the full supply chain (designer, manufacturer, regulator, purchaser, and user) needs to be considered in the design and implementation of interventions and solutions that are sustainable, implementable, cost-effective and safe.

If we want to move towards more radical and long-term shifts in designing interventions towards transitions, a quadruple-helix approach will be necessary. This will involve cross-disciplinary and cross-sectoral research and implementation across (1) industry, (2) government, (3) academia and (4) the public. Mission-innovation systems, co-design and participatory approaches can provide the necessary formation for design and evaluation of these interventions, as well as the use of Living Labs and other collaborative approaches that enable cross-sectoral and cross-disciplinary activities. Such dedicated and open engagement is necessary to generate new modes of thinking, acting, regulating and designing across the wider technology eco-system of medical devices and consumables.

References

- Karliner J, Slotterback S, Boyd R, et al. Health care's climate footprint: the health sector contribution and opportunities for action. *Eur J Public Health* 2020; 30(Supplement_5): ckaa165-843.
- International Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). AR6 synthesis report: climate change 2022. Available at: https://www.ipcc.ch/report/sixth-assessment-report-cycle/ (accessed 24 May 2022).
- 3. World Health Organization. *Environmentally sustainable health systems: a strategic document.* World Health Organization: Regional Office for Europe; 2017.
- Wilkinson E. Reaching net zero carbon emissions in health systems. *Lancet* 2021; 398: 1953–1954.
- 5. Pencheon D. Health services and climate change: what can be done? *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2009; 14: 2–4.
- MacNeill AJ, Hopf H, Khanuja A, et al. Transforming the medical device industry: road map to a circular economy. *Health Aff* 2020; 39: 2088–2097.
- Moultrie J, Sutcliffe L and Maier A. Exploratory study of the state of environmentally conscious design in the medical device industry. *J Clean Prod* 2015; 108: 363–376.
- 8. Volland J, Fügener A, Schoenfelder J, et al. Material logistics in hospitals: a literature review. *Omega* 2017; 69: 82–101.
- Sorenson C and Kanavos P. Medical technology procurement in Europe: A cross-country comparison of current practice and policy. *Health Policy* 2011; 100: 43–50.
- Lindgreen A, Antioco M, Harness D, et al. Purchasing and marketing of social and environmental sustainability for hightech medical equipment. *J Business Ethics* 2009; 85: 445–462.
- Guzzo D, Carvalho MM, Balkenende R, et al. Circular business models in the medical device industry: paths towards sustainable healthcare. *Resour Conserv Recycling* 2020; 160: 104904.
- Pegg M, Rawson R and Okere U. Operating room waste management: a case study of primary hip operations at a leading national health service hospital in the United Kingdom. J Health Serv Res Policy 2022; 27: 135581962210944.

Saba Hinrichs-Krapels • Jan-Carel Diehl TU Delft, Delft, Netherlands

Nicole Hunfeld *Erasmus MC, Rotterdam, Netherlands*

Erik van Raaij Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam, Rotterdam, Netherlands