# Please wait for the presentation to start...





# **Geofront:** Directly accessible GIS tools using a web-based visual programming language

#### **Master Thesis Geomatics | Final Presentation**

Jos Feenstra | November 4th 2022



- **1. Introduction**
- 2. Objective
- 3. Background
- 4. Method
- 5. Results
- 6. Conclusion







GIS: Geographical

Information

Science



**End Users** 

Climatology Infrastructure Urban planning Agriculture Governance Navigation Military (...)









Tools: Two forms of software:

Application

Library



#### Application

Applications:

- End users -
- Interaction -

and and	() O Input Untitled in	nput			
the second second	Project	Select a project	Select		
	Band	Band number			
	Input Untitled in	nput 📝		Function Local add	•••• •==•
	Project	Select a project	Select	II Name of node	
	Band	Band number		II Name of node	
A Arrive	Input Untitled in	nput 🧷		title of node	
Carl Carl	Project	Select a project	Select		
	Band	Band number			



src: Model Lab

src: QGIS



src: 3D bag viewer

CityJSON Drop a file to validate it	
O gival V0.4.3 is used       ① Files are never uploaded, validation is done locally       ① Only schema-validation. geometries are not validated (see details)	
The file is 100% valid!	
DenHaag_01.city_pretty.json	
CityJSON v1.1 (schemas used: v1.1.2)	
Extensions:	
none	
iterion	details
SON syntax	



# Libraries Libraries:

- Reusable tools for applications (& other libraries)
- Cannot directly be used

In GIS:

- Transformation
- Analysis (Validation)

"Core" GIS Libraries

**3D** 

Geoinformation

Libraries













## Problem:

(core) GIS Library ?

- Transformation
- Analysis
  - Validation

**End Users** 

Environmental studies Infrastructure Urban planning Governance Navigation The military Argiculture



# Indirection

- Only indirect access
- Dependent
- Exact?
- New research?







#### Moreover:





#### Moreover:

**Functionality:** capabilities may get lost at every step

**Composability:** apps are **not** further composable





#### Conundrum:



Given this divide, how to achieve Functionality,

Composability, & Usability at the same time?



Adopted from Elliott C. (2007). Tangible Functional Programming

## Problem statement

End users only have **Indirect access** of GIS libraries, leading to disadvantages...

... for end users:

- At the mercy of in-between software
- Non-composable applications
- Features getting lost in translation
- ... for library developers:
  - Synchronizing bindings, plugins, applications.

...for **society:** 

- reduced impact of research







### Goal of this study:

Allow GIS practitioners without a background in software development, to access the full potential of core transformation and analysis capabilities found in native GIS libraries.





#### Goal:



apps are an endpoint: Not further composable — Add **composability** and **automation** to apps

A lib offers no visualization or GUI. — Add usability and GUI to libs

A lib must be turned into an app before utilization.

Some Lib capabilities get lost when used in an app



How:

#### Presenting and prototyping a novel method:

### A Web-based Visual Programming Language (VPL) using WebAssembly



# Research question: Is a **web based VPL** a viable method for directly accessing native GIS libraries with a composable interface?



# **Sub Questions**

- What **GUI** features are required to facilitate this method, and to what extent does the web platform aid or hurt these features?

# - To what extent does this method intent to address the **discrepancies** between **software applications and libraries**, as described by Elliott (2007)? Does it succeed in doing so?

- What are the differences between **compiling** a GIS library written in C++ to WebAssembly, compared to compiling a GIS library written in Rust?

- What measures are taken to make this VPL **scalable** to large geo-datasets, and how effective are these measures?

- How does this method **compare** to existing, alternative VPLs and browser-based geocomputation methods, regarding the properties relevant to the goal of direct accessibility?







"Web-based VPL using WebAssembly":

- **1. Web Application**
- 2. Visual Programming
- 3. WebAssembly



# **1. Web Applications**

Possible solution for direct access

Web Application  $\rightarrow$  distribution

- No Installation
- Cross-platform

Static Web Application

- No active backend
- Cheap
- More portable



# 2. Visual Programming

Possible solution for Composable applications

- Visual Programming Language (VPL)
- Both a scripting language and application
- 'programming' by using GUI
  - Composable GUI





#### **VPL** within **GIS**



polygons\_simp

Src: Geoflow

Undo History Variables

Inputs Algorithms

Change Model Name

Undo History Expand Item Collapse Item Move Items Climb laver

Src: QGIS

# 2. Visual Programming: GIS

requirement: Scalability







# 3. WebAssembly

Possible solution for more direct access

- Exchangeable binaries
- Binary compilation target `library.wasm`
  - From multiple languages
  - To multiple runtimes
- Since 2017 (Haas, 2017)
- In browsers since 2019 (W3C, 2019)

1 ~	Rmodule
2	(type \$t0 (func (param i32 i32)))
3	(type \$t1 (func (param i32 i32 i32) (result i32)))
	(type \$t2 (func (param i32 i32) (result i32)))
	(type \$t3 (func (param i32)))
	(type \$t4 (func (param i32) (result i32)))
	(type \$t5 (func))
	(type \$t6 (func (naram 132) (result f32)))
	(type \$t7 (func (papam 132 f32)))
	(type \$t8 (func (papam f32 f32) (pesult i32)))
11	(type \$t9 (func (papam 132 132) (pesult f32)))
	(type \$110 (func (param 132 132 132)))
	(type \$t11 (func (param i32 i32 i32 i32) (pesult i32)))
	(type \$t12 (func (result i32)))
	(type \$13 (fine (name i32) (result i64)))
	(type \$114 (func (param 132 132 132)))
	(type \$t15 (func (naram i32 i32 i32 i32 i32)))
	(type \$16 (func (param i32 i32 i32 i32) (result i32)))
	(type \$17 (fine (param 132 132 132 132 132 132) (pesult 132)))
	(type \$12 (func (param i64 i32 i32) (posult i32)))
21	(import " whindeen placebolder " " whindeen describe" (func \$ 7N12wasm bindeen19 whindeen describe17bd
	(import " whindeen extended from " whindeen extended and grow" (find \$ 7M12wasm bindeenextender
	(import whindgen externref xform " whindgen externref table set null" (func \$ 7N12wasm bindgenexte
	(***)))
	(import " whindgen placeholder " " whindgen throw" (func \$ 7N12wasm hindgen16 whindgen throw17h7hfc15c
	(func \$add (type \$t2) (naram \$n0 i32) (naram \$n1 i32) (result i32)
	local.eet \$01
	local.eet \$p0
	132.add)
	(func \$ wbindgen describe add (type \$t5)
	call \$ ZN12wasm bindgen4 rt19link mem intrinsics17h3cc2179cca039a8aE
	132.const 11
	call \$ ZN12wasm bindgen19 wbindgen describe17hdb3ff320fcac3194E
	132.const 0
34	call \$ ZN12wasm bindgen19 wbindgen describe17hdb3ff320fcac3194E
	i32.const 2
	call \$ ZN12wasm bindgen19 wbindgen describe17hdb3ff320fcac3194E
	call \$ ZN60 \$LT\$u32\$u20\$as\$u20\$wasm bindgendescribeWasmDescribe\$GT\$8describe17h45229e62f39c456cE
	call \$ ZN60 \$LT\$u32\$u20\$as\$u20\$wasm bindgendescribeWasmDescribe\$GT\$8describe17h45229e62f39c456cE
	call \$_ZN60 \$LT\$u32\$u20\$as\$u20\$wasm_bindgendescribeWasmDescribe\$GT\$8descr <u>ibe17h45229e62f39c456cE</u>
	call \$_ZN60 \$LT\$u32\$u20\$as\$u20\$wasm_bindgendescribeWasmDescribe\$GT\$8descr <u>ibe17h45229e62f39c456cE)</u>
	(func \$ wbg point free (type \$t3) (param \$p0 i32)
	block \$B0
	block \$81
	local.get \$p0
	132.eqz
	br_if \$B1
	local.get \$p0
	i32.load
40	he 35 600



wasm rendered at `.wat` src: author

# 3. WebAssembly

Use case 1: Run native code in a browser



src: Milica Mihajlija





src: audacity



src: author

# 3. WebAssembly

Use case 2: Generic library binding

- Interface Types
- "run anything anywhere"



Clark, L. (2019)








## Two components

- 1. Web VPL
- 2. Library Plugin system
  - Plugin loader
  - Plugin model



# 1. Web VPL: Design

- Essentially, a programming language
  - "syntax tree"
- Model View Controller





# 2. Plugin System

Regular case:

- Maintain separate project
- Explicitly state interface
- 'boilerplate'





# 2. Plugin System

Our case:

- Leverage wasm compilers
- Mimic normal language
- Interprete bindings implicitly

Leads to:

- No boilerplate
- Connect to existing infrastructure



# 2. Plugin System

Three elements:

- **Direct utilization**  $\rightarrow$  Zero boilerplate
  - Leverage generic interface properties of WebAssembly
- Portability
  - Same behavior within this VPL as in python, C#, JavaScript, etc.
- Scalability
  - Zero-cost abstraction











time?

# 1. Web VPL implementation

# 2. Library Plugin System implementation



# 1. Web VPL implementation: Geofront



#### Web VPL: Geofront

Custom implementation needed to meet all aspects of the method.

- Application framework
- VPL model implementation
- renderer, Interaction, UI, etc.





#### Main components

Node

#### Computation | Function



Cables Variable | edges



Widget GUI | Input Output





## Workflow

1. Add a node or widget from 'add' dropdown or fuzzy finder 2. Connect nodes by dragging input to output sockets, to form graphs

3. To perform calculations, manipulate the input widgets using the canvas GUI, or a side menu









#### Widgets: Composable GUI

- "Applet"
- Boolean input
- Text field
- Number slider
- Boolean output
- Text output
- Image output
- Renderer





- File save as Blob | String
- File load as Blob | String
- File fetch as Blob | String
- Print to console
- Create list
- Get all properties from object
- Create object from properties



## Applet widget: sub-application support

Use output of one application, as input for Geofront





# Visualization

- Custom WebGL implementation

#### Support for:

- Mesh
- Pointcloud
- Textures (images)
- Plane
- Bezier curve
- Bezier surface











### Calculation → Dependency sorting (kahn's algorithm)





#### **Usage 1: Basic interaction**

https://thegeofront.github.io/present ation/videos/geofront-1.mp4



#### Usage 2: Basic composition & data inspection

https://thegeofront.github.io/present ation/videos/geofront-2.mp4



#### Usage 3: A larger setup & parametrization

https://thegeofront.github.io/present ation/videos/geofront-3.mp4



#### Usage 4: Geodata input $\rightarrow$ Obj output

https://thegeofront.github.io/present ation/videos/geofront-4.mp4



#### Implementation: results

- + All major requirements able to be implemented on the web.
- + Does provide application composability
- Limited STD
- Types not interoperable
- Limited performance



#### Geofront: Feature comparison

#### Unique combination

	Grasshopper	Blender	Mobius	Geoflow	Geofront
Plugin support	Yes	No*	No	Yes	Yes
Plugin language	C#	-	-	C++	Rust/Js/Ts**
Plugin types	Partially	No	No	Unknown	Yes
Headless runtime	No	No	No	Yes	No
Web based	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
Base GIS Nodes	No	No	Yes	Yes	No
GUI nodes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes



# 2. Library Plugin System implementation



#### Plugin System: Implementation

Automated extraction of:

- A list of all functions present in the library
- A list of all custom types (structs / classes) present in the library
- Per function:
  - A list of all input parameters, name and type
  - An output type



## Plugin System: Results



#### Plugin System: Comparison

1	namespace MyPlugin
2	{
3	<pre>public class AdderNode : GH_Component</pre>
4	{
5	<pre>public ComponentNodeFromString()</pre>
6	: base("Add Integers",
7	"Add",
8	"This component adds two integer values",
9	"My Plugin",
10	"My Plugin Category")
11	{
12	}
13	
14	protected override void RegisterInputParams(GH_Component.GH_ InputParamManager pManager)
15	(
16	<pre>pManager.AddIntegerParameter("a", "value A", GH_ParamAccess.item);</pre>
17	pManager.AddIntegerParameter("b", "value B", GH_ParamAccess.item);
18	3
19	
20	protected override void RegisterOutputParams(GH Component GH
	OutoutParamManager (Manager)
21	{
22	pManager.AddIntegerParameter("R", "result", GH ParamAccess.item):
23	}
24	,
25	protected override void SolveInstance(IGH DataAccess DA)
26	{
27	int a:
28	int h:
29	DA.GetData(0, ref a):
30	DA GetData(1, ref h):
31	int c = a + b
32	DA SetData(A, c):
33	}
34	,
35	public override Guid ComponentGuid
36	{
37	<pre>det { return new Guid("197d2ec4-c3h1-47ed-8355-6af3h7612f01"). \</pre>
38	
39	1
40	1
10	1

class AddNode : public Node
{
public:
using Node::Node;
void init()
{
<pre>add_input("a", typeid(int));</pre>
add_input("b", typeid(int));
<pre>add_output("result", typeid(int));</pre>
}
<pre>std::string info()</pre>
{
<pre>std::string s;</pre>
if (output("result").has_data())
<pre>s = std::to_string(output("result").get<int>());</int></pre>
return s;
}
11 0 / 5 / 11 11 / 5 / 11 11 / 5 / 11
void process()
<pre>auto inl = input("a").get<int>();</int></pre>
<pre>auto in2 = input("b").get<int>();</int></pre>
<pre>std::this_thread::sleep_for(std::chrono::microseconds(200));</pre>
<pre>output("result").set(int(in1 + in2));</pre>
}
};

#1,000	m hind	Inon

1 #[wasm\_bindgen] 2 fn add(a: i32, b: i32) -> i32 {

3 a + b

4 }

Figure 56: Geofront plugin





## Plugin System: Tests

 $C\text{++} \rightarrow emscripten \rightarrow WebAssembly}$ 

€ lib.cpp M ×	
<pre>plugins &gt; cpp-min-gf &gt; src &gt; C ib.cpp 1 // quick_example.cpp 2 #include <emscripten bind.h=""> 3 #include <cmath> 4 5 using namespace emscripten; 6 7 float add(float left, float right) { 8 return left + right; 9 } 10 11 class Point { 12 public: 13 double x; 14 double y;</cmath></emscripten></pre>	
<pre>15 16 Point(double x, double y) : 17</pre>	
21 return std::pow(	
22   30.5); 23   0.5); 24   } 25 }; 26	
27 EMSCRIPTEN_BINDINGS(cpp_min) {	
<pre>28 function("add", &amp;add);</pre>	
29 class_ <point>("Point")</point>	
30 .constructor <double, double="">()</double,>	
31 .Tunction( distance, aroint::distance)	
33 .property("v", &Point::v):	
34 }	

#### Rust $\rightarrow$ wasm-pack $\rightarrow$ WebAssembly

Iib.rs	×				
plugins	plugins > rust-min-gf > src > 🐵 lib.rs > {} impl Point				
	use wasm_bindgen::prelude::*;				
	The and President Action of the				
	#[wasm_bindgen]				
	<pre>pub fn add(left: usize, right: usize) -&gt; usize {</pre>				
	left + right				
	}				
	#[wasm_bindgen]				
	pub struct Point {				
10	x: f32,				
11	y: f32,				
12	}				
13					
	# [wasindepi]				
	IMPI POINT {				
17	which powers $f_{22}$ as $f_{22}$ ) > Solf (				
	Solf ( v v)				
21	pub fn distance(&self, other: &Self) -> f32 {				
22	((self.x - other.x).powi(2) + (self.y - other.y).powi(2)).powf(0.5)				
24	3				

## Plugin System: Tests

Interfacing the C++ binary from JavaScript was around **six** times as slow compared to the rust equivalent.





# Plugin System: Tests

the C / C++ emscripten compiler produced a binary which requires more than **three** times the size of the same functionality compiled with Rusts wasm-pack.





#### Plugin System: Test Results

#### Rust

Worked almost immediately for almost any library

- + Expressive bindings allow complex data types to be exchanged in a simple manner.
- Still some runtime overhead due to wrappers

#### C++

Multiple workarounds eventually allowed some parts of CGAL to be run in geofront, if included in the source code

- Requires many workarounds
- More wrapper overhead than rust
- Larger binaries than rust
- Sub-optimal support for bindings
  - + Interface Types will most likely be added in the future to emscripten





# Rust Library: copc-rs (Point cloud loader)



### C++ Library: CGAL







### C++ Library: CGAL




### Plugin System: Zero cost abstraction runtime

#### Currently Incomplete, but promising









## sub Q: library & application divide

- To what extent does this method intent to address the **discrepancies** between **software applications and libraries**, as described by Elliott (2007)? Does it succeed in doing so?

1. Libraries cannot be directly used, end users are dependent on in-between applications  $\rightarrow$ 

2. Applications are not further composable  $\rightarrow$ 

- + VPL acts as "a custom GUI for any library"
- + Only dependent on Wasm-bindings
- Exception: C++
- + VPL: Use tools in a composable manner
- + Potree demo: further composable web applications

3. Capabilities get lost in in-between steps  $\rightarrow$ 

- + Plugin system: Minimum in-between steps
- + Wasm-bindings only limiting factor



A: All aspects were able to be addressed to a certain extent.



### Main research question:

### Q: Is a web based VPL a viable method for directly accessing native GIS libraries with a composable interface?

#### Yes

- Provides solutions for app / lib divide
- Successfully implemented and combined:
  - no-boilerplate plugin system
  - Composable GUI
  - Web-based

#### No

- More research is required to proof full feasibility:
  - C++  $\rightarrow$  Interface Types
  - GUI-less runtime  $\rightarrow$  Scalability

A: Yes, but with exceptions



### Future work

- Improved VPL model:
  - Improved type support
  - Validated Dataflow VPL
    - Concurrency
  - Compile to WebAssembly
- Deployment & scalability
  - Cloud-based execution
  - "Deploy as application"
- Effects of Rust as replacement for C++ in GIS or any scientific endeavor
  - Less error-prone, improved library management, improved wasm support  $\rightarrow$  distribution



### Sources:

- Elliott, C. (2007). Tangible functional programming. International Conference on Functional Programming. http://conal.net/papers/Eros/ Accessed 2022-09-27. Related Talk: <u>https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=faJ8N0giqzw</u>.
  - Haas, A., Rossberg, A., Schuff, D. L., Titzer, B. L., Holman, M., Gohman, D., Wagner, L., Zakai, A., and Bastien, J.
    (2017). Bringing the web up to speed with WebAssembly. In Proceedings of the 38th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation, PLDI 2017, pages 185–200, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- w3c (2019). World Wide Web Consortium brings a new language to the Web as WebAssembly becomes a W3C Recommendation.

https://www.w3.org/2019/12/pressrelease-wasm-rec.html.en

- Clark, L. (2019). WebAssembly Interface Types: Interoperate with All the Things. Mozilla Hacks: the Web developer blog. <u>https://hacks.mozilla.org/2019/08/webassembly-interface-types/</u>
- Kuhail, M. A., Farooq, S., Hammad, R., and Bahja, M. (2021). Characterizing Visual Programming Approaches for End-User Developers: A Systematic Review. IEEE Access, 9:14181–14202.
- Sousa, T. (2012). Dataflow Programming: Concept, Languages and Applications. Unpublished.



-

# Thank you for your attention!

